



**STUDY ON LANDFILL SPATIAL CONTAMINANT
DISTRIBUTION AND POTENTIAL TERRESTRIAL
FERN SPECIES AS PHYTOREMEDIATION AGENT**

BY

NUR HANIE BINTI MOHD LATIFF

**A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Halal Industry**

**International Institute for Halal Research and Training
International Islamic University Malaysia**

SEPTEMBER 2019

ABSTRACT

As a developing country, Malaysia is facing a problem with waste management issues. Even though landfilling is preferable due to its low cost, availability of land and environmentally friendly method, the problem caused by the dumping sites is not adequately treated. During rainy season, leachate may accelerate heavy metals toxicity at the landfill areas into ground surface. Therefore, this research aimed to study pattern distribution of landfill contaminants as well as to explore the capabilities of fern species as phytoremediation agent. In order to achieve the goal, there are two objectives that need to be fulfilled. Firstly is to study spatial distribution and potential of landfill contaminant at active and closed landfills at different depths (0 cm to 30 cm, 30 cm to 60 cm and 60 cm to 90 cm) and radiuses (5 m to 10 m, 10 m to 15 m, and 15 m to 20 m). Secondly, to assess type of fern species ability and stability as phytoremediation agent. By using hand auger, samples were taken from three (3) different localities in Perak, Selangor and Johor in order to investigate their massive metal sequestration rate stability and pattern distribution in this highly weathered soil. Nine landfill sites located in the state of Selangor comprising of a variety of active and closed landfills chosen. Phytoremediation technology that uses living plant is adopted to accumulate and remove various heavy metals toxicity. This is because phytoremediation activity in plant system functions to degrade, extract or inactivate potentially hazardous compounds in the contaminated soil. Four terrestrial fern species namely; *Dicranopteris linearis*, *Nephrolepis bifurcata*, *Stenochlaena palustris* and *Acrostichum aureum* were chosen to sequester heavy metal contaminants from laterite soil. Samples that taken from vegetative parts, soils and roots of the plant, were then taken to the laboratory for cleaning and drying purposes before the analysing process. Soils and plants sampling were measured using ICP-MS (Perkin Elmer NexION 300X) for ten heavy metals element (Al, Fe, Cr, Mn, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd and Pb). Both results showed Al and Fe were detected with the highest concentrations. Al and Fe concentration for landfill soils were detected highest at every radiuses and depths of the landfill sites regardless other heavy metals were detected low due to leach out to the groundwater level. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) output has shown a statistically significant difference ($P < 0.0001$), in the mean of heavy metal concentrations between different radiuses and different depths at all interactions. While the age pattern of landfill shows that the concentration of heavy metals is increasing as the radiuses and depth of soil increase. In order to certify the potential of fern species as a phytoremediation agent to remediate heavy metals toxicity in the landfill soil, the evaluation of bio-concentration factor (BCFs) and translocator factor (TFs) were implemented. The ANOVA output has shown a statistically significant difference ($P < 0.0001$), in the mean of concentrations of heavy metals between vegetative part, root and soil at all interactions. Therefore, it is indicated that all four terrestrial fern species are potential as phytoremediation agents to sequester Cr, Mn, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd and Pb from landfill sites. Since there are many factors that contributed to the heavy metal accumulation, fern species are suggested to be one of the alternative measures to remediate the soil and remove heavy metals toxicity. In addition to its potential as a green technology, ferns can also be commercialised as an inexpensive alternative method to clean areas that contaminated with heavy metals toxicity.

خلاصة البحث

تواجه ماليزيا -كدولة نامية - مشكلة في قضايا إدارة النفايات. على الرغم من أن الطمر ظل هو الطريقة المفضلة بسبب كلفته المنخفضة وتوافر الأرض والطريقة الصديقة للبيئة ، فإن المشكلة الناتجة عن مواقع الطمر لا يتم معالجتها بشكل صحيح. خلال موسم الأمطار، قد تُسرَّع المادة المرتشحة سمية الفلزات الثقيلة في مناطق المكب إلى سطح الأرض. لذلك ، يهدف هذا البحث إلى دراسة توزيع نمط ملوثات المكب وكذلك استكشاف قدرات الأنواع السرخس كعامل علاج نباتي. من أجل بيئة نظيفة، هناك هدفان يجب تحقيقهما. أولاً، دراسة التوزيع المكاني وإمكانات تلوث مدافن النفايات النشطة والمغلقة على أعماق مختلفة (0 سم إلى 30 سم، 30 سم إلى 60 سم، و 60 سم إلى 90 سم) ونصف قطرها (5 م إلى 10 م، 10 م إلى 15 م، و 15 م إلى 20 م). ثانياً، تقييم قدرة أنواع السرخس واستقرارها باعتبارها وسيلة العلاج النباتي. تم أخذ عينات من ثلاث (3) مواقع مختلفة في بيرك وسيلانجور وجوهور من أجل التحقيق في استقرار معدل عزل المعادن الثقيلة وتوزيع الأنماط في هذه التربة متقلبة الطقس. تم اختيار تسعة مواقع لطمر النفايات في ولاية سيلانجور تضم مجموعة متنوعة من المكبات النشطة والمغلقة. تم اعتماد تقنية المعالجة النباتية التي تستخدم النباتات الحية لتجميع وإزالة سمية المعادن الثقيلة المختلفة. وذلك لأن نشاط المعالجة النباتية في نظام النبات يعمل على تحطيم أو استخلاص أو تعطيل المركبات التي يحتمل أن تكون خطيرة في التربة الملوثة. تم اختيار أربعة أنواع سرخس برية وهي؛ *Dicranopteris linearis* و *Nephrolepis bifurcata* و *Stenochlaena palustris* و *Acrostichum aureum* لعزل ملوثات المعادن الثقيلة من التربة الخام. ثم أخذت العينات المأخوذة من الأجزاء النباتية والتربة وجذور النبات إلى المختبر لأغراض التنظيف والتحفيف قبل عملية التحليل. تم قياس عينات التربة والتربة (Al، Fe، Cr، Mn، Co، Ni، Cu، Zn، Cd and Pb) لعشرة عناصر من المعادن الثقيلة (ICP-MS (Perkin Elmer NexION 300X باستخدام أظهرت كلتا النتيجة أن تم اكتشاف الألمنيوم والحديد. بأعلى التركيزات. كما تم اكتشاف تركيزهما لتربة المكب على أعلى مستوى في كل دائرة حسب نصف قطرها وعمق مواقع المكب بغض النظر عن المعادن الثقيلة الأخرى التي تم اكتشاف أنها منخفضة التركيز بسبب الترشيح إلى مستوى المياه ، في متوسط تركيزات المعادن ($P < 0.0001$) فرقاً ذو دلالة إحصائية (ANOVA) الجوفية. أظهر تحليل التباين الثقيلة حسب اختلاف نصف القطر وعمق المكب في جميع التفاعلات. بينما يوضح النمط العمري لطمر النفايات أن تركيز المعادن الثقيلة يزداد مع زيادة نصف القطر وعمق التربة. من أجل التصديق على إمكانات أنواع السرخس كعامل وعامل تحديد (BCFs) معالجة نباتية لعلاج سمية المعادن الثقيلة في تربة المكب، تم تنفيذ تقييم عامل التركيز الحيوي ، في ($P < 0.0001$) فرقاً ذو دلالة إحصائية ANOVA أظهرت نتائج تحليل مخرجات التباين (TFs) الموقع متوسط تركيزات المعادن الثقيلة بين الجزء النباتي والجذر والتربة في جميع التفاعلات. لذلك ، فإنه يشير إلى أن جميع أنواع السرخس الأرضي الأربعة محتملة كعوامل علاجات نباتية لعزل المعادن الثقيلة الملوثة من مواقع المكب. ونظرًا لوجود العديد من العوامل التي تسهم في تراكم المعادن الثقيلة، يُقترح أن تكون أنواع السرخس واحدة من التدابير البديلة لعلاج التربة وإزالة سمية المعادن الثقيلة. بالإضافة إلى إمكاناتها كتقنية خضراء، يمكن أيضًا تسويق السرخس كوسيلة بديلة غير مكلفة لتنظيف المناطق الملوثة بسمية المعادن الثقيلة.

APPROVAL PAGE

The thesis of Student's Name has been approved by the following:

Rashidi Othman
Supervisor

Zainul Mukrim Baharuddin
Co-Supervisor

Mohamed Elwathig Saeed Mirghani
Internal Examiner

Asmah Awal
External Examiner

Zainul Akmar Zakaria
External Examiner

Amir Akramin Shafie
Chairman

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this dissertation is the result of my own investigations, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

Nur Hanie Mohd Latiff

Signature

Date

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

**DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF
FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH**

**STUDY ON LANDFILL SPATIAL CONTAMINANT
DISTRIBUTION AND POTENTIAL TERRESTRIAL FERN
SPECIES AS PHYTOREMEDIATION AGENT**

I declare that the copyright holders of this dissertation are jointly owned by the student and IIUM.

Copyright © 2019 Nur Hanie Mohd Latiff and International Islamic University Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below

1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieved system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledged that I have read and understand the IIUM Intellectual Property Right and Commercialization policy.

Affirmed by Nur Hanie Mohd Latiff

.....
Signature

.....
Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Bismillahir Rahmanir Rahim....

First and foremost, I would like to praise my deepest gratitude to Allah S.W.T. the most gracious and the most merciful, peace and blessings upon his messenger Prophet Muhammad peace be upon him, miracles and wisdom from our parents, thus this thesis has been successfully completed.

There are a lot of people I would like to thank for being involved, in one way or another, in the implementation of this thesis. First and foremost I would like to express my deepest gratitude and utmost appreciation to both of my supervisors Associate Professor Dr. Rashidi Othman and Assistant Professor Dr. Zainul Mukrim Baharuddin for their warm encouragement and invaluable advice as well as their professional insight and constructive criticism. Without them, this work could never success and become a reality.

A special thanks to my guru, Datuk Haji Maideen bin Kadir Shah, love to my parents, Mohd Latiff Mohd Yasin and Rasilah Ibrahim, and parents in law, Ahmad Roslin and Hamidah Mohamad for their prayer, love, understanding, and support through the years. I acknowledge with gratitude and lots of love the help of my dear husband Hazree Ahmad and my lovely children Hazeeq and Nur Heejanah, who patiently endured the recital of my thesis at all hours of the day and night, and who often saved me from the grosser forms of solecism. Many thanks are also due to my family members, my brothers and my sisters (Mohd Hafeiz Mohd Latiff, Nor Wahida Rahman, Muhammad Hadi Mohd Latiff, Nur Saadah Abd Rahman, Nur Hanum Mohd Latiff, Nur Hanis Mohd Latiff, Muhammad Hakim Mohd Latiff, Hazleen Ahmad, Ahmad Jinaem Shamsuddin, Hafeez Ahmad and Roslina Md Tapah) for their support, du'a and much good advice. Also dedicated to my lovely nieces and nephews for cheer up my day (Ahmad Hafeey, Haneef, Ameera Husna, Hazween, Hazaunee, Hazainee, Hakeem, Ameera Haziqah, Nur Hafizah Husna, Nur Aisyah Alhumaira and Nur Huwaynaa).

Finally, I would like to thank Herbarium Lab Officer, Sis. Norhafizana and my lovely and awesome friends that I've met, support and advised a lot during exploring and handling equipments in process of completing the thesis at Herbarium Lab, Kuliyyah of Architecture and Environmental Design. My dedication also goes to all staff and INHART members, members of Persatuan Seni Silat Cekak Malaysia for their infinity support and encourage as well as for all those name not mentioned whom contribute directly or indirectly in completing this thesis. Your infinite support is much appreciated.

Last but not least, this thesis is humbly dedicated to the Ministry of Higher Education who granting me the scholarship to pursue the Doctoral Degree, International Islamic University Malaysia and International Institute of Halal Research and Training. Not forgotten team of World Wide Environment Sdn Bhd for their kindness and help along the data collection journey. May Allah SWT grant all of you with goodness and Jannah. *Alhamdulillah 'ala kulli hal...*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
Abstract in Arabic	iii
Approval Page.....	iv
Declaration	v
Copyright Page.....	vi
Acknowledgements	vii
List of Tables	xiii
List of Figures	xv
List of Abbreviations	xix
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Islamic Perspective (Shariah Compliance) on Sustainability Towards Environment	2
1.3 Statement of the Problem.....	3
1.4 Research Aim.....	4
1.5 Research Objectives.....	4
1.6 Research Gaps	5
1.7 Limitations of the Study	5
1.8 Significance of the Study.....	6
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Landfill	7
2.1.1 Landfill in Malaysia	7
2.1.2 Landfill in Selangor	8
2.1.3 Landfill Classification	9
2.1.4 Landfill Concept.....	11
2.1.5 Landfill Technologies.....	12
2.1.6 Landfill Pollution Impact	14
2.1.6.1 Land Pollution.....	14
2.1.6.2 Air Pollution.....	15
2.1.6.3 Water Pollution	17
2.2 Heavy Metal Contaminant.....	17
2.2.1 Types of Heavy Metals.....	18
2.2.1.1 Cadmium (Cd).....	18
2.2.1.2 Nickel (Ni)	19
2.2.1.3 Chromium (Cr).....	19
2.2.1.4 Lead (Pb).....	20
2.2.2 Heavy Metal Accumulation in Soil and Groundwater	20
2.2.3 Heavy Metal Risk	22
2.2.4 Heavy Metal Remediation	23
2.2.5 Heavy Metal Mobility in Landfill	26
2.3 Leachate.....	27
2.3.1 Leachate Composition	28
2.3.2 Leachate Production Phase by Age	29

2.3.3 Leachate Treatment Technology	32
2.3.4 Different Leachate Views	33
2.4 Phytoremediation	35
2.4.1 Phytoremediation of Landfill Soil	38
2.4.2 Phytoremediation for Landfill Leachate	38
2.4.3 Mechanism for Heavy Metal Uptakes by Plants	39
2.4.3.1 Phytoextraction	39
2.4.3.1.1 Limitation of Phytoextraction	40
2.4.3.2 Phytostabilisation	41
2.4.3.3 Rhizofiltration	42
2.4.3.4 Phytovolatilization	43
2.4.3.5 Phytodegradation	44
2.4.4 Plant Response to Heavy Metal	44
2.4.4.1 Metal Excluder	45
2.4.4.2 Metal Indicator	45
2.4.4.3 Metal Accumulator	46
2.4.5 Parameter Assessment of Heavy Metals by Plants	46
2.4.6 Factors Affecting the Uptake Mechanisms	47
2.4.6.1 The Plant Species	47
2.4.6.2 Properties of Medium	47
2.4.6.3 The Root Zone	48
2.4.6.4 Vegetative Uptake	48
2.4.6.5 Addition by Chelating Agent	48
CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	50
3.1 Landfill Sites	50
3.1.1 Description of Study Area	50
3.1.1.1 Sungai Kertas Inert Waste Non-Sanitary Landfill (IWL)	52
3.1.1.2 Kuang Inert Waste Non-Sanitary Landfill (IWL)	53
3.1.1.3 Dengkil Inert Waste Non-Sanitary Landfill (IWL)	54
3.1.1.4 Tanjung Dua Belas Sanitary Landfill (SL)	56
3.1.1.5 Jeram Belas Sanitary Landfill (SL)	57
3.1.1.6 Air Hitam Sanitary Landfill (SL)	58
3.1.1.7 Kubang Badak Sanitary Landfill (SL)	59
3.1.1.8 Ampar Tenang Inert Waste Non-Sanitary Landfill (IWL)	61
3.1.1.9 Sungai Kembong Inert Waste Non-Sanitary Landfill (IWL)	62
3.1.2 Preparation of Landfill Soil Samples	63
3.1.2.1 Site Work	63
3.1.3 Preparation of Landfill Soil Solution Using Acid Microwave Digestion	65
3.2 Fern Species Study	66
3.2.1 Description of Study Area	66
3.2.1.1 Site Location	66
3.2.1.2 Plant Specification	66
3.2.2 Preparation of Plant Sampling	67
3.2.2.1 Site Work	68

3.2.2.2 Laboratory Work.....	69
3.2.3 Fern Species Samples Solution Preparation Using Acid	
Microwave Digestion.....	70
3.2.3.1 Samples Preparation.....	71
3.2.3.2 Microwave Digestion Preparation	72
3.2.4 Total Heavy Metal Analysis of Fern Species using Inductive	
Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometer (ICP-MS).....	75
3.2.4.1 Samples Preparation.....	77
3.2.5 Plant Analysis by Evaluation of Phytoremediation Potential	
using Bio-concentration Factor (BCFs) and Translocator	
Factor (TFs)	78
3.3 Statistical Analysis for Landfill Soil and Plant Analysis	79

CHAPTER FOUR: HEAVY METAL ANALYSIS FOR LANDFILL SOIL

.....	80
4.1 Active Landfill (Non-Sanitary).....	80
4.1.1 Kampung Sungai Kertas Inert Waste Landfill	80
4.1.1.1 Analysis for Kampung Sungai Kertas Inert Waste	
Non-Sanitary Landfill at Three Different Radiuses,	
Three Different Depths and ten Different Heavy Metals... 83	
4.1.2 Kuang Inert Waste Landfill	83
4.1.2.1 Analysis for Kuang Inert Waste Non-Sanitary Landfill	
at Three Different Radiuses, Three Different Depths	
and ten Different Heavy Metals	86
4.1.3 Dengkil Inert Waste Landfill.....	86
4.1.3.1 Analysis for Dengkil Inert Waste Non-Sanitary	
Landfill at Three Different Radiuses, Three Different	
Depths and ten Different Heavy Metals	89
4.2 Active Landfill (Sanitary).....	89
4.2.1 Tanjung Dua Belas Sanitary Landfill	89
4.2.1.1 Analysis for Tanjung Dua Belas Sanitary Landfill at	
Three Different Radiuses, Three Different Depths and	
ten Different Heavy Metals	92
4.2.2 Jeram Sanitary Landfill	92
4.2.2.1 Analysis for Jeram Sanitary Landfill at Three Different	
Radiuses, Three Different Depths and ten Different	
Heavy Metals	95
4.3 Closed Landfill (Non-Sanitary)	95
4.3.1 Ampar Tenang Inert Waste Landfill.....	95
4.3.1.1 Analysis for Ampar Tenang Inert Waste Non-Sanitary	
Sanitary Landfill at Three Different Radiuses, Three	
Different Depths and ten Different Heavy Metals	98
4.3.2 Kampung Sungai Kembong Inert Waste Landfill	98
4.3.2.1 Analysis for Sungai kembong Inert Waste Non-	
Sanitary Sanitary Landfill at Three Different Radiuses,	
Three Different Depths and ten Different Heavy Metals... 101	
4.4 Closed Landfill (Sanitary)	101
4.4.1 Air Hitam Sanitary Landfill.....	101

4.4.1.1 Analysis for Air Hitam Sanitary Landfill at Three Different Radiuses, Three Different Depths and ten Different Heavy Metals.....	104
4.4.2 Kubang Badak Sanitary Landfill	104
4.4.2.1 Analysis for Kubang Badak Sanitary Landfill at Three Different Radiuses, Three Different Depths and ten Different Heavy Metals.....	107
4.5 Discussion.....	107
CHAPTER FIVE: ASSESSMENT OF <i>Dicranopteris linearis</i>, <i>Nephrolepis bifurcata</i>, <i>Stenochlaena palustris</i> AND <i>Acrostichum aureum</i> HEAVY METAL SEQUESTRATION RATE STABILITY AT HIGHLY WEATHERED SOIL AREA	109
5.1 Analysis on Fern Species.....	109
5.1.1 <i>Dicranopteris linearis</i>	109
5.1.1.1 Analysis of <i>Dicranopteris linearis</i> Distribution at Three Different Localities for Their Soil, Vegetative Part and Root and Ten Different Heavy Metals.....	111
5.1.2 <i>Nephrolepis bifurcata</i>	112
5.1.2.1 Analysis of <i>Nephrolepis bifurcata</i> Distribution at Three Different Localities for Their Soil, Vegetative Part and Root and Ten Different Heavy Metals.....	114
5.1.3 <i>Stenochlaena palustris</i>	115
5.1.3.1 Analysis of <i>Stenochlaena palustris</i> Distribution at Three Different Localities for Their Soil, Vegetative Part and Root and Ten Different Heavy Metals.....	117
5.1.4 <i>Acrostichum aureum</i>	118
5.1.4.1 Analysis of <i>Acrostichum aureum</i> Distribution at Three Different Localities for Their Soil, Vegetative Part and Root and Ten Different Heavy Metals.....	120
5.2 Evaluating of Bio-concentration Factor (BCFs) and Translocator (TFs) for Fern Species.....	121
5.3 Discussion	124
CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION	127
REFERENCES.....	129
APPENDIX 1: MICROWAVE DIGESTION METHOD FOR ROOT.....	145
APPENDIX 2: MICROWAVE DIGESTION METHOD FOR DRIED PLANT TISSUE (VEGETATIVE PART)	146
APPENDIX 3: MICROWAVE DIGESTION METHOD FOR SOIL.....	147
APPENDIX 4: ACTIVE LANDFILL (5 - 10 M)	148
APPENDIX 5: CLOSED LANDFILL (5 - 10 M)	148
APPENDIX 6: ACTIVE LANDFILL (10 - 15 M)	149
APPENDIX 7: CLOSED LANDFILL (10 - 15 M)	149
APPENDIX 8: ACTIVE LANDFILL (15 - 20 M)	150
APPENDIX 9: CLOSED LANDFILL (15 - 20 M)	150

APPENDIX 10:	DIFFERENT CONCENTRATION OF TEN HEAVY METALS BASED ON RADIUSSES AND LEVEL OF DEPTHS AT SUNGAI KERTAS NON-SANITARY LANDFILL	151
APPENDIX 11:	DIFFERENT CONCENTRATION OF TEN HEAVY METALS BASED ON RADIUSSES AND LEVEL OF DEPTHS AT KUANG NON-SANITARY LANDFILL	151
APPENDIX 12:	DIFFERENT CONCENTRATION OF TEN HEAVY METALS BASED ON RADIUSSES AND LEVEL OF DEPTHS AT DENGKIL NON-SANITARY LANDFILL.....	152
APPENDIX 13:	DIFFERENT CONCENTRATION OF TEN HEAVY METALS BASED ON RADIUSSES AND LEVEL OF DEPTHS AT TANJUNG DUA BELAS SANITARY LANDFILL	152
APPENDIX 14:	DIFFERENT CONCENTRATION OF TEN HEAVY METALS BASED ON RADIUSSES AND LEVEL OF DEPTHS AT JERAM SANITARY LANDFILL.....	153
APPENDIX 15:	DIFFERENT CONCENTRATION OF TEN HEAVY METALS BASED ON RADIUSSES AND LEVEL OF DEPTHS AT SUNGAI KEMBONG NON-SANITARY LANDFILL	153
APPENDIX 16:	DIFFERENT CONCENTRATION OF TEN HEAVY METALS BASED ON RADIUSSES AND LEVEL OF DEPTHS AT AMPAR TENANG SANITARY LANDFILL...	154
APPENDIX 17:	DIFFERENT CONCENTRATION OF TEN HEAVY METALS BASED ON RADIUSSES AND LEVEL OF DEPTHS AT AIR HITAM SANITARY LANDFILL.....	154
APPENDIX 18:	DIFFERENT CONCENTRATION OF TEN HEAVY METALS BASED ON RADIUSSES AND LEVEL OF DEPTHS AT KUBANG BADA SANITARY LANDFILL ..	155
APPENDIX 19:	LANDFILL TUKEY GROUPING	155
APPENDIX 20:	FERN SPECIES TUKEY GROUPING.....	158
APPENDIX 21:	ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) OF SUNGAI KERTAS INERT WASTE NON-SANITARY LANDFILL FOR THREE DIFFERENT RADIUSSES, THREE DIFFERENT DEPTHS AND TEN HEAVY METALS.....	159
APPENDIX 22:	ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) OF KUANG INERT WASTE NON-SANITARY LANDFILL FOR THREE DIFFERENT RADIUSSES, THREE DIFFERENT DEPTHS AND TEN HEAVY METALS	159
APPENDIX 23:	ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) OF DENGKIL INERT WASTE NON-SANITARY LANDFILL FOR THREE DIFFERENT RADIUSSES, THREE DIFFERENT DEPTHS AND TEN HEAVY METALS	160
APPENDIX 24:	ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) OF TANJUNG DUA BELAS SANITARY LANDFILL FOR THREE DIFFERENT RADIUSSES, THREE DIFFERENT DEPTHS AND TEN HEAVY METALS	160

APPENDIX 25:	ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) OF JERAM SANITARY LANDFILL FOR THREE DIFFERENT RADIUSSES, THREE DIFFERENT DEPTHS AND TEN HEAVY METALS	161
APPENDIX 26:	ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) OF AMPAR TENANG INERT WASTE NON-SANITARY LANDFILL FOR THREE DIFFERENT RADIUSSES, THREE DIFFERENT DEPTHS AND TEN HEAVY METALS.....	161
APPENDIX 27:	ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) OF SUNGAI KEMBONG INERT WASTE NON-SANITARY LANDFILL FOR THREE DIFFERENT RADIUSSES, THREE DIFFERENT DEPTHS AND TEN HEAVY METALS	162
APPENDIX 28:	ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) OF AIR HITAM SANITARY LANDFILL FOR THREE DIFFERENT RADIUSSES, THREE DIFFERENT DEPTHS AND TEN HEAVY METALS	162
APPENDIX 29:	ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) OF KUBANG BADAK SANITARY LANDFILL FOR THREE DIFFERENT RADIUSSES, THREE DIFFERENT DEPTHS AND TEN HEAVY METALS	163
APPENDIX 30:	ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) OF <i>D. LINEARIS</i> DISTRIBUTION AT THREE DIFFERENT LOCALITIES FOR SOIL, VEGETATIVE PART AND ROOT AND TEN HEAVY METALS UPTAKES.....	163
APPENDIX 31:	ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) OF <i>N. BIFURCATA</i> DISTRIBUTION AT THREE DIFFERENT LOCALITIES FOR SOIL, VEGETATIVE PART AND ROOT AND TEN HEAVY METALS UPTAKES.....	164
APPENDIX 32:	ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) OF <i>S. PALUSTRIS</i> DISTRIBUTION AT THREE DIFFERENT LOCALITIES FOR SOIL, VEGETATIVE PART AND ROOT AND TEN HEAVY METALS UPTAKES.....	164
APPENDIX 33:	ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) OF <i>A. AUREUM</i> DISTRIBUTION AT THREE DIFFERENT LOCALITIES FOR SOIL, VEGETATIVE PART AND ROOT AND TEN HEAVY METALS UPTAKES.....	167

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
2.1	Summary of the operating landfill in Selangor	10
2.2	Levels of sanitary landfill	10
2.3	Typical composition of MSW landfill gas	16
2.4	Comparative analysis of different method used for metal remediation	24
2.5	General chemical composition of lechate	29
2.6	Classification of landfill leachate according to composition changes	31
2.7	Chemical composition of leachate at Kundang, Sungai Sedu and Panchang Bendena landfill	34
2.8	ANZECC guideline levels as compared with average levels of pollutants in leachate	34
2.9	Standard of heavy metal limit in soil (mg/l) in Malaysia	35
3.1	Selected landfill sites with area covered, waste collected per day, total year operation and current status	51
3.2	Calculation for calibration and Qc solution preparation	76

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
2.1	Technologies applicable to the treatment of lechate	32
2.2	Schematic representation of the soil plant bioreactor for the plant-based treatment of landfill leachate	37
2.3	Conceptual response strategies of metal concentrations in plant tops in relation to increasing total metal concentration in soil	45
3.1	Kampung Sungai Kertas IWL panoramic view	52
3.2	Site context of Kampung Sungai Kertas IWL surrounded by palm oil plantation	52
3.3	Topography map of Kampung Sungai Kertas IWL	52
3.4	Kuang IWL Panoramic View	53
3.5	Site context of Kuang IWL surrounded by palm oil plantation	53
3.6	Topography map of Kuang IWL	54
3.7	Dengkil IWL panoramic view	54
3.8	Site context of Dengkil IWL surrounded by natural lake and palm oil plantation	55
3.9	Topography map of Dengkil IWL	55
3.10	View at Tanjung Dua Belas SL site area	56
3.11	Topography map and site context of Tanjung Dua Belas SL	56
3.12	View at Jeram SL site area	57
3.13	Topography map and site context of Jeram SL	57
3.14	View at Air Hitam SL site area	58
3.15	Topography map and site context of Air Hitam SL	58
3.16	View at Kubang Badak SL site entrance	59
3.17	Site context of Kubang Badak SL next to Selangor river	59
3.18	Topography map of Kubang Badak SL	60

3.19	View at the Ampar Tenang IWL site area	61
3.20	View at the Ampar Tenang IWL site area	61
3.21	Panoramic view at the Sungai Kembong IWL	62
3.22	Topography map and site context of Sungai Kembong IWL	62
3.23	Soils sampling were collected at different radiuses and depths	63
3.24	Samples were collected using hand auger	63
3.25	Samples were kept in polyethylene bag for further analysis	64
3.26	Site work was monitored by site officer	64
3.27	Plant sampling at abundance site	68
3.28	Plant samples collected at site and were kept in polyethylene bag before it being labelled for further laboratory analysis	68
3.29	Samples were rinse using tap water and clean using deionized water	69
3.30	Samples were air dried after being washed and before being dried in oven for 4 days	69
3.31	Soil samples were taken at the same location of plant samples	69
3.32	Samples were blended using heavy duty blender	70
3.33	From left – soil samples, vegetative part samples and root samples after being grinded and ready to be sieved	70
3.34	Soil samples ready to be weighed	71
3.35	Soil samples were weighed using analytical balance	71
3.36	Samples were placed into TFM teflon tubes container and being added with nitric acid 65% as well as Hydrogen Peroxide acid 30% as referred to method	72
3.37	Samples placed into TFM teflon tubes container	72
3.38	TFM teflon tubes container placed into vessel	72
3.39	Vessel was tighten using torque wrench	73
3.40	Samples mixture showed brownish flames	73
3.41	Samples turn into brownish colour	73
3.42	Samples after heated in microwave digester	74

3.43	Samples were placed into sterile centrifuge tube after finish digester	74
3.44	Samples solution were diluted with 18.2 mΩ deionized water (DW) until 50ml	74
3.45	Samples solution were filtered at about 5ml using Whatman filter paper no. 42	75
3.46	The end solutions were finally added with 18.2 mΩ deionized water (DW) until become 50 ml respectively	75
3.47	Heavy metals were analysed using ICP-MS (Perkin Elmer NexION 300X)	77
3.48	All diluted samples were triplicated before run through standard analysis operation procedure	77
3.49	Analysis process was started by initialising the instrument according to the manufacturer's operating instruction by Perkin Elmer NexION 300X	78
4.1	Ten heavy metals concentration depicted in Sungai Kertas inert waste non-sanitary landfill at different soil depths (0-30 cm (level I), 30-60 cm (level II) and 60-90 cm (level III)) for: A - 5-10 m, B- 10-15 m and C - 15-20 m radiuses of the active landfill	81
4.2	Ten heavy metals concentration depicted in Kuang inert waste non-sanitary landfill at different soil depths (0-30 cm (level I), 30-60 cm (level II) and 60-90 cm (level III)) for: A - 5-10 m, B - 10-15 m and C - 15-20 m radiuses of the active landfill	84
4.3	Ten heavy metals concentration depicted in Dengkil inert waste non-sanitary landfill at different soil depths (0-30 cm (level I), 30-60 cm (level II) and 60-90 cm (level III)) for: A - 5-10 m, B - 10-15 m and C - 15-20 m radiuses of the active landfill.	87
4.4	Ten heavy metals concentration depicted in Tanjung Dua Belas sanitary landfill at different soil depths (0-30 cm (level I), 30-60 cm (level II) and 60-90 cm (level III)) for: A - 5-10 m, B - 10-15 m and C - 15-20 m radiuses of the active landfill	90
4.5	Ten heavy metals concentration depicted in Jeram sanitary landfill at different soil depths (0-30 cm (level I), 30-60 cm (level II) and 60-90 cm (level III)) for: A - 5-10 m, B - 10-15 m and C - 15-20 m radiuses of the active landfill	93
4.6	Ten heavy metals concentration depicted in Ampar Tenang inert Waste Non-Sanitary landfill at different soil depths (0-30 cm (level I), 30-60 cm (level II) and 60-90 cm (level III)) for: A - 5-10 m, B - 10-15 m and C - 15-20 m radiuses of the active landfill	96

4.7	Ten heavy metals concentration depicted in Sungai Kembong inert Waste Non-Sanitary landfill at different soil depths (0-30 cm (level I), 30-60 cm (level II) and 60-90 cm (level III)) for: A - 5-10 m, B - 10-15 m and C - 15-20 m radiuses of the active landfill	99
4.8	Ten heavy metals concentration depicted in Air Hitam sanitary landfill at different soil depths (0-30 cm (level I), 30-60 cm (level II) and 60-90 cm (level III)) for: A - 5-10 m, B - 10-15 m and C - 15-20 m radiuses of the closed landfill	102
4.9	Ten heavy metals concentration depicted in Kubang Badak sanitary landfill at different soil depths (0-30 cm (level I), 30-60 cm (level II) and 60-90 cm (level III)) for: A - 5-10 m, B - 10-15 m and C - 15-20 m radiuses of the closed landfill	105
5.1	Heavy metal concentration in laterite soil and sequestration rate of <i>D. linearis</i> : A - soil, B - vegetative part and C - root depict at three different localites: northern (Perak), central (Selangor) and southern (Johor) regions of West Malaysia	110
5.2	Heavy metal concentration in laterite soil and sequestration rate of <i>N. bifurcata</i> : A - soil, B - vegetative part and C - root depicted at three different localities; northern (Perak), central (Selangor) and southern (Johor) regions of West Malaysia	113
5.3	Heavy metal concentration in laterite soil and sequestration rate of <i>S. palustris</i> : A - soil, B - vegetative part and C - root depicted at three different localities; northern (Perak), central (Selangor) and southern (Johor) regions of West Malaysia	116
5.4	Heavy metal concentration in laterite soil and sequestration rate of <i>A. aureum</i> : A - soil, B - vegetative part and C - root depicted at three different localities; northern (Perak), central (Selangor) and southern (Johor) regions of West Malaysia	119
5.5	Bio-concentration factor of fern species; <i>D. linearis</i> , <i>N. bifurcata</i> , <i>S. palustris</i> and <i>A. aureum</i>	122
5.6	Translocator factor of fern species; <i>D. linearis</i> , <i>N. bifurcata</i> , <i>S. palustris</i> and <i>A. aureum</i>	123

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Al	aluminium
As	arsenic
ANZECC	Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council
B	Boron
BBT	butyl benzylphalate
BCF	Bioconcentration factor
BOD	Biochemical oxygen demand
cm	centimeter
Cr	chromium
Cd	cadmium
Co	cobalt
Cu	cuprum
CO ₂	carbon dioxide
COD	Chemical oxygen demand
EDTA	ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid
Fe	iron
ICP-MS	Inductive coupled plasma mass spectrometer
IWL	Inert waste landfill
km ²	square kilometer
kJ	kilo joule
kg	kilogram
l	liter
m	meter
MSW	Municipal solid waste
Mn	manganese
MUHLG	Ministry of Urban Wellbeing Housing and Local Government
m ³	cubic meter
mg	milligram
m ³ /kg	cubic meter per kilogram
Ni	nickle
NTA	nitrilotriacetic acid
Pb	lead
PCBs	Polychlorobiphenyls
SL	Sanitary landfill
TF	Translocator factor
TOC	Total organic carbon
U	uranium
UNISEL	University of Selangor
WTE	waste-to-energy
WHB	Worldwide Holding Berhad
Zn	zinc
%	percent
°C	Degree celsius

h
ug/l

hour
micrograms per liter

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1. BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

Municipal solid waste (MSW) is a category of diverse waste which generated from different sources (that is, residential, commercial, municipal services, agriculture), each of which is itself heterogeneous (Sharifah & Latifah, 2013). Waste generation become continues to increase in line with the economy and population growth. This suggests that the greatest challenge is to provide more waste disposal facilities such as landfill to treat the waste (Hassan *et al.*, 2001).

Malaysia is a South East Asia country where landfill is important and where the standard of waste management needs to be improved. It comprises thirteen states and three federal territories, with a total surface area of 329,700 km². Known as one of the rapidly developing economies in Asia, MSW generation is projected to increase from 292 kg/capita in 2000 to 511 kg/capita in 2025 (Aja & Al-Kayiem (2014). Currently, landfilling is the main waste disposal method (80% usage) and it is still expected to report for 65% of waste in 2020 (Waste Management Policy of Malaysia 10th Plan, 2010 to 2020). In other hand, recycling and intermediate processing are projected to take 20 and 15% of the waste in 2020. However, most landfills in the country are in a bad condition (Latifah *et al.*, 2009). It operated without proper protective measures, such as lining systems, leachate treatment and gas venting. Despite the complexity of waste produced, the standards of landfills in

most developing countries are still poor; these include inadequate waste treatment facilities, inefficient collection and storage systems, co-disposal of municipal waste with hazardous waste, inefficient utilisation of disposal space, lack of environmental abatement measures and poor documentation (Hassan *et al.*, 2000).

Planners face great difficulties in establishing the most suitable locations for landfilling due to rapid urbanisation process, the waste municipal face shortages of space and the increasing quantities of solid waste (Sharifah & Latifah, 2013). Hence, landfilling is the main waste disposal method in future. Thus, this study explores the suitable green technology to remediate the landfill area in Malaysia in term of their soil capability to absorb organic, inorganic and toxin caused by these domestic waste.

1.2. ISLAMIC PERSPECTIVE (SHARIAH COMPLIANCE) ON SUSTAINABILITY TOWARDS ENVIRONMENT

According to Islam, there is no such matter that can cause harm and discomfort to the user and environment. The principle of '*La darar wa la dirar*' has been enhanced to remove all kind of harm (Mohammad Akram, 2006). Any actions (*Iilah*) with intention to improve, enhance and enrich the environment (*Tahsin al- ardh*) is considered as a part of environmental sustainability objectives as it ensure the ecological balance (*Mizan*) of earth. The whole universe has been created with wisdom (*Hikmah*) and none was created in vain by Allah. As a trustee (*Khalifah*) man cannot waste the resources in any case. It is the responsibility of a trustee to conserve the nature and protect the environment from any kind of harm or degradation. In fact, Allah has placed a measured quantity on the environmental

resources which matched with the demand of the resources on the earth. Therefore, human has to use them in a right ways. As stated in the Holy Quran, man are called to preserve this equilibrium, to be moderate in everything and to avoid any actions that would cause harm to nature, *“And the Firmament has He raised high, and He has set up the Balance [of Justice], in order that ye may not transgress [due] balance. So establish weight with justice and fall not short in the balance”* (Al-Rahman: 7-9).

1.3. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

According to Aja & Al-Kayiem (2014), Malaysia waste management had difficulties in collection coverage of garbage. The low coverage is due to limited accessibility of vehicles in some areas, irregular collection services, inadequate equipment used for waste collection, crude open dumping and burning without air and water pollution control, inadequate legal provisions and resources constraints. These problems are caused by various factors which have an impact on the development of effective waste management systems in Malaysia. As most of the developing countries, Malaysia is facing an increase of the generation of waste and of accompanying problems with the disposal of this waste. Generating about 0.5 up to 1.9 kg per capita per day of Municipal Solid Waste (MSW), it is estimated that Malaysia is generating a total of about 25,000 tonnes of MSW per day and might be exceeded up to 30,000 tonnes per day by 2020. Characterised by 45% food waste, 24% plastic, 7% paper materials, 6% metal, 4% wood and 3% glass, it is approximately about 80-90% waste collections sent to landfill and 5% is recycled. Meanwhile, composting and energy recovery seems rarely practiced. Therefore, the

application of using metal-accumulating plants for environmental clean-up has been vigorously pursued to encounter the toxicity before it spread over to the environment (Vassilev *et al.*, 2004). Using the bioaccumulation capacities of specialized group of plants may provide an effective way of removing heavy metals from contaminated soils (Khalid *et al.*, 2017). As a consequence, a great deal of contamination, especially to surface water, soil and ground water, occurs, threatening the health of exposed populations and ecosystems (Zhang *et al.*, 2010). Therefore, a cost effective and efficient treatment method are needed to cope with this future environmental problem.

1.4. RESEARCH AIM

This research aimed to study pattern distribution of landfill contaminant as well as to explore the capabilities of fern species as phytoremediation agent.

1.5. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

The objectives has been construct to achieve the aim. The objectives are stated as below:

1. To study spatial distribution and potential of landfill contaminant at active and closed landfill at different depths (0 to 30cm, 30 to 60 cm and 60 to 90 cm) and radiuses (5 to 10 m, 10 to 15 m, and 15 to 20 m);
2. To assess type of fern species ability and stability as phytoremediation agent.