\_



الجامعة السلمية العالمية عالين با INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA ويُنْبَرُسِنْتِي الشِّلِاعُ انْبَارَا نِجْسًا مِلْسُنْتِا

( )

# ملخص البحث

÷

#### **ABSTRACT**

This study examines the attitude of the modern intellectual school towards the different transmitted methods of exegesis from both theoretical and applied perspectives, and attempts to analyze the factors that influenced this attitude. It also aims at summing up the features and theoretical fundamentals on which this attitude is based. The study adopted the inductive and analytical methods to trace the views of the school's promoters, examine a set of applied cases, and highlight the influencing factors behind the attitude of the school concerned. It also employed the historical approach to understand the circumstances and challenges that led to this school from towards the transmitted exegesis. The critical and comparative approaches were also employed, the former to diagnose the drawbacks of this position, and the latter to balance and compare the various views of its drawbacks. The study arrived at a number of findings that may be briefly summarized below. There are a number of factors that triggered the cautious and alter attitude of this school towards transmitted exegesis, however, without totally and out rightly rejecting, or belittling it. This is particularly reflected in its often disregard, reservation, re-interpretation or shelving of a sizable part of the transmitted exegesis for reasons that are mainly related to its critique of the texts of the transmitted exegesis and not its fundamentals. The most important of these reasons are that the transmitted exegesis is presumably not explicitly doctrinal, similar to deviant Israelite narration, contradicting with the Bible, founded on a form of superstition, based on accusation against the messengers, established on prophecies that may lead to reliance, or incompatible, from the scholars' point of view, with the norms and interest of the time.

### APROVAL PAGE

The thesis of Genan S.H Hamad has been examined and approved by the following:

Mohammed Baha'uddin (Supervisor)
Radwan Jamal (Internal Examiner)
Sohirin Muhammad (Internal Examiner)
Abu Bakr Rafique (External Examiner)

### **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of my own investigations,
except where otherwise stated. Other sources are acknowledged by
footnotes giving explicit references and a bibliography is appended.

Name: Genan S.H Hamad	
Signature:	Date:

إلى والدتي الحبيبة ..... رحمها الله الدي الحبيب ..... حفظه الله والدي الحبيب ..... حفظه الله وإلى أسرتي الغالية: زوجي، وابنتي ... حفظهما الله وإلى جميع إخواني وأخواتي، في النسب وفي الإسلام أهدي هذا الجهد المتواضع

شكر وتقدير

/

	(
	(
2	لمقدمة
3	
4	
6	
7	
8	
15	
17	لقصل الأول:
	عريفات
18	······ :
18	
19	
20	
2.1	

21		
22		
24		••
25		:
25		:
27		:
29	(	:
32		:
33		:
35		:
36		:
39		:
41		:
42		
46		
50	***************************************	
54		
56		
58	مدرسنة العقلية بالتفسير ونقدها لكتبه	لفصل الثاني: اهتمام الد
60		:
64		:
64		:
66		:

ل والدوافع المؤثرة في موقف المدرسة	
	لية من المأثور
	:
	:
	•
النظري من أقسام التفسير	بل الرابع: موقف الم أثورأ
درسة النظري من أقسام التفسير	

136			:	
139			:	
141			:	
		:		
146		(		)
146			:	
151			:	
153			:	
	:			
161				
168	س: موقف المدرسة التطبيقي من التفسير	خام	فصل الـ • أث	1
			ىمانور.	Ļ
170		:		
170			:	
171		•		
176				
177				
177				
178				
182		•		
185			:	
195			:	
200				
200			:	

214				.1
216				.2
218				.3
219				.4
220				:
221			:	
229			:	
•••	:			:
229				
230		•		
234		•		
242		•		
246		•		
251				
252				
254			:	:
256	:			:
259	:			:
263				

263			:
263			:
269	:		:
270		ات	الخاتمة والتّوصيا
280		ع	المصادر والمراج

أولا : أهداف الدراسة

ثانياً : أهمية الدراسة وإشكاليتها ثالثاً : أسباب اختيار الموضوع

رابعاً : منهجية الدراسة خامساً : الدراسات السابقة

. رياب اساد ساد : خطة الدراسة سا

# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

. . .

•

# أوّلاً- أهداف الدراسة:

.1

.2

.3

.4

ثانياً- أهمية الدراسة وإشكاليتها:



.

•

•

•

.

•

- -

:

.1 .2

.3

.4

ثالثًا- أسباب اختيار الموضوع:

•

•

·

: -

.1

.3

.4

: -

.3

.4 .5

.6

.7

. رابعًا- منهجية الدراسة:

:

•

•

· خامساً- الدر اسات السابقة:

.

. 1 u

.

.( 1416 : ) :

ıı 2ıı

.

.

:

": ":

·"

•

; ) : <sup>2</sup>

.( 1986

•

•

.

. ":