



IMPLIED ADJUSTED VOLATILITY FUNCTIONS:
EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE USING AUSTRALIAN INDEX
OPTIONS

BY

HANANI FARHAH BINTI HARUN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the
degree of Master of Science (Computational and Theoretical
Sciences)

Kulliyyah of Science
International Islamic University Malaysia

APRIL 2016

ABSTRACT

Volatility implied by an option pricing model is seen as the market participants' assessment of volatility. With the implied volatility as a significant aspect particularly in option valuation, this study examines the implied volatility smiles and term structures in the Australian Standard and Poor/Australian Stock Exchange (S&P/ASX) 200 index options over the course of 2001-2010, including the global financial crisis in the mid-2007 until the end of 2008. This study utilised the models of Leland (1985) and Leland (2007). The results show that the implied volatility rises significantly during the crisis period, which is more than the rate found before the crisis. Given the fact that the pricing biases of Leland option pricing models and the implied volatility structure of the option are related, secondly, this research adapts and modifies the models in Peña, Rubio and Serna (1999) and Engström (2002), in order to analyse whether the use of the implied adjusted volatility functions delivers an improvement in the option valuation accuracy of the index options. Both in- and out-of-sample resulted in approximately similar pricing error along the different Leland's models. This study employs 2-step process. Results indicate that different implied adjusted volatility functions best explain the index options in different period of intervals (pre-, during and post-crisis). This shows that it is tremendously important to identify the intervals beforehand in investigating the implied adjusted volatility function.

خلاصة البحث

إن نموذج التسعيرة عن طريق الإختيار الحر ينظر إليه على أنه تقييم للمشاركين في عمليات السوق وتقلب الأسعار. هذه دراسة تبحث بسمة وهيكل لتقلب ضمني في 200 خيارات فهرس من Australian Standard and Poor/Australian Stock Exchange (S&P/ASX) من 2001 إلى 2008 بوصف التقلب الضمني كجانب مهم في تحديد القيمة والخيار. هذه دراسة تتضمن الأزمة المالية العالمية من أواسط عام 2007 إلى نهاية عام 2008. هذه دراسة استخدمت نموذجين من Leland (1985) و Leland (2007). أظهرت النتائج أن التقلب الضمني يرتفع بشكل ملحوظ خلال فترة الأزمة الي أكثر من قبل الأزمة. هذه دراسة تتكيف وتتغير وفق نموذجين من Peña و Rubio و Serna (1999) و Engström (2002) نظراً لحقيقة أن التحيزات تسعير في نموذجين لتسعير الخيار ل-Leland تتعلق بهيكل الخيار للتقلب الضمني، ذلك من أجل تحليل ما إذا كان وظائف التقلب المعدل الضمني يحسن الضبط تقييم للخيار الفهرس. كان داخل وخارج عينتين نتجا من خطأ تسعير مماثلة تقريباً على نماذج مختلفة. هذه دراسة استخدمت عملية الخطوتان. أشارت النتائج إلى أن وظائف التقلب المعدل الضمني مختلفة، وتوضح أن خيار الفهرس في فترة الفاصلة مختلفة، أي مرحلة ما قبل الأزمة وخلالها ومرحلة ما بعدها. وهذا يدل على أنه من المهم جداً التعرف على فترات قبل التحقيق على الوظيفة التقلب المعدل الضمني.

APPROVAL PAGE

I certify that I have supervised and read this study and that in my opinion, it conforms to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation and is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science in Computational and Theoretical Sciences.

.....
Mimi Hafizah Abdullah
Supervisor

.....
Pah Chin Hee
Co-Supervisor

I certify that I have read this study and that in my opinion it conforms to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation and is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science in Computational and Theoretical Sciences.

.....
Mohd. Aminul Islam
Internal Examiner

.....
Zaidi Isa
External Examiner

This thesis was submitted to the Department of Computational and Theoretical Sciences (CTS) and is accepted as a fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science in Computational and Theoretical Sciences.

.....
Pah Chin Hee
Head, Department of
Computational and Theoretical
Sciences

This thesis was submitted to the Kulliyah of Science accepted as a fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science in Computational and Theoretical Sciences.

.....
Kamaruzzaman Yunus
Dean, Kulliyah of Science

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this dissertation is the result of my own investigations, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

Hanani Farhah binti Harun

Signature

Date

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

**DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF
FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH**

**IMPLIED ADJUSTED VOLATILITY FUNCTIONS:
EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE USING AUSTRALIAN INDEX
OPTIONS**

I declare that the copyright holder of this thesis is International Islamic University
Malaysia.

Copyright © 2016 International Islamic University Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval
system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical,
photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the
copyright holder except as provided below

1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research
may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies
(print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieved
system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by
other universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledged that I have read and understand the IIUM
Intellectual Property Right and Commercialization policy.

Affirmed by Hanani Farhah binti Harun

.....
Signature

.....
Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Bismillahirrahmanirrahim.

Alhamdulillah thumma Alhamdulillah. All gratitude to Allah S.W.T. whom with His willing giving me the opportunity to complete this Master Degree research entitled Implied Adjusted Volatility Functions: Empirical Evidence Using Australian Index Options.

Above all, my profound gratitude and deep regards go to my supervisor, Dr. Mimi Hafizah Abdullah, for her exemplary guidance, constant encouragement, invaluable advice and enormous patience throughout the research. I also would like to take this opportunity to express a deep sense of gratitude to my co-supervisor, Dr Pah Chin Hee, for his unconditional support, constructive ideas and inspiration. A special thank also goes to the lecturers and staff of Kulliyah of Science, IIUM for their valuable information, suggestions and guidance upon the completion of this thesis.

In addition, I would also like to express my greatest gratitude to my loving parents, Haji Harun Yusoff and Hajjah Juhariah Hussin, Nik Ahmad Saffwan, family and friends who have helped and given me encouragement. Last but not least, my sincere thanks to all those who have supported me, without which the completion of this thesis would not be possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
Abstract in Arabic	iii
Approval page	iv
Declaration	v
Copyright Page.....	vi
Acknowledgements	vii
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xv
List of Abbreviations	xvi
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study and Motivations	1
1.2 Research Objectives and Contributions.....	6
1.3 Thesis Organisation	7
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 The BSM Option Pricing Model and Its Pricing Anomalies	9
2.2 Studies on The Implied Volatility Surface	16
2.2.1 Evidence across Different Underlying Assets	17
2.2.1.1 Foreign Currency Options	17
2.2.1.2 Individual Stock and Stock Indices Options.....	18
2.2.1.3 Futures Options.....	20
2.2.2 Evidence across Different Markets and Underlying Assets	21
2.2.3 Summary of Implied Volatility Patterns/Surfaces	23
2.3 Studies on the Implied Adjusted Volatility Functions.....	25
2.3.1 Leland (1985)	27
2.3.2 Leland (2007)	31
2.3.3 The Leland’s Models versus the BSM Model	33
2.3.4 Alternative Option Pricing Models.....	34
2.3.5 Modeling Implied Adjusted Volatility Functions.....	37
CHAPTER THREE: CONTRACT SPECIFICATIONS & DATA	42
3.1 ASX and Other Derivatives: An Overview	42
3.1.1 Australian Stock Exchange (ASX).....	42
3.1.2 S&P/ASX 200 Index	44
3.1.3 S&P/ASX 200 Index Options.....	45
3.2 Data	46
3.2.1 Data Description	47
3.2.2 Data Sampling Procedure	49
3.2.3 Sample Statistics.....	51
3.2.4 Variables in Leland’s Model	55
3.2.4.1 Time to Maturity.....	55
3.2.4.2 Realised Volatility	56
3.2.4.3 Dividends.....	58
3.2.4.4 Risk-free Interest Rate	61

3.2.4.5 Round-Trip Transaction Cost Rate, k , for Stock Trading.....	61
3.2.4.6 Rebalancing Interval, $\Delta\tau$	64
3.2.5 Moneyness	64
CHAPTER FOUR: METHODOLOGY	67
4.1 Validity Checking and Data Break Test	67
4.2 Methodology on Implied Adjusted Volatility Smile Pattern.....	70
4.3 Methodology on Implied Adjusted Volatility Function	73
4.3.1 Implied Adjusted Volatility Function Models Identification	74
4.3.2 Estimation of Implied Adjusted Volatility Functions.....	79
4.3.3 Diagnostic Model Checking	81
4.4 BSM versus Leland Option Pricing Models.....	82
CHAPTER FIVE: RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS.....	83
5.1 Validity & Crisis Checking	83
5.1.1 Results of Unit Root Test	83
5.1.2 Data Break Test	84
5.2 Results on Implied Adjusted Volatility Smile Pattern.....	87
5.2.1 2001-2010 Data Set	87
5.2.2 Crisis-considered Data Set.....	98
5.3 Results on Implied Adjusted Volatility Functions	122
5.3.1 Implied Adjusted Volatility Function Models Estimation	122
5.3.1.1 2001-2010 Data Set	123
5.3.1.2 Crisis Data Set	123
5.3.2 Implied Adjusted Volatility Function Models Evaluation in the Different Moneyness and Time to Maturity Groupings	125
5.3.2.1 2001-2010 Data Set	126
5.3.2.2 Crisis-considered Data Set.....	128
5.3.2.2.1 In-sample pricing performance	128
5.3.2.2.2 Out-of-sample pricing performance	134
5.3.3 Comparison between 2001-2010 Data Set and Crisis- considered Data Set	137
5.4 Pricing Performance on Reduced-form of Implied Adjusted Volatility Function Models.....	139
5.4.1 2001-2010 Data Set	139
5.4.2 Crisis-considered Data Set.....	141
5.5 BSM's versus Leland's Option Pricing Models over 2001- 2010 Data Set	146
5.5.1 Implied Volatility Smile Pattern.....	148
5.5.2 Implied Volatility Functions' Pricing Performance	153
CHAPTER SIX: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....	156
6.1 Research Outcomes	157
6.2 Research Limitations	161
6.3 Research Contributions and Future Research.....	162
REFERENCES.....	164

APPENDIX I:	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	173
APPENDIX II:	ESTIMATION RESULTS	174
APPENDIX III:	EVALUATION RESULTS	195
APPENDIX IV:	BSM MODEL.....	209
APPENDIX V:	VBA PROGRAMMING	222

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.2.1	Contract specifications of S&P/ASX 200 index options offered in Australian Stock Exchange market.	47
Table 3.2.2	Sample properties of S&P/ASX 200 index call options	53
Table 3.2.3	Sample properties of S&P/ASX 200 index put options	54
Table 3.2.4	Transaction costs rates implied from both call and put options across different moneyness and maturity categories over pre-, during and post-crisis periods.	63
Table 3.2.5	Moneyness Categories	66
Table 4.3.1	Estimation and evaluation intervals.	78
Table 5.1.1	Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) test results on the S&P/ASX 200 index first-differenced call and put options.	84
Table 5.1.2	Chow Breakpoint test results	86
Table 5.2.1	Average implied adjusted volatilities for call options derived from the different Leland's option pricing models across both moneyness and option's time to maturity over the whole sample period 2001-2010	88
Table 5.2.2	Average implied adjusted volatilities derived for put options from the different Leland's option pricing models across both moneyness and option's time to maturity over the whole sample period 2001-2010	89
Table 5.2.3	T-test results in term of p -values on the three Leland's models	91
Table 5.2.4	Summary of ANOVA single-factor test with post-hoc analysis of average implied adjusted volatility across option's moneyness categories over the whole sample period 2001-2010	93
Table 5.2.5	Summary of ANOVA single-factor test with post-hoc analysis of average implied adjusted volatility across option's time to maturity categories over the whole sample period 2001-2010	94

Table 5.2.6	Options implied adjusted volatilities derived from the different Leland's option pricing models with total average across both moneyness and option's time to maturity over the whole sample period 2001-2010	96
Table 5.2.7	Average of call and put options implied adjusted volatilities derived from the different Leland's option pricing models across option's time to maturity over different periods: pre, during and post-crisis	100
Table 5.2.8	Average of call and put options implied adjusted volatilities derived from the different Leland's option pricing models across option's moneyness over different periods: pre, during and post-crisis	101
Table 5.2.9	Summary of ANOVA single-factor test with post-hoc analysis of average call implied adjusted volatility derived from the Leland's models across option's moneyness categories over different periods: pre, during and post-crisis	103
Table 5.2.10	Summary of ANOVA single-factor test with post-hoc analysis of average put implied adjusted volatility derived from the Leland's models across option's moneyness categories over different periods: pre, during and post-crisis	104
Table 5.2.11	Call options implied adjusted volatilities derived from the Leland option pricing models with total average across both moneyness and option's time to maturity over different periods: pre, during and post-crisis	106
Table 5.2.12	Put options implied adjusted volatilities derived from the Leland option pricing models with total average across both moneyness and option's time to maturity over different periods: pre, during and post-crisis	107
Table 5.2.13	The average call implied adjusted volatility retrieved from the different Leland's models over pre-, during- and post-crisis periods.	112
Table 5.2.14	Data chosen for call implied adjusted volatility plot against the option's moneyness	118
Table 5.3.1	Implied adjusted volatility models accounting the largest adjusted r-square value for each period.	125
Table 5.3.2	Pricing error of S&P/ASX 200 index call and put options implied adjusted volatility from the Leland's option pricing models over 2001-2010 using general regressed models across different moneyness and maturity groupings.	126

Table 5.3.3	Pricing error of S&P/ASX 200 index call and put options implied adjusted volatility from the Leland's option pricing models over the different crisis period: pre-, during, and post-crisis using general regressed models across different moneyness and maturity groupings.	130
Table 5.3.4	Summary of implied adjusted volatility function models being the most-outperformed model based on RMSE values	137
Table 5.4.1	Pricing error of S&P/ASX 200 index call and put options implied adjusted volatility from the Leland's option pricing models over the course of 2001-2010 using both general and reduced regressed models across different moneyness and maturity groupings.	140
Table 5.4.2	Pricing error of S&P/ASX 200 index call option implied adjusted volatility from the Leland's option pricing models over the different intervals: pre-, during, and post-crisis using OLS regressed models.	142
Table 5.4.3	Pricing error of S&P/ASX 200 index put option implied adjusted volatility from the Leland's option pricing models over the different intervals: pre-, during, and post-crisis using OLS regressed models.	143
Table 5.4.4	Pricing error of S&P/ASX 200 index call and put option implied adjusted volatility from the Leland's option pricing models over the different intervals: pre-, during, and post-crisis using both general and reduced regressed models across different moneyness and maturity groupings.	144
Table 5.4.5	Summary performance of implied adjusted volatility functions	146
Table 5.5.1	Average of call and put options implied volatilities derived from the BSM's option pricing models across both moneyness and option's time to maturity over the whole sample period 2001-2010	149
Table 5.5.2	Summary of pairwise test statistics of average implied volatility across option's moneyness categories over the whole sample period 2001-2010	150
Table 5.5.3	Summary of pairwise test statistics of average implied volatility across option's time to maturity categories over the whole sample period 2001-2010	150

Table 5.5.4	Call and put options implied volatilities derived from the BSM's option pricing models with total average across both moneyness and option's time to maturity over the whole sample period 2001-2010.	151
Table 5.5.5	Comparison between the implied volatility functions model derived from the BSM model and the Leland options pricing models accounting the least pricing error over 2001-2010.	154
Table 5.5.6	Pricing error of S&P/ASX 200 index call and put option implied volatility from the BSM option pricing model over 2001-2010 using both general and reduced regressed models across different moneyness and maturity groupings.	155

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4.1.1	Brief flow chart on assessing the implied adjusted volatility of S&P/ASX 200 index options.	68
Figure 5.1.1	Graphs of call and put options Implied adjusted volatilities derived from the Leland's model and the options volatility compounded annually over the whole sample period 2001-2010.	85
Figure 5.2.1	Graph of implied adjusted volatility for call and put options derived from Leland (1985) model, Leland (2007) cash model and Leland (2007) stock model over the whole sample period 2001-2010	90
Figure 5.2.2	Implied adjusted volatility surfaces on S&P/ASX 200 index call and put options of 2001-2010 derived from the different Leland's models	97
Figure 5.2.3	Implied adjusted volatility surfaces on S&P/ASX 200 index call options derived from the Leland option pricing models over different periods: pre, during and post-crisis	108
Figure 5.2.4	Implied adjusted volatility surfaces on S&P/ASX 200 index put options derived from the Leland option pricing models over different periods: pre, during and post-crisis.	109
Figure 5.2.5	Implied adjusted volatility surfaces on S&P/ASX 200 index call options derived from the Leland (1985) option pricing model over different periods: pre, during and post-crisis	114
Figure 5.2.6	Implied adjusted volatility surfaces on S&P/ASX 200 index put options derived from the Leland (2007) cash option pricing model over different periods: pre, during and post-crisis	115
Figure 5.2.7	Implied adjusted volatility for call options as a function of moneyness at particular date	121
Figure 5.5.1	Comparison between adjusted volatility implied using Leland models and volatility implied using BSM model over 2001-2010	147
Figure 5.5.2	Implied volatility surfaces on S&P/ASX 200 index options of 2001-2010 derived from the BSM's models	152

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BSM	Black-Scholes-Merton
DVF	Deterministic Volatility Function
ASX	Australian Stock Exchange
ITM	in-the-money
OTM	out-of-the-money
ATM	at-the-money
DITM	deep-in-the-money
DOTM	deep-out-of-the-money
PHLX	Philadelphia Stock Exchange
CBOE	Chicago Board Options Exchange
CRSP	Berkeley Options Data Base
LIFFE	London International Financial Futures and Options Index
GARCH	Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroscedasticity
SV	stochastic volatility
StSE	Stockholm Stock Exchange
S&P	Standard & Poor
XJO	S&P/ASX 200 Index
XFL	S&P/ASX 50 Index
XPJ	S&P/ASX 200 Property Trusts Index
BAB	Bank Accepted Bill
LEPO	Low Exercise Price Option
ADF	Augmented-Dickey-Fuller
RMSE	Root mean square error
LS	Least Squares
NLS	Nonlinear Least Squares
OLS	Ordinary Least Squares
SSE	sum of square error
GLS	Generalized Least Squares
SD	standard deviation
ADF	Augmented Dickey-Fuller

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY AND MOTIVATIONS

Investors view the ability to foresee volatility as something prominent. The risk-anticipation power of volatility assists investors in figuring the appropriate hedging strategies. Apart from that, the market estimate of volatility serves as the stock market vulnerability gauge or a risk measure for policy makers. Having to know the volatility of the market in advance aids the policy makers. The capital flow can be prevented from redirecting away from the market if the situation is unfavourable to investors. Stock market volatility likewise is a vigorous variable in derivatives pricing. Consequently, volatility of an underlying asset is an essential element in making decisions in financial markets.

To date, academics or practitioners have developed a few different techniques in estimating volatility realising the vitality of knowing the risks beforehand. Among them is the implied volatility based on option pricing models. Implied volatility gives a value in which the theoretical value equals to the market price. A number of earlier literatures documented that implied volatility based on an option pricing model was found to be informationally superior to historical volatility in forecasting future realised volatility (Szakmary, Ors, Kim & Davidson, 2003; Li & Yang, 2009; Jiang & Tian, 2005).

The model introduced by Black and Scholes (1973) and Merton (1973) rests on the assumptions of no arbitrage, pricing log-normality and frictionless trading. Therefore, the introduction of this Black-Scholes-Merton (hereafter, BSM) model in

the 1970s has invited criticism. One of the criticisable pricing anomalies in this model is; if the conditions in financial market are accurately reflected by the model, then the implied volatility of the option on the same underlying asset should be constant or invariant of the strike price or time to maturity of the options. However, the implication of this assumption is not true. Most researchers have investigated that when time to maturity is fixed, the implied volatility is discovered to be systematically varied for diverse strike price and expiration periods. This is contrary to the implication of the BSM model. Thus, the inability of this model to handle the volatility smile or skew phenomenon has become the major flaw of the BSM model in pricing options.

Owing to the pitfalls of the BSM model in pricing options, the volatility implied from this model is unable to directly proxy the true expectation of future realized volatility (Shu & Zhang, 2003). Henceforth, a number of models have been developed to fill this void, such as the regression-based deterministic volatility function (DVF) model. The regression-based DVF model is an effective approach in valuing volatility and is extensively used as a benchmark (Christoffersen *et al.*, 2009, Berkowitz, 2010).

Leland (1985) is among the first that improved the BSM model by developing a hedging strategy that incorporates an adjusted volatility. The volatility is adjusted with respect to the length of rebalance intervals, proportional transaction cost rate and the volatility of the underlying asset. Leland (1985) also claimed that by forcing the length of rebalance intervals to approach zero, zero hedging error can be achieved in the limit. Even though the idea is quite relevant, this model does not integrate the initial cost of trading into the assumptions. In response to the drawbacks of the original model, Leland (2007) provided two adjustments; namely Leland (2007) cash

model and Leland (2007) stock model. In these models, he explicitly considered initial costs of trading into the assumptions that the initial portfolio is either consists of all cash or all stock position.

Despite the fact that BSM model has a major flaw, yet it is still acknowledged by many studies as a relevant option pricing model. Many other models are the extension of the BSM model. Many empirical studies use BSM model as a benchmark or as an interpolation tool in investigating the performance of various option pricing models (Figlewski, 2002; Christoffersen & Jacobs, 2004; An & Suo, 2009). The introduction of BSM model to the financial world has inspired numerous literature to examine the forecasting ability of implied volatility in time series framework (Chernov, 2001). A model which considers realistic transaction costs seems to be more suitable in handling option. It is hypothesised that using the Leland option pricing models which have both the almost identical framework to that of the BSM model and incorporate the stochastic nature of volatility in its model appears to be relevant in this study. This research employs the Leland (1985) model and its two variations in estimating implied volatility, namely *implied adjusted volatility*. It is hypothesised that the adjusted volatility implied from the different Leland option pricing models should be equal.

Implied adjusted volatility is a significant aspect particularly in option valuation. It is demonstrated in many literature that the implied (adjusted) volatility to be changing across option's moneyness¹ and time to maturity. Volatility surface is the consequential result of the blending² shifting (See Chapter 2). Even it is well-accepted by an overwhelming number of literature that volatility varies across moneyness and

¹ Moneyness is the measure of option being in-the-money.

² Blending shifting refers to the mixing effect of smile and term structure phenomena. Smile or smirk or skewness depicts the changing of volatility across moneyness. Term structure describes the changing of volatility across time to maturity. See Chapter 2 for details.

maturity, the real reasons behind that still remain blurred. It is claimed that the movements in the changes of smile in option prices are very much predictable (Goncalves & Guidolin, 2006; Wallmeier, 2012). This study firstly aims to investigate the implied adjusted volatility smile patterns in index (equity) options using the different Leland option pricing models. This research is conducted to identify any regularity in pattern in the implied volatility surfaces and to provide a better understanding of the phenomenon. In other words, this research is expected to give a brief idea on the degree of dependency between the implied adjusted volatility with the other parameters.

Peña, Rubio and Serna (1999) and Engström (2002) have developed possible specifications of the implied volatility functions and examined whether using these implied volatility functions can result to an enhancement to option valuation accuracy. Following Peña *et al.* (1999) and Engström (2002), this research additionally analyses whether the exercise of implied adjusted volatility functions can lead to an improvement in option valuation accuracy. The different types of implied adjusted volatility functions which include variables of moneyness and time to maturity are adapted and modified from the models of Peña *et al.* (1999) and Engström (2002). This study can be viewed as an extension to that of the DVF approach, with the novel feature of implied adjusted volatility. This distinguishes this research from other existing studies.

Studies that employ Australian derivatives data in option pricing are vast. Among those are Pan, Tilakaratne and Yearwood (2003, 2005), Dowling and Muthuswamy (2005), Brown and Pinder (2005), Li and Yang (2009), Buhr (2009), Li, Rose and Buhr (2010), Sharp, Li and Allen (2010), Cummings and Frino (2011), Mimi Hafizah, Abdullah (2011) as well as Li and Mimi Hafizah, Abdullah (2012).

This allows us to infer that the Australian market has become one of the markets which experiences explosive growth in financial sense to be empirically investigated. Only a small number of literature are devoted on studying the implied volatility in Australian options market. This research differentiates itself from other existing literature by investigating the implied adjusted volatility surfaces in the Australian index options using the different Leland option pricing models. This motivates us to study the performance of implied adjusted volatility functions in pricing the Australian index options.

Australian Stock Exchange (ASX) is one of the prime securities exchanges in Australia. ASX accounts for the twelfth world's biggest share market and is the second largest in Asia Pacific region by market capitalisation. In 2010, ASX was reported to be among the ten most robust exchange groups in the world, measured on the basis of market capitalisation. In fact, S&P/ASX 200 (XJO) Index is the largest share market index traded on the ASX, with approximately eighty per cent of total market capitalisation representation on Australian equities market. For that reason, the S&P/ASX 200 index options data is utilized in this paper.

The sample data considered in this study covers the period from April 2001 until the end of 2010. This provides over 105,272 observations³, which also include the global financial crisis period. The subprime mortgage crisis meltdown in the US which was critical in mid-2007 until the end of 2008 resulted in several ripple impacts on the world's financial market. To the best of our knowledge, research on implied volatility pattern over the period of the 2007 global financial crisis is non-existence. Therefore, this study seeks to motivate future research into Australian market

³The S&P/ASX 200 index values of various contracts are acquired daily for over ten years.

volatility by investigating the implied adjusted volatility in the context of S&P/ASX 200 index options in the context of prior, during and after crisis.

This research is further enthused by the claim that there are no definite volatility functions that applied well for options at all moneyness levels, thus eventually elucidate the entire smile volatility (Dumas, Fleming and Whaley, 1998; Hull and Suo, 2002; Engström, 2002; Mimi Hafizah, 2011). This represents another fruitful avenue for this study to fill in. With longer sample period, more refined implied adjusted volatility pattern, an improved implied adjusted volatility function can be pursued at the end of this study.

1.2 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES AND CONTRIBUTIONS

The objectives of this research are:

- 1- To investigate the implied adjusted volatility surfaces of Australian index options using the different Leland option pricing models in the context of prior, during and post global financial crisis in 2007; and
- 2- To assess whether the use of the implied adjusted volatility functions provides an improvement to option valuation accuracy.

This study contributes to the existing body of knowledge in several dimensions. This research investigates the adjusted volatility surfaces for the S&P/ASX 200 index options. It distinguishes itself from previous studies in which it examines the option implied adjusted volatility.

Apart from that, this study also seeks to examine the different adjusted volatility functions and assessing whether the use of these functions can lead to an improvement in option valuation accuracy. This improved specification of the

variation in the adjusted volatility could shed some light on the future refinement of the option pricing model.

1.3 THESIS ORGANISATION

This thesis consists of six chapters. Chapter 1 includes background study and motivations; objectives of study and contributions; and, thesis organisation. Chapter 2 provides literature review from previous existing studies. It firstly reviews the literature on the different volatility smile structures across various derivatives, followed by past studies on the volatility functions.

Chapter 3 presents the description of the derivative contracts involved in this study, i.e., the ASX, S&P/ASX 200 index options and its underlying index. The data descriptions and some detailed explanation of the variables used in Leland models are also included. This chapter also outlines the data sampling procedure employed in this study. This is followed by experimental and analysis methodology of this study in Chapter 4.

The results of both objectives, i.e. results on implied adjusted volatility smile pattern and implied adjusted volatility functions are presented in Chapter 5. Lastly, Chapter 6 provides conclusions of this thesis with an overview of the research outcomes, limitations, contributions and the possible avenue for future research.

CHAPTER TWO

LITERATURE REVIEW

The BSM model has constituted a major breakthrough in the option pricing realm. Nonetheless, the BSM theory, particularly the constant volatility assumption, came in for criticism due to the inability of the BSM model to handle extreme conditions, especially after the October 1987 market crash. This is evident by the existence of the volatility smile phenomenon which leads to a major inconsistency in the BSM option pricing. In practice, if all assumptions are correct and realistic, then all options should have yielded the same implied volatility. However the volatility implied by those options differs across both moneyness and time to maturity in reality. This elucidates the smile or skew phenomenon, which is failed to be expounded by the BSM model.

Generally, any anomalous pattern can be defined as having skewness or smile, as such that it is resulted from stochastic volatility across a range of strike prices. That is, volatility smile is a measure of how much the BSM's mispricing is related to strike price. This pattern is systematically affected by the degree to which options go deeper into in-the-money (ITM) or out-of-the-money (OTM). On the other hand, term structure describes the changing of volatility across time to maturity.

Macbeth and Merville (1979), Rubinstein (1985), Shastri and Wethyavivorn (1987), Fung and Hsieh (1991), Sheikh (1991), Heynen (1993), Shimko (1993), Xu and Taylor (1994), Bates (1996), Dumas, Fleming and Whaley (1998), Brown (1999), Peña *et al.* (1999), Dennis and Mayhew (2002), Engström (2002), Gemmill and Kamiyama (2000), Cont and Fonseca (2002), Chen and Palmon (2002), Bakshi, Kapadia and Madan (2003), Duque and Lopes (1999, 2003), Bollen and Whaley