



FABRICATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF
CONTROLLED RELEASE OF PROTEINS AND
PEPTIDES FROM POLY GLU LACTIDE-CO-
GLYCOLIDE (PLGA) MICROSPHERES

BY

MD. REZAUL HAQUE ANSARY

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Pharmaceutical Chemistry

Kulliyyah of Pharmacy
International Islamic University Malaysia

AUGUST 2016

ABSTRACT

Biodegradable poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA)-based microspheres and nanoparticles have received much attention over the last twenty-five years for controlled parenteral delivery of therapeutic protein and peptide drugs. In general, PLGA-based injectable delivery systems of macromolecular protein and peptide drugs still suffer from two major technical problems associated with their inherent stability problem. Initial burst release followed by very slow and incomplete release is one of the most serious problems in the formulation of PLGA-based protein drugs delivery system. In this study, two model proteins, bovine serum albumin (BSA) and lysozyme, and a therapeutic peptide drug, insulin loaded double-walled microspheres have been fabricated using a fast degrading glucose core, hydroxyl-terminated poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (Glu-PLGA) and a moderate degrading carboxyl-terminated PLGA polymers to reduce the high initial burst release and to eliminate the lag phase from the release profile of PLGA microspheres. Double-walled microspheres were prepared using a modified water-in-oil-in-oil-in-water ($w_1/o/o/w_2$) method. In addition, single-polymer microspheres were prepared by a conventional water-in-oil-in-water ($w_1/o/w_2$) emulsion solvent evaporation method for comparison. The microspheres size, morphology, encapsulation efficiency, thermal properties, *in vitro* drug release, and structural integrity of BSA, lysozyme and insulin were evaluated in this study. The bioactivity of released lysozyme was determined using *Micrococcus lysodeikticus* as substrate. Moreover, *in vivo* release and bioactivity of insulin was evaluated upon subcutaneous injection of insulin loaded microspheres in STZ induced diabetic rats. BSA, lysozyme and insulin loaded double-walled microspheres prepared with Glu-PLGA and PLGA polymers in a mass ratio of 1:1 showed reduced particle size ($< 5 \mu\text{m}$), non-porous, smooth-surfaced, and spherical in shape. In contrast, highly porous surface was observed for single-polymer microspheres. Double-walled microspheres comprising Glu-PLGA and PLGA polymers in a mass ratio of 1:1 exhibited higher encapsulation efficiency for BSA compared to lysozyme and insulin. A significant reduction in initial burst release was achieved for double-walled microspheres compared to single-polymer microspheres. In addition, double-walled microspheres prepared using Glu-PLGA and PLGA polymers in a mass ratio of 1:1 exhibited continuous and almost complete release of BSA and insulin after small initial burst release without any lag phase. In contrast, incomplete release was observed for lysozyme from both double-walled and single-polymer microspheres. SDS-PAGE result shows that a small fraction of encapsulated and released proteins (BSA and lysozyme) underwent aggregation and possible degradation, whereas no substantial aggregation or degradation was observed for insulin during microspheres fabrication and *in vitro* release studies. Moreover, the *in vivo* studies demonstrated that the bioactivity of insulin was retained throughout the experimental period. This study suggests that double-walled microspheres made of Glu-PLGA and PLGA polymers in a mass ratio of 1:1 can be a potential delivery system for pharmaceutical proteins and peptides.

خلاصة البحث

حاز البوليمير المنخرب حيويًا بولي لاكتيد-كو-غليكوليد (PLGA) والمكروسفير والنانوسفير المحضرة منه اهتماماً كبيراً في الخمس وعشرين سنة الماضية للإيتاء الحفني المديد للبروتينات والبيبتيدات العلاجية. بشكل عام لا تزال أنظمة الإيتاء الحفنية للبروتينات والبيبتيدات المعتمدة على PLGA تعاني من مشكلتين تقنيتين أساسيتين مرتبطين بالثباتية. التحرر الفجائي المبدئي المتبوع بالتحرر البطيء وغير الكامل هو حقاً مشكلة أساسية. في هذا البحث تمت كبسلة بروتينين نموذجيين هما الألبومين السيرومي البقري (BSA) والليزوزيم والبيبتيد العلاجي الإنسولين في مكروسفيرات ثنائية الجدار باستخدام البوليمير سريع التخرّب ذو النواة الغلوكوزية (Glu-PLGA) وبوليمير PLGA آخر متوسط التخرّب ذو نهاية طرفية كربوكسيلية، من أجل إنقاص التحرر الفجائي المبدئي المرتفع وأيضاً لإزالة زمن التأخير من نموذج التحرر من المكروكبسولات. تم تصنيع المكروسفيرات ثنائية الجدار بطريقة معدلة من طريقة المستحلب ماء/زيت/زيت/ماء. إضافة إلى ذلك، تم تصنيع المكروسفيرات أحادية البوليمير باستخدام الطريقة التقليدية مستحلب ماء/زيت/ماء المتبوعة بتبخير المحل. تم تقييم المكروسفيرات من ناحية الأبعاد، فعالية الكبسلة، الخواص الحرارية، تحرر الدواء في الزجاج، وتكامل بنية للبروتينات. تم تقييم الفعالية الحيوية لليزوزيم باستخدام *Micrococcus lysodeikticus*. إضافة إلى ذلك تم تقييم التحرر في الحي والفعالية الحيوية للإنسولين بعد الحقن تحت الجلد للمكروسفيرات المحملة بالإنسولين في الجرذان ذات الداء السكري الممرض بالـSTZ. المكروسفيرات المحملة بالبروتينات وذات الجدار المضاعف المحضرة من البوليميرين Glu-PLGA و PLGA بنسبة 1:1 أظهرت نقصاناً في الأبعاد (أقل من 5 ميكرون)، غير مسامية، ملساء السطح، وكروية الشكل. بالمقابل، أظهرت المكروسفيرات وحيدة البوليمير مسامية سطحية عالية. المكروسفيرات ثنائية الجدار المكونة من البوليميرين Glu-PLGA و PLGA بنسبة كتلة 1:1 أظهرت فعالية كبسلة أعلى للبروتين BSA مقارنة بالليزوزيم والإنسولين. تم تحقيق نقصان مهم في التحرر الفجائي المبدئي باستخدام المكروسفيرات ثنائية الجدار مقارنة بالمكروسفيرات وحيدة البوليمير. إضافة إلى ذلك، المكروسفيرات المحملة بالبروتينات وذات الجدار المضاعف المحضرة من البوليميرين Glu-PLGA و PLGA بنسبة 1:1 أظهرت أيضاً تحرراً مستمراً وشبه كامل للـBSA والإنسولين بعد تحرر فجائي مبدئي صغير ومن دون زمن تأخير. بالمقارنة، تمت ملاحظة تحرر غير كامل لليزوزيم من كلا المكروسفيرات ثنائية الجدار وأحادية البوليمير. نتائج فحص الـSDS-PAGE أظهرت أن جزءاً صغيراً من البروتينات المكبسلة والمتحررة (BSA والليزوزيم) تعرضت للتكتل ومن المحتمل التخرّب، بينما لم تتم ملاحظة تكتل أو تخرّب جوهري للإنسولين أثناء تحضير المكروسفيرات وخلال فترة التحرر في الزجاج. أضف إلى لك، بينت الدراسة في الحي أن تكامل البنية والفعالية للإنسولين قد تم الحفاظ عليها على طول فترة التجارب. كخلاصة، تقترح هذه الدراسة أن الكروسفيرات ثنائية الجدار المحضرة من البوليميرين Glu-PLGA و PLGA بنسبة 1:1 يمكن أن تمثل نظام إيتاء محتمل للبروتينات والبيبتيدات الصيدلانية.

APPROVAL PAGE

The thesis of Md. Rezaul Haque Ansary has been approved by the following:

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Md. Mokhlesur Rahman
Supervisor

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohamed bin Awang
Co-Supervisor

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Haliza Katas
Co-Supervisor

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Farahidah Mohamed
Internal Examiner

Prof. Dr. Mohd Cairul Iqbal Mohd Amin
External Examiner

Prof. Dr. Nashiru Billa
External Examiner

Prof. Dato' Dr. Syed Zahir Idid bin Syed Osman Idid
Chairman

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this dissertation is the result of my own investigations, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

Md. Rezaul Haque Ansary

Signature

Date

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

**DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF
FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH**

**FABRICATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF
CONTROLLED RELEASE OF PROTEINS AND PEPTIDES
FROM POLY GLU LACTIDE-CO-GLYCOLIDE (PLGA)
MICROSPHERES**

I declare that the copyright holders of this dissertation are jointly owned by the student and IIUM.

Copyright © 2016 Md. Rezaul Haque Ansary and International Islamic University Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below

1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieved system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledged that I have read and understand the IIUM Intellectual Property Right and Commercialization policy.

Affirmed by Md. Rezaul Haque Ansary

.....
Signature

.....
Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Almighty Allah, who gave me the strength and patience to complete this research. I wish to express my gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Md. Mokhlesur Rahman, for his continuous support, guidance and encouragement throughout my studies. I would also like to thank my co-supervisors Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohamed bin Awang and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Haliza Katas for their invaluable suggestions and guidance during my studies.

I am also grateful to Asst. Prof. Dr. Abd Almonem Doolaanea for his guidance and suggestions. My special thanks to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Abdul Razak bin Kasmuri for his guidance during my *in vivo* studies. Thanks to Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia and International Islamic University Malaysia for providing me financial assistance during my studies.

I would like to thank University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh, for granting me study leave to pursue Ph. D studies at International Islamic University Malaysia.

My deepest gratitude goes to my beloved parents and parents-in-law for their unconditional love, prayers, support and encouragement throughout my life. I am here because of them.

Finally, special thanks to my wife, Chaity for her sacrifice, continuous support and encouragement throughout my studies. Thanks to Nooh and Nuvid, my beloved sons for their endless support and love. It is their sacrifice and understanding that allowed me to do work hard and to complete this study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
Abstract in Arabic	iii
Approval Page.....	iv
Declaration.....	v
Copyright Page.....	vi
Acknowledgements	vii
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xiii
List of Abbreviations	xiii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Polymeric Microspheres and Nanoparticles as Drug Delivery Systems.....	1
1.2 PLGA-Based Microspheres and Nanoparticles for Protein/Peptide Drugs Delivery	3
1.3 Problem Statement.....	7
1.4 Research Hypothesis.....	8
1.5 Research Objectives.....	8
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	10
2.1 PLGA Method of Synthesis and Properties.....	10
2.1.1 PLGA Method of Synthesis	10
2.1.2 Functional Aliphatic Polyesters	12
2.1.3 Degradation of PLGA and PLGA Particulate Delivery Systems	14
2.2 Preparation of Drug Loaded Polymeric Microspheres and Nanoparticles	19
2.2.1 Emulsification-Solvent Evaporation Method.....	20
2.2.2 Water-in-oil-in-water (w/o/w) Double Emulsion Solvent Evaporation Method	20
2.2.3 Solid/oil/water (s/o/w) Double Emulsion Solvent Evaporation Method.....	23
2.2.4 Nanoprecipitation Method	24
2.2.5 Emulsification Solvent Diffusion Method	25
2.2.6 Salting out Method.....	25
2.3 Factors Affecting Drug Release.....	26
2.3.1 Surface Porosity of Microspheres	28
2.3.2 Molecular Weight of PLGA.....	29
2.3.3 Hydrophilicity of PLGA	29
2.3.4 Polymer Concentration	30
2.3.5 Drug Loading	31
2.4 Double-Walled Microspheres and Its Applications in Reducing Initial Burst Release	32
2.4.1 Fabrication of Double-Walled Microspheres Using Two-Steps Coating Method	34

2.4.2	Fabrication of Core/Shell Double-Walled Microspheres Using One-Step Emulsion/Solvent Evaporation Method	37
2.4.3	Fabrication of Core/Shell Double-Walled Microspheres Using Precision Particle Fabrication (PPF) Technique.....	49
2.5	Single-Polymer Microspheres and Nanoparticles Based Controlled Release Formulations of BSA, Lysozyme and Insulin.....	53
2.5.1	Chemistry of BSA, Lysozyme and Insulin	53
2.5.2	Hyperglycemia and Insulin Routes of Administration	55
2.5.3	Approaches for Controlled Release of BSA and Lysozyme.....	58
2.5.4	Approaches for Controlled Release, Parenteral Delivery of Insulin	64
CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS		69
3.1	Materials	69
3.2	Methods of Preparation of Microspheres	69
3.2.1	Preparation of BSA Loaded Microspheres	69
3.2.2	Preparation of Lysozyme Loaded microspheres.....	71
3.2.3	Preparation of Insulin Loaded Microspheres	71
3.3	Characterization of Microspheres.....	76
3.3.1	Particle Size Analysis.....	76
3.3.2	Surface Morphology of the Microspheres	76
3.3.3	Microspheres Polymer Composition Studies.....	77
3.3.4	Proteins Encapsulation Efficiency	77
3.3.5	Microspheres Yield	78
3.3.6	Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)	78
3.3.7	<i>In vitro</i> Proteins Release Studies	79
3.3.8	Kinetics of <i>In vitro</i> Protein Release	79
3.3.9	Lysozyme Bioactivity Measurements	80
3.3.10	Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE).....	80
3.3.11	FTIR Spectroscopy	81
3.3.12	Measurement of Hyperglycemia in STZ Induced Diabetic Rats and <i>In vivo</i> Release of Insulin from Double-Walled Microspheres	81
3.3.13	Quantification of Insulin in Plasma of STZ Induced Diabetic Rats	82
3.3.14	Statistical Analysis.....	83
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		84
4.1	Double-Walled Microspheres for the Controlled Release of Proteins ...	84
4.2	Effect of Process Variables on the Preparation of Double-Walled Microspheres.....	85
4.2.1	Effect of Volume of Internal Aqueous Phase	85
4.2.2	Effect of Volume of External Aqueous Phase	86
4.2.3	Effect of PVA Concentration in the External Aqueous Phase.....	90
4.2.4	Effect of Polymer Concentration	91
4.2.5	Effect of Homogenization Speed	92
4.2.6	Effect of BSA Loading	93
4.3	Characterization of BSA Loaded Microspheres Prepared in Different Formulations	95

4.3.1 Formation of Double-Walled Microspheres	95
4.3.2 Particle Size and Size Distribution of BSA Loaded Microspheres	96
4.3.3 Surface Morphology of BSA Loaded Microspheres.....	99
4.3.4 Microspheres Polymer Composition Studies	103
4.3.5 BSA Encapsulation Efficiency.....	104
4.3.6 DSC Analysis of BSA Loaded Microspheres	105
4.3.7 <i>In vitro</i> BSA Release.....	110
4.3.8 Kinetics of <i>In vitro</i> BSA release	114
4.3.9 BSA Stability during Encapsulation and <i>In vitro</i> Release	118
4.3.10 FTIR Spectroscopy Studies.....	120
4.4 Characterization of Lysozyme Loaded Microspheres	123
4.4.1 Particle Size and Size Distribution of Lysozyme Loaded Microspheres	123
4.4.2 Surface Morphology of Lysozyme Loaded Microspheres.....	124
4.4.3 Lysozyme Encapsulation Efficiency.....	127
4.4.4 DSC Analysis of Lysozyme Loaded Microspheres	129
4.4.5 <i>In vitro</i> Lysozyme Release.....	132
4.4.6 Stabilization of Lysozyme during Emulsification	138
4.4.6.1 Excipients Effect on Microspheres Size	140
4.4.6.2 Excipients Effect on Surface Morphology	141
4.4.6.3 Excipients Effect on Lysozyme Encapsulation Efficiency.....	142
4.4.6.4 Excipients Effect on <i>In vitro</i> Lysozyme Release Profiles	144
4.4.7 Lysozyme Stability during Encapsulation and <i>In vitro</i> Release ...	150
4.4.8 FTIR Spectroscopy Studies.....	152
4.5 Characterization of Insulin Loaded Microspheres.....	154
4.5.1 Particle Size and Size Distribution of Insulin Loaded Microspheres	154
4.5.2 Surface Morphology of Insulin Loaded Microspheres	155
4.5.3 Microspheres Polymer Composition Studies	156
4.5.4 Insulin Encapsulation Efficiency	159
4.5.5 DSC Analysis of Insulin Loaded Microspheres.....	160
4.5.6 <i>In vitro</i> Insulin Release	163
4.5.7 Kinetics of <i>In vitro</i> Insulin Release.....	165
4.5.8 Insulin Stability during Encapsulation and <i>In vitro</i> Release.....	170
4.5.9 Measurement of Hyperglycemia in STZ Induced Diabetic Rats and <i>In vivo</i> Release of Insulin from Double-Walled Microspheres	172

CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION.....177

REFERENCES..... 180

APPENDIX A: LIST OF PUBLICATIONS.....201

APPENDIX B: LIST OF CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS 202

APPENDIX C: CALIBRATION CURVES.....203

APPENDIX D: MORPHOLOGY OF BLANK MICROSPHERES 207

APPENDIX E: THE APPROVAL OF ANIMAL USE 208

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
1.1	Marketed Formulations of Proteins Based on Biodegradable Microspheres	6
2.1	Physical Properties of Different PLGA	18
2.2	Effect of Polymer type on Encapsulation efficiency (EE) and Mean size of Protein Loaded PLGA Nanoparticles	23
2.3	Double-walled Microspheres Prepared Using One-step Emulsion/Solvent Evaporation Method	39
2.4	Double-walled Microspheres Prepared Using Precision Particle Fabrication (PPF) Technique	50
2.5	Interspecies Variation of Amino Acid Sequence in Insulin	56
2.6	Characteristics of Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes	57
2.7	Selected Single-polymer Microspheres Formulations for Controlled Release of BSA and Lysozyme	64
2.8	Selected Single-polymer Microspheres and Nanoparticles Formulations for Controlled Release, Parenteral Delivery of Insulin	68
3.1	Polymer and Solvent Used for the Preparation of BSA Loaded Microspheres in Different Formulations	73
3.2	Polymer and Solvent Used for the Preparation of Lysozyme Loaded Microspheres in Different Formulations	74
3.3	Polymer and Solvent Used for the Preparation of Insulin Loaded Microspheres in Different Formulations	75
4.1	Effects of Process variables on Particle size, Span value, Actual loading, and Encapsulation efficiency of Double-walled Microspheres Prepared in Formulation BF1	87
4.2	Characteristics of BSA Loaded Microspheres Prepared in Different Formulations	98
4.3	Onset Glass Transition Temperature (T_g) of Pure Polymers, Blank and BSA Loaded Microspheres Prepared in Different	110

	Formulations	
4.4	Results of Release kinetic values (R^2) of BSA Loaded Microspheres according to Zero-order, First-order, and Higuchi Kinetic Equations	118
4.5	Assignment of the Absorption Bands in the FTIR Spectra of Glu-PLGA, Pure BSA, Blank Microspheres and BSA Loaded Microspheres	121
4.6	Characteristics of Lysozyme Loaded Microspheres Prepared in Different Formulations	124
4.7	Onset Glass Transition Temperature (T_g) of Pure Polymers, Blank and Lysozyme Loaded Microspheres Prepared in Different Formulations	132
4.8	Lysozyme Specific Bioactivity and Recovery from Double-walled and Single-polymer Microspheres Prepared in Different Formulations	137
4.9	Effect of Excipients in Internal Aqueous Phase and External Aqueous Phase on Particle size, Span value and Encapsulation efficiency for Lysozyme Loaded Microspheres Prepared Using Similar Formulation as LF1	140
4.10	Results of Release kinetic values (R^2) of Lysozyme Loaded Microspheres according to Zero-order, First-order, and Higuchi Kinetic Equations	149
4.11	Assignment of the Absorption Bands in the FTIR Spectra of Glu-PLGA, Pure Lysozyme, Blank Microspheres and BSA Loaded Microspheres	152
4.12	Characteristics of Insulin Loaded Microspheres Prepared in Different Formulations	156
4.13	Onset Glass Transition Temperature (T_g) of Pure Polymers, Blank and Insulin Loaded Microspheres Prepared in Different Formulations	163
4.14	Results of Release kinetic values (R^2) of Insulin Loaded Microspheres according to Zero-order, First-order, and Higuchi Kinetic Equations	169

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
2.1	Poly(D, L-lactide-co-glycolide) PLGA Synthetic Schemes	11
2.2	Structure of Glucose core, Star, Hydroxyl-terminated Poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (Glu-PLGA) (A), and Synthetic Scheme of Glu-PLGA (B)	12
2.3	Structure of Functionalized Poly(lactic-co-hydroxymethyl glycolic acid) (PLHMGA) Polymer	14
2.4	Chemical Structure of End-group Capped (A) and Uncapped (B) PLGA	17
2.5	Tri-phasic Release Profile under <i>In vitro</i> conditions (Phase I: Initial Burst; Phase II: Slow Release; Phase III: Polymer Degradation) and Zero-order Release Profile after a Burst of 15%.	27
2.6	Scanning Electron Microscope image of the Cross-section of PLLA/PLGA Double-walled Microspheres	34
2.7	Schematic Representation of the Preparation of Double-walled Microspheres Using Polymer Core/Shell Phase Separation Phenomenon	38
2.8	Scanning Electron Microscope images of PLLA/PLGA/PCL Ternary-phase Double-walled Microparticles. (A) External Morphology, (B) Cross-sectional view of a Microparticle	48
2.9	Schematic Diagram of Precision Particle Fabrication (PPF) Technique for the Production of Uniform Double-walled Microspheres	52
2.10	Primary Structure of Human Insulin	55
3.1	Schematic Representation of the $w_1/o/o/w_2$ Emulsion Technique	72
3.2	Schematic Representation of the $w_1/o/w_2$ Emulsion Technique	72
4.1	Optical Micrographs of BSA Loaded Microspheres Prepared in Formulation BF1 with Different Volume of Internal Aqueous Phase. (A) 100 μ L of Internal Aqueous	88

Phase and (B) 400 μ L of Internal Aqueous Phase

4.2	Scanning Electron Microscope images of BSA Loaded Microspheres Prepared in Formulation BF1 with Different Volume of External Aqueous Phase. (A) 1 mL 1% PVA (w/v) and (B) 10 mL 1% PVA (w/v)	89
4.3	Scanning Electron Microscope images of Microspheres Prepared in Formulation BF1 with Different BSA Loading. (A) 3% Theoretical Loading and (B) 6% Theoretical Loading	94
4.4	Size Distribution Curves of BSA Loaded Double-walled Microspheres Prepared in Formulations BF1 (A) and BF2 (B)	99
4.5	Optical Microscope images of Double-walled Microspheres (A) BF1; (B) BF2	101
4.6	Scanning Electron Microscope images of BSA Loaded Microspheres Prepared in Different Formulations. (A) BF1; (B) BF2; (C) BF3; (D) BF4; (E) BF5; (F) BF6; (G) BF7; (H) BF9	102
4.7	Optical Micrographs of BSA Loaded Double-walled Microspheres before and after Immersion in Ethyl acetate. (A) BF3 before Immersion; (B) BF3 after Immersion	103
4.8	DSC Thermograms of (A) BSA and Pure Polymers; (B) Blank and BSA-loaded Double-walled Microspheres; (C) BSA-loaded Single-polymer Microspheres	109
4.9	<i>In vitro</i> BSA Release for (A) 24 hours and (B) 90 days of the Double-walled Microspheres Prepared in Different Formulations; (C) <i>In vitro</i> BSA Release for 24 hours of the Single-polymer Microspheres Prepared in Different Formulations. The data are presented as Mean \pm SD (n = 3)	116
4.10	The Fitting of BSA Release Profiles on Zero-order Kinetic Equation after Excluding the Burst Release (24 h)	117
4.11	The Fitting of BSA Release Profiles on First-order Kinetic Equation after Excluding the Burst Release (24 h)	117
4.12	The Fitting of BSA Release Profiles on Higuchi Kinetic Equation after Excluding the Burst Release (24 h)	118
4.13	SDS-PAGE of BSA Extracted and Released from Double-walled Microspheres Prepared in Formulation BF1. Lane 1:	120

	Standard Molecular Weight Marker (Mw range: 3.5-260 kDa), Lane 2: Fresh BSA Standard, Lane 3: BSA Extracted from Microspheres, Lanes 4-7: BSA Released from Microspheres after day 1, 24, 56, and 90 days, respectively	
4.14	ATR-FTIR Spectra of Pure Glu-PLGA, Pure BSA, Blank Microspheres, and BSA Loaded Microspheres	122
4.15	Scanning Electron Microscope images of Lysozyme Loaded Double-walled Microspheres Prepared in Different Formulations. (A) LF1; (B) LF2; (C) LF3; (D) LF4	126
4.16	Scanning Electron Microscope images of Lysozyme Loaded Single-polymer Microspheres Prepared in Different Formulations. (A) LF5; (B) LF6; (C) LF7; (D) LF8	127
4.17	DSC Thermograms of (A) Pure Polymers; (B) Blank and Lysozyme Loaded Double-walled Microspheres; (C) Lysozyme Loaded Single-polymer Microspheres	131
4.18	<i>In vitro</i> Release profiles of Lysozyme for (A) 24 hours Double-walled, (B) 24 hours Single-polymer and (C) 70 days Double-walled and Single-polymer Microspheres Prepared in Different Formulations. The data are presented as Mean \pm SD (n = 3)	136
4.19	Low (A) and High (B) Magnification Scanning Electron Microscope images of Lysozyme Loaded Microspheres Prepared Using Similar Formulation as LF1 with additional 2.5% trehalose (w/v) in Internal Aqueous Phase; Low (C) and High (D) Magnification images of Microspheres Prepared Using Similar Formulation as LF1 with additional 1% PVA (w/v) in Internal Aqueous Phase and 2.5% trehalose (w/v) in External Aqueous Phase	143
4.20	<i>In vitro</i> Release profiles of Lysozyme from Double-walled Microspheres Prepared in Absence and in Presence of Excipients in the Internal and External Aqueous Phase during Preparation. The data are presented as Mean \pm SD (n = 3)	147
4.21	The Fitting of Lysozyme Release profiles on Zero-order Kinetic Equation after Excluding the Burst Release (24 h)	148
4.22	The Fitting of Lysozyme Release profiles on First-order Kinetic Equation after Excluding the Burst Release (24 h)	148
4.23	The Fitting of Lysozyme Release profiles on Higuchi Kinetic Equation after Excluding the Burst Release (24 h)	149

4.24	SDS-PAGE of Lysozyme Extracted and Released from Double-walled Microspheres Prepared in Formulation LF1. Lane 1: Standard Molecular Weight Marker (Mw range: 3.5-260 kDa), Lane 2: Fresh Lysozyme Standard, Lane 3: Lysozyme Extracted from Microspheres, Lane 4: Day 1 Released Lysozyme without Excipients in Internal and External Aqueous Phase, Lanes 5-6: Day 1 and Day 42 Released Lysozyme with Excipients, respectively (PVA in internal aqueous phase and trehalose in external aqueous phase).	151
4.25	ATR-FTIR Spectra of Pure Glu-PLGA, Pure Lysozyme, Blank Microspheres, and Lysozyme Loaded Microspheres	153
4.26	Scanning Electron Microscope images of Insulin Loaded Double-walled Microspheres Prepared in Different Formulations. (A) IF1; (B) IF2; (C) IF3; (D) IF4	157
4.27	Scanning Electron Microscope images of Insulin Loaded Single-polymer Microspheres Prepared in Different Formulations. (A) IF5; (B) IF6; (C) IF7; (D) IF8	158
4.28	Optical Micrographs of Insulin Loaded Double-walled Microspheres before and after Immersion in Ethyl acetate. (A) IF1 before Immersion; (B) IF1 after Immersion	158
4.29	DSC Thermograms of (A) Insulin and Pure Polymers; (B) Blank and Insulin-loaded Double-walled Microspheres; (C) Insulin-loaded Single-polymer Microspheres	162
4.30	<i>In vitro</i> Insulin Release for (A) 24 hours Double-walled and (B) 24 hours Single-polymer Microspheres Prepared in Different Formulations; (C) <i>In vitro</i> Insulin Release for 42 days of Double-walled Microspheres Prepared in Different Formulations. The data are presented as Mean \pm SD (n = 3)	167
4.31	The Fitting of Insulin Release profiles on Zero-order Kinetic Equation after Excluding the Burst Release (24 h)	168
4.32	The Fitting of Insulin Release profiles on First-order Kinetic Equation after Excluding the Burst Release (24 h)	168
4.33	The Fitting of Insulin Release profiles on Higuchi Kinetic Equation after Excluding the Burst Release (24 h)	169
4.34	SDS-PAGE of Insulin Extracted and Released from Double-walled Microspheres Prepared in Formulation IF1. Lane 1: Standard Molecular Weight Marker (Mw range: 3.5-260	171

kDa), Lane 2: Fresh Insulin Standard, Lane 3: Insulin Extracted from Microspheres, Lanes 4, 5: Insulin Released from Microspheres after day 1 and day 7, respectively.

- 4.35 Blood Glucose Levels 24 hours (A) and 14 days (B) following Single Subcutaneous Administration of Insulin Loaded Microspheres, Raw Insulin in PBS solution and Blank Microspheres in STZ Induced Diabetic Rats. The data are presented as Mean \pm SD (n = 5) 173
- 4.36 Plasma Insulin Levels following Single Subcutaneous Administration of Insulin Loaded Microspheres, Raw Insulin in PBS solution and Blank Microspheres in STZ Induced Diabetic Rats. The data are presented as Mean \pm SD (n = 5) 175
- 4.37 Change in Body Weight of STZ Induced Diabetic Rats after Single Subcutaneous Injection of Insulin Loaded Microspheres, Raw Insulin in PBS solution and Blank Microspheres. The data are presented as Mean \pm SD (n = 5) 176

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AOT	Docusate sodium salt
BSA	Bovine serum albumin
BF	Bovine serum albumin formulation
CyA	Cyclosporin A
DCM	Dichloromethane
DMAB	Didodecyl dimethyl ammonium bromide
DMSO	Dimethyl sulfoxide
DSC	Differential scanning calorimetry
EA	Ethyl acetate
EE	Encapsulation efficiency
EVA	Poly(ethylene-co-vinyl acetate)
FITC-BSA	Fluorescein isothiocyanate labeled bovine serum albumin
Glu-PLGA	Glucose core poly(lactide-co-glycolide)
HSA	Human serum albumin
IBU	Ibuprofen
IF	Insulin formulation
LC	Loading capacity
LF	Lysozyme formulation
MCA	Metoclopramide HCl
MPa	Mega-Pascals
PBS	Phosphate buffer saline solution
PCL	Polycaprolactone
PELA	Poly(D, L-lactide)-poly(ethylene glycol)
PGA	Polyglycolide
PLA	Polylactide
PLGA	Poly(D, L-lactide-co-glycolide)
PLHMGA	Poly(lactic-co-hydroxymethyl glycolic acid)
POE	Poly(orthoester)
PPF	Precision particle fabrication
PS	Polystyrene
PVA	Poly(vinyl alcohol)
SDS	Sodium dodecyl sulphate
SEM	Scanning electron microscope
STZ	Streptozotocin
T _g	Glass transition temperature
THF	Tetrahydrofuran

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 POLYMERIC MICROSPHERES AND NANOPARTICLES AS DRUG DELIVERY SYSTEMS

Biodegradable polymeric microspheres and nanoparticles are solid or semisolid colloidal spherical particles in which the drug substance is either dispersed or dissolved depending on its solubility. Polymeric particles are classified based on their size; the diameter of microspheres ranging from 1 to 250 μm while nanoparticles having size ranging from 10 nm to 1000 nm (Soppimath et al., 2001). Micro and macromolecular drugs are both encapsulated in polymeric particulate devices and can be used as drug carriers. The drug can be adsorbed, dissolved, entrapped, or encapsulated into the nanoparticles and microspheres matrix.

Nanoparticles, nanocapsules, microspheres, and microcapsules can be obtained with the same polymer depending on the methods of preparation (Barratt, 2000; Letchford and Burt, 2007). Nanoparticles and microspheres are matrix systems in which drug particles are uniformly dispersed. On the other hand, nanocapsules and microcapsules are reservoir systems consisting of a drug-containing core surrounded by a rate controlling biodegradable polymer shell. After preparation, the drug loaded micro and nanoparticles are usually dispersed in aqueous solution before administration. The prepared drug-loaded micro or nanoparticles can be administered to humans by a number of routes such as parenteral route, oral route, or applied topically either to the eye or the skin. Moreover, nanoparticles can be used for pulmonary delivery by inhalation. The conventional drug delivery system does not usually provide rate-controlled release or target specific release. It has been observed

that conventional drug administration system provides a very sharp increase of drug concentration at potentially toxic levels, which follows a relatively short period at the therapeutic level and drug concentration eventually decreases until re-administration. On the other hand, particulate drug delivery systems (i.e. microspheres and nanoparticles) are used as drug carriers to deliver drugs in the areas of interest and to release the encapsulated drug slowly over a desired period of time by which the effective local drug concentration is maintained. Due to the advantages of sustained release and targeted delivery, biodegradable polymeric micro and nanoparticles have been investigated extensively for the last two decades.

Biodegradable polymeric microspheres/nanoparticles exhibit three major advantages over conventional drug administration. First, polymeric microspheres or nanoparticles demonstrate a higher surface area to volume ratio compared to conventional drug carriers due to their micro or nano scale size. The high surface area changes particle surface properties and the interactions with disperse phase, especially with respect to the dissolution rate (Merisko and Liversidge, 2008). More than 40% of the therapeutic compounds are poorly water soluble, and their clinical usefulness are greatly limited by their bioavailability (Rasenack and Müller, 2002; Elgart et al., 2012). Formulating these hydrophobic drugs into a micro or nanoparticle form could efficiently improve their dissolution rates, and eventually improve their performance. Second, sustained release of a therapeutic agent could be achieved by encapsulating it within different polymers. A number of polymers have been investigated to encapsulate drug compounds including naturally occurring such as chitosan and serum and synthetic polymers such as polylactide (PLA), polyglycolide (PGA), poly(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) and polycaprolactone (PCL). Sustained or triggered release of drug from micro and nanoparticles could be achieved by selecting

polymers, process parameters and methods of preparation (Cai et al., 2009). Third, targeted delivery of an encapsulated drug could be achieved by coupling it to a molecule with an affinity for a particular target such as cancer cells (Chittasupho et al., 2009). This targeted drug delivery system (DDS) has tremendous significance for the highly toxic or carcinogenic drugs which are commonly prescribed for the treatment of cancer. The majority of anti-cancer drugs are considered as highly biotoxic to most kinds of cells, both cancerous and healthy. Targeted delivery of these anti-cancer drugs protects normal tissue and thus would minimize the destructive side effects of chemotherapy.

1.2 PLGA-BASED MICROSPHERES AND NANOPARTICLES FOR PROTEIN/PEPTIDE DRUGS DELIVERY

Insulin, a potent molecule for treatment of diabetes, was discovered by Banting and Best in 1921. Since then, extensive research has been going on to explore the most effective and convenient route of its administration (Brown, 2005). In addition to subcutaneous injection, various non-invasive routes such as oral, rectal, vaginal, buccal, pulmonary and nasal routes have been examined, but so far researchers have not yet developed a successful formulation for its clinical application (Sheshala et al., 2009; Rekha and Sharma, 2013). In response to the growing advances in biotechnology and chemistry, the number of recombinant proteins and peptides available for therapeutic purposes is increasing significantly (Andersen, 2002; Wurm, 2004). Proteins are macromolecules, consisting of one or more long chain amino acid residues. Amino acid chains with less than 40 residues are usually referred to as peptides. Unlike low molecular weight drugs, proteins and peptides have different structures such as primary, secondary, tertiary and in some cases, quaternary structure

with labile bonds and side chains with chemically reactive groups. The primary structure provides the sequence of different amino acids held together by covalent peptide bonds. The secondary structure refers to highly regular local sub-structures. There are two main types of secondary structure; α -helix and β -sheet. The tertiary structure presents a three-dimensional structure of a single protein molecule. The α -helix and β -sheets are folded into a compact globule. This is driven by a number of non-covalent interactions and hydrophobic packing i.e. the affinity to the burial of hydrophobic residues from water. However, specific interactions such as salt bridges and disulfide bonds are necessary to stabilize the three dimensional structure. The quaternary structure is a larger assembly of several protein molecules. Many proteins do not have the quaternary structure and are active as monomers. However, expectations concerning the delivery of peptide and protein-based therapeutics for the treatment of chronic and life threatening diseases have been limited due to their short biological half-life, fragile structure and low oral bioavailability (Goddard, 1991; Yun et al., 2013). In addition, most of the protein therapeutics is available in the market in an injectable form and patients that require chronic treatment with such therapeutics often require repetitive injections to achieve the desired therapeutic effects resulting in a low patient compliance (Brown, 2005). Therefore, there is a need of a delivery system which can release these biologically active molecules continuously for days to months.

Substantial research efforts have been focused to protect therapeutic proteins/peptides from proteolysis and to obtain a controlled release and improved pharmacokinetic profiles after injection (Perez et al., 2002). The most investigated technique is to encapsulate therapeutic protein/peptide drugs in biodegradable polymeric microspheres and nanoparticles that release the drug slowly and

continuously due to the gradual degradation of the polymer matrix after hydration and also cleavage of sensitive bonds present in the polymer chain. Various therapeutic peptides and proteins encapsulated PLGA micro and nanoparticles have received much attention over the last twenty-five years for their sustained release application over an extended period (Kim and Park, 2004; Srinivasan et al., 2005; Geng et al., 2008; Samadi et al., 2013). Since this technology provides unique advantages over traditional delivery approaches (e.g. improved drug efficacy and patient compliance), several formulations of proteins based on biodegradable micro/nanoparticles have already been marketed, as shown in Table 1.1 (Sinha and Trehan, 2003; Misra, 2010).

In general, PLGA-based injectable delivery systems of macromolecular protein and peptide drugs still suffer from three major technical problems associated with their inherent stability problem. Initial burst release followed by very slow and incomplete release is three most serious problems in the formulation of PLGA-based protein drug delivery system. Initial burst release means a rapid release of a large portion of the encapsulated drug during the first few hours of incubation (Huang and Brazel, 2001). It occurs due to the immediate dissolution of the surface-bound drug as well as the rapid diffusion of hydrophilic protein and peptide drugs through the pre-existing pores and channels present in the microspheres matrix (Wang et al., 2002a; Manoharan and Singh, 2009).

Table 1.1 Marketed Formulations of Proteins Based on Biodegradable Microspheres

Polymer	Drug	Trade Name	Company	Route of Administration	Application
PLGA	Leuprolide acetate	Lupron Depot [®]	Takeda-Abott	3 months depot suspension	Prostate cancer
PLGA	Recombinant human growth hormone	Nutropine Depot [®]	Genentech-Alkermes	Monthly S/C injection	Growth hormone deficiency
PLGA	Goserelin acetate	Zoladex [®]	Astra Zeneca	S/C implant	Prostate cancer
PLGA	Octreotide acetate	Sandostatin LAR [®] Depot	Novartis	Injectable S/C suspension	GH suppression, anticancer
PLGA	Triptorelin	Decapeptyl [®]	Debiopharm	Injectable depot	Prostate cancer
PLGA	Lanreotide	Somatuline [®] LA	Ipsen	Injectable depot	Acromegaly
PLGA	Buserelin acetate	Suprecur [®] MP	Aventis	S/C implant	Prostate cancer

It has been reported that about 10 to 80% of the loaded drug is released within a very short period (Ahmed et al., 2012). The initial burst release poses a serious toxicity threat as excessive release rates could result in drug levels that are close to or exceed toxic threshold levels. Moreover, microspheres tend to have a very slow release of the drug (near to zero) after the initial burst. The slow or no release period is termed as the “lag phase” or “induction period” which continues until the extensive degradation of PLGA starts. During the induction period, the patients may not be treated effectively due to release of insufficient drug (Wang et al., 2002a). In some studies, a significant fraction of the loaded protein was not released after the bulk degradation of the polymer, either due to protein aggregation or adsorption to the strong hydrophobic surface of the polymer, resulting in an incomplete protein release (Bittner et al., 1998; van de Weert et al., 2000a; Jiang et al., 2002a; Kim and Park, 2004). Moreover, due to the accumulation of PLGA degradation products (lactic acid