



**DEVELOPMENT AND CHARACTERISATION OF
PARACETAMOL HONEY SUSPENSION**

BY

MUHAMMAD SALAHUDDIN BIN HARIS @ HARITH

**A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Pharmaceutical Sciences
(Pharmaceutical Technology)**

**Kulliyyah of Pharmacy
International Islamic University Malaysia**

JANUARY 2018

ABSTRACT

Paracetamol (PCM) is a common analgesic and antipyretic drug used worldwide which is available in various dosage forms such as tablet, capsule, suppository, syrup and suspension. PCM suspension available in the market contains high amount of sugar to mask the bitter taste of PCM. High sucrose content will lead to health problems such as dental caries especially in children who frequently have fever and colds. This study aimed to explore the potential of honey to substitute sugar in PCM suspension. Honey is widely known as a natural sweetener. Besides, it contains micronutrients such as vitamins and enzymes that give a wholesome benefit such as antibacterial and antifungal properties. Compatibility study was performed on the API and its binary mixture with excipient using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and supported by attenuated total reflectance (ATR). DSC results showed incompatibility between PCM and parabens where the melting peak of PCM disappeared. However, ATR spectra of those combinations excluded these incompatibilities. Preliminary lab scale of paracetamol honey suspension (PHS) for 2 L was done with the incorporation of colloid mill for 10 minutes. The lab scale PHS had 689.2 ± 50.8 nm particle size, 0.518 ± 0.051 span, -47.76 ± 1.20 mV zeta potential, 5.36 ± 0.04 pH and 692.6 ± 7.5 mPa.s viscosity. These characteristics were similar to the Panadol[®] suspension. Analgesic and antipyretic activities of the suspension compared against Panadol[®] were also performed on Sprague-Dawley rats using hot plate method and Brewer's yeast-induced pyrexia model respectively. PHS had prolonged analgesic activity up to 180 minutes compared to Panadol[®] suspension which was 120 minutes. PHS had similar antipyretic activity with Panadol[®] suspension. Analytical methods verification (AMV) of PHS including specificity, peak purity, linearity, limit of detection (LOD), limit of quantification (LOQ) and intermediate precision was performed using HPLC. Besides, AMV of 4-aminophenol including specificity, peak purity, LOD and LOQ was also performed. All the AMV parameters met all the compendial specifications. PHS was scaled-up to 500 liters to identify optimum processing parameters such as mixing time, mixing speed, milling gap size and milling time. Based on scale-up results, milling gap size and time significantly ($p < 0.05$) reduce particle size, polydispersity index (PdI), zeta potential and viscosity of the suspension. Samples from scale-up process were taken and stored in both real time and accelerated stability chambers for stability study. All stability study characteristics including appearance, assay, 4-aminophenol content, pH, total aerobic microbial count (TAMC), total yeasts and moulds count (TYMC), absence of *E. coli* at all-time points met all the compendial specifications except for appearance of the suspension at 6-month accelerated stability point which was more brownish due to honey that underwent Maillard reaction. Once the optimum processing parameters were identified, process validation (PV) was conducted and subjected to the same characterisations as the scale-up PHS. The PHS was successfully manufactured for commercialisation. In conclusion, the PHS was successfully manufactured for this pre-commercialisation stage. The suspension met all the compendial specification and is regarded as safe, effective and of good quality.

خلاصة البحث

الباراسيتامول (PCM) هي أدوية مسكنة للألم وخافضة للحرارة وتستخدم في جميع أنحاء العالم وهي متوفرة في أشكال جرعية مختلفة مثل الأقراص، والمستعلقات. يحتوي مستعلق الباراسيتامول على كمية عالية من السكر لإخفاء الطعم المر للباراسيتامول. مستوى السكرز العالي يؤدي تسوس الأسنان، وخاصة في الأطفال الذين يعانون من الحمى ونزلات البرد بشكل متكرر. تهدف هذه الدراسة إلى استكشاف إمكانية العسل لاستبدال السكر. يعرف العسل كمُحلٍ طبيعي، بالإضافة إلى احتوائه على مغذيات دقيقة مثل الفيتامينات والإنزيمات المتميزة كمضاد للجراثيم والفطريات. أجريت دراسة التوافق على المكونات الصيدلانية الفعالة (API) وعلى جميع الخلطات الثنائية بالسواغات باستخدام قياس السرعات التفاضلي (DSC) وبالانعكاس الكلي المضعف (ATR). أظهرت نتائج الـ DSC عدم التوافق بين الباراسيتامول والبارابين حيث اختفت ذروة الباراسيتامول. ولذلك استبعدت أطياف الـ API لهذا الخليط حالات عدم التوافق هذه. تم صنع المستعلق الأولي للعسل والباراسيتامول بكمية 2 لتر بالخلط في مطحنة غروانية. كان لدى مستعلق العسل والباراسيتامول بالكمية المخبرية الخواص الآتية،
حجم الجسيمات: 50.8 ± 689.2 نانومتر، الامتداد: 0.051 ± 0.518 ، جهد زيتا: -47.76 ± 1.20 ملفولت، ودرجة حموضة: 5.36 ± 0.04 ، ولزوجة: 692.6 ± 7.5 ميلي باسكال في الثانية. تم تنفيذ اختبار أنشطة تسكين الألم باستخدام طريقة اللوح الساخن وخفض الحرارة باستخدام نموذج بيركسيا لبريور المحرض بالخميرة للمستعلقة ومقارنةً بمستعلق البانادول على فئران. كان لدى المستعلق فترة نشاط طويلة لتسكين الألم مقارنةً بمستعلق البانادول. كان النشاط الخافض للحرارة للمستعلق مماثلاً لمستعلق البانادول. تم التحقق من الأساليب التحليلية (AMV) لمستعلق العسل والباراسيتامول بما في ذلك النوعية باستخدام الاستشراب السائلي العالي الأداء (HPLC). لبت مؤشرات الـ AMV جميع المواصفات المختصرة. وعلاوة على ذلك، تم توسيع نطاق مستعلق العسل والباراسيتامول حتى 500 لتر لتحديد مؤشرات التصنيع المثلى، مثل وقت الخلط، وسرعة الخلط، وحجم فجوة الطحن، ووقت الطحن. بالإضافة إلى ذلك، تم أخذ عينات من عملية توسيع النطاق وتخزينها في غرف الاستقرار ذي الوقت الحقيقي والمسرّعة لدراسة الاستقرار. لبت جميع خصائص دراسة الاستقرار المواصفات المختصرة، وكان ذلك في جميع النقاط الزمنية، باستثناء مؤشر المظهر بعد 6 أشهر في نقطة الاستقرار المسرّعة. تم اتباع المواصفات توسيع النطاق للمستعلق في التحقق من صحة العملية. لبي المستعلق جميع المواصفات المختصرة وهو آمن وفعال وذو نوعية جيدة.

APPROVAL PAGE

The thesis of Muhammad Salahuddin bin Haris has been approved by the following:

Farahidah Mohamed
Supervisor

Abd Al Monem Doolaanea
Co-Supervisor

Mohd Affendi Mohd Shafri
Co-Supervisor

Muhammad Taher Bakhtiar
Internal Examiner

Haliza Katas
External Examiner

Nashiru Billa
External Examiner

Zarina Zainuddin
Chairman

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of my own investigations, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

Muhammad Salahuddin bin Haris @ Harith

Signature Date

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

**DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF
FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH**

**DEVELOPMENT AND CHARACTERISATION OF
PARACETAMOL HONEY SUSPENSION**

I declare that the copyright holders of this thesis are jointly owned by the student and IIUM.

Copyright © 2017 Muhammad Salahuddin bin Haris @ Harith and International Islamic University Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below

1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purpose.
3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieved system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledged that I have read and understand the IIUM Intellectual Property Right and Commercialization policy.

Affirmed by Muhammad Salahuddin bin Haris @ Harith

.....
Signature

.....
Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express the highest gratitude to Allah S.W.T for giving me strength and patience to complete this PhD's thesis entitled "Development of Paracetamol Honey Suspension". This study was conducted beginning from 3rd February 2014 to 2nd February 2017 under MIGRS grant (13-01-001-008) where all experiments were carried out at IKOP Sdn. Bhd. facilities: GMP Production Pilot Plant, Research & Development Lab, Quality Control Lab and Advanced Drug Delivery Lab, Kulliyah of Pharmacy, International Islamic University Malaysia. It gives me a great pleasure to acknowledge my research team, my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr Farahidah Mohamed, my co-supervisors Asst. Prof. Dr. Abd Monem Al Doolaanea and Asst. Prof. Dr. Mohd Affendi Mohd Shafri who always conveying a good spirit in regard to research. Without their guidance and help, this dissertation will have remained a dream.

I am indebted to my lovely mom Hajjah Sepiah binti Kasin and my beloved wife Shaiqah Mohd Rus and my family members who continually pray for my success in completing this journey. Indeed, without their love and support, the journey to complete this thesis will be harder. I would like to share this credit as well to my children, Subhan and Sofiyah who always make me smile. I would like to thank all the members of IKOP Sdn. Bhd. especially Sr. Noor Adibah, Br. Asri and Advanced Drug Delivery lab mates, Bro. Fahmi, Bro. Anugerah, Sr. Izzati, Sr. Hafizah, Sr. Fathin, Sr. Maryam for their help and support.

Bountiful thanks to all who directly and indirectly involve in my journey. May Allah bless every one of us.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
Abstract in Arabic	iii
Approval Page.....	iv
Declaration.....	v
Copyright	vi
Acknowledgements.....	vii
Table of Contents.....	viii
List of Tables	xii
List of Figures	xv
List of Abbreviation.....	xxii

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION.....1

1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Literature Review	3
1.2.1 Pharmaceutical Suspension.....	3
1.2.2 Paracetamol: An Overview	8
1.2.3 Honey and Its Potential.....	11
1.2.4 Fever and Animal Models.....	18
1.2.5 Pain and Animal Models.....	20
1.2.6 Compatibility Study	23
1.2.7 AMD and Validation (AMV).....	29
1.2.8 Scale-up and PV.....	32
1.2.9 Inspiration: Honey in Holy Quran and Hadith.....	36
1.3 Problem Statement.....	37
1.4 Research Objectives	38
1.5 Research Flow	39
1.6 Chapter Summary	40

CHAPTER TWO: FORMULATION DEVELOPMENT AND EXPERIMENTAL ANIMAL STUDY.....41

2.1 Introduction	41
2.2 Materials	42
2.3 Method.....	43
2.3.1 Compatibility Study	43
2.3.1.1 Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC).....	43
2.3.1.2 Attenuated Total Reflectance (ATR).....	43
2.3.2 Formulation Development	44
2.3.2.1 Preparation of PHS	44
2.3.2.2 Stabilizer Selection.....	45
2.3.2.3 Preservatives Selection.....	46
2.3.2.4 pH Modifier Concentration Determination	48
2.3.2.5 Lab Scale-up of PHS	48
2.3.3 Characterisation	51
2.3.3.1 Zeta Potential.....	51

2.3.3.2 Particle Size and Particle Size Distribution.....	51
2.3.3.3 pH and Viscosity	52
2.3.4 Animal Study	52
2.3.4.1 Experimental Animal.....	52
2.3.4.2 Analgesic Activity	53
2.3.4.3 Antipyretic Activity.....	54
2.3.5 Statistical Analysis.....	55
2.4 Results and Discussion	55
2.4.1 Compatibility Study	55
2.4.1.1 Thermal Behaviour of PCM, Excipients and PCM:Excipient Mixtures	55
2.4.1.2 ATR Spectrum of PCM, Excipients and PCM:Excipient Mixtures	58
2.4.1.3 Thermal Behaviour of Honey and Honey:Excipient Binary Mixtures	65
2.4.1.4 ATR Spectra of Honey, Honey:Paracetamol and Honey:Excipient Mixtures.....	71
2.4.1.5 ATR Spectra of PHS and Comparators	74
2.4.2 Formulation Development	76
2.4.2.1 Zeta Potential and Phase Separation Study	76
2.4.2.2 Preservative Challenge Test	82
2.4.2.3 pH Modifier Concentration	85
2.4.3 Characterisation	87
2.4.3.1 Zeta Potential.....	87
2.4.3.2 Particle Size and Particle Size Distribution.....	89
2.4.3.3 pH and Viscosity	92
2.4.4 Animal Study	94
2.4.4.1 Analgesic Activity: Hot Plate Method.....	94
2.4.4.2 Antipyretic Activity: Brewer’s Yeast-induced Pyrexia Model.....	97
2.5 Conclusion	100

CHAPTER THREE: ANALYTICAL METHOD OF PARACETAMOL, 4-AMINOPHENOL AND 5-HMF IN PHS.....101

3.1 Introduction	101
3.2 AMV of PHS	102
3.2.1 Materials	102
3.2.1.1 Chemicals and Reagents.....	102
3.2.1.2 Instrumentation.....	103
3.2.2 Experimental Methods	103
3.2.2.1 Preparation of Solutions	103
3.2.3 Method Verification.....	104
3.2.3.1 Specificity.....	104
3.2.3.2 Precision	105
3.2.3.3 Intermediate Precision	106
3.2.3.4 Linearity, LOD and LOQ	106
3.3 AMV of 4-aminophenol	107
3.3.1 Materials	107
3.3.1.1 Chemicals and Reagents.....	107

3.3.1.2 Instrumentation.....	107
3.3.2 Experimental Methods.....	109
3.3.2.1 Preparation of Solutions.....	109
3.3.3 Method Verification.....	109
3.3.3.1 Specificity, Peak Purity, LOD and LOQ.....	110
3.4 Specificity and Linearity of 5-HMF.....	110
3.4.1 Materials.....	110
3.4.1.1 Chemicals and Reagents.....	110
3.4.1.2 Instrumentation.....	111
3.4.2 Experimental Method.....	111
3.4.2.1 Preparation of Solutions.....	111
3.4.3 Specificity and Linearity Verifications.....	112
3.4.3.1 Specificity.....	112
3.4.3.2 Linearity, LOD and LOQ.....	112
3.5 Results and Discussion.....	113
3.5.1 PHS: Specificity and Peak Purity.....	113
3.5.2 PHS: Linearity, LOD and LOQ.....	115
3.5.3 PHS: Precision and Intermediate Precision.....	117
3.5.4 4-aminophenol: Specificity, Peak Purity, LOD and LOQ.....	119
3.5.5 5-HMF: Specificity.....	126
3.5.6 5-HMF: Linearity, LOD and LOQ.....	129
3.6 Conclusion.....	130

CHAPTER FOUR: SCALE-UP, STABILITY STUDY AND PROCESS

VALIDATION.....	131
4.1 Introduction.....	131
4.2 Scale-up.....	133
4.2.1 Materials.....	133
4.2.2 Method.....	135
4.2.2.1 Procedures.....	135
4.2.2.2 Characterisation.....	138
4.3 Stability Study.....	141
4.3.1 Materials.....	141
4.3.2 Method.....	141
4.3.2.1 Procedures.....	141
4.3.2.2 Characterisation.....	142
4.4 Process Validation (PV).....	146
4.4.1 Materials.....	142
4.4.2 Method.....	142
4.4.2.1 Procedures.....	142
4.4.2.2 Characterisation.....	143
4.5 Results and Discussion.....	145
4.5.1 Scale-up: Quality Target Product Profile (QTPP), Critical Quality Attributes (CQA) and Critical Process Parameters (CPP).....	145
4.5.2 Scale-up: Deliverable Volume.....	150
4.5.3 Scale-up: Uniformity of Content.....	152
4.5.4 Scale-up: Particle Size.....	153
4.5.5 Scale-up: Polydispersity Index.....	156

4.5.6 Scale-up: Zeta Potential	157
4.5.7 Scale-up: Viscosity	159
4.5.8 Scale-up: pH.....	161
4.5.9 Stability: Appearance.....	162
4.5.10 Stability: PCM Assay.....	163
4.5.11 Stability: 4-aminophenol Content.....	165
4.5.12 Stability: pH.....	168
4.5.13 Stability: Particle Size.....	169
4.5.14 Stability: Polydispersity Index	171
4.5.15 Stability: Zeta Potential.....	172
4.5.16 Stability: Viscosity.....	174
4.5.17 Stability: TAMC, TYMC and Absence of <i>E. coli</i>	175
4.5.18 Stability: 5-hydroxymethyl furfural (HMF).....	181
4.5.19 Process Validation: In Process Quality Control (IPQC).....	182
4.5.20 Process Validation: Deliverable Volume.....	183
4.5.21 Process Validation: Uniformity of Content	186
4.5.22 Process Validation: Finished Product Tests.....	187
4.6 Conclusion	189
CHAPTER FIVE: GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	190
5.1 General Discussion	190
5.2 General Conclusion	198
5.3 Recommendation and Future Works	199
REFERENCES.....	201
APPENDIX I: ANIMAL CARE & USE ETHICAL APPROVAL	219
APPENDIX II: RESEARCH ACHIEVEMENTS	219

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Glycemic Index of selected honey	12
Table 1.2	HMF contents of selected food products (Adapted from Capuano & Fogliano, 2011)	18
Table 1.3	Summary of some antipyretic activity screening on drug and natural remedy on animal	20
Table 1.4	Summary of some analgesic experimental animal models investigated on drug and traditional medicines	23
Table 1.5	Examples of drugs undergo chemical interaction with excipients	25
Table 1.6	Summary of some compatibility studies using different thermal and analytical techniques	27
Table 1.7	List of parameters and definitions in AMV (Huber, 2010; ICH Expert Working Group, 2000; ICHHT, 2005)	30
Table 1.8	Parameters for analytical method validation (non-compendial method) requirements by National Pharmaceutical Regulatory Agency (NPRA), Malaysia. Positive symbol (+) means required while negative (-) symbol means not required (National Pharmacy Regulatory Agency, 2015a)	31
Table 1.9	AMV requirements for compendial method. Positive symbol (+) means required while negative (-) symbol means not required (National Pharmacy Regulatory Agency, 2015a)	32
Table 2.1	Agar media, incubation temperature and time for <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> , <i>Escherichia coli</i> and <i>Candida albicans</i>	47
Table 2.2	United States Pharmacopoeia (USP) specifications for preservative challenge test	47
Table 2.3	The total number of Sprague-Dawley rats used in this experiment	53
Table 2.4	Thermal data of PCM, excipients and binary mixtures of PCM:excipient. T_{onset} refers to temperature where the melting process begins and T_{peak} refers to the melting point peak in the DSC curve	55

Table 2.5	Thermal data of honey, honey-PCM and honey-excipients binary mixture. T_{onset} refers to temperature where the melting process begins and T_{peak} refers to the melting point peak in the DSC curve	70
Table 2.6	Physical appearance of the suspension after forced stability study for different type and concentration of stabilizer. (n=4)	81
Table 2.7	Average microbial plate count (cfu/mL) at 0 th , 14 th and 28 th day for PHS without preservative (negative control), PHS with mixture of 0.1 % w/v sodium benzoate (SB) and 0.1 % w/v potassium sorbate (PS) and PHS with mixture of 0.08 % w/v methyl paraben (MP) and 0.02 % w/v propyl paraben (PP) on different bacteria and yeast. (n=10)	85
Table 2.8	Effect of PHS and Panadol [®] suspension on hot plate induced pain in rat	95
Table 2.9	Effect of PHS and Panadol [®] suspension on Brewer's yeast-induced pyrexia in rats	98
Table 3.1	Gradient mobile phase of 4-aminophenol HPLC system	108
Table 3.2	Peak summary characteristics of system suitability solution (0.01 mg/mL)	114
Table 3.3	Peak summary characteristics of paracetamol standard 0.01 mg/mL, PHS, 0.01 mg/mL and blank	115
Table 3.4	System suitability test (SST) and precision of PHS performed by analyst A. The % RSD was 1.0 and 1.2 respectively	118
Table 3.5	Intermediate precision of PHS performed by analyst B on a different day. The % RSD was 0.2 and 0.5 respectively	118
Table 3.6	Peak summary characteristics of 4-aminophenol system suitability solution (2.5 µg/mL)	122
Table 3.7	Peak summary characteristics of blank (0.01 M sodium 1-butanefulfonate in mixture of water, methanol and formic acid 85:15:0.4), 4-aminophenol system suitability solution (2.5 µg/mL), paracetamol standard solution (0.01 mg/mL) and PHS (5 mg/mL)	125
Table 3.8	Peak summary characteristics of 5-HMF system suitability solution (5 µg/mL)	127

Table 3.9	Peak summary characteristics of blank (mixture of water and methanol 90:10), 5-hydroxymethylfurfural system suitability solution (5 µg/mL) and PHS (7.73 mg/mL)	128
Table 4.1	List of raw materials and packaging materials of PHS	134
Table 4.2	The physical appearance of PHS after storage inside stability chambers at different time point (0, 1, 3 and 6 month)	163
Table 4.3	TAMC, TYMC absence of <i>E. coli</i> tests of PHS at 0 month stability point	177
Table 4.4	TAMC, TYMC absence of <i>E. coli</i> tests of PHS at 1 month stability point	178
Table 4.5	TAMC, TYMC absence of <i>E. coli</i> tests of PHS at 3 month stability point	179
Table 4.6	TAMC, TYMC absence of <i>E. coli</i> tests of PHS at 6 month stability point	179
Table 4.7	IPQC tests of PHS during process validation. Data represented by mean ± SD. (n = 10)	183
Table 4.8	Finished product tests of PHS after process validation. (n = 3)	188

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Example of ionisation of carboxyl and amino groups in protein at different pH	6
Figure 1.2	The electric double layer. a) Schematic representation b) Changes in potential with distance from particle surface (Adopted from Mohamed, 2008 with permission)	7
Figure 1.3	Metabolism of PCM both at therapeutic and toxic doses (Adapted from Lancaster et al., 2014)	11
Figure 1.4	Wong Baker FACES pain rating scales (Adapted from (Garra et al., 2013)	21
Figure 1.5	Flowchart for the role of analytical techniques in excipients screening adapted from Chadha & Bhandari (2014)	28
Figure 1.6	Example of Ishikawa diagram summarising critical process parameters (CPP) for PHS	33
Figure 1.7	Example of risk assessment of getting a stable PHS during manufacturing using Pareto chart	34
Figure 1.8	Research flow	39
Figure 2.1	Schematic diagram on the preparation of lab scale-up PHS (2 litres). PCM = PCM, SMP = sodium methyl paraben, SPP = sodium propyl paraben and SC = sodium citrate	50
Figure 2.2	DSC curve of PCM alone showing melting peak at 170.8°C	56
Figure 2.3	DSC curve of PCM-SMP (cyan), SPP (purple), SMP (blue), PCM-SPP (red) and PCM (green) (right)	57
Figure 2.4	ATR spectrum of PCM	59
Figure 2.5	ATR spectrum of honey	59
Figure 2.6	ATR spectrum of xanthan gum	60
Figure 2.7	ATR spectrum of sodium methyl paraben	60
Figure 2.8	ATR spectrum of sodium propyl paraben	61
Figure 2.9	ATR spectrum of sodium citrate	61

Figure 2.10	ATR spectrum of PCM:honey binary mixture. PCM characteristic peaks were shown at 3321.08, 1649.25 and 1609.57 cm^{-1}	62
Figure 2.11	ATR spectrum of PCM:XG binary mixture. PCM characteristic peaks were shown at 3322.53 and 1609.56 cm^{-1}	63
Figure 2.12	ATR spectrum of PCM:SMP binary mixture. Characteristic peaks of PCM were shown at 3322.32, 3160.48, 1649.73 and 1561.30 cm^{-1}	63
Figure 2.13	ATR spectrum of PCM:SPP binary mixture. Characteristic peaks of PCM were shown at 3161.72, 1678.52 and 1587.82 cm^{-1}	64
Figure 2.14	ATR spectrum of PCM:SC binary mixture. Characteristic peaks of PCM were shown at 3322.36, 3160.73, 1650.04 and 1587.82 cm^{-1}	64
Figure 2.15	DSC curve of honey	67
Figure 2.16	DSC curve of honey:PCM binary mixture	67
Figure 2.17	DSC curve of honey:xanthan gum binary mixture	68
Figure 2.18	DSC curve of honey:sodium methyl paraben binary mixture	68
Figure 2.19	DSC curve of honey:sodium propyl paraben binary mixture	69
Figure 2.20	DSC curve of honey:sodium citrate binary mixture	69
Figure 2.21	Combined DSC curves of honey:PCM (blue), honey:SMP (green), honey:SPP (red), honey:XG (cyan) and honey:SC (magenta). Red arrow indicated the shifting of melting peaks of PCM, SMP, SPP and XG	70
Figure 2.22	ATR spectrum of honey. Characteristic peaks of honey were shown at 3320.89, 2938.70, 1648.04, 1425.03, 1028.38 and 778.00 cm^{-1}	72
Figure 2.23	ATR spectrum of honey:PCM binary mixture. Characteristic peaks of PCM were shown at 3321.08, 1649.26 and 1609.57 cm^{-1}	72
Figure 2.24	ATR spectrum of honey:xanthan gum binary mixture. Characteristic peaks of xanthan gum were shown at 3295.82, 2938.08, 1644.03, 1419.63 and 1027.54 cm^{-1}	73
Figure 2.25	ATR spectrum of honey:sodium methyl paraben binary mixture. Characteristic peaks of SMP were shown at 3303.92, 1658.20, 1586.70, 1435.96, 1277.96 and 1159.77 cm^{-1}	73

Figure 2.26	ATR spectrum of honey:SPP binary mixture. Characteristic peaks of SPP were shown at 32.99.75, 2937.74, 1666.99, 1587.88, 1455.76, 1269.38 and 1156.63 cm^{-1}	74
Figure 2.27	ATR spectrum of honey:SC binary mixture. Characteristic peaks of SC were shown at 3271.73, 1574.83, 1388.34 and 1031.41 cm^{-1}	74
Figure 2.28	ATR spectrum of Panadol [®] suspension. Characteristic peaks of PCM were shown at 3283.48, 1643.63 and 1421.88 cm^{-1}	75
Figure 2.29	ATR spectrum of Uphamol suspension. Characteristic peaks of PCM were shown at 3293.52, 1643.33 and 1422.79 cm^{-1}	76
Figure 2.30	ATR spectrum of PHS. Characteristic peaks of PCM were shown at 3306.20, 1643.30 and 1427.49 cm^{-1}	76
Figure 2.31	Zeta potential values of different type and concentration of stabilisers. XG: xanthan gum, AG: arabic gum and Kol CLM: Kollidon [®] CL-M. Data represented by mean \pm SD (error bar) (n=3)	79
Figure 2.32	pH values of honey, honey + PCM + xanthan gum (A), different concentration of sodium citrate inside premixed solution containing preservatives (B, C, D, E, F) and mixtures of solution A with B, C, D, E and F. United States Pharmacopoeia (USP) upper specification limit (USL): 6.9 while the USP lower specification limit (LSL): 4.0. PCM: PCM, XG: xanthan gum and SC:sodium citrate. Data represented by mean \pm SD (error bar) (n=3).	87
Figure 2.33	Zeta potential of Panadol [®] suspension, Axcel PCM suspension, PHS at 0, 5, 7.5 and 10 of milling time. Data represented by mean \pm SD (error bar) (n=3)	89
Figure 2.34	Particle size of Panadol [®] suspension, Axcel PCM suspension and PHS at 0, 5, 7.5 and 10 of milling time. Data represented by mean \pm SD (error bar) (n=3)	90
Figure 2.35	Particle size distribution (span) of Panadol [®] suspension, Axcel PCM suspension and PHS at 0, 5, 7.5 and 10 of milling time. Data represented by mean \pm SD (error bar) (n=3)	92
Figure 2.36	pH values of PHS at 0, 5, 7.5 and 10 of milling time. Data represented by mean \pm SD (error bar) (n=3)	93
Figure 2.37	Viscosity of Panadol [®] suspension, Axcel PCM suspension and PHS at 0, 5, 7.5 and 10 of milling time. Data represented by mean \pm SD (error bar) (n=3)	94

Figure 2.38	Effects of PHS and Panadol [®] suspension on hot plate induced pain in rat. Values were expressed as mean + S.E.M (n=6). * Denotes significant difference of Panadol [®] and PHS from control group and \square denotes significant difference of PHS from Panadol [®] suspension using one way ANOVA followed by samples t-test at p<0.05	96
Figure 2.39	Effect of PHS and Panadol [®] suspension on Brewer's yeast-induced pyrexia in rats. Values were expressed as mean + S.E.M (n=6). *Denotes significant difference from rectal temperature at 18 hour after yeast injection and control group (normal saline) at p<0.05, using one way ANOVA followed by samples t-test. There was no significant difference between PHS and Panadol [®] suspension	99
Figure 3.1	Peaks of system suitability solution (0.01 mg/mL) (n=6)	114
Figure 3.2	Chromatograms of PCM standard 0.01 mg/mL (red), PHS, 0.01 mg/mL (green) and blank (blue)	115
Figure 3.3	Linearity curve of PHS with slope = 17247 and % RSD = 67.1	117
Figure 3.4	Specificity chromatogram for blank (red), system suit or 4-aminophenol, 2.5 microgram/mL (green), PCM standard (blue) and PHS (black). The retention time of 4-aminophenol peak is 2.431 min	125
Figure 3.5	Peaks of 5-HMF six system suitability solutions (5 μ g/mL) (n=6, with different colour code)	127
Figure 3.6	Specificity chromatogram for blank (red), standard of 5-HMF (purple), PHS (blue) and PHS spike with 5-HMF (green). The retention time of 5-HMF peak is 2.264 min	128
Figure 3.7	Linearity curve of 5-HMF with slope = 253020 and % RSD = 67.9%	130
Figure 4.1	Schematic diagram of industrial scale-up of PHS (500 L). PCM: PCM, SMP: sodium methyl paraben, SPP: sodium propyl paraben, SC: sodium citrate, TSP: top sampling point and BSP: bottom sampling point.	137
Figure 4.2	A) 1000 L mixing tank B) Top sampling point C) Bottom sampling point	144
Figure 4.3	Ishikawa diagram lists the quality target product profile (QTPP) of PHS	145
Figure 4.4	Ishikawa diagram illustrates the critical quality attributes (CQA) of PHS	147

Figure 4.5	Label at the primary packaging of PHS containing composition, presentation, indication, dosage and administration, contraindication, precautions, side effects, drug interaction and storage instruction as required by NPRA	148
Figure 4.6	Ishikawa diagram shows the critical process parameters (CPP) of PHS	150
Figure 4.7	Deliverable volume of PHS filled in 10 bottles of 60 mL during scale-up process. Red bars indicate the volume filled was below 57 mL. Upper specification limit (USL) = 66 mL and lower specification limit (LSL) = 54 mL	151
Figure 4.8	Uniformity of content of PHS after scale-up process for 10 bottles. Upper specification limit (USL) = 110 % and lower specification limit (LSL) = 90 %	153
Figure 4.9	Particle size of PCM in PHS before colloid mill and after milling at 7.0, 5.0, 3.0 and 1.0 mm colloid mill gap. The PHS was milled for 60 minutes in each colloid mill gap and at every 10 minutes, samples of PHS were taken from the sampling points and characterised (n=6). Each bar in each colloid mill gap group represented each particle size of PHS at 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 minutes respectively	155
Figure 4.10	Polydispersity index of PCM in PHS before colloid mill and after milling at 7.0, 5.0, 3.0 and 1.0 mm colloid mill gap. The PHS was milled for 60 minutes in each colloid mill gap and at every 10 minutes, samples of PHS were taken from the sampling points and characterised (n=6). Each bar in each colloid mill gap group represented each polydispersity index of PHS at 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 minutes respectively	157
Figure 4.11	Zeta potential of PCM in PHS before colloid mill and after milling at 7.0, 5.0, 3.0 and 1.0 mm colloid mill gap. The PHS was milled for 60 minutes in each colloid mill gap and at every 10 minutes, samples of PHS were taken from the sampling points and characterised (n=6). Each bar in each colloid mill gap group represented each zeta potential value of PHS at 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 minutes respectively	159
Figure 4.12	Viscosity of PCM in PHS before milling and after milling at 7.0, 5.0, 3.0 and 1.0 mm colloid mill gap. The PHS was milled for 60 minutes in each colloid mill gap and at every 10 minutes, samples of PHS were taken from the sampling points and characterised (n=6). Each bar in each colloid mill gap group represented each viscosity value of PHS at 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 minutes respectively	160

Figure 4.13	pH values of PHS before and after milling at 1.0, 3.0, 5.0 and 7.0 mm colloid mill gap. The PHS was milled for 60 minutes in each colloid mill gap and at every 10 minutes, samples of PHS were taken from the sampling points and characterised (n=6). Each bar in each colloid mill gap group represented each pH value of PHS at 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 minutes respectively	161
Figure 4.14	PCM content (% w/v) in PHS at different stability time point (month). Upper specification limit (USL) = 110 % and lower specification limit = 90 %. ACC = accelerated and RT = real time	165
Figure 4.15	HPLC chromatograms of 4-aminophenol in PHS stored inside real time stability chamber at different stability time point (0, 1, 3, 6 month)	166
Figure 4.16	HPLC chromatograms of 4-aminophenol in PHS stored inside accelerated stability chamber at different stability time point (0, 1, 3, 6 month)	167
Figure 4.17	pH of PHS at different stability time points. Upper specification limit (USL) = 6.9 and lower specification limit (LSL) = 4.0. ACC = accelerated and RT = real time	169
Figure 4.18	Particle size (nm) of PHS at different stability time point, compared to Panadol [®] suspension. *: significant different from Panadol [®] particle size at p<0.05. ACC = accelerated and RT = real time	171
Figure 4.19	Polydispersity index of PHS at different stability time points, compared to Panadol [®] suspension. *: significant different from Panadol [®] particle size at p<0.05. ACC = accelerated and RT = real time	172
Figure 4.20	Zeta potential (mV) of PHS at different stability time point, compared to Panadol [®] suspension. ACC = accelerated and RT = real time	173
Figure 4.21	Viscosity (Pa.s) of PHS at different stability time point, compared to Panadol [®] suspension. ACC = accelerated and RT = real time	175
Figure 4.22	5-HMF value of honey, PHS before stability study and after 3 month stability study stored in both accelerated and real time stability chambers. ACC = accelerated and RT = real time. (n=1)	182

Figure 4.23	Deliverable volume of PHS filled in 10 bottles of 120 mL PET amber bottle during PV. Upper specification limit (USL) = 110 mL and lower specification limit (LSL) = 90 mL	185
Figure 4.24	Process capability for filling volume of paracetamol honey suspension during PV	186
Figure 4.25	Uniformity of content of PHS filled in 10 bottles of 120 mL PET amber bottle during PV. Upper specification limit (USL) = 110 mL and lower specification limit (LSL) = 90 mL	187

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

API	Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient
ACC	Accelerated
ATR-FTIR	Attenuated Total Reflectance Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy
BP	British Pharmacopoeia
CPP	Critical Process Parameters
CQA	Critical Quality Attributes
DSC	Differential Scanning Calorimetry
DPMO	Defects per Million Opportunities
HPLC	High Performance Liquid Chromatography
HSM	Hot Stage Microscope
IPQC	In Process Quality Control
LSL	Lower Specification Limit
NPRA	National Pharmaceutical Regulatory Agency
PCM	Paracetamol
PdI	Polydispersity Index
PHS	Paracetamol Honey Suspension
PV	Process Validation
QTPP	Quality Target Product Profile
RH	Relative Humidity
RT	Real Time
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
SC	Sodium Citrate
SMP	Sodium Methyl Paraben
SPP	Sodium Propyl Paraben
TAMC	Total Aerobic Microbial Count
TYMC	Total Yeast and Mould Count
USL	Upper Specification Limit
USP	United States Pharmacopoeia
XG	Xanthan Gum

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

Paracetamol or acetaminophen, developed by Von Mehring in 1893, is a weak acidic drug with poor water solubility. Paracetamol is the first line analgesic agent and top selling over the counter antipyretic. Paracetamol prescribed for mild and moderate pain also contributes over USD 1 billion sales annually (Brune, Renner, & Tiegs, 2015).

Liquid form medication is intended for administration in children or adults, especially elderly that may have difficulty in swallowing solid dosage in tablet or capsule form. At lower concentration, for example 120 mg/5 mL strength of dose, PCM can be formulated into syrup formulation (a single phase solution). However, the syrup dosage form needs higher volume to be administered for greater dose, prompting the development of PCM suspension. The suspension is a multiple phase system consisting of well dispersed solid particles (PCM or active pharmaceutical ingredients) suspended in liquid phase that consists of variety of agents (suspending agent, flocculating and deflocculating agents, surfactants and agent that can reduce sedimentation rate). The existing formulation employs 12 or more excipients (Subramaniam & Nandan, 2012; Tangri, Madhav, & Khurana, 2011).

On top of that, the quantity of sugar such as sucrose, glucose and sorbitol used is extremely high ranging from 11 - 66% of the total volume (Babu, Doddamani, Naik, & Jagadeesh, 2014; Lustig, Schmidt, & Brindis, 2012; Subramaniam & Nandan, 2012). High sugar content in paediatric liquid medications concerns many researchers on the development of dental caries (Maguire, Rugg-Gunn, & Butler, 1996; Mariotti

& Lucisano, 2014; Subramaniam & Nandan, 2012) in children especially in those with long term medication and who take medication frequently due to coughs and common colds.

Honey is a sweet, highly viscous fluid produced by honey bees from nectar derived from flowers. It contains a complex mixture of carbohydrates, mainly fructose and glucose while other sugars are present as traces. Honey also contains a variety of minerals and microelements, in which the types are depending on the floral origin (Adams, Manley-Harris, & Molan, 2009; Vallianou, Gounari, Skourtis, Panagos, 2014).

Therefore, honey was employed not only for its favourable Newtonian fluid (Cohen & Weihs, 2010; Witczak, Juszczak, & Gałkowska, 2011) properties but also for its wholesome benefits including as sweetener, flavouring agent, thickener and suspending agent (Robert & Ismail, 2009). A study conducted by Erejuwa, Sulaiman, & Ab Wahab (2012) reported that administration of honey orally or via inhalation was reported to reduce considerably the concentrations of blood glucose in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. In type 1 diabetic patients, honey was shown to produce lower blood glucose compared to sucrose and glucose, indicating its lower glycaemic index (Chepulis & Francis, 2013; Deibert, König, Kloock, Groenefeld, & Berg, 2010).

In addition, consumption of PCM in early stage of infant and childhood was reported to be associated with increased incidence of asthma and allergic later in their life (Henderson & Shaheen, 2013). On the other hand, many studies by Al Ameen et al. (2011), El-Aidy et al. (2015) and Kamaruzaman, Sulaiman, Kaur, & Yahaya (2014) have reported that honey to be a promising alternative treatment for asthma by reducing airway inflammation.