ATTITUDES, PERCEPTIONS AND VIEWS OF ARAB PHARMACISTS AND PHARMACY STUDENTS REGARDING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF E-LEARNING AND WEB 2.0 TOOLS IN TRANSFERRING OF PHARMACEUTICAL KNOWLEDGE

BY

RAMEZ M. ALKOUDMANI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master in Pharmaceutical Sciences (Pharmacy Practice)

Kulliyyah of Pharmacy International Islamic University Malaysia

MAY 2017

ABSTRACT

E-learning is considered one of the major trends in the digital age. Online learning helps pharmacy students and pharmacy practitioners to continue their professional development and to improve their skills via the internet. E-learning has positive effects on medical and pharmacy education in developed countries. Educators nowadays can build online educational materials based on their students learning styles and manage the learning process through learning management systems. However, scarce research efforts have been observed about the effectiveness of e-learning and web 2.0 tools in pharmacy education regarding transferring of pharmaceutical knowledge in Arabic Language Speaking Countries (ALSCs). This study consolidated into two phases. In the first phase a cross-sectional study design has been adopted to evaluate the attitudes and perceptions of Arab pharmacists and pharmacy students. While one-group pre-test posttest study design has been used in the second phase to assess the effectiveness of using e-learning and web 2.0 tools and explore the views of study participants regarding the effectiveness of using these technologies in transferring of pharmaceutical knowledge. The study results showed that the majority of respondents have highly positive attitudes towards using e-learning and emerging web 2.0 tools in transferring pharmaceutical knowledge. Similarly, the majority of them have high confidence level in learning and shared the same motivation to learn using such novel tools. Using Schoology[®] as LMS and Facebook® as the most popular social network site was effective. Upon completion of the online course, a significant improvement in the participants' level of knowledge about the studied topic has been observed. Highly positive views of respondents regarding the effectiveness of e-learning and web 2.0 tools have been perceived. Major logistical and technical barriers imped the successful adoption of e-learning in ALSCs. However, this study showed a promising results regarding using novel online educational technologies in transferring of pharmaceutical knowledge. Further researches are encouraged to study the convenient, compatibility and the effectiveness of e-learning and web 2.0 tools in pharmacy education in ALSCs. A steady expansion in the digital gap between ALSCs and developed nations empowers launching wellplanned initiatives to facilitate the proper use and implementation of novel technologies in pharmacy education.

خُلاصة البحث

يُعتبر التعليم الإلكتروني واحد من أهم التوجهات في العصر الرقمي. يُساعد التعليم الإلكتروني طلاب الصيدلة والصيادلة في استمرار تطويرهم مهنيّاً وفي تطوير مهاراتهم عبر الإنترنت. هناك تأثيرات إيجابية للتعليم الإلكتروبي على التعليم الطيّ والصيدلاني في الدول المتقدّمة. بإمكان معلّمي اليوم إنشاء مواد تعليميّة عبر الإنترنت وفقاً للأنماط التعليميّة لطلابهم وإدارة العمليّة التعليميّة عبر منظومات إدارة التعليم الإلكترونيّة. مع ذلك، هناك القليل من الأبحاث حول فعاليّة استخدام التعليم الإلكتروني والجيل الثاني من أدوات الويب الحديثة في التعليم الصيدلاني في الدول الناطقة باللغة العربيّة بغرض نقل المعرفة الصيدلانيّة. تضمّنت هذه الدراسة طورين. تمّ استخدام تصميم من نمط Cross-sectional في الطور الأوّل من هذه الدراسة بهدف تقييم مواقف وتصورات الصيادلة وطلّاب الصيدلة العرب. في حين تمّ اعتماد تصميم -One group pre-test post-test في المرحلة الثانية لتقييم فعاليّة وآراء المشاركين في الدراسة فيما يتعلّق باستخدام التعليم الإلكتروني والجيل الثاني من أدوات الويب الحديثة في نقل المعرفة الصيدلانيّة. أظهرت نتائج الدراسة أنّ لغالبيّة المرشاركين مواقف إيجابيّة بشكلِ عالٍ فيما يخص استخدام التعليم الإلكتروني والجيل الثاني لأدوات الويب الحديثة في نقل المعرفة الصيدلانيّة. وبالمثل، كان لدى مُعظمهم ثقة عالية في التعلُّم وكانوا يتقاسمون نفس الدافع نحو التعلُّم باستخدام الجيل الثاني لأدوات الويب الحديثة. كان الاستخدام فعّالاً لأداة سكولوجي كمنظومة إدارة تعليم وفيس بوك كأكثر موقع تواصل اجتماعي شعبيّةً. لوحظ زيادة في درجات المعرفة بصورة جليّة بعد حضور مساق إلكتروني عبر شبكة الإنترنت حول الموضوع المدروس. كانت آراء المشاركين إيجابيّة بشكل عالٍ حول فعاليّة التعليم الإلكتروني والجيل الثاني من أدوات الويب. هناك عوائق أساسيّة تحول دون التطبيق الناجح للتعليم الإلكتروني في الدول الناطقة باللغة العربيّة مثل مشاكل تكنولوجيا المعلومات والاتصالات، وحواجز اللغة، والحروب والصراعات السياسيّة، وضعف التعليم، وارتفاع تكاليف التواصل عبر الإنترنت، وعدم وجود مُعلّمين مؤهلين ومتمرسين في تكنولوجيا المعلومات والاتصالات. رغم هذا، فقد أظهرت هذه الدراسة نتائج واعدة فيما يتعلق باستخدام التقنيّات التعليميّة المبتكرة في نقل المعرفة الصيدلانيّة. يُوصّى بأبحاث أُخرى في الدول الناطقة باللغة العربيّة لدراسة فعاليّة وملائمة وتوافّق التعليم الإلكتروني والجيل الثاني من أدوات الويب في التعليم الطبّي والصيدلاني. هناك زيادة مُطّردة في اتساع الفجوة الرقميّة بين الدول الناطقة باللغة العربيّة والدول المتقدّمة، ممّا يدفع إطلاق مُبادرات مُخطط له بصورة جيّدة لتسهيل الاستخدام الأنسب وتطبيق التكنولوجيّات المبتكرة في التعليم الصيدلاني.

APPROVAL PAGE

I certify that I have supervised and read this study to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation a quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master in P Practice).	and is fully adequate, in scope and
	Ramadan Mohamed Elkalmi Supervisor
I certify that I have read this study and that in my standards of scholarly presentation and is fully adeq for the degree of Master in Pharmaceutical Science	uate, in scope and quality, as a thesis
	Siti Hadijah Shamsudin Internal Examiner
	Mohamed Azmi Ahmad Hassali External Examiner
This thesis was submitted to the Department of Phafulfilment of the requirement for the degree of M (Pharmacy Practice).	
	Che Suraya Mohd Zin Head, Department of Pharmacy Practice
This thesis was submitted to the Kulliyyah of Pharr of the requirement for the degree of Master in P Practice).	
	Juliana Md. Jaffri Dean, Kulliyyah of Pharmacy

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this dissertation is the resu	It of my own investigations, except
where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has	not been previously or concurrently
submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUN	M or other institutions.
Ramez M. Alkoudmani	
Signature	Date

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH

ATTITUDES, PERCEPTIONS AND VIEWS OF ARAB PHARMACISTS AND PHARMACY STUDENTS REGARDING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF E-LEARNING AND WEB 2.0 TOOLS IN TRANSFERRING OF PHARMACEUTICAL KNOWLEDGE

I declare that the copyright holders of this dissertation are jointly owned by the student and IIUM.

Copyright © 2016 Ramez M. Alkoudmani and International Islamic University Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below

- 1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
- 2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
- 3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieved system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledged that I have read and understand the IIUM Intellectual Property Right and Commercialization policy.

Affirmed by Ramez M. Alkoudmani	
Signature	Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly, it is my pleasure to dedicate this work to Assistant Professor Dr. Ramadan Elkalmi for his continuous support, encouragement and leadership, and for that, I will be forever grateful.

I wish to express my appreciation and thanks to those who provided their time, effort and support for this project. Special thanks to Prof. Dr. Mohamed Azmi Hassali (USM) and staff members at Kulliyyha of pharmacy (IIUM) for their generous support.

To the members of my dissertation committee, thank you for sticking with me. To my dear parents and my family, who granted me the gift of their unwavering belief in my ability to accomplish this goal: thank you for your support and patience.

Finally, I dedicate this work for all Arab countries wishing policy makers to get benefits from the findings of this research towards minimizing the digital gap between Arab states and developed nations.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstractii
Abstract in Arabiciii
Approval Pageiv
Declarationv
Copyright Pagevi
Acknowledgementsvii
Table of Contentsviii
List of Tablesxii
List of Figuresxix
List of Abbreviationsxx
List of Appendicesxxi
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION1
1.1 Background of the Study
1.2 Statement of the Problem 3
1.3 Research Objectives 4
1.4 Rationale of the Study
1.5 Significance of the Study
1.6 Thesis Overview
1.6.1 Chapter 1
1.6.2 Chapter 2
1.6.3 Chapter 3
1.6.4 Chapter 4
1.6.5 Chapter 5
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW8
2.1 Background 8
2.1.1 Introduction8
2.2 Advantages and Disadvantages of Web-Based Learning
2.3 Factors Supporting the Rise and Success of E-Learning
2.4 Attitudes and Perceptions of Teachers and Learners of Online Learning11
2.5 Using Web 2.0 Tools in Medical and Pharmacy Education
2.6 Using Learning Management Systems in Medical and Pharmacy Education 14
2.7 Using Social Media Sites for Educational Purposes in Medical and Pharmacy
Education15
2.8 E-Learning in Pharmacy Education Globally and in Arabic Language Speaking
Countries (ALSCs)
CHAPTER THREE: ATTITUDES AND PERCEPTIONS OF ARAB
PHARMACISTS AND PHARMACY STUDENTS REGARDING USING
ELEARNING AND WEB 2.0 TOOLS IN TRANSFERRING OF
PHARMACEUTICAL KNOWLEDGE26
3.1 Introduction
3.2 Objectives
3 3 Study Design 27

3.4	Study Population	.27
	3.4.1 Inclusion Criteria	.28
	3.4.2 Exclusion Criteria	.28
3.5	Sample Size	.31
3.6	Questionnaire Design	.32
	3.6.1 Face and Content Validation of the Questionnaire	.32
	3.6.2 Survey Administration and Time Frame	
3.7	Data Analysis	
3.8	Results	.35
	3.8.1 Response Rate	.35
	3.8.2 Socio-Demographic Data	
	3.8.2.1 Internet Usage and Accessibility	.45
	3.8.2.2 Frequency of Using Internet and Web 2.0 Tools	
	3.8.2.3 Familiarity Towards Web-Based Learning	
	3.8.2.4 Motivation and Confidence in Online Learning	
	3.8.3 Attitudes of Respondents about E-Learning and Web 2.0 Tools	.63
	3.8.3.1 Responses to the Statement A1 of the Online Questionnaire	.72
	3.8.3.2 Responses to the Statement A2 of the Online Questionnaire	.73
	3.8.3.3 Responses to the Statement A3 of the Online Questionnaire	
	3.8.3.4 Responses to the Statement A4 of the Online Questionnaire	.74
	3.8.3.5 Responses to the Statement A5 of the Online Questionnaire	.76
	3.8.3.6 Responses to the Statement A6 of the Online Questionnaire	.78
	3.8.3.7 Responses to the Statement A7 of the Online Questionnaire	.79
	3.8.3.8 Responses to the Statement A8 of the Online Questionnaire	.80
	3.8.3.9 Responses to the Statement A9 of the Online Questionnaire	.81
	3.8.3.10 Responses to the Statement A10 of the Online Questionnaire	.81
	3.8.3.11 Responses to the Statement A11 of the Online Questionnaire	.82
	3.8.3.12 Responses to the Statement A12 of the Online Questionnaire	.83
	3.8.3.13 Responses to the Statement A13 of the Online Questionnaire	
	3.8.3.14 Responses to the Statement A14 of the Online Questionnaire	
	3.8.3.15 Responses to the Statement A15 of the Online Questionnaire	
	3.8.3.16 Responses to the Statement A16 of the Online Questionnaire	
	3.8.3.17 Responses to the Statement A17 of the Online Questionnaire	
	3.8.3.18 Responses to the Statement P18 of the Online Questionnaire	
	3.8.3.19 Responses to the Statement P19 of the Online Questionnaire	
	3.8.3.20 Responses to the Statement P20 of the Online Questionnaire	
	3.8.4 Perceptions of Participants Towards Web 2.0 Tools and E-Learning	
	3.8.4.1 Responses to the Statement P1 of the Online Questionnaire	
	3.8.4.2 Responses to the Statement P2 of the Online Questionnaire	
	3.8.4.3 Responses to the Statement P3 of the Online Questionnaire	
	3.8.4.4 Responses to the Statement P4 of the Online Questionnaire	
	3.8.4.5 Responses to the Statement P5 of the Online Questionnaire	
	3.8.4.6 Responses to the Statement P6 of the Online Questionnaire	
	3.8.4.7 Responses to the Statement P7 of the Online Questionnaire	
	3.8.4.8 Responses to the Statement P8 of the Online Questionnaire	
	3.8.4.9 Responses to the Statement P9 of the Online Questionnaire	
	3.8.4.10 Responses to the Statement P10 of the Online Questionnair	
	3.8.4.11 Responses to the Statement P11 of the Online Questionnair	
	3.8.4.12 Responses to the Statement P12 of the Online Questionnair	.115

3.8.4.13 Responses to the Statement P13 of the Online Questionnair	.119
3.8.4.14 Responses to the Statement P14 of the Online Questionnair	
3.8.4.15 Responses to the Statement P15 of the Online Questionnair	
3.8.4.16 Responses to the Statement P16 of the Online Questionnair	
3.8.4.17 Responses to the Statement P17 of the Online Questionnair	
3.8.4.18 Responses to the Statement P18 of the Online Questionnair	
3.8.4.19 Responses to the Statement P19 of the Online Questionnair	
3.8.4.20 Responses to the Statement P20 of the Online Questionnair	
3.9 Discussion	
3.9.1 Response Rate	
3.9.2 Demographics and Attitudes Towards E-Learning and Web 2.0 Tools	
3.9.3 Perceptions Towards E-Learning and Web 2.0 Tools	
3.10 Limitations	
3.11 Conclusion	
3.12 Recommendations	
J.12 Teconiniciations	. 137
CHAPTER FOUR: ASSESSMENT OF ARAB PHARMACISTS	AND
PHARMACY STUDENTS' VIEWS REGARDING EFFECTIVENESS OF	
PHARMACEUTICAL KNOWLEDGE	
4.1 Introduction	
4.2 Objectives	
4.3 Study Design	
4.4 Study Population	
4.4.1 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria.	
4.5 Sample Size	
4.6 Questionnaire Design	
4.7 Face and Content Validation of the Questionnaire	
4.8 Digitalizing the Paper-Based Module	
4.9 Time Frame For Administration of Survey and Online Course	
4.10 Data Analysis	
4.11 Results	
4.11.1 Response Rate	
4.11.2 Socio-Demographic Data.	
4.11.3 Assessment of Knowledge Post The Online Educational Intervention	
4.11.4 Feedback About The Online Course	
4.11.4.1 Responses to Feedback Statements	
4.12 Discusion.	
4.12.1 Response Rate	
4.12.2 Socio-Demographics.	
4.12.3 Assessment of Knowledge Scores	
4.12.4 Assessment of Feedback to Online Course.	
4.13 Limitations	
4.14 Conclusion	
4.15 Recommendations	

CHAPTER FIVE: THESIS CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATI	ONS 167
5.1 Introduction	167
5.2 Overall Conclusion	167
5.3 Overall Recommendations	169
REFERENCES	170
APPENDICES	
Appendix A	185
Appendix B	191
Appendix C	194
Appendix D	209
Appendix E	212
Appendix F	
Appendix G	
Appendix H	
Appendix I	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Number of pharmacists in 18 Arabic Language Speaking Countries 29
Table 3.2 Numbers of undergraduate pharmacy students in 16 Arab states 30
Table 3.3 Socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants ($N = 680$) 36
Table 3.4 Gender responses according to current occupation 37
Table 3.5 Attending an online course responses according to current occupation $(N = 680)$
Table 3.6 Country of residency of the study participants ($N = 680$)
Table 3.7 Being familiar with e-learning responses according to country of residency $(N = 680)$
Table 3.8 Attending an online course responses according to country of residency $(N = 680)$
Table 3.9 Possessing an internet connection by study participants ($N = 680$) 45
Table 3.10 Responses to use internet for educational purposes according to possess an internet connection $(N = 680)$ 46
Table 3.11 Internet access place by study participants ($N = 680$)
Table 3.12 Responses to the place of surfing the internet according to own an internet connection $(N = 680)$
Table 3.13 Responses of being familiar with e-learning according to possessing an internet connection $(N = 680)$ 48
Table 3.14 Responses of attending an online course according possessing an internet connection $(N = 680)$
Table 3.15 Ease of internet access claimed by study participants ($N = 680$) 49
Table 3.16 Ease of access to internet service responses according to country of residency (N =680)
Table 3.17 Internet speed connection claimed by study participants ($N = 680$) 51
Table 3.18 Internet connection speed responses according to country of residency (N =680)

Table 3.19 Frequency of internet usage by study participants ($N = 680$) 53
Table 3.20 Frequency of using Facebook and Web 2.0 tools by study participants $(N = 680)$
Table 3.21 Computer and internet skills level of study participants (N = 680) 54
Table 3.22 Responses to computer & internet skills according to year of graduation $(N = 680)$ 55
Table 3.23 Using internet for educational purposes by study participants ($N = 680$) 56
Table 3.24 Responses to being familiar with e-learning according to use internet for educational purposes (N = 680) 56
Table 3.25 Familiarity towards e-learning by study participants ($N = 680$) 57
Table 3.26 Responses of familiarity towards e-learning according to year of graduation $(N = 680)$
Table 3.27 Attending online courses by study participants ($N = 680$) 59
Table 3.28 Confidence level of the study participants in online materials ($N = 680$) 59
Table 3.29 Confidence of participants in learning using web 2.0 tools (N = 680) 60
Table 3.30 Responses to confidence in learning using web 2.0 tools according to using internet for educational purposes (N = 680) 61
Table 3.31 Motivation of participants to learn using web 2.0 tools ($N = 680$) 61
Table 3.32 Responses being motivated to learn using web 2.0 tools according to use internet for educational purposes (N = 680) 62
Table 3.33 The total mean of attitudes score according to country of residency $(N = 680)$
Table 3.34 The total mean of attitudes score according to owning an internet connection (N = 680)
Table 3.35 The total mean of attitudes score according to place of internet access $(N = 680)$
Table 3.36 Multiple comparisons among groups of internet access 65
Table 3.37 The total mean of attitudes score according to computer & internet skills $(N = 680)$

Table 3.38 Multiple comparisons among groups of computer & internet skills 66
Table 3.39 The total mean of attitudes score according to internet speed connection $(N = 680)$
Table 3.40 Multiple comparisons among groups of attending online courses 67
Table 3.41 The total mean of attitudes score according to use internet for educational purposes $(N = 680)$ 68
Table 3.42 The total mean of attitudes score according to being familiar with elearning $(N = 680)$
Table 3.43 The total mean of attitudes score according to attending online courses $(N = 680)$ 69
Table 3.44 Multiple comparisons among groups of attending online courses 69
Table 3.45 The total mean of attitudes score according to confidence in learning using web 2.0 tools ($N = 680$) 70
Table 3.46 Multiple comparisons among groups of confidence in learning using web 2.0 tools 70
Table 3.47 The total mean of attitudes score according to being motivated to learn using web 2.0 tools (N = 680) 71
Table 3.48 Multiple comparisons among groups of being motivated to learn using web 2.0 tools 71
Table 3.49 Responses to statement A1 of the online questionnaire $(N = 680)$ 72
Table 3.50 Responses to the statement A1 according to internet & computer skills ($N = 680$)
Table 3.51 Responses to statement A2 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$) 73
Table 3.52 Responses to statement A3 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$) 74
Table 3.53 Responses to statement A4 of the online questionnaire (N = 680) 75
Table 3.54 Responses to the statement A4 according to use internet for educational purposes $(N = 680)$ 75
Table 3.55 Responses to the statement A4 according to confidence level in online educational materials ($N = 680$) 76
Table 3.56 Responses to statement A5 of the online questionnaire $(N = 680)$ 77

Table 3.57 Responses to the statement A5 according to year of graduation $(N = 680)$
Table 3.58 Responses to statement A6 of the online questionnaire $(N = 680)$
Table 3.59 Responses to statement A7 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$)
Table 3.60 Responses to according to internet & computer skills (N = 680)
Table 3.61 Responses to statement A8 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$) 81
Table 3.62 Responses to statement A9 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$) 81
Table 3.63 Responses to statement A10 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$)
Table 3.64 Responses to statement A11 of the online questionnaire $(N = 680)$
Table 3.65 Responses to the statement A11 according to use internet for educational purposes ($N = 680$)
Table 3.66 Responses to statement A12 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$)
Table 3.67 Responses to statement A13 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$)
Table 3.68 Responses the statement A13 according to gender $(N = 680)$
Table 3.69 Responses to statement A13 according to being familiar with e-learning $(N = 680)$
Table 3.70 Responses to statement A13 according to age (N = 680)
Table 3.71 Responses to statement A13 according to easiness of internet access $(N = 680)$
Table 3.72 Responses to statement A13 according to attending an online course $(N = 680)$
Table 3.73 Responses to statement A14 of the online questionnaire $(N = 680)$
Table 3.74 Responses to the statement A14 according to possessing an internet connection ($N = 680$)
Table 3.75 Responses to statement A15 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$)
Table 3.76 Responses to statement A16 of the online questionnaire $(N = 680)$ 91
Table 3.77 Responses to statement A17 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$)
Table 3.78 Responses to statement A18 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$)

Table 3.79 Responses to the statement A18 according to gender $(N = 680)$ 92
Table 3.80 Responses to the statement A18 according to year of graduation $(N = 680)$ 93
Table 3.81 Responses to statement A19 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$) 94
Table 3.82 Responses to statement A20 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$) 95
Table 3.83 Responses to the statement A20 according to gender ($N = 680$) 95
Table 3.84 Responses to the statement A20 according to confidence in learning using web 2.0 tools ($N = 680$) 96
Table 3.85 Responses to statement P1 of the online questionnaire (N = 680) 97
Table 3.86 Responses to statement P1 according to owning an internet connection $(N = 680)$ 98
Table 3.87 Responses to statement P1 according to attending an online course $(N = 680)$ 98
Table 3.88 Responses to statement P2 of the online questionnaire (N = 680) 99
Table 3.89 Responses statement P2 according to use internet for educational purposes ($N = 680$)
Table 3.90 Responses to statement P2 according to current occupation (N = 680) 101
Table 3.91 Responses to statement P2 according to confidence in learning provided by utilization of web 2.0 tools ($N = 680$) 101
Table 3.92 Responses to statement P3 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$) 102
Table 3.93 Responses to statement P3 according to being familiar with e-learning ($N=680$)
Table 3.94 Responses to statement P3 according to possessing an internet connection ($N = 680$)
Table 3.95 Responses to statement P3 according to use internet for educational purposes $(N = 680)$
Table 3.96 Responses to statement P3 according to frequency of using Facebook, social media websites and other web 2.0 tools (N = 680) 105
Table 3.97 Responses to statement P3 according to attending an online course $(N = 680)$

Table 3.98 Responses to statement P3 according to motivation in learning provided by utilization of web 2.0 tools (N = 680)
Table 3.99 Responses to statement P4 of the online questionnaire (N = 680) 107
Table 3.100 Responses to statement P4 according to internet & computer skills $(N = 680)$ 108
Table 3.101 Responses to statement P5 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$) 109
Table 3.102 Responses to statement P5 according to use internet for educational purposes (N = 680)
Table 3.103 Responses to statement P5 according to confidence level in online educational materials (N = 680) 110
Table 3.104 Responses to statement P6 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$) 111
Table 3.105 Responses to statement P7 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$) 112
Table 3.106 Responses to statement P8 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$) 112
Table 3.107 Responses to statement P9 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$) 113
Table 3.108 Responses to statement P10 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$) 114
Table 3.109 Responses to statement P10 of the online questionnaire (N = 680) 114
Table 3.110 Responses to statement P11 according to being familiar with elearning $(N = 680)$
Table 3.111 Responses to statement P12 of the online questionnaire (N = 680) 116
Table 3.112 Responses to statement P12 according to being familiar with e-learning (N = 680)
Table 3.113 Responses to statement P12 according to internet & computer skills $(N = 680)$
Table 3.114 Responses to statement P12 according to confidence level in online materials (N = 680)
Table 3.115 Responses to statement P13 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$) 119
Table 3.116 Responses to statement P14 of the online questionnaire (N = 680) 120
Table 3.117 Responses statement P14 according to use internet for educational purposes $(N = 680)$

Table 3.118 Responses to statement P15 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$)	121
Table 3.119 Responses to statement P16 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$)	122
Table 3.120 Responses to statement P17 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$)	122
Table 3.121 Responses to statement P18 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$)	123
Table 3.122 Responses to statement P19 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$)	124
Table 3.123 Responses to statement P20 of the online questionnaire ($N = 680$)	124
Table 3.124 Responses to statement P20 according to motivation in learning proved by these tools ($N = 680$)	vided 125
Table 4.1 Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents who participated in online course about generic medicines $(N = 87)$	n the 149
Table 4.2 Comparison of participants' knowledge about generic medic before and after the online educational intervention (N =87)	cines 151
Table 4.3 The mean of total post-test scores according to gender $(N = 87)$	152
Table 4.4 The mean of total post-test scores according to current job s $(N = 87)$	tatus 152
Table 4.5 Responses to the statement F6 according to current job status (N = 87)	155
Table 4.6 Responses to feedback statements ($N = 87$)	159

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4.1 Study Design Flowchart

144

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ALSCs Arabic Language Speaking Countries

AUC Area Under the Curve

CAL Computer-Assisted Learning

CPD Continuous Professional Development

CPE Continuous Pharmacy Education

CVI Content Validity Index

EdTech Educational Technology

E-Learning Electronic Learning

ICT Information and Communications Technology

IT Information Technology

LAU Lebanese American University

LMS Learning Management System

MCQs Multiple Choice Questions

ME Middle East

mHealth Mobile Health

MOE Margin of Error

NEJM New England Journal of Medicine

PBL Problem-Based Learning

PharmD Doctor of Pharmacy

SNSs Social Network Sites

UAE United Arab Emirates

WBL Web-Based Learning

Web 2.0 The Second Generation of Web

WHO World Health Organization

WWW World Wide Web

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: List of 265 Facebook® groups links that have been targeted	185
Appendix B: Content validity index of the questionnaire of Phase I	191
Appendix C: Online course outline	194
Appendix D: Assessment Instrument of the Digital Form of Paper-Based Module	209
Appendix E: Content Validity Index of the Questionnaire of Phase II	212
Appendix F: Questionnaires of Phase I and Phase II	214
Appendix G: Permission Letter to Digitalize the Paper-Based Module about Ge	eneric
Medicines	
232	
Appendix H: Photos Taken During the Phase II of the Study	
234	
Appendix I: Activities within the Study Time Period	242

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1. BACKGROUND OF THE S33TUDY

Online learning is considered one of the major trends in the digital age. Pharmacists and other healthcare professionals can improve their skills via the internet. Literature showed that online learning helps pharmacy students and pharmacy practitioners to continue their professional development (DiPiro, 1999; Pereira and Murzyn, 2001; Erickson, Chang et al., 2003; Brock and Smith, 2007). Web-based learning has positive effects in medical education (Raupach, Münscher et al., 2010; Pelayo, Cebrián et al., 2011; Boye, Moen et al., 2012). Educators nowadays can build educational materials based on their students learning styles, so they can offer videos, audios, lectures notes and slides. Online materials can be downloaded easily through a learning management system (LMS) where learners can access to course materials and have direct discussions with instructors. Emerging online educational technologies (EdTech) and web 2.0 tools have been used globally in transferring of knowledge via the internet. Notably, there is a huge pressure on faculty staff members to apply these technologies in classrooms (Rogers, 2000; T. 2012). Web 2.0 tools help learners to stay connected with the latest emerging knowledge in any field of knowledge (McAndrew and Johnston, 2012). One of the benefits of online educational technologies is creating a collaborative virtual space not dependent on time or place which facilitate inter professional education (Pittenger, 2013). Educational technology tools give solutions to many barriers that might prevent achieving effective education, like higher costs regarding interactive face-to face learning, more workload on staff members, space limits, so teaching others

who are in different geographical locations and time zones is promising step (Zhu, 2006; Shen, Nuankhieo et al., 2008). Also, these technologies will help educators to make a powerful channel to reach public and to build online networks and study groups with their students and other experts (Selwyn, 2007; Brown and Adler, 2008; Munoz and Towner, 2009). Well-designed online instructional models and easy access to educational materials are the most important factors for successful and effective elearning (Chumley-Jones, Dobbie et al., 2002; Wiecha, Vanderschmidt et al, 2002; Cook, 2007; Cook and McDonald, 2008). However, disadvantages of adopting these emerging trends include the lack of interaction between instructor and students, time and efforts by faculty members and programmers, hardware and software requirements, time needed for course preparation and lack of technical expertise (Schittek Janda, Tani Botticelli et al., 2005; Parker and Howland, 2006; Cook, 2007).

Computer-aided learning (CAL) is used effectively in pharmacy education to reduce education costs and to make learning more available to students (Craig SL, 1999). Vanderbush et al. showed that integration of informatics into pharmacy education is considered a time investment (Vanderbush, Anderson Jr et al, 2007). Unfortunately, integration of online educational tools in pharmacy education was not feasible because of some barriers like costs, resistance of change by faculty members and huge amount of time needed to create interactive online courses (Grant, Owen et al., 2011). Although pharmacy students are familiar with social media, their use of online educational tools is scarce (Grant, Owen et al., 2011). Integration of web 2.0 tools like Facebook® into pharmacy education may be useful to engage pharmacy students to learn in a consistent manner, especially when more expert pharmacists share their experiences and knowledge with others. About 1.04 billion users on are using Facebook® in a daily basis (newsroom, 2016). The millennial generation of

undergraduate and postgraduate pharmacy students are using Facebook® nowadays to communicate with their friends, family members, colleagues, experts and use it for educational purposes. It has been reported that pharmacists are using social media tools more than other health care professionals (Lupiáñez-Villanueva, Ángel Mayer et al., 2009).

However, these trends are scarce in the majority of Arabic Language Speaking Countries (ALSCs) where traditional and didactic educational methods are still being used with some exceptions seen in Palestine, Kuwait, Jordan, Saudi Arabia, Egypt, UAE and Qatar. Although these new trends are promising to push pharmacy and medical education forward, the major barriers regarding adaptation of e-learning and new online educational technologies in Arab states have been reported. The major barriers reported in the literature were higher connectivity costs, ICT problems, language barriers, wars and political conflicts, poor education, financial problems, lack of qualified ICT-savvy educators (Abouchedid and Eid, 2004; Babiker, 2014; Dutta and Coury, 2002; Hamdy, 2007; Mirza, 1998).

1.2. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

E-learning is playing crucial role nowadays in pharmacy and medical education. Social network sites (SNSs) and other novel web 2.0 tools are being used by learners to share their knowledge and expertise. Podcasting, webinars and learning management systems (LMSs) have been used successfully in medical and pharmacy education. Personal computers and smart phones assist learners to enroll in various online courses. Elearning and emerging web 2.0 tools have been adopted successfully in pharmacy and medical education in developed countries as novel teaching tools. However, traditional didactic educational methods are still used in the majority of Arabic Language Speaking