

الجامعة السلامية العالمية ماليريا INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA ويُنْبَرَسِنْتَى ْالسُّلِارِعُ ابْتَارَا بْجُنْيَا مِلْسُيْنَيَا

SENSORLESS ANTI-SWING CONTROL STRATEGY FOR AUTOMATIC GANTRY CRANE

BY

MAHMUD IWAN SOLIHIN

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Mechatronics Engineering

Kulliyyah of Engineering International Islamic University Malaysia

APRIL 2007

ABSTRACT

Gantry crane is a machine used to transfer payload from one position to another position. The payload suspended from trolley by flexible cable is subject to swing caused by trolley acceleration. Anti-swing control of gantry crane system is hence required to transfer the payload to desired position without causing excessive swing at the final position. Most of the anti-swing controls proposed are feedback controls that require sensors to measure the trolley position and swing angle. However, installing swing angle sensor on a real gantry crane is troublesome, since there is a hoisting mechanism on parallel flexible cable and it is sometimes costly when vision-based sensor is used. To overcome this problem, sensorless anti-swing control of automatic gantry crane system is proposed in this study. The control scheme is expected to be simple and easy to implement in the absence of swing angle sensor. Firstly, model-based sensorless antiswing control is proposed based on two difference approaches. The experimental results show that the proposed sensorless anti-swing control methods work effectively as the performance is close to that of sensor-based feedback anti-swing control method for swing suppression. In addition, a series of experiment shows that the proposed methods are also robust to small variations of cable length. Secondly, to overcome time consuming process in mathematical modeling, sensorless anti-swing control using neural network state estimator as soft sensor is proposed. The swing angle is estimated from input voltage and acceleration by using dynamic recurrent neural network. The performance is evaluated experimentally using lab-scale gantry crane. The result shows that the proposed method works also effectively for swing suppression. In term of swing, it has instead better performance than model-based sensorless anti-swing controls.

ملخص البحث

يستعمل المرفاع القنطري المتحرك، لنقل الحمل من موقع لآخر. يكون الحمل المعلق بالحامل بواسطة كابل مرن عرضة للاهتزاز بسبب تسارع الحامل. لذا يتطلب استعمال نظام مضاد للاهتزاز لمنع الاهتزاز الزائد بعد وصوله إلى الموقع المطلوب أثناء انتقاله من موقع إلى آخر. يعتبر أغلب أنظمة منع الاهتزاز أنظمة تحكم بالتغذية المرتدة التي تتطلب اسنعمال مجسات أو حساسات لتحسس موقع الحامل، وزاوية الاهتزاز. مع ذلك، يعتبر تركيب حساسة زاوية الاهتزاز على المرفاع شيئا صعبا، و ذلك لوجود ميكانيكية الرفع على الكبل المرن المتوازي، ولارتفاع التكاليف عند استعمال حساسات الرؤية. لحل هذه المشكلة يُقترح في هذه الدراسة استعمال نظام منع الاهتزاز بدون حساسات لنظام الرفع القنطري المتحرك الأتوماتيكي. يُتوقع أن تكون خطة التحكم سهلة وبسيطة بغياب حساسة زاوية الاهتزاز.

أولا، يُقترح استعمال نموذج لنظام التحكم المانع للاهتزاز اعتمادا على طريقتين مختلفتين. أظهرت التجارب فعالية نظام التحكم المقترح، كما أظهرت التجارب أن الأداء مقارب لأداء أنظمة التحكم بالتغنية المرتدة التي تستعمل حساسات لمنع الاهتزاز. بالإضافة إلى ذلك، أظهرت سلسلة من التجارب قوة الآلية المقترحة مع أطوال مختلفة من الكابل. ثانيا، لحل مشكلة عملية استهلاك الوقت في التمثيل الرياضي، يُقترح استعمال نظام التحكم المانع للاهتزاز المعتمد على مقدر حالة الشبكة العصبية كمحسس خفيف. تقدر زاوية الاهتزاز عن طريق فرق الجهد، والتسارع المدخلة باستعمال ديناميكية الشبكة العصبية المتكررة يُقيم الأداء تجريبيا باستعمال مقياس مختبري للرافعة القنطرية المتحركة. كما أظهرت النتائج أن الآلية المقترحة تعمل بفعالية لمنع الاهتزاز. بالنسبة للاهتزاز، تشير النتائج إلى أن الأداء أفضل من نموذج نظام التحكم المانع الاهتزاز بدون المحسسات.

APPROVAL PAGE

I certify that I have supervised and read this study to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation quality, as a dissertation for the degree of M Engineering.	and is fully adequate, in scope and
	Wahyudi
	Supervisor
I certify that I have read this study and that in m standards of scholarly presentation and is fully a dissertation for the degree of Master of Science in	adequate, in scope and quality, as a
This dissertation was submitted to the Department accepted as a partial fulfilment of the degree of Engineering.	
	Atef A. Ata Head, Department of

3 6 1		_	•	
Mechatro	nics	+nc	าาท	eering
Micchael	11100		,	

This	dissertation	was	submitted	to th	e Kulliyy	ah of E	Engineerin	g and is	accepted	as a
partia	al fulfilment	of th	e degree o	f Mas	ster of Sci	ence in	Mechatro	onics En	gineering.	

Ahmad Faris Ismail
Dean, Kulliyyah of Engineering

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this dissertation is the result of my own investigations, except				
where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently				
submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.				
Mahmud Iwan Solihin				
Signature: Date:				

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH

Copyright © 2006 by Mahmud Iwan Solihin. All rights reserved.

SENSORLESS ANTI-SWING CONTROL STRATEGY FOR AUTOMATIC GANTRY CRANE

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without the permission of the copyright holder except as provided below.

- 1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may only be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
- 2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purpose.
- 3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieval system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

Affirmed by Mahmud Iwan Solihin	
Signature	Date

To:

My beloved parents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my special gratitude to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Wahyudi for being my supervisor. His constant encouragement, support, and invaluable suggestions made this work successful. He has not only taught me about control engineering but also presented a numerous valuable inputs in shaping my character. I am deeply indebted to him.

I would like also to express my special gratitude to my cousins, my older brother and older sister, Masyhudi Muqorrobin and Siti Maesaroh who has brought me to further my study in Malaysia. They have provided me supports and prayers. I am deeply indebted to them as well.

My sincere thanks also go to Dr. Riza Muhida for facilitating me during my stay at Idaman Putra Condominium, Kuala Lumpur. He has also enhanced my knowledge in mechatronics engineering.

Collective and individual acknowledgments are also owed to my colleagues in Engeneering of IIUM, Zaldi, Winda, Nursuhada, Hanani, Ila, Hashima, Siti, Idawati, Naimah, Amir, Dawood, Samsu, Jamal, Nasroddin and others whom presented somehow perpetually refreshed, helpful, and memorable. We were in the same lane to struggle.

To Adit, Pak Turnad, Dini, thank to be my good friends, I appreciate their contributions and appreciations for me. Special thanks to Febrina, I feel something special with her in my recent days.

I was also fortunate in having IIUM tennis community. With them I could maintain my spirit, sportsmanship and joy of playing to release my tension.

I am of course deeply and forever indebted to my parents and my family for their loves, supports, advices, encouragements and prayers throughout my entire life. May Allah grant them.

Finally, I would like to thank everybody who was important to the successful realization of this thesis, as well as expressing my apology that I could not mention personally one by one.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
Abstract in Arabic	iii
Approval Page	iv
Declaration	V
Copyright page	vi
Dedication	vii
Acknowledgements	viii
List of Tables	хi
List of Figures	xii
List of Symbols	XV
List of Abbreviations	
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background	
1.2 Objective	3
1.3 Methodology	
1.4 Thesis Outline	4
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE SURVEY	6
2.1 Background	6
2.2 Summary	10
CHAPTER THREE: BASIC CONCEPT OF SENSORLESS ANTI-SWING	
CONTROL OF AUTOMATIC GANTRY CRANE	11
3.1 Introduction	11
3.2 Sensorless Anti-swing Control	
3.3 Summary	

CHAPTER	FOUR: MODEL-BASED SENSORLESS ANTI-SWING CONTROL	_
	OF AUTOMATIC GANTRY CRANE	17
4.1	Introduction	17
	Gantry Crane Modeling	
	4.2.1 Mathematical Model of Gantry Crane	
	4.2.2 Linearized Model	22
4.3	Parameter Identification	23
	Model Verification	
	Proposed Model-based Sensorless Anti-swing Control of Gantry	
	Crane	30
	4.5.1Proposed Sensorless 1	
	4.5.2 Proposed Sensorless 2	
4.6	Controller Design	
	4.6.1 Proposed Sensorless 1	
	4.6.2 Proposed Sensorless 2	
4.7	Experimental Setup	
	Results	
	Robustness Evaluation	
	Summary	
	FIVE: SENSORLESS ANTI-SWING CONTROL OF AUTOMATIC GANTRY CRANE USING Neural network ESTIMATOR	48
	Introduction	48
5.2	Proposed Sensorless Anti-swing Control Using Neural Network Estimator	10
5.3	Artificial Neural Networks	
3.3	5.3.1 Neural Network Architectures	
	5.3.1.1 Multilayer Feedforward Neural Network	
	5.3.1.2 Radial Basis Function Neural Network	
	5.3.1.3 Dynamic Recurrent Neural Network	
5.1		
3.4	System Identification using Neural Networks	00
	5.4.1 Identification using Feedforward Neural Networks in Parallel	(2
	Configuration	

	Results Summary	
6.1	R SIX: CONCLUSIONS	76
BIBLIOGI	RAPHY	79
PUBLICA	TIONS	83

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Tabl</u>	e No.	Page No.
4.1	Desired step response specifications.	33
4.2	The optimized controller gains.	33
4.3	The corresponding values of K to ζ .	34
4.4	Positioning performances (experiment).	42
4.5	Swing performances (experiment).	42
5.1	Positioning performances (experiment).	74
5.2	Swing performances (experiment).	74

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figu</u>	re No.	Page No.
1.1	Rotary tower crane in a construction.	1
1.2	Gantry crane in a shipyard.	2
2.1	Typical feedback anti-swing control of gantry crane.	7
2.2	Parallel flexible cables on hoisting mechanism.	8
3.1	Feedback anti-swing control of automatic gantry crane.	12
3.2	Simplified diagram of normal feedback anti-swing control.	13
3.3	Sensorless anti-swing control in feedback control approach.	15
3.4	Sensorless anti-swing control in feedforward control approach.	16
4.1	Lab-scale rotary crane for gantry crane experiment.	19
4.2	Trolley crane model.	20
4.3	Equivalent circuit of the DC motor.	21
4.4	Unknown system.	24
4.5	Step response of unknown system G(s).	24
4.6	Determination of k_I .	25

4.7	Determination of k_2 .	25
4.8	Schematic depiction of integral step response for parameter identification.	26
4.9	Velocity response to a 1.5 volts step input.	27
4.10	Artificial response $v_l(t)$ to a 1.5 volts step input.	27
4.11	Artificial response $v_l(t)$ to a 1.5 volts step input.	28
4.12	Model block diagram.	28
4.13	Trolley position comparisons.	29
4.14	Swing angle comparisons.	29
4.15	Proposed control diagram using model-based soft sensor (Sensorless 1).	30
4.16	Diagram of the proposed sensorless anti-swing control (Sensorless 2).	31
4.17	Final diagram of the proposed sensorless anti-swing control (Sensorless 2).	33
4.18	Position signal constraint GUI window for PID tuning.	34
4.19	Swing signal constraint GUI window for PD tuning.	35
4.20	Unit step responses of the system in Eq. (4.33).	36
4.21	Simulation responses on various <i>K</i> values.	37
4.22	Hardware connection of experimental unit.	38
4.23	Responses for a 10 cm position reference (simulation).	40

4.24	Responses for a 10 cm position reference (experiment).	40
4.25	Responses for a 40 cm position reference (simulation).	41
4.26	Responses for a 40 cm position reference (experiment).	41
4.27	Responses for a 70 cm position reference (simulation).	42
4.28	Responses for a 70 cm position reference (experiment).	42
4.29	Control input signal for a 70 cm position reference in experiment.	43
4.30	Swing angle for small different cable lengths in normal anti-swing control.	46
4.31	Swing angle for small different cable lengths in control Method 1.	46
4.32	Swing angle for small different cable lengths in control Method 2.	46
5.1	Proposed control diagram using neural network-based soft sensors.	49
5.2	A single artificial neuron.	50
5.3	A multilayer feedforward neural network.	53
5.4	Backrpopagation of errors.	54
5.5	A recurrent neural network.	56
5.6	Dynamic neuron in DRNN.	57
5.7	Configuration scheme for system identification using neural networks.	61
5.8	Parallel identification scheme using feedforward network.	64
5.9	Swing angle comparison for parallel identification using MFN.	64

5.10	Swing angle comparisons for parallel identification using RBFN.	65
5.11	Parallel identification scheme using DRNN for soft sensor.	66
5.12	Input signals in parallel identification using DRNN.	67
5.13	Swing angle comparisons for soft sensor using DRNN.	68
5.14	Responses for a 10 cm position reference (simulation).	71
5.15	Responses for a 10 cm position reference (experiment).	71
5.16	Responses for a 40 cm position reference (simulation).	72
5.17	Responses for a 40 cm position reference (experiment).	72
5.18	Responses for a 70 cm position reference (simulation).	73
5.19	Responses for a 70 cm position reference (experiment).	73

LIST OF SYMBOLS

a_2	Denominator parameter
a_1	Denominator parameter
A	Matrix of linear system
b	Friction coefficient
В	Input matrix of linear system
\mathcal{C}	Center of RBFN
C	Output matrix of linear system
D	Matrix of linear system
e	Error of signals
e_b	Back emf
f	Matrix of nonlinear system
f	Neuron activation function
F	Total external
g	Gravitational acceleration
g	Input matrix of nonlinear system
h	Output matrix of nonlinear system
Н	Performance index
i	Current
I	Input vector of DRNN
j	Index of actual unit, $j=1,,n_m$
J	Total moment of inertia
k_0	Numerator parameter
k_1	Artificial response constant
k_2	Artificial response constant
K	Feedback gain
K_d	Derivative gain

 K_e Motor back emf constant

- K_i Integral gain
- K_p Proportional gain
- K_t Motor torque constant
- λ Length of the cable
- L Inductance
- L Lagrange function
- L Observer gain
- m_1 Mass of the load
- m_2 Mass of the trolley
- M Index of actual layer, m=1,...,M
- M Number of layer (excluding input layer)
- n_m Number of unit (neurons) in layer m
- P Number of training pattern/set
- q Input matrix of DRNN
- *r* Radii of gyration
- *R* Resistance
- Total external torques
- *u* Input voltage
- $u_i^{(m-1)\mu}$ Output of unit *i* in layer *m*-1 for pattern μ
- v Trolley speed
- v_I Artificial response
- v₂ Artificial response
- s Laplace domain function
- t Time
- w_{ii}^m Weight between unit j in layer m and unit i in layer m-1
- W Matrix of DRNN
- x Trolley displacement
- x_m Horizontal payload displacement
- x_{ref} Position reference
- x_{ref}^{mod} Modified position reference
- y Linear system output vector
- y_m Vertical payload displacement

- y_j^{μ} Actual network output value for unit j for pattern μ
- $y_j^{z\mu}$ Desired network output value for unit j for pattern μ
- α Momentum constant
- β Passive decay matrix of DRNN
- δ_i^u Learning error for unit j for pattern μ
- $\Delta^{\mu}w_{ii}^{m}$ Change of given weight for pattern μ
- κ Kinetic energy
- λ DRNN state
- μ Index of actual training pattern, $\mu=1,2,\ldots,P$
- η Coefficient of learning rate
- $\phi(.)$ Function of a basis function
- φ_i^{μ} Weighted sum of input values for unit j in layer μ
- σ Width of RBFN
- σ (.) Neuron function of DRNN
- θ Swing angle
- θ_m Motor rotational angle
- ω Motor angular velocity
- ω_n Damping ratio
- ψ Potential energy
- *ζ* Natural frequency

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

9 9 5	G1 1 1 5 ·
C.C.D.	Charge-coupled Device
D.C.	Direct Current
D.A.Q.	Data Acquisition Card
D.R.N.N.	Dynamic Recurrent Neural Network
G.U.I.	Graphical User Interface
M.F.N.	Multilayer Feedforward Neural Network
M.S.E.	Mean Squared Error
N.C.T.F.	Nominal Characteristic Trajectory Following
P.C.	Personal Computer
P.I.D.	Proportional Integral Derivative
P.D.	Proportional Derivative
RBFN	Radial Basis Function Neural Network

Sum Squared Error

S.S.E.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

Crane is a machine used for gripping loads, lifting, moving it and lowering it. Cranes are widely used for transporting heavy loads and hazardous materials in shipyards, factories, nuclear installations and high building constructions (Omar, 2003). In general, there are two types of crane namely rotary cranes and gantry cranes. Rotary cranes are commonly used in shipyards and construction site. This type of crane involves rotational and translational movement during operation. An example of rotary crane is shown in Figure 1.1.



Figure 1.1 Rotary tower crane in a construction.

Other famous cranes used in factories and shipyards are gantry cranes as shown in Figure 1.2. This type of crane incorporates a trolley which moves along the jib and translates in a horizontal plane. In bridge type of gantry cranes, the jib is mounted on another set of orthogonal railings so that the trolley can move in a two-dimensional horizontal plane. The payload is suspended by a cable from the trolley, whose length can be varied by a hoisting mechanism. The trolley should move the payload as fast as

possible without causing any excessive sway at the final position so that it can achieve higher productivity.



Figure 1.2 Gantry crane in a shipyard.

However, the load suspended on the trolley of the crane is subject to swing caused by improper control of trolley motion and/or disturbance on the load such as wind and collision with other objects. Thus, automatic control of gantry crane should