



OPTIMAL TRAJECTORY AND GENETIC ALGORITHM BASED ENERGY MINIMIZATION FOR ROBOT MANIPULATORS

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Y}$

MOHAMMAD YOUSEF MUSTAFA SA'ADEH

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

2007

OPTIMAL TRAJECTORY AND GENETIC ALGORITHM BASED ENERGY MINIMIZATION FOR ROBOT MANIPULATORS

BY

MOHAMMAD YOUSEF MUSTAFA SA'ADEH

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science (Mechatronics Engineering)

Kulliyyah of Engineering International Islamic University Malaysia

JULY 2007

ABSTRACT

Robotic Manipulators are playing the great role in any successful industry nowadays. Several categories exist to classify these manipulators, Rigid or Flexible manipulators is one classification. This work investigates both types, rigid and flexible, in terms of Mathematical Modelling, Trajectory Selection, and Optimal Trajectory Planning. Optimization of trajectory can be achieved using several criterions, such as: minimum time trajectory, minimum velocity and acceleration, minimum residual vibration, or minimum energy consumed. GA will search for the trajectory that will best satisfy the objective function set by the requirement of the application. First, a three D-O-F planar redundant manipulator that is working in the joint space is proposed, and the torques of the three joints have been simulated using Soft motion and Linear Segment with Parabolic Blends (LSPB) trajectories. Then, the proposed planar manipulator has been investigated in terms of optimal trajectory planning in the task space. The manipulator's end-effector was required to track two specified paths, a straight line and a circle. The angle that the last link makes with the horizontal line was assumed to endure a Cubic Spline trajectory while tracking both paths. GA was implemented to obtain the optimal trajectory by selecting the proper initial and final configurations of that angle. Finally, a Two-Links Flexible Manipulator is modelled and analyzed. It is required to optimize the actuators' energy consumption when the manipulator performs a certain movement in the joint space. Soft motion trajectory, which is a fully identified trajectory, is employed here also to benchmark any results resulting from a less identified trajectory as the fourth order polynomial trajectory. The fourth order trajectory's parameters are obtained using GA such that the resulting trajectory is the optimal one. The simulation results verified the effectiveness of GA, since the optimized fourth order polynomial trajectory needed less energy than the soft motion trajectory when the same movement is executed.

iii

.

:

.

:

•

APPROVAL PAGE

I certify that I have supervised and read this study and that in my opinion, it conforms to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation and is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a dissertation for the degree of Master of Science in Mechatronics Engineering.

Atef A. Ata Supervisor

Waleed F. Faris Supervisor

I certify that I have read this study and that in my opinion, it conforms to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation and is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a dissertation for the degree of Master of Science in Mechatronics Engineering.

Wahyudi Martono Internal Examiner

Shamsudin Amin External Examiner

This dissertation was submitted to the department of Mechatronics Engineering and is accepted as a partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Mechatronics Engineering.

> MD Raisuddin Khan Head, Department of Mechatronics Engineering

This dissertation was submitted to the Kulliyyah of Engineering and is accepted as a partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Mechatronics Engineering.

Ahmad Faris Ismail Dean, Kulliyyah of Engineering

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this dissertation is the result of my own investigations, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degree at IIUM or other institutions.

Mohammad Yousef Mustafa Sa'adeh

Signature

Date.....

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

DELCLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH

Copyright © 2007 by Mohammad Yousef Mustafa Sa'adeh. All rights reserved.

OPTIMAL TRAJECTORY AND GENETIC ALGORITHM BASED ENERGY MINIMIZATION FOR ROBOT MANIPULATORS

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without the prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below.

- 1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may only be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
- 2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional academic purposes.
- 3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in retrieval system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

Affirmed by Mohammad Yousef Mustafa Sa'adeh

Signature

Date

To my beloved parents.. ..brother and sisters.. ..and my beloved wife

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

At the very outset, all my prayers and thankfulness are to Allah the almighty for facilitating this work and for granting me the opportunity to be surrounded by great and helpful people at IIUM.

I would like to express my everlasting gratitude to my supervisors, Dr. Atef A. Ata and Dr. Waleed F. Faris for their invaluable encouragement, guidance and monitoring, without which this work would not have reached the point of fruition, so I ask Allah to reward them on my behalf.

I would never have attempted to obtain a post-graduate degree if it weren't for the continuous encouragement that I received from my father, Prof. Yousef M. Sa'adeh, the man to whom I will be grateful, for the rest of my life.

The warm heart, my mother, deserves all the credit here; she has been a source of inspiration to me for years. I would never forget her continuous prayer for the sake of my success.

No acknowledgement would be complete without expressing my appreciation and thankfulness for my wife; I can't refute her long lasting patience and support which she showed during this work and which was essential to accomplish it.

My siblings, to whom I belong, have shadowed me with their concern all the time, so they deserve my acknowledgement too.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
Abstract in Arabic	iii
Approval Page	iv
Declaration Page	v
Copyright Page	vi
Dedication	vii
Acknowledgements	viii
List of Tables	xii
List of Figures	xiii
List of Symbols	xvii

CHAPTE	R ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Overview	1
1.2	Problem Statement	. 2
1.3	Objectives of the Study	3
1.4	Scope of the Thesis	4
1.5	Research Methodology	5
1.6	Thesis Outline	6

8
8
8
11
12
20
23
23
26
27
27
28
29
30
31
31
31
32

CHAPTER THREE: SOFT MOTION TRAJECTORY FOR PLANAR REDUNDANT MANIPULATOR 34

3.1 Introduction	34
3.2 Mathematical Modelling	35
3.3 Joint Space Trajectory Planning	36
3.3.1 Linear Segments with Parabolic Blends Trajectory	36
3.3.2 Soft Motion trajectory	38
3.4 Simulation Results and Discussion	40
3.5 Summary	45

CHAPTER FOUR: OPTIMAL TRAJECTORY SELECTION WITH

MINIMUM ENERGY CONSUMPTION	 46
4.1 Introduction	 46
4.2 Mathematical Modelling	 47
4.3 Geometric Approach	 49
4.3.1 Tracking a Straight Line	 49
4.3.2 Tracking a Circle	 52
4.4 Simulation Results	 54
4.4.1 Termination Criteria	 56
4.4.2 Line Tracking Simulation	 58
4.4.3 Circle Tracking Simulation	 61
4.5 Discussion	 63
4.6 Conclusion	 64

CHAPTER FIVE: ENERGY MINIMIZATION APPROACH FOR

	00
5.1 Overview	65
5.1.1 Modelling Techniques	65
5.1.2 The Employed Technique	68
5.2 Mathematical Modelling	69
5.3 The Assumed Modes Method	73
5.4 Trajectory Selection	76
5.4.1 Soft Motion Trajectory	76
5.4.2 Fourth Order Polynomial Trajectory	77
5.5 Simulation Results	78
5.5.1 Pinned-Free Boundary Conditions	85
5 (Diamaian	88
5.6 Discussion	00
CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	91
CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	91 91
CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 6.1 Conclusions 6.2 Recommendations	91 91 94
CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 6.1 Conclusions 6.2 Recommendations BIBLIOGRAPHY	91 91 94 95

APPENDIX C: Free Vibration	106
APPENDIX D: Codes	111

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table No</u>.

Page No.

3.1	The three D-O-F manipulator's parameters	41
4.1	Genetic Algorithm parameters	57
5.1	Flexible Manipulator's Physical Parameters Values	80
5.2	Fourth Order Polynomial Trajectory Parameters	83
5.3	Objective Function Values	84

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No. Page No. 2.1 The Placement of Genetic Algorithms in A Hierarchy of Knowledge 25 **Based Information Systems** 2.2 Pseudo-Code Algorithm 33 3.1 The Three DOF Planar Redundant Manipulator 35 3.2 Linear Segment with Parabolic Blends Trajectory 37 3.3 Soft Motion Trajectory 40 3.4a Contribution of Each Matrix in the Required Torque in LSPB Trajectory 41 42 3.4b Contribution of Each Matrix in the Required Torque in Soft Trajectory 3.5a First Joint's Trajectory (Case 1) 43 3.5b Second Joint's Trajectory (Case 1) 43 3.5c 43 Third Joint's Trajectory (Case 1) 3.5d First Joint's Torque (Case 1) 43 3.5e Second Joint's Torque (Case 1) 43 3.5f Third Joint's Torque (Case 1) 43 3.6a First Joint's Trajectory (Case 2) 44 3.6b Second Joint's Trajectory (Case 2) 44 3.6c Third Joint's Trajectory (Case 2) 44 3.6d First Joint's Torque (Case 2) 44 3.6e Second Joint's Torque (Case 2) 44 3.6f 44 Third Joint's Torque (Case 2) 4.1 The Proposed Three DOF Planar Redundant Manipulator 47

4.2	The Maximum Reach of the Manipulator Links (Line Trajectory)	50
4.3	The Maximum Reach of the Manipulator Links (Circle Trajectory)	52
4.4	The Flowchart of the Minimum Energy Consumption Algorithm	56
4.5a	Elbow-Down Robot Positioning (Line)	58
4.5b	Optimized Joints Angle, Elbow-Down (Line)	58
4.6a	Elbow-Up Robot Positioning (Line)	59
4.6b	Optimized Joints Angle, Elbow-Up (Line)	59
4.7a	First Joint's Torque (Line)	60
4.7b	Second Joint's Torque (Line)	60
4.7c	Third Joint's Torque (Line)	60
4.7d	Performance Index (Line)	60
4.8a	Elbow-Down Robot Positioning (Circle)	61
4.8b	Optimized Joints Angle, Elbow-Down (Circle)	61
4.9a	Elbow-Up Robot Positioning (Circle)	62
4.9b	Optimized Joints Angle, Elbow-Up (Circle)	62
4.10a	First Joint's Torque (Circle)	63
4.10b	Second Joint's Torque (Circle)	63
4.10c	Third Joint's Torque (Circle)	63
4.10d	Performance Index (Circle)	63
5.1	The Proposed Flexible Manipulator	69
5.2	The Algorithm for Selecting Parameter A_3	78
5.3a	First Mode, First Joint Torque Using Soft Trajectory	81
5.3b	Second Mode, First Joint Torque Using Soft Trajectory	81
5.3c	Third Mode, First Joint Torque Using Soft Trajectory	81
5.3d	Fourth Mode, First Joint Torque Using Soft Trajectory	81

5.4a	First Mode, First Joint Torque Using Fourth Order Trajectory	81
5.4b	Second Mode, First Joint Torque Using Fourth Order Trajectory	81
5.4c	Third Mode, First Joint Torque Using Fourth Order Trajectory	81
5.4d	Fourth Mode, First Joint Torque Using Fourth Order Trajectory	81
5.5a	First Mode, Second Joint Torque Using Soft Trajectory	82
5.5b	Second Mode, Second Joint Torque Using Soft Trajectory	82
5.5c	Third Mode, Second Joint Torque Using Soft Trajectory	82
5.5d	Fourth Mode, Second Joint Torque Using Soft Trajectory	82
5.6a	First Mode, Second Joint Torque Using Fourth Order Trajectory	82
5.6b	Second Mode, Second Joint Torque Using Fourth Order Trajectory	82
5.6c	Third Mode, Second Joint Torque Using Fourth Order Trajectory	82
5.6d	Fourth Mode, Second Joint Torque Using Fourth Order Trajectory	82
5.7a	Combined First Four Modes, First Joint Torque Using Soft Motion Trajectory	83
5.7b	Combined First Four Modes, Second Joint Torque Using Soft Motion Trajectory	83
5.8a	Combined First Four Modes, First Joint Torque Using Fourth Order Trajectory	83
5.8b	Combined First Four Modes, Second Joint Torque Using Fourth Order Trajectory	83
5.9a	First Joint Trajectory	84
5.9b	Second Joint Trajectory	84
5.10a	Objective Function Values for the Soft Motion Trajectory	85
5.10b	Objective Function Values for the Fourth Order Trajectory Using GA	85
5.11a	First Mode, First Joint Torque (Pinned-Free)	87
5.11b	Second Mode, First Joint Torque (Pinned-Free)	87
5.11c	Third Mode, First Joint Torque (Pinned-Free)	87

5.11d	Fourth Mode, First Joint Torque (Pinned-Free)	87
5.12a	First Mode, Second Joint Torque (Pinned-Free)	87
5.12b	Second Mode, Second Joint Torque (Pinned-Free)	87
5.12c	Third Mode, Second Joint Torque (Pinned-Free)	87
5.12d	Fourth Mode, Second Joint Torque (Pinned-Free)	87
5.13a	Combined First Four Modes, First Joint Torque (Pinned-Free)	88
5.13b	Combined First Four Modes, Second Joint Torque (Pinned-Free)	88
5.14	Objective Function Values (Pinned-Free)	88

LIST OF SYMBOLS

- *l* Manipulator's Link Length
- *I* Mass Moment Of Inertia
- *m* Mass of Link
- g Acceleration Of Gravity
- *K* Kinetic Energy of a System
- *P* Potential Energy of a System
- *L* The Lagrangian
- *q* Generalized Coordinate
- *Q* Generalized Force
- T Joint's Torque
- t_b Trajectory's Blending Time
- θ Joint's Angular Displacement
- ω Joint's Angular Velocity
- α Joint's Angular Acceleration
- J Joint's Jerk
- ϕ Angle between the Final Link and the Horizontal Axis
- *PI* Performance Index
- *p* Penalty Function
- w(x,t) Transverse Elastic Displacement of a Flexible Link
- $\xi(t)$ Time Function
- W(x) Mode Shape
- r Position Vector
- ρ Mass Density per Unit Length
- *EI* Flexural Rigidity
- δW Virtual Work Done by Joint's Torque
- ω_n Beam's Natural Frequency
- βl Beam's Normal Mode

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 OVERVIEW

The increase demand on robotic applications has magnified and highlighted the importance of studying different aspects concerning robots. Robots received major attention by researchers in the 20th century due to its successful implementation in industry and, later on, in space; the work done in this area witnessed several outstanding achievements due to the need of real time robotic applications. Trajectory planning was one of the early works in this field and a range of trajectories are nowadays available for different industrial applications. Trajectory selection is crucial since different trajectories have different characteristics, also different robotic applications have different requirements and constraints such as; minimum time, maximum velocity and acceleration, obstacle avoidance, minimum energy consumed, and so on.

Since the availability of energy is limited in some circumstances especially in space, energy-optimized trajectories as well as light weight (flexible) manipulators have been always in great demand. The importance of such manipulators rose from several facts, such that they have high payload to manipulator weight ratio, also they need less energy to run due to their relatively low weight, which is in fact a big advantage since energy consumption is a major drawback that faces some applications, as in space missions. Furthermore, the reachability of these manipulators is higher due to the ability to use long links. On the other hand, many complexities are

associated with the use of light weight manipulators, such as modelling and vibration issues, and this is due to the flexibility of the links and sometimes the joints.

In industry, heavy weight manipulators are primarily used due to their capabilities in handling applications while achieving accurate movements; in addition, the vibration issues are very limited and can be easily eliminated, but the energy consumption remains a primary defect of such manipulators. Unlike rigid manipulators, flexible manipulators involve more complex and challenging work, especially at the modelling stage where the flexibility of the links will raise both, the number and complexity, of equations of motion and as a result will raise the difficulty of solution. For instance, the implementation of light weight manipulators in industry is limited. As mentioned earlier, the defects of light weight manipulators are limiting their use in industrial works; yet, this is a hot area in research, and several works have been carried out on this topic, and most of these works were concentrated on the vibration suppression problem.

1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

Many robotic-based industrial applications do not consider the three D-O-F planar redundant manipulators despite these manipulators are good candidates for optimization purposes. This work deals with the three D-O-F manipulators from two prospective points. First, trajectory planning, and second, optimal trajectory selection.

Trajectory planning for planar redundant manipulators covers a wide range of trajectory profiles. Soft motion trajectory is a relatively new profile which has not been benchmarked with any other trajectory by researchers. This work comes to investigate the soft trajectory and compare it with other known profiles so that the characteristics of the soft trajectory is better understood and discussed. Optimal trajectory selection has been always a demand in many industrial applications. Thus, the work introduced here assumed that the manipulator's endeffector is required to perform two tasks optimally. Then, an objective function is introduced and optimal trajectory profiles are finally selected.

Two links flexible manipulator is also considered. The mathematical modelling of the flexible manipulator is difficult to be obtained directly from the equations of motion, since the resulting equations of motion are highly nonlinear integro-partial differential equations, and it is almost impossible to solve such equations analytically. Instead, it was assumed that the links can be treated as Euler-Bernoulli's beams and that the boundary conditions are similar to those obtained from these beams.

Optimal trajectory selection was required to validate the effectiveness of the method used, thus soft motion and optimal fourth order polynomial trajectories were tested and compared. To study the effect of changing the boundary conditions, different boundary conditions were used and the resulting outputs were compared and analyzed.

1.3 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The objectives of this research are as follows:

• To study the effect of different path trajectories on manipulator's joints torques.

The joints' torques of the three D-O-F planar manipulator are to be simulated using two different trajectories. Linear Segment with Parabolic Blends (LSPB) and Soft Motion trajectories are compared here and simulation results are introduced.

• To optimize the energy needed to run a three D-O-F planar manipulator.

Genetic Algorithm (GA) is applied here to find the optimal trajectory for a three D-O-F manipulator. The end-effector of the manipulator is required to follow a specified path while enduring a certain trajectory. Using inverse kinematics, the individual joints angles, angular velocities, as well as angular accelerations are determined.

• To apply the Genetic Algorithms technique for optimal trajectory planning for two-link flexible manipulator.

Again, Genetic Algorithm will be used here to search for the optimal, energy minimized trajectory. The manipulator is mathematically represented using extended Hamilton's principle, and the flexible links are treated using Euler-Bernoulli's beam theory. A comparison between soft motion and optimal fourth order polynomial trajectories will be carried out to show the significance of Genetic Algorithm and to verify the effectiveness of the method used.

• To study the effect of different combination of beam's boundary conditions on the performance of the flexible manipulator.

Different combinations of beam's boundary conditions, when applied to the same system, will result in different outcomes. In this work, the Fixed-Free and Pinned-Free boundary conditions are assumed, and the effect of using these combinations is highlighted and introduced.

1.4 SCOPE OF THE THESIS

This research covers many aspects concerning robotic manipulators. For the rigid manipulator, mathematical modelling and trajectory planning as well as optimal trajectory selection are discussed. The manipulator is modelled using Lagrange approach where generalized coordinates and generalized forces are assumed. Trajectory planning for a 3 DOF planar redundant manipulator, which is working in the joint space, is discussed. Two trajectories are used and their corresponding generated output torques are compared. Task space is also presented as a manipulator is required to track two specified paths optimally.

Two links flexible manipulator is also introduced. The mathematical modelling is derived using the extended Hamilton's principle and the links are treated using Euler-Bernoulli's beam theory. The manipulator is assumed to be working in the joint space, where certain joints' movements are required. Optimal trajectory planning is addressed as two different trajectories are used to generate the required movements. Different boundary conditions are also presented and the resulting output torques are compared.

1.5 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

At the beginning, a 3 D-O-F planar redundant manipulator that is working in the joint space is studied. The actuators torques are simulated using different trajectory profiles, such as: soft motion trajectory and linear segment with parabolic blends trajectory. The manipulator is then assumed to move in the task space. Two paths are required to be followed by the manipulator's end-effector, a straight line and a circle. It is required that the angle between the last link and the horizontal line to satisfy a cubic spline trajectory while tracking these paths, also it is required that the generated trajectories be optimal ones.

Two links flexible manipulator is then studied. The two links are assumed to be homogeneous and equal in length. The mathematical modelling for the manipulator is derived using the extended Hamilton's principle and the links are treated as EulerBernoulli's beam theory. The manipulator is required to perform a certain movement optimally. So, soft motion and optimized fourth order polynomial trajectory are compared in terms of the manipulator's generated torques and the overall energy needed to perform the movement.

The effect of using different boundary conditions on the flexible manipulator's torques is addressed too. Fixed-free and pinned-free boundary conditions are assumed, and the generated output torques are introduced and compared.

1.6 THESIS OUTLINE

This thesis consists of six chapters. Chapter two introduces some Evolutionary Algorithms, and concentrates on Genetic Algorithms (GA) which is the optimization technique used throughout this work. The function and the parameters forming GA are introduced, as well.

Chapter three investigates a three D-O-F redundant planar manipulator. The mathematical modelling of the proposed manipulator is first derived using Lagrange's approach and the three equations describing the torques of the joints are given. The three joints' torques are then simulated using LSPB and Soft Motion trajectories; simulation results for angles, velocities, accelerations, and torques profiles for both trajectories are presented and compared.

While in Chapter four, an energy minimized, optimal motion trajectory for a three D-O-F redundant planar manipulator is required while the end-effector of the manipulator tracks two predetermined paths, a straight line and a circle. The angle that the last link makes with horizontal ϕ is required to undergo a third order polynomial trajectory, the starting and goal angle values are left undetermined. GA is employed to search for these values such that an optimal trajectory is satisfied. Geometric approach