



A NEW COST MODEL FOR HIGH SPEED HARD
TURNING OF AISI 4340 STEEL

BY

MUATAZ HAZZA FAIZI AL HAZZA

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for
the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Engineering)

Kulliyyah of Engineering

International Islamic University
Malaysia

JANUARY 2012

ABSTRACT

Technology is developing from grinding to hard turning then to high speed hard turning (HSHT) in which three advanced turning processes are merged together: hard turning, high speed turning and dry turning. Among the advantages of this modern process are final product quality, reduced machining time, and lower machining cost. On the other hand, the high cost of the cutting tools must be minimized. Thus there is clearly a need to study HSHT economically to give the evidence to the industrial sector that this process is worth considering. In order to demonstrate its economic viability, it is of particular importance to develop a cost model to run in optimal conditions based on specified objectives and practical constraints. In this research, a scientific and systematic methodology to design cost model and the optimal cutting conditions is developed. A new procedure was proposed for structuring and developing the cost model. This procedure is a hybrid of different estimating methods supported by statistical and artificial intelligent techniques. In this study; the following parameters are used; cutting speed 175-325 m/min, feed rate 0.075 – 0.125 mm/rev, depth of cut 0.1 – 0.15 mm and negative rake angle from zero to (-12) degree. The work piece material was AISI 4340 hardened to 60 (HRC) and the cutting tool is mixed ceramics (Al_2O_3 - TiC) under dry conditions. The experimental works were divided into two different designs; Full Factorial Design (FFD) for three independent variables (cutting speed, feed rate and negative rake angle) and Box-Behnken Design (BBD) in which the depth of cut was also considered. Surface roughness, flank wear length, cutting forces and feeding forces have been measured, and then two different methods have been used in modeling and predicting the measured parameters; BBD and ANN. It is found that the BBD gave the better results with lower deviation. Machining time and tool life were the main drivers of the cost in HSHT process. Machining time has been modeled by dividing the time into three main elements; operation time, non operation time and preparation time. The tool life was predicted by direct monitoring of the flank wear progress. It was found that the statistical approach gave better predicting to use in the cost models. The machining cost was measured by RM/cm² and broken down into three main parts; machine cost rate per time (labor, maintenance, material, energy, capital, and renting costs), tooling costs and energy cost then a mathematical relationship relating the cost of a process as a dependent variable to one or more independent variables were derived for three different scenarios; one shift, two shifts and three shifts with 300 working days. By using BBD as one of the RSM collections, new models for surface roughness, machine cost rate, tooling cost and energy cost have been developed using only four inputs variables. Two different optimization methods; Desirability function (DF) and genetic algorithm (GA), have been applied to obtain the minimum values of the total machining cost and surface roughness. The results show that the best values in the boundary design that can achieve the minimum roughness (0.31 μ m) with machining cost 0.595 RM/cm³.

خلاصة البحث

تكنولوجيا قطع المعادن تغيرت من التلبيغ الى الخراطه السريعه للمواد الصلبه (HSHT) حيث يتم دمج ثلاثه عمليات خراطه متقدمه في عمليه واحده: الخراطه عاليه السرعة، الخراطه صلبه، والخراطه الجافه. من بين مزايا هذه العمليه الحديثه هوجوده المنتج النهائي، تقليل الوقت، وانخفاض التكلفة. من ناحية أخرى فان كلفه ادوات القطع سترتفع كنتيجة لارتفاع معدل التاكل في عدد القطع، وبالتالي فمن الواضح أن هناك حاجة ماسه لدراسة HSHT اقتصاديا لإعطاء الأدلة للقطاع الصناعي أن هذه العمليه تستحق النظر. ومن أجل إثبات جدواه الاقتصادية ، فإنه من الأهمية ان يكون هناك نموذج للتنبؤ بتكاليف التشغيل في الظروف المثلى على أساس الأهداف المحددة والقيود العمليه. في هذا البحث، استخدمت منهجية علمية لتصميم نموذج التكلفة وتحديد الظروف المثلى للقطع. واقترحنا إجراءات جديدة لتنظيم وتطوير نموذج التكلفة. هذا الإجراء هو مزيج من الأساليب المختلفه لتقدير الكلفه مدعومة بتقنيات الذكاء الاصطناعي والإحصائي. في هذه الدراسة، وسيتم تغطية الحدود التاليه؛ سرعة القطع 175-325 متر / دقيقة، ومعدل تغذية 0.075- 0.125 مم / دوره، وعمق قطع 0.1-0.15 ملم وزاوية سلبية من صفر إلى (-12) درجة. المادة المستخدمه في التجارب العمليه هي (AISI 4340) مصلده إلى 60 (HRC) وأداة قطع السيراميك هو (Tic- Al₂O₃) في ظل ظروف قطع جاف. التجارب كانت بتصميمين: Full Factorial Design (FFD) و Box Behnken Design (BBD) تم من خلال التجارب قياس كلا من خشونة السطح، وطول التاكل، قوى القطع و قوى التغذية، ومن ثم تم استخدام طريقتين مختلفتين في النمذجة والتنبؤ BBD و ANN. وجد أن BBD أعطى نتائج أفضل مع انخفاض نسبه الخطاء. وكان عمر اداة القطع وزمن القطع هما العوامل الاساسيه في قياده الكلفه. وقد تم عمل ونمذجه زمن القطع بتقسيم الوقت إلى ثلاثة عناصر رئيسية هي؛ الوقت الإنتاجي، والوقت غير منتج، والوقت اللازم لإعداد. وتم حساب وعمل نمذجه لقياس عمر اداة القطع استنادا على معدل التاكل في عده القطع. وقد تبين أن النهج الإحصائي يعطي تنبؤ على نحو أفضل لاستخدامها في نماذج التكلفة. وتم قياس كلفة القطع بحساب كلفه ازاله سنتمتر مكعب من المواد. اجزاء الكلفه تم تقسيمها الى ثلاثة اجزاء رئيسيه ، معدل كلف التشغيل(العمل والصيانة والمواد والطاقة ورأس المال وتكاليف استئجار)، وكلف ادوات القطع وتكلفة الطاقة ثم وجود علاقة رياضية تربط تكلفة عملية كمتغير يعتمد على واحد أو أكثر من المتغيرات المستقلة و استخدمت ثلاثة سيناريوهات مختلفه استنادا على عدد وجبات العمل فتره عمل واحده، فترتي عمل وثلاث فترات خلال اليوم الواحد مع 300 أيام عمل في السنه. باستخدام BBD باعتبارها واحدة من مجموعات RSM، طورت نماذج جديدة للتنبؤ لكل من: خشونة السطح، معدل كلف التشغيل، وكلف ادوات القطع وتكلفة الطاقة باستخدام أربعة فقط من المتغيرات:سرعه القطع،معدل التغذية،عمق القطع وزاويه القطع وقد طبقت طريقتين لتعظيم النماذج للحصول على قيم الحد الأدنى من التكلفة الإجمالية بالقطع والحد الأدنى من خشونة السطح باستخدام تقنيتين مختلفتين الاولى احصائيه والثانيه مستنده على الذكاء الصناعي:Desirability Function(DF) كواحدة من التقنيات الإحصائية والخوارزمية الجينية (GA) باعتبارها واحدة من تقنيات الذكاء الصناعي وأظهرت النتائج أن أفضل القيم في تصميم الحدود التي يمكن أن تحقق الحد الأدنى من خشونة (0.31 ميكرون) وتكلفة الآلات 0,595 RM / سم³.

APPROVAL PAGE

The thesis of Muataz Hazza Al Hazza has been approved by the following:

Erry Yulian T. Adesta
Supervisor

Wan Ahmad Yusmawiza Wan Yusoff
Co-Supervisor

Ahsan Ali Khan
Internal Examiner

A.N. Mustafizul Karim
Internal Examiner

Awaluddin Mohamed Shahrour
External Examiner

Abdi Omar Shuriye
Chairman

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of my own investigations, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

Muataz Hazza Faizi Al Hazza

Signature.....

Date

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

**DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION
OF FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH**

Copyright © 2012 by Muataz Hazza Faizi Al Hazza All rights reserved.

**A NEW COST MODEL FOR HIGH SPEED HARD TURNING OF
AISI 4340 STEEL**

I hereby affirm that the international Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM) hold all rights in the copyright of this work and henceforth any reproduction or use in any form or by means whatsoever is prohibited without the written consent of IIUM. No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder.

Affirmed by Muataz Hazza Faizi Al Hazza

.....

Signature

.....

Date

Dedicated to my dearest father who died before my PhD

Also dedicated to

*My mother,
My dearest wife
My daughter Zubaidah
My son Mohamed
My daughter Hajer*

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

In the name of Allah, the most Compassionate, the most merciful. I would like to express my utmost thankfulness to Allah subhanahu talaa for the shower of His blessings and mercies that I have successfully completed my research work. In practical, sincere appreciation and thanks to my respected supervisor Prof. Dr. Erry Y. T. Adesta for his relentless guidance, patience and support throughout the research. I would like also to thank Dr Wan Ahmad Yusmawiza Wan Yusoff for his supporting guidance as Co- supervisor

I also express a note of thanks to all members of the research group and the technicians of different labs of manufacturing and materials engineering department for their supports and contribution to me. Special thanks to the center of postgraduate studies (CPS), and other related departments in International Islamic University Malaysia for their kind cooperation and assistance.

My wife Azhar Ibraheem deserves my admiration, love and thanks for her unending support and sacrifice. My love goes to my daughters Zubaidah and Hajir and My son Mohmaed. I want to dedicate this work to my parents, wife, daughters and son.

Last but not least, I feel profuse gratitude to my entire family. They have always encouraged and supported me during my whole life. Their hearts and souls have enlightened my way to my righteousness and being a devoted and committed Muslim.

TABLES OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
Abstract in Arabic	iii
Approval page	iv
Declaration page	v
Copyright Page	vi
Dedication	vii
Acknowledgments.....	viii
List of Tables	xiii
List of Figures	xvi
List of Abbreviations	xx
List of symbols	xxii

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION..... 1

1.1 General background of the study	1
1.1.1 Metal cutting.....	2
1.1.2 Hard Turning	3
1.1.3 High speed hard turning	4
1.1.4 Cost estimation in machining	5
1.2 Problem statement and its significance	6
1.3 Research philosophy	7
1.4 Hypothesis	8
1.5 Research objectives.....	9
1.6 Research methodology	9
1.7 Research scope	11
1.8 Thesis organization.....	11

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW..... 13

2.1 Introduction	13
2.2 High speed hard turning	13
2.2.1 Temperature	14
2.2.2 Cutting forces	16
2.2.3 Surface roughness.....	18
2.2.4 Tool wear type	19
2.3 Cutting tool	21
2.3.1 Cutting tool material.....	21
2.3.2 Negative rake angle	23
2.3.3 Tool life estimation	25
2.4 Machining cost	27
2.4.1 Cost classification	28
2.4.2 Cost drivers	28
2.4.3 Cost Estimation methods	29
2.4.3.1 Intuitive or Expert method.....	31
2.4.3.2 Parametric method.....	32

2.4.3.3	Analogical method.....	33
2.4.3.4	Feature based method.....	33
2.4.3.5	Activity based costing method.....	34
2.4.3.6	Process-Based Cost method.....	35
2.4.3.7	The analytic method.....	35
2.4.3.8	Cost estimation based artificial intelligent.....	36
2.4.4	Technical cost modeling.....	37
2.5	Modeling and optimization techniques.....	38
2.5.1	Modeling technique.....	39
2.5.1.1	General Full factorial design.....	39
2.5.1.2	Central composite design.....	40
2.5.1.3	Face centered cubic.....	41
2.5.1.4	Box-Behnken Designs.....	41
2.5.1.5	Artificial neural network.....	43
2.5.2	Optimization.....	45
2.5.2.1	Desirability function.....	47
2.5.2.2	Genetic algorithm.....	48
2.6	Summary.....	49

CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY50

3.1	Introduction.....	50
3.2	Research flow chart.....	50
3.3	Work piece material.....	51
3.4	Cutting tools.....	52
3.4.1	Cutting Tool Material.....	53
3.4.2	Tool Insert.....	54
3.4.3	Tool holder.....	54
3.5	Equipments and instruments.....	55
3.5.1	CNC Lathe Machine.....	55
3.5.2	Force meter (Tool Holder Load Cell).....	56
3.5.3	Flank wear Length and tool life measurement.....	58
3.5.4	Voltage, Current and Power Factor.....	59
3.5.5	Roughness Tester.....	60
3.6	Cost Modeling.....	61
3.7	Modeling Techniques.....	64
3.7.1	Regression Analyzing Method.....	65
3.7.2	Artificial Neural Network.....	67
3.8	Optimization Technique.....	67
3.8.1	Desirability function.....	68
3.8.2	Genetic algorithm.....	69
3.9	Summary.....	72

CHAPTER 4: EXPERIMENTAL WORK: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .73

4.1	Introduction.....	73
4.2	Experimental Design.....	73
4.2.1	General Full Factorial Design Results.....	74
4.2.1.1	Surface roughness.....	74

4.2.1.2	Flank wear length	76
4.2.1.3	Cutting and Feeding Forces	78
4.2.2	Box Behnken design	81
4.3	Summary	86

CHAPTER 5: DEVELOPMENT MODELS FOR THE RESPONSES

TARGET	87
5.1 Introduction	87
5.2 Box Behnken design models	87
5.3 Artificial Neural Network	91
5.4 Validation of developed models	93
5.5 Summary	96

CHAPTER 6: DESIGN OF NEW COST MODEL FOR HIGH SPEED

HARD TURNING	98
6.1 Introduction	98
6.2 Cost drivers	98
6.2.1 Machining time model	99
6.2.2 Tool life model	103
6.2.2.1 Statistical model	103
6.2.2.2 Artificial intelligent model	109
6.2.2.3 Tool life models validation	111
6.3 Cost elements	112
6.3.1 Machine cost rate	113
6.3.1.1 Labor cost	113
6.3.1.2 Maintenance cost	113
6.3.1.3 Energy cost	114
6.3.1.4 Capital cost	114
6.3.1.5 Building cost	115
6.3.2 Tooling cost	115
6.3.3 Machining Energy cost	117
6.4 Machining cost calculation	118
6.4.1 Machine cost rate	119
6.4.2 Tooling cost	120
6.4.3 Machining Energy cost	121
6.4.4 Total machining cost	122
6.5 Machining cost model	124
6.6 Sensitivity analysis	133
6.7 Machining cost models validation	134
6.8 Summary	136

CHAPTER 7: OPTIMIZATION MODELS139

7.1 Introduction	139
7.2 Desirability function	139
7.3 Multi-objective Genetic algorithm implementation	145
7.3.1 Generating the initial population	145

7.3.2 Fitness Function	146
7.4 Sensitivity analysis	148
7.5 Summary	153
CHAPTER 8: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	155
8.1 Conclusions	155
8.2 Major contributions of research	158
8.3 Recommendations for future studies.....	159
BIBLIOGRAPHY	160
RELATED PUBLICATIONS.....	176
APPENDIX A: Configuration of IMC	177
APPENDIX B: Neural network structure	182
APPENDIX C: Statistical tables	185
APPENDIX D: Cost Models	187

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
2.1	Tool life estimation methods based on Taylor equation	25
2.2	Three factors design(BBD)	43
3.1	General chemical composition for AISI 4340 steel	52
3.2	Chemical composition for AISI 4340 steel used in experiment	52
3.3	Tool Holder Geometric	55
3.4	Full Factorial Design Levels	66
3.5	Box-Behnken Design Levels	67
4.1	Roughness with different cutting speed, rake angle and feeding rate	74
4.2	Maximum flank wear length after 1000 mm of machining	77
4.3	Cutting forces with different cutting speed, rake angle and feeding rate	79
4.4	Feeding forces with different cutting speed, rake angle and feeding rate	80
4.5	Perturbation plot coded values for the investigated variables	85
5.1	Adjusted R ² for the BBD models	87
5.2	Predicted R ² for the BBD models	88
5.3	Roughness statistical summary BBD	88
5.4	Flank wear statistical summary BBD	89
5.5	Cutting force statistical summary (BBD)	89
5.6	Feeding force statistical summary(BBD)	89
5.7	Insignificant model terms in BBD	90
5.8	Statistical summary for BBD	90

5.9	Deviation of the BBD and ANN models	95
6.1	Box-Behnken Design for three independent factors	104
6.2	Box-Behnken design for four independent factors	105
6.3	Statistical analysis results (BBD)	106
6.4	Insignificant model terms in coded unit	106
6.5	Cost data information	119
6.6	Machine cost rate for different shifts	120
6.7	Tooling cost	121
6.8	Total energy cost	122
6.9	Total machining cost for two shifts, 250 days	123
6.10	R ² values for linear and quadratic models	124
6.11	ANOVA table for machining cost rate (one shift)	125
6.12	ANOVA for machining cost rate two shifts	125
6.13	ANOVA for machining cost rate (three shifts)	126
6.14	ANOVA for tooling cost	126
6.15	ANOVA for energy cost	126
6.16	ANOVA for the total machining cost for one shift	127
6.17	ANOVA for the total machining cost for two shifts	127
6.18	ANOVA for the total machining cost for three shifts	127
6.19	Insignificant terms on the developed models	128
6.20	R ² values and signal to noise ratio	128
6.21	Validation results of cost models	134
7.1	First alternative designs for DF for different important factors	140
7.2	Optimum solution for the first alternative using DF for one shifts	141
7.3	Optimum solution for the first alternative using DF for two shifts	141

7.4	Optimum solution for the first alternative using DF for three shifts	141
7.5	Individual desirability for D_1 , D_2 , D_3 , D_4 , D_5 and D_6	142
7.6	Second alternative design for DF for different Important factors	142
7.7	Optimum solution for second alternative in DF for one shifts	143
7.8	Optimum solution for second alternative in DF for two shifts	143
7.9	Optimum solution for second alternative in DF for three shifts	143
7.10	Optimization results from GA method for one shift	147
7.11	Optimization results from GA method for two shifts	147
7.12	Optimization results from GA method for three shifts	148

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
1.1	Input-output in turning process	3
1.2	Comparison amongst different kind of turning hard materials	5
1.3	Cost model requirements	8
1.4	Research methodology	10
2.1	High cutting speeds for different materials	14
2.2	Deformation zones	15
2.3	Cutting speed and cutting forces relationship	17
2.4	Work piece – tool interaction with negative rake angle	23
2.5	Cutting forces position	24
2.6	Flank wear estimation methods	26
2.7	Modeling techniques in metal cutting process	39
2.8	Central composite designs	40
2.9	FCC Samples Distribution (three factors)	41
2.10	Box Behnken Samples Distribution (three factors)	42
2.11	ANN process elements	45
2.12	Optimization techniques in metal cutting process	46
3.1	Research methodology flow chart	51
3.2	Cutting tool performance	54
3.3	Tool insert dimension	54
3.4	Geometric parameters of tool holder	55
3.5	CNC turning machine (Romi- Bridgeport 2)	56

3.6	Tool holder load cell	56
3.7	Cutting forces measurement	57
3.8	Hisomet II tool maker microscope	58
3.9	Energy estimating methods	59
3.10	Instruments used for measuring the power	60
3.11	Roughness tester	60
3.12	Average surface roughness	61
3.13	Roughness Target	61
3.14	Conceptual frame work	63
3.15	Genetic Algorithm optimization process	72
4.1	Roughness with different cutting speed, rake angle and feeding rate	75
4.2	Different wear lengths with different cutting speed	76
4.3	flank wear after 1000mm of machining in 400m/min as a cutting speed	77
4.4	Maximum flank wear length after 1000mm of machining with different cutting speed, rake angle and feeding rate	78
4.5	Cutting forces with different cutting speed, rake angle and feeding rate	79
4.6	Feeding force with different cutting speed, rake angle and feeding rate	80
4.7	Effect of depth of cut on flank wear length and roughness	82
4.8	Effect of depth of cut on cutting and feeding forces	82
4.11	Perturbation plot for Roughness (BBD)	83
4.12	Perturbation plot for flank wear (BBD)	83
4.13	Perturbation plot for cutting forces (BBD)	84
4.14	Perturbation plot for feeding forces (BBD)	84
5.1	Network model structure diagram	91

5.2	Plot of data regression	92
5.3	Plot performance	93
5.4	Validation results for the BBD	94
5.5	Comprise between the BBD and ANN models	95
6.1	Cost drivers selection	99
6.2	Machining time structure	100
6.3	Cutting tool routes in CNC turning	100
6.4	Perturbation plot for the tool life with three factors(BBD)	104
6.5	Perturbation plot for the tool life with four factors (BBD)	108
6.6	ANN structure for tool life using four inputs	108
6.7	Training, validating and testing results for tool life using four inputs	109
6.8	Validating performance for tool life model	109
6.9	Validation and error test	109
6.10	Plot of data regression for tool life prediction	110
6.11	Deviation of tool life (BBD-3 factors)	111
6.12	Deviation of tool life (BBD-4 factors)	111
6.13	Deviation of tool life model (ANN)	112
6.14	Machining cost element structure	112
6.15	Perturbation plot for machine cost rate for one shift	130
6.16	Perturbation plot for machine cost rate for two shifts	131
6.17	Perturbation plot for machine cost rate for three shifts	131
6.18	Perturbation plot of the tooling cost	132
6.19	Perturbation plot of the energy cost	132
6.20	Cost models validation	135

7.1	Chromosome length for four parameters	146
7.2	Upper and lower values of desirability function	149
7.3	Sensitivity analysis of roughness model	150
7.4	Sensitivity analysis of machine cost rate model	151
7.5	Sensitivity analysis of the tooling cost model	152
7.6	Sensitivity analysis of the energy cost model	153

LIST OF ABBRIVIATION

ABC	Activity Based Costing
AEUI	Annual Area Use Index
AISI	American Iron and Steel Institute
ANN	Artificial Neural Network
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
BBD	Box-Behnken Design
BHN	
BUE	Built Up Edge
CAD	Computer Aided design
CAM	Computer Aided manufacturing
CBR	Case-Based Reasoning
CBN	Cubic Boron Nitride
CCD	Central Composite Design
CEF	Cost Estimation Formula
CER	Cost Estimation Relationships
CNC	Computer Numerical Control
DF	Desirability Function
DOE	Design Of Expert
FCC	Face Centered Cube
FFD	Full Factorial Design
GA	Genetic Algorithm
GP	Geometric Programming

IMC	Integrated Measurement & Control
ISO	International Organization Standardization
HRC	Rockwell Hardness
HSHT	High Speed Hard Turning
NN	Neural Network
PCBN	Poly Cubic Boron Nitride
RSM	Response Surface Methodology
RCGA	Real Coded Genetic Algorithms
SS	Stainless Steel

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A_t	Gross area of the machine occupation
C_L	Operator cost per hour (Rm/hour)
C_A	Cost rental for one meter cubic
C_{rate}	Machine cost rate (Rm/cm ³)
C_{labour}	Labor cost (Rm/ min)
C_{main}	Maintenance cost (Rm/min)
$C_{building}$	Building cost (Rm/min)
$C_{energy (f)}$	Fixed energy cost (Rm/min)
$C_{capital}$	Capital cost (Rm/min)
C_{Tc}	Tooling cost for one pass
C_T	Tool cost per one edge (Rm)
C_{E1}	Energy cost when the machine in route of cutting but not in touch
C_{E2}	Energy cost when the cutting tool in touch with work piece
$\cos \emptyset$	Power factor
α	degagement angle (degree)
d	Depth of cut (mm)
D_i	The initial diameter of the work piece before each pass
E_c	Energy tariff (Rm /Kwh)
E_1	Energy during cutting route without touch the work piece (kw)
E_2	Energy during cutting(kw)
e_z	Engagement distance on Z-axis
e_x	Degagement distance on X-axis
f	Feed rate (mm/rev)
h_L	Tool holder life (edge)
i	Interest rate
i_c	Insert cost (Rm)
k_m	Maintenance and reparation supplement (3%)
L_c	Cost of labor (Rm/hour)
L	Tool life (min)
l	Work piece length
M_p	Machine tool price (Rm)
n_L	Number of operators
n_i	Number of insert edges
n_{tool}	Number of tool posts in the turret

P_1	Initial position of the turret
P_2	Position of the used tool in the turret
P_h	Tool holder price (Rm)
R	Rake angle (degree)
r	Work piece radius (mm)
r_t	nose radius for the cutting tool (mm)
r_w	Wear rate progress (mm/min)
r_{speed}	Rotation speed of the turret (rev/min)
T_e	Cutting temperature (C ^o)
T_p	Machine tool life (year)
T_{prod}	Productive time(min)
T_{prep}	Preparation time (min)
T_{set}	Setting time (min)
T_{prog}	Programming time (min)
T_{ch}	Tool changing time (min)
$T_{allowance}$	Total allowance (min)
$T_{Mallowance}$	Machine allowance (min)
$T_{Oallowance}$	Operator allowance (min)
Δt	Change of time
T_{it}	Time of insert in touch with work piece. (min)
VB	Flank wear length
ΔVB	Flank wear change during time
V_c	Cutting speed (m/min)/
V_f	Feeding speed (mm/min)
V_o	Rapid speed (m/min)
W_h	Number of working hours per year

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 GENERAL BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

The intense competition among manufacturers and the demand for shorter times to market are driving innovative approaches in creating faster production process (Layer, Brinke, Houten, Kals, and Haasis, 2002). Ideally, all of these approaches should be followed and justified by economic study. One of the most effective tools for economic study is by developing a cost model. Developing a cost model for a new technology is the most important step while at the same time is also the most difficult due to the limitation of accurate information for such a new technology. On the other hand, it is an effective tool for decreasing the cost of uncertainty, which is usually associated with new technologies. It will provide the manufacturers and the decision-makers alike with accurate cost information to assist them for making the decisions.

One of the new approaches in creating faster machining process in material removal technology is by applying High Speed Hard Turning (HSHT). It is a process of integrating three advanced turning processes together: hard turning, high speed turning and dry turning. HSHT has emerged as an advantageous machining process for cutting hardened materials in recent years. Among the advantages of this modern turning process are final product quality, reduced machining time, and lower machining cost (Mamalis, Kundrak, Markopoulos, and Manolakos, 2008).

From the discussion above there is clearly a need to study the impact of HSHT to look into the economic value of this process. In the current literature, little research is looking at the economic aspects of high speed hard turning in comprehensive