



**DEVELOPMENT OF SUSTAINABLE PERFORMANCE
MODEL BASED ON LM, QMS, SOFT TQM, AND EMS:
MALAYSIAN CONTEXT**

BY

AMJAD M R KHALILI

**A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Engineering)**

**Kulliyyah of Engineering
International Islamic University Malaysia**

October 2017

ABSTRACT

Organizations are trying to become World Class Manufacturing (WCM) by implementing the best practices of Operations Management (OM). Sustainable Performance (SP) is a common target to be achieved and maintained by any manufacturing organization. In this regard numerous studies have been carried out on essential key Operations Management (OM) practices namely Lean Manufacturing (LM), Soft TQM (STQM), Quality Management System (QMS), and Environmental Management System (EMS) with a view to assessing the impact on SP. However, most of these studies were conducted separately at manufacturing industries in different parts of the world and found to be inadequate to explore whether these practices are integrated together in the same enterprise or not in a holistic manner. In this context an attempt is made to develop a conceptual model by investigating the linkages among these key OM practices and inaugurating their associative interrelationships based on feedback from industrial managers through an exhaustive survey in Malaysia. The model encapsulates that LM is the exogenous, SP is the endogenous construct while three practices namely QMS, STQM and EMS are proposed as mediators. For this empirical investigation of the model, twenty (20) hypotheses were postulated. Both qualitative and quantitative techniques were adopted. Primary data were gathered through three stages. Initially a semi-structured interview was conducted to explore the model applicability by the verbatim responses gathered from fifteen managers. Then a pilot study was done by using the responses gathered through a structured survey questionnaire relating to the OM practices distributed among 240 operations managers in different certified manufacturing industries. For the final stage of the study a wider survey was done by distributing 900 questionnaires to the managers of manufacturing industries in Malaysia via both postal and web-based survey. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was exploited from SPSS22 for data reduction whereas Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA), structural model and bootstrapping technique were adopted from Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) AMOS 22 for examining model validity and hypotheses testing. Findings from the thematic analysis demonstrate that managers are adequately aware of these practices as these are aligned with OM theories and the developed model is supported. PCA show that all the model constructs are deemed reliable. The range of Kaiser Meyer Olkin (KMO) values for latent constructs lie between 0.69 and 0.85. Seven components for LM, while three components for each of the practices, QMS, STQM, EMS and SP were extracted and found to be considered as the critical success factors by the manufacturers for successful implementation. The study found that three practices such as QMS, STQM, and EMS were performing as mediators. Seven causal hypotheses were supported, whereas six mediated hypotheses were supported. The developed model can be preserved as an “eye opener” primarily for the local operations managers to implement the identified initiatives to help achieve Malaysia’ vision of becoming a developed nation in foreseeable future. Nevertheless, this study results can be treated as a guiding portfolio for manufacturing operations elsewhere.

خلاصة البحث

تحاول المؤسسات أن تصبح من ضمن مؤسسات التصنيع العالمي من خلال تنفيذ أفضل الممارسات لإدارة العمليات. الأداء المستدام يعتبر هدف مشترك تسعى المؤسسات الإنتاجية لتحقيقه والحفاظ عليه. وبالرغم من أن هناك دراسات كثيرة أجريت على الممارسات الأساسية لأدارة العمليات وهي التصنيع الرشيق، ادارة الجودة الشاملة اللينة، نظام ادارة الجودة، ونظام ادارة البيئة وفحص تأثيرها على الأداء المستدام. فأن معظم هذه الدراسات أجريت بشكل منفصل في مناطق مختلفه من العالم وخصوصا في القطاع الصناعي الماليزي. كما أنها وجدت غير ملائمة لاستكشاف هذه الممارسات المتداخله وهل يمكن تواجدها معا في نفس المؤسسة أم لا. في هذا الصدد تم تطوير نموذج تفاهمي من اجل تفحص الروابط بين هذه الممارسات وتدشين العلاقات الترابطية الخاصة بينها. وتتضمن هذه الدراسة أن التصنيع الرشيق هو متغير مستقل بينما الأداء المستدام هو متغير تابع. والمتغيرات الثلاثة وهي ادارة الجودة الشاملة اللينة، نظام ادارة الجودة، ونظام ادارة البيئة كمتغيرات وسطية. لفحص النموذج تجريبيا فإنه تم صياغته 20 فرضية وتم استخدام التقنيات النوعية والكمية حيث تم جمع البيانات الأولية من خلال ثلاثة مراحل. أولا المقابلات شبه المنظمه لاكتشاف مدى تطبيق النموذج أجريت مع 15 مديراً في الشركات الصناعية الماليزية. ثانياً الدراسة التجريبية حيث تم توزيع 240 استبانة على مدراء العمليات في مختلف القطاعات الانتاجية الصناعية. وثالثاً تم توزيع 900 استبانة في المرحلة الحقيقية عن طريق البريد الماليزي وشبكة الانترنت. أدوات الاحصاء الوصفي والاستقرائي تم استخدامها لتحليل البيانات. تم استغلال التحليل العاملي الرئيسي (PCA) من خلال برنامج الحزمة الاحصائية للعلوم الاجتماعية الإصدار 22 وذلك لاستكشاف واستخلاص العوامل الحرجه لنجاح ممارسات إداره العمليات، كما تم استخدام التحليل العاملي التوكيدي (CFA) من خلال برنامج الأموس 22 في نمذجة المعادلة الهيكلية وذلك لفحص مصداقيه وثبات النموذج الذي تم تطويره وفحص الفرضيات المصاغة. نتائج التحليل الموضوعي أظهرت بأن مدراء العمليات على دراية بمذة الممارسات والفوائد التي يمكن تحقيقها وأن هذه الممارسات متناغمة مع نظريات ادارة العمليات مما يعني قبول النموذج الذي تم تطويره. كما أظهرت النتائج أن جميع المتغيرات موثوقه كما أن مقياس دقة المتغيرات الخمسه يقدر بين 0.69 و 0.85. تم استخلاص سبعة عوامل للتصنيع الرشيق بينما تم استخلاص ثلاثة عوامل أخرى للمتغيرات الأخرى والتي اعتبرت عوامل حرجة للتنفيذ الناجح من قبل المدراء حال تنفيذها بالطرق السليمة. أظهرت النتائج بأن المتغيرات الثلاثة وهي ادارة الجودة الشاملة اللينة ونظامي ادارة الجودة والبيئة تعتبر كوسيط في مختلف العلاقات ما بين المتغيرات وفق النموذج المطور. كما أظهرت نتائج الدراسة عن قبول 13 فرضية من أصل 20 فرضية مختلفة. نموذج البحث التفاهمي الذي تم تطويره يعتبر كفاتح عين للمدراء الماليزيين حيث سيمكنهم من تحقيق الرؤية الماليزية لتكون دولة صناعية متقدمة. ومع ذلك فأن نتائج هذه الدراسه يمكن الحفاظ عليها باعتبارها كمحفظة توجيهية لعمليات التصنيع في أماكن أخرى.

APPROVAL PAGE

The thesis of Amjad M R Khalili has been approved by the following:

Md Yusof Bin Ismail
Supervisor

A.N.Mustafizul Karim
Co-Supervisor

Mohd Radzi Bin Che Daud
Co-Supervisor

AKM Mohiuddin
Internal Examiner

Salmiah Kasolang
External Examiner

Khairanum Subari
External Examiner

Akram MZM Kheder
Chairman

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this dissertation is the result of my own investigations, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

(Amjad M R Khalili)

Signature

Date

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

**DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF
FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH**

**DEVELOPMENT OF SUSTAINABLE
PERFORMANCE MODEL BASED ON LM, QMS,
SOFT TQM, AND EMS: MALAYSIAN CONTEXT**

I declare that the copyright holders of this dissertation are jointly owned by the student and IIUM.

Copyright © 2017 (Amjad M R Khalili) and International Islamic University Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below

1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieved system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledged that I have read and understand the IIUM Intellectual Property Right and Commercialization policy.

Affirmed by (Amjad M R Khalili)

.....
Signature

.....
Date

*Dedicated to people who contributed in different ways
to complete this PhD dissertation, and make it successful*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

AlhamdulillahALLAH. I initiate in the name of ALLAH, the most Gracious and most merciful. I thank Allah (SWT) who has seen me through to this level in my academic achievement. May his blessings and his forgiveness be upon the Holy prophet Muhammad (SAW), the paramount of mankind.

Through the completion of this PhD dissertation, I have received substantial support from numerous people who in diverse methods have given their time and assistance, and contributed to the completion of this study.

First of all, my heartfelt thanks go to my supervisor Ir. Prof. Dr. MD Yusof Ismail for his heartfelt personality, continual and unwavering encouragements, support, tutelage, patience, and perseverance in guiding me through the entire research and thesis writing process. My deepest thanks also go to my second supervisor, Prof. Dr. A.N. Mustafizul Karim for his invaluable assistance and continuous support. Special thanks go to my third supervisor Dr. Mohd Radzi Che Daud for his continuous support.

Many Thanks to the administration of International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM) and Research Management Center (RMC) who gave me the chance to be a post graduate student in the Faculty of Engineering. I would like to thank and appreciate the administration of Palestine Technical University (PTUK) and Ministry of Higher education in Palestine for their support and encouragement all the time I stayed here in Malaysia.

It is my utmost pleasure to dedicate this work to my beloved parents Haji Abu Majdi and Hajjah Um Majdi, my wonderful wife Ala' and my family in Palestine, who granted me the gift of their unwavering belief in my ability to accomplish this goal: thank you all for your support and patience.

I wish to express my appreciation and thanks to those who provided their time, effort and support for this dissertation, directly and indirectly, either Muslims or non-muslims. To my colleagues from faculty of engineering, to the reviewers, respondents, dissertation committee, my friends from Palestine, and many others who I did not mention their names here.

Without your support, this work cannot be completed. AlhamdulillahALLAH.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No
Abstract	ii
Abstract in Arabic	iii
Approval Page.....	iv
Declaration	v
Copyright Page.....	vi
Dedication	vii
Acknowledgements	viii
Table of Contents	ix
List of Tables	xv
List of Figures	xix
List of Abbreviations	xxi
List of Symbols	xxiii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General Background	1
1.2 Research Problem Statement	5
1.3 Research Philosophy.....	10
1.4 Research Objectives.....	11
1.5 Research Questions.....	12
1.6 Research Methodology	13
1.7 Scope of Research.....	13
1.8 Research Hypotheses	14
1.9 Significance of the Study.....	15
1.10 Limitations of the Study	18
1.11 Definitions of the Key Practices	19
1.12 Thesis Disposition	20
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	21
2.1 Introduction	21
2.1.1 Practices from Malaysian Perspective	22
2.2 QMS Concept	22
2.2.1 Perceived Benefits of QMS	25
2.3 TQM Concept	26
2.3.1 Evolution of TQM.....	28
2.3.2 Barriers and Success Factors for TQM.....	28
2.3.3 Notion of Quality Management (QM)	30
2.4 EMS Concept.....	32
2.4.1 Benefits of EMS Adoption.....	34
2.4.2 Criticism about EMS Aspects	35
2.4.3 Elements of EMS	35
2.5 LM Concept	37
2.5.1 Toyota Production System (TPS)	39
2.5.2 LM Wastes	40
2.5.3 LM Principles.....	41

2.5.4	Benefits of LM	43
2.5.5	Elements of LM	44
2.5.6	Importance of LM Success Factors.....	45
2.6	Sustainable Performance (SP) Concept.....	48
2.6.1	Importance of SP.....	51
2.7	Key OM Practices and Sustainable Performance	53
2.7.1	QMS and Sustainable Performance	53
2.7.2	TQM and Sustainable Performance	55
2.7.3	EMS and Sustainable Performance.....	57
2.7.4	LM and Sustainable Performance	59
2.8	Linkages Among The Key OM Practices.....	62
2.8.1	QMS and STQM Linkage.....	62
2.8.2	QMS and EMS Linkage.....	65
2.8.2.1	Common Aspects of QMS and EMS.....	66
2.8.3	STQM and EMS Linkage	66
2.8.4	LM and EMS Linkage.....	67
2.8.5	LM and STQM Linkage.....	69
2.8.5.1	Common Aspects Between LM and STQM.....	71
2.8.6	LM and QMS Linkage	72
2.8.6.1	Similarities and Common Aspects of LM and QMS.....	74
2.9	Descriptive Statistics	75
2.10	Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)	75
2.10.1	Approaches and Characteristics of SEM	76
2.10.2	Measurement and Structural Models	78
2.10.3	Stages in SEM Development	78
2.10.4	Assessment of Mediating Effect	82
2.11	The Research Gap.....	83
2.12	Chapter Summary	83

CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY.....85

3.1	Introduction.....	85
3.2	Research Design	85
3.2.1	Qualitative and Quantitative Research.....	87
3.3	Data Collection Process.....	88
3.3.1	First Phase- Qualitative Interview.....	89
3.3.1.1	Sample Size Determination for the Qualitative Phase.....	90
3.3.1.2	Semi-Structured Interview Design	91
3.3.1.3	The Interview Administration	92
3.3.2	Second Phase- Quantitative Questionnaire.....	93
3.3.2.1	Questionnaire Design and Development	94
3.3.2.2	Operationalization of Latent Constructs.....	95
3.3.2.3	Approaches for Questionnaire Development	99
3.3.2.4	Justification for the Quantitative Research.....	102
3.3.3	Sampling Procedure	103
3.3.3.1	Steps 1 and 2: Target Population and Sampling frame ...	103
3.3.3.2	Step 3: Sampling Techniques	105
3.3.3.3	Steps 4 and 5: Sample Size Determination and Sampling Process	107
3.3.3.4	Justification for the Sample Size	109

3.4 Data Analysis Techniques	110
3.4.1 Non Response Bias	111
3.4.2 Data Preparation and Screening.....	111
3.4.2.1 Missing Data.....	111
3.4.2.2 Outliers	112
3.4.2.3 Normality.....	113
3.4.2.4 Multicollinearity	114
3.4.3 Inferential Statistics.....	115
3.4.3.1 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA).....	115
3.4.3.2 Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA).....	118
3.4.4 Goodness of Data	119
3.4.4.1 Assessment of Validity.....	119
3.4.4.2 Assessment of Reliability	120
3.5 Chapter Summary	121

CHAPTER FOUR: CONCEPTUAL MODEL AND HYPOTHESES

DEVELOPMENT	122
4.1 Introduction.....	122
4.2 Fundamental Theories	122
4.2.1 Theory of Constraints (TOC).....	122
4.2.2 Resource Based View (RBV)	123
4.2.3 Resource Dependence Theory (RDT).....	124
4.2.4 Driver Theory.....	124
4.2.5 Socio-Technical System (STS) Theory.....	125
4.2.6 Stakeholder Theory and Sustainability	125
4.3 Conceptual Model Development	126
4.4 Research Hypotheses	128
4.4.1 Set 1: Hypotheses Related To QMS (ISO 9001)	128
4.4.1.1 The Linkage between QMS – SP	128
4.4.1.2 The Linkage between QMS – Soft TQM Practices	129
4.4.1.3 The Linkage between QMS – EMS Aspects	129
4.4.2 Set 2: Hypotheses Related To Soft TQM (STQM).....	130
4.4.2.1 The Linkage between STQM – SP.....	130
4.4.2.2 The Linkage between STQM – EMS	130
4.4.3 Set 3: Hypotheses Related to LM Practices	131
4.4.3.1 The Linkage Between LM – SP	131
4.4.3.2 The Linkage between LM- QMS.....	131
4.4.3.3 The Linkage between LM – EMS Aspects.....	132
4.4.3.4 The Linkage between LM- STQM Practices.....	132
4.4.4 Set 4: Hypotheses Related To EMS.....	133
4.4.4.1 The Linkage Between EMS Aspects – SP.....	133
4.4.5 Set 5: Hypotheses Related to The Mediation.....	133
4.4.5.1 Mediating Effects of QMS	134
4.4.5.2 Mediating Effects of STQM.....	135
4.4.5.3 Mediating Effects of EMS	135
4.5 Chapter Summary	137

CHAPTER FIVE: DATA COLLECTION, ANALYSIS AND RESULTS.....138

5.1 Introduction.....	138
-----------------------	-----

5.2 Results OF Stage One - The Semi Structured Interview	138
5.2.1 Results of Section One	140
5.2.2 Results of Section Two- Interviewees Opinion Regarding the Practices.....	142
5.2.3 Results of Section Three- Understanding of OM Practices	143
5.2.4 Results of Section Four – Impact of Key OM Practices on SP.....	145
5.3 Results OF Stage Two – Pilot Study	149
5.3.1 Response Rate for the Pilot Study.....	150
5.3.2 Respondents’ Profile for the Pilot Study.....	150
5.3.3 Reliability Analysis for Pilot Study Constructs	152
5.3.4 Principal Component Analysis (PCA)	153
5.3.4.1 PCA for QMS	154
5.3.4.2 PCA for Soft TQM (STQM)	156
5.3.4.3 PCA for EMS Aspects.....	157
5.3.4.4 PCA for LM.....	159
5.3.4.5 PCA for SP	162
5.4 Results OF Stage Three – Final Stage	164
5.4.1 Response Scenario for Final Stage Study	165
5.4.2 Respondents’ Profile	165
5.4.2.1 Characteristics of Malaysian Industries.....	167
5.4.2.2 Characteristics of Respondents’ Managers.....	168
5.4.3 Non Response Bias	168
5.4.4 Data Screening	170
5.4.4.1 Treatment of Missing Data	170
5.4.4.2 Handling Outliers	170
5.4.4.3 Assessment of Normality.....	171
5.4.4.4 Multicollinearity	173
5.4.5 Descriptive Analysis for Latent Constructs	174
5.4.5.1 Descriptive Analysis of QMS (N=329).....	175
5.4.5.2 Descriptive Analysis of STQM (N=329).....	176
5.4.5.3 Descriptive Analysis of EMS (N=329)	176
5.4.5.4 Descriptive Analysis of LM (N=329).....	177
5.4.5.5 Descriptive Analysis of SP (N=329)	179
5.4.6 Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA)	180
5.4.6.1 Measurement Model - EMS	180
5.4.6.2 Measurement Model - STQM.....	182
5.4.6.3 Measurement Model - SP	185
5.4.6.4 Measurement Model- QMS	187
5.4.6.5 Measurement Model - LM.....	189
5.4.7 Assessment of the Measurement Model	193
5.4.7.1 Discriminant Validity	195
5.4.8 Assessment of the Structural Model	196
5.4.9 Hypothesis Testing.....	200
5.4.9.1 Testing Direct Effects	201
5.4.9.1.1 Coefficient of Determination.....	201
5.4.9.2 Examining Mediating Effects	202
5.4.9.2.1 Examining QMS As Mediator.....	202
5.4.9.2.2 Examining STQM As Mediator	202
5.4.9.2.3 Examining EMS As Mediator	202

5.4.9.3 Summary of the Study Hypotheses	214
5.5 Chapter Summary	214
CHAPTER SIX: DISCUSSION, IMPLICATION AND CONCLUSION.....	216
6.1 Introduction.....	216
6.2 Discussion	216
6.2.1 Question One.....	217
6.2.2 Question Two	217
6.2.3 Question Three	218
6.2.3.1 What is the Relationship between QMS and SP?.....	218
6.2.3.2 What is the Relationship between STQM and SP?	220
6.2.3.3 What is the Relationship Between EMS and SP?.....	222
6.2.3.4 What is the Relationship Between LM and SP?.....	224
6.2.4 Question Four.....	226
6.2.4.1 What is the Relationship Between QMS and STQM?	226
6.2.4.2 What is the Relationship Between QMS and EMS?	226
6.2.4.3 What is the Relationship between STQM and EMS?.....	228
6.2.4.4 What is the Relationship between LM and STQM?.....	229
6.2.4.5 What is the Relationship Between LM and QMS?.....	231
6.2.4.6 What is the Relationship between LM and EMS?.....	232
6.2.5 Question Five	234
6.2.5.1 How Does QMS Mediate the Relationship between LM and SP?.....	234
6.2.5.2 How Does QMS Mediate the Relationship between LM and EMS?	235
6.2.5.3 How Does QMS Mediate the Relationship between LM and STQM?	236
6.2.5.4 How Does STQM Mediate the Relationship between QMS and SP?.....	237
6.2.5.5 How Does STQM Mediate the Relationship between QMS and EMS?	237
6.2.5.6 How Does STQM Mediate the Relationship between LM and SP?	238
6.2.5.7 How Does STQM Mediate the Relationship between LM and EMS?	239
6.2.5.8 How Does EMS Mediate the Relationship between QMS and SP?.....	240
6.2.5.9 How Does EMS Mediate the Relationship between STQM and SP?	240
6.2.5.10 How Does EMS Mediate the Relationship between LM and SP?	241
6.3 Implications Of The Study.....	241
6.3.1 Theoretical Implications	242
6.3.2 Practical Implications.....	243
6.3.3 Social Implications.....	245
6.3.4 Methodological Implications	245
6.4 Suggestions For Future Research	246
6.5 Conclusion	247

REFERENCES	249
APPENDIX A: META ANALYSIS	288
APPENDIX B: SEMI STRUCTURED INTERVIEW	295
APPENDIX C: INTERVIWEES MANAGERS RESPONSES	299
APPENDIX D: QUESTIONNAIRE USED IN THE PILOT STUDY	306
APPENDIX E: COVER AND REMINDER LETTERS	316
APPENDIX F: PILOT STUDY RESULTS	319
APPENDIX G: RESPONDENTS AND COMPANIES PROFILE	330
APPENDIX H: NON RESPONSE BIAS FOR DEMOGRAPHIC	332
APPENDIX I: DATA SCREENING RESULTS	335
APPENDIX J: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR PRACTICES	338
APPENDIX K: MEASUREMENT MODEL FOR PRACTICES	341
APPENDIX L: FULL-FLEDGED STRUCTURAL MODEL ANALYSIS	352
APPENDIX M: MEDIATION ANALYSIS AND BOOTSTRAPPING	356
APPENDIX N: CORRELATION MATRIX FOR PRACTICES	360
APPENDIX O: LIST OF PUBLICATIONS FROM THIS STUDY	361

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
Table 2.1 QM Principles	24
Table 2.2 Strength and Weaknesses of QMS ISO 9001	25
Table 2.3 Evolution of Quality Management	28
Table 2.4 Barriers to Successful TQM Implementation	30
Table 2.5 Description of Lean Seven Wastes	41
Table 2.6 LM Fourteen Principles.	42
Table 2.7 Description of LM Tools.	46
Table 2.8 Studies and Sustainability Dimensions	51
Table 2.9 Business Excellence Models and ISO Standards	52
Table 2.10 Summary Mapping Between QMS ISO 9001 and TQM.	64
Table 2.11 Corresponding Thoughts Among LM and TQM	72
Table 2.12 Link Between PDCA, ISO 9001 and Lean Tools	73
Table 2.13 The main Characteristics of LM and QMS	74
Table 2.14 Linking LM Wastes and QMS	75
Table 2.15 SEM Model Fitness Indices	81
Table 3.1 Overall Layout of the Questionnaire Designed in Pilot Study	98
Table 3.2 Population of Certified Industries to QMS and EMS in Malaysia	104
Table 3.3 Industry Stratum and Questionnaires Sent to Respondents	108
Table 3.4 Guidelines for Identifying Factor Loadings and Sample Size	118
Table 3.5 Validity Assessment Measured Through CFA	119
Table 3.6 Assessment of Reliability	120
Table 5.1 Themes of Semi Structured Interview	139
Table 5.2 Profile of the Interviewee Managers	141

Table 5.3 Classification of The Companies	142
Table 5.4 Interview Section Two Alternatives	143
Table 5.5 Interview Section Three Alternatives	145
Table 5.6 Interview Section Four Alternatives	148
Table 5.7 Response Scenario in Terms of Numbers of Questionnaires Distributed	150
Table 5.8 Background Information of the Respondents (N= 102)	150
Table 5.9 Reliability Analysis for Latent Constructs	153
Table 5.10 KMO and Bartlett's Test Results	153
Table 5.11 Rotated Component Matrix for QMS	155
Table 5.12 Rotated Component Matrix for Soft TQM	156
Table 5.13 Rotated Component Matrix for EMS Aspects	158
Table 5.14 Rotated Component Matrix for LM Practices	159
Table 5.15 LM Discarded Items After PCA	161
Table 5.16 Rotated Component Matrix for SP	162
Table 5.17 Questionnaire Sections and Retained Items	164
Table 5.18 Rate of Return in Terms of Numbers of Questionnaire Distributed	165
Table 5.19 Background Information of the Respondents (N= 329)	166
Table 5.20 Chi –Square Test for Early and Late Responses (N=329)	169
Table 5.21 Univariate Outliers	170
Table 5.22 Skewness and Kurtosis for Questionnaire Items	172
Table 5.23 Result for Multicollinearity Test	174
Table 5.24 Descriptive Statistics for the Main Constructs (N=329)	174
Table 5.25 Descriptive Statistics for QMS Items	175
Table 5.26 Descriptive Statistics for STQM Items	176
Table 5.27 Descriptive Statistics for EMS Items	177
Table 5.28 Descriptive Statistics for LM Items	178
Table 5.29 Descriptive Statistics for SP Items	179

Table 5.30 Diversified Fitness Indices for Model	180
Table 5.31 Fitness Indices for EMS Measurement Model	182
Table 5.32 Fitness Indices for STQM Measurement Model	184
Table 5.33 Fitness Indices for SP Measurement Model	186
Table 5.34 Fitness Indices for QMS Measurement Model	189
Table 5.35 Fitness Indices for LM Measurement Model	192
Table 5.36 Validity and Reliability of Model Practices	194
Table 5.37 Discriminant Validity Calculations	195
Table 5.38 MSV and ASV Calculations	196
Table 5.39 Fitness Indexes for Full- Fledged Structural Model	200
Table 5.40 Statistical and Practical Significance Thresholds	201
Table 5.41 Hypotheses Testing (Direct Effects)	201
Table 5.42 R-squared Values for Latent Constructs	202
Table 5.43 Testing QMS As a Mediator Between LM and SP Relationship	204
Table 5.44 Bootstrapping Result (LM to SP)	205
Table 5.45 Testing QMS As a Mediator Between LM and EMS Relationship	206
Table 5.46 Bootstrapping Result (LM to EMS)	206
Table 5.47 Testing QMS As a Mediator Between LM and STQM Relationship	206
Table 5.48 Bootstrapping Result (LM to STQM)	207
Table 5.49 Testing STQM As a Mediator Between QMS and SP Relationship	208
Table 5.50 Bootstrapping Result (QMS to SP)	208
Table 5.51 Testing STQM As a Mediator Between QMS and EMS Relationship	209
Table 5.52 Bootstrapping Result (QMS to EMS)	209
Table 5.53 Testing STQM as a Mediator Between LM and SP Relationship	209
Table 5.54 Bootstrapping Result (LM to SP)	210
Table 5.55 Testing STQM as a Mediator Between LM and EMS Relationship	210
Table 5.56 Bootstrapping Result (LM to EMS)	211

Table 5.57 Testing EMS as a Mediator Between QMS and SP Relationship	211
Table 5.58 Bootstrapping Result (QMS to SP)	212
Table 5.59 Testing EMS as a Mediator Between STQM and SP Relationship	212
Table 5.60 Bootstrapping Result (STQM to SP)	212
Table 5.61 Testing EMS as a Mediator Between LM and SP Relationship	213
Table 5.62 Bootstrapping Result (LM to SP)	213
Table 5.63 Hypotheses and Final Decision	214

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
Figure 2.1 Structure of the Literature Review.	21
Figure 2.2 Working of ISO 9001:2008 Standard Based QMS	24
Figure 2.3 Factors Affecting the Success of TQM Implementation	29
Figure 2.4 The P-D-C-A cycle for ISO 14001	34
Figure 2.5 TPS House	39
Figure 2.6 Hierarchy of key LM aspects	42
Figure 2.7 Triple Bottom Line as (Sustainable Corporate Performance)	49
Figure 2.8 Relationship of QMS and TQM	64
Figure 2.9 Framework for Lean – Green Transformation	68
Figure 2.10 Lean and ISO 14001 Integration Framework	69
Figure 2.11 Mediating Structure.	82
Figure 3.1 Flow Chart of Study Methodology	86
Figure 3.2 Steps in Constructing Questionnaires	94
Figure 3.3 Questionnaire Development Approaches	99
Figure 3.4 Raosoft Software for Sample Size Calculation	108
Figure 3.5 Equations Used for Estimating the AVE and CR.	121
Figure 4.1 TOC Five Stages That Operate LM Tools	123
Figure 4.2 Developed Conceptual Model	127
Figure 5.1 Initial Hypothesized Measurement Model –EMS Aspects	181
Figure 5.2 Final Revised Measurement Model – EMS Aspects	182
Figure 5.3 Initial Hypothesized Measurement Model- STQM	183
Figure 5.4 Final Revised Measurement Model- STQM	184
Figure 5.5 Initial Hypothesized Measurement Model- SP	185

Figure 5.6 Final Revised Measurement Model – SP	186
Figure 5.7 Initial Hypothesized Measurement Model – QMS	187
Figure 5.8 Final Revised Measurement Model -QMS	189
Figure 5.9 Initial Hypothesized Measurement Model -LM	190
Figure 5.10 Revised Measurement Model – LM	191
Figure 5.11 Final Revised Measurement Model - LM	192
Figure 5.12 Initial Hypothesized Full- Fledged Structural Model	198
Figure 5.13 Final Revised Full –Fledged Structural Model.	199
Figure 5.14 Mediating Structure of QMS in LM and SP Relationship	204
Figure 5.15 Mediating Structure of QMS in LM and EMS Relationship	205

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AVE	Average Variance Extracted
ASV	Average Shared Variance
AGFI	Adjusted Goodness of Fit Index
AMOS	Analysis of Moment Structure
BSP	Business System Planning
CFA	Confirmatory Factor analysis
CR	Composite Reliability
CFI	Comparative Fit Index
CSF	Critical Success Factors
DF	Degree of Freedom
EMS	Environmental Management System
EFA	Exploratory Factor Analysis
EM	Environmental Management
EFQM	European Foundation for Quality Management
FMM	Federation of Malaysian Manufacturers
GFI	Goodness of Fit Index
HEMS	Hard Environmental Management System
HRM	Human Resource Management
ISO	International Organisation for Standardisation
IMS	Integrated Management System
JIT	Just In Time
KMO	Kaiser Meyer Olkin
LM	Lean Manufacturing
LSS	Lean Six Sigma
MI	Modification Indices
MLE	Maximum Likelihood Estimation Method
MBNQA	Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award
MSV	Maximum Shared Variance
NVA	Non-Value Activities
NFI	Normed Fit Index
OM	Operations Management
PCA	Principal Component Analysis
PDCA	Plan Do Check Act
QMS	Quality Management System
QM	Quality Management
QFD	Quality Function Deployment
RMSEA	Root Mean Square Error of Approximation
RBV	Resource Based View
RDT	Resource Dependence Theory
SEM	Structural Equation Modeling
STQM	Soft Total Quality Management
SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Science
SP	Sustainable Performance
STS	Socio Technical System
SD	Sustainable Development

SDMS	Sustainable Development Management System
SLCM	Strategic Life Cycle Management
SEMS	Soft Environmental Management System
SRS	Stratified Random Sample
SIRIM	Standards and Industrial Research Institute of Malaysia
SMEs	Small and Medium Enterprises
SEMS	Soft Environmental Management System
SMED	Single Minute Exchange of Dies
TQEM	Total Quality Environmental Management
TPS	Toyota Production System
TOC	Theory of Constraints
TLI	Tucker Lewis Index
TPM	Total Productive Maintenance
TQC	Total Quality Control
VIF	Variance Inflation Factor
VSM	Value Stream Mapping
VAA	Value Added Activities

LIST OF SYMBOLS

α	Cronbach alpha
β	Standardized Beta (β)

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 GENERAL BACKGROUND

It is quite imperative for any manufacturer to constantly look for ways to increase productivity and at the same time to lower cost to secure a competitive position. In this regard the practice of Lean Manufacturing (LM) is recognized as an effective approach which is capable to yield a superior competitive advantage in terms of productivity, quality and overall successful sustainable performance. Consequently numerous enterprises are in the process of implementing different LM tools and techniques to be branded as lean enterprises (Pandi, Sethupathi, & Rajesh, 2012). In parallel to this trend the words such as ‘Sustainability’ and ‘Green’ have become integral parts in all industrial operations to cope with the twenty-first century strategic and evolutionary necessities (Andreas, Allen, Farley, Kao, & Mladenova, 2010). Since the mid-1990s, various voluntary actions in Environmental Management (EM) have been adopted by enterprises around the world. It would not be unrealistic to say that implementation of Environmental Management System (EMS) ISO 14001 standard is one of the most prominent EM practices by the enterprises (He, Liu, Lu, & Cao, 2015).

However, on the other side, successful implementation of Total Quality Management (TQM) as affected by the key Operations Management (OM) practices requires a total integration of the LM and EM initiatives into daily affairs of an enterprise.

According to the contemporary OM literature, the perceived benefits originate from successful implementation of key five practices namely; LM, Soft TQM (known