



ABRASIVE WATER JET MACHINING OF COMPOSITE BALLISTIC MATERIAL (KEVLAR)

BY

RAHMAH BINTI ABDULLAH

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

DECEMBER 2004

ABRASIVE WATERJET MACHINING OF COMPOSITE BALLISTIC MATERIAL (KEVLAR)

BY

RAHMAH BINTI ABDULLAH

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE IN MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING

KULLIYYAH OF ENGINEERING INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

DECEMBER 2004

ABSTRACT

Recently, there has been a development of modern ballistic armor due to the creation of high performance composite materials based on aramid fibers with better weight reduction, higher strength and toughness. The use of metal for many critical applications has dramatically decreased since the new composite materials such as aramids provide excellent protection against handgun level threats. However, aramid fibers (Kevlars) are very difficult to machine by conventional machining techniques which cause various forms of material damage such as delamination and fiber pullout that normally occur during the machining of composite materials. The processes also result to high tool wear rates and poor surface roughness which ultimately may cause costly secondary rework as well as part rejection. As the use of these composite ballistic materials is anticipated to be increasing in large volume, the processing costs will be an important factor in order to provide cost-effective components and to gain competitive advantages over other materials. In this thesis, abrasive water jet (AWJ) machining of a representative ballistic material namely Kevlar-reinforced phenolic, an aramid fibers and a product of Du Pont was used to conduct the machining experiments in order to determine the viability of the machining process for manufacturing protective components with the above materials. Design of experiments (DOE) and analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used to measure systematically the various parametric combinations of pressure, standoff distance, traverse rate and abrasive flow rate on the kerf taper and the changes of surface roughness as a function of cutting depth for the Kevlar composite specimens. Stylus profilometry was used to measure the surface roughness and a visual inspection including scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was conducted. It was found that a smoother surface is obtained at the mid region along the depth of the specimens compared to that at the region of jet entry and jet exit. It was also observed that a higher jet pressure and a low traverse rate produces a smoother surface. Width of the kerf both at the jet entry and the jet exit was found to have a decreasing tendency with increase in traverse rate. Mathematical models were formulated to predict the surface roughness and kerf taper in terms of the selected cutting parameters mentioned earlier for the Kevlar composite to the cutting depths of 9.2mm. The cut surface of this material using a band saw machine was compared with that of the water jet in terms of surface roughness and damages incurred.

9.2

.

(

)

(

)

.

APPROVAL PAGE

I certify that I have supervised and read this study and that in my opinion, it conforms to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation and is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science in Manufacturing Engineering.

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ahsan Ali Khan Supervisor

I certify that I have read this study and that in my opinion, it conforms to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation and is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science in Manufacturing Engineering.

Prof. Dr. Ahmad Faris Ismail Internal Examiner

I certify that I have read this study and that, in my opinion, it conforms to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation and is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science in Manufacturing Engineering.

Assoc.Prof.Dr. Shahjahan Mridha Internal Examiner

The thesis was submitted to the Advanced Engineering and Innovation Centre and is accepted as partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Manufacturing Engineering.

> Dr. Hamzah Mohd Salleh Director, Advanced Engineering and Innovation Centre

The thesis was submitted to the Kulliyah of Engineering and is accepted as partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Manufacturing Engineering.

Prof. Dr. Ahmad Faris Ismail Dean, Kulliyyah of Engineering

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of my own investigations, except where otherwise stated. Other sources are acknowledged by footnotes giving explicit references and a bibliography is appended.

Name: Rahmah Bte Abdullah

Signature: Date:

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH

Copyright © 2005 by Rahmah Bte Abdullah. All rights reserved.

ABRASIVE WATERJET MACHINING OF COMPOSITE BALLISTIC MATERIALS (KEVLAR)

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below.

- 1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may only be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
- 2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make transmit copies (print of electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
- 3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieval system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

Affirmed by Rahmah Bte Abdullah

Signature

Date

To Zulkurnain

and my children, Fatin, Amir and Afiq.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere thanks and gratitute to all the people who have given me all their help and devotion for the completion of my thesis. To my advisor, Dr. Ahsan Ali Khan, I would like to thank him for all his supervision, trust and encouragement in conducting the research on this non-traditional machining, water jet technology. It is a new field in this university and I hope my work could be a milestone for future research and exploration. I am very grateful to Professor M. Ramulu, Professor of Mechanical Engineering, University of Washington, Seattle, U.S.A for sharing his experience to help in supervising the research and giving feedback through a long-distant interactions and communications. To Standard International Research Institute Malaysia - Advanced Material Research Center (SIRIM AMREC), I would like to thank and acknowledge Mr. Salleh Bin Omar, a senior researcher, for the material help under study, the Kevlar composite. To Mr. Law Teik Hwa, a lecturer and Research Manager of Road Safety Research Center, Universiti Putra Malaysia, I would like to express my appreciation for his devoted help and guidance in the statistical analysis. To Mr. Saberi, the technician of production laboratory IIUM, thank you for being very cooperative in conducting the experiments. To Mr. Siam Satimin of SIRIM BERHAD, the assistance with the Scanning Electron Micrograph (SEM) is very much appreciated. Most of all, I would like to dedicate my special thanks to my husband for his caring interest, concern and giving me all the support and courage to complete this thesis. Finally, to my children and other family members, thank you for your understanding and patience throughout my years of studies and I love you all.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract in Arabic ii	i
Approval Page iv	7
Declaration Page v	
Copy right Page	i
Dedication page	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
List of Tables x	ii
List of Figures x	iii

CHAPTER 1	: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Background	2
1.3	Problem Statement	
	1.3.1 Hazardous Dust Problem	3
	1.3.2 Machined Surface Quality and High Tool	
	Wear Rates	4
1.4	Objectives of the Study	6
1.5	Significance of the Study	
	1.5.1 Hard-to-Machine Composite Ballistic	
	Material - Kevlar	7
	1.5.2 The Need of Special Cutting Tools	8
1.6	Scope and Limitation	9
1.7	Organization of the Thesis	9
CHAPTER 2	: LITERATURE REVIEW	10
2.1	The Machining of Advanced Composite Material	10
2.2	The Associated Process Parameters of Water jet	
	Machining	12
2.3	Traditional Machining of Aramid Reinforced Composites	14
2.4	Water jet Machining of Composites	19
2.5	Laser Machining of Aramid Reinforced Composites	20
CHAPTER 3	: METHODOLOGY	22
3.1	Material	
	3.1.1 Test Material: Kevlar – Reinforced Phenolic	22
	3.1.2 The Fabrication and Preparation of Kevlar	
	Ballistic Laminate	23
	3.1.3 Mechanical Properties of Materials	26
3.2	Experimental Set-up	27
3.3	Design of Experiments (D.O.E)	
	3.3.1 Experimental Design Method	29
	3.3.2 Range of Parameter Selection	31

	3.3.3	Parameters Kept Constant	31
3.4	Experi	imental Procedure	
	3.4.1	Machine Calibration	32
	3.4.2	Determination of the Fiber Orientation on the	
		Machine Surface	33
	3.4.3	Machining of the Test Samples	34
	3.4.4	Surface Roughness Measurement	35
	3.4.5	Kerf Taper Measurement	37
	3.4.6	Sputter Coating of Specimens and Scanning	
		Electron Micrographs	37
	3.4.7	Statistical Method of Model Building	
		3.4.7.1 Multiple Linear Regression	38
	3.4.8	Band Saw Cut Surface	42
	3.4.9	Samples Cut at 45 degree of Fiber Orientation	42

CHAPTER 4:	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	43
4.1	Surface Roughness and its Profiles	43
4.2	Surface Topography of the AWJ Machined	
	Kevlar Laminate	45
4.3	The Effects of Process Parameters and External Factors	
	on Surface Roughness	50
4.4	The Effects of Process Parameters on the kerf Geometry	
	Characteristics	52
4.5	The Influence of Process Parameters on Surface Roughness	
	and Kerf Geometry	57
	4.5.1 Pressure	58
	4.5.2 Traverse Speed	66
	4.5.3 Standoff Distance	77
	4.5.4 Abrasive Flow Rates	84
	4.5.5 Depth Effects	85
4.6	Visualization and Scanning Electron Microscopy Analysis	
	4.6.1 Scanning Electron Microscopy	85
	4.6.2 The Machined Surface produced by Band Saw	
	Cutting as Comparison	94
4.7	Results and Statistical Analysis of Model Building	-
	4.7.1 Output from Multiple Linear Regression Analyses	95
	4.7.2 Diagnosis for the Results of Multiple Linear	
	Regression	101
	473 Analysis on Significant Parameters in the Machining	107
	474 Verification of the Models	117
		11/

CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	110
5.1	Conclusions	110
5.2	Recommendations for Future Work	112

REFERENCES		114
APPENDIX I:	Experimental Results of Surface Roughness and Taper Ratio	118
APPENDIX II:	Experimental Results of Surface Roughness and Taper Ratio (Multiple Linear Regression - SPSS)	130
APPENDIX III:	Durbin-Watson Statistic	137

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.		Page
3.1	Mechanical Properties of Materials	26
3.2	Design of Abrasive Water jet Cutting Experiments	32
4.1	Multiple Linear Regression (Model Summary) (Model of Surface Roughness)	95
4.2	Multiple Linear Regression (ANOVA Result) (Model of Surface Roughness)	95
4.3	Multiple Linear Regression (Coefficients Estimation) (Model of Surface Roughness)	96
4.4	Multiple Linear Regression (Model Summary) (Model of Kerf Taper)	97
4.5	Multiple Linear Regression (ANOVA Result) (Model of Kerf Taper)	97
4.6	Multiple Linear Regression (Coefficients Estimation) (Model of Kerf Taper)	98
4.7	Experimental and Model Prediction Results	108

LIST	OF	FIGURES

Figure No.		Page
2.1	Process Parameters Associated with an Abrasive Water jet Machining	13
2.2	New Tool Designs for the Machining of AFRP	17
3.1a	(2x2) Basket Weave Style of Kevlar Laminate	24
3.1b	Unidirectional Fibers [0° Orientations]	24
3.1c	Unidirectional Fibers [90° Orientations]	24
3.1d	Fibers Along the [0° and 90° Orientations]	24
3.2	The Preparation of Kevlar Laminate	25
3.3	Kevlar Laminate Test Panel	26
3.4	Ingersold Rand Water jet Nozzle Assembly	28
3.5	Cross-sectional View of Ingersold Rand Water jet Cutting Head	29
3.6	Illustration of AWJ Cutting on Kevlar Laminate and its Fiber Orientation	33
3.7	Cutting State For a Sample of Specimen	34
3.8a	SURFPAK SV-514 Surface Roughness Measuring Machine	36
3.8b	SURFPAK SV-514 Stylus Used for Measuring Roughness	36
3.9	Sketch Illustrating the Operation of Stylus-type Instruments	37
3.10	Deviations from Nominal Surface Used in the Defination of Surface Roughness	37
3.11	Multiple Linear Regression Analysis in SPSS	42
4.1	Typical Surface Profiles of AWJ Machined Kevlar Surfaces	44
4.2a	A Machined Surface of Kevlar Laminate at Fiber Orientation of 0/90°	48
4.2b	A Machined Surface of Kevlar Laminate at Fiber Orientation of 0/90°	48

4.3	Surface Roughness vs. Depth of Cut	49
4.4	Width of Cut Slots (in mm) at Jet Entry and Exit Surface at Pressure of 25 ksi	54
4.5	Width of Cut Slots (in mm) at Jet Entry and Exit Surface at Pressure of 45 ksi	55
4.6	Width of Cut Slots (in mm) at Jet Entry and Exit Surface at Pressure of 35 ksi	56
4.7	Relative Strength Zones in a Water jet	57
4.8a	Roughness vs. Pressure (Sd = 4 mm, Tr = 0.5 mm/s, Depth = 4.6 mm)	59
4.8b	Roughness vs. Pressure (Sd = 4 mm, Tr = 3.0 mm/s , Depth = 7.2 mm)	60
4.8c	Roughness vs. Pressure (Sd = 3 mm, Tr = 1.5 mm/s, Depth = 7.2 mm)	60
4.8d	Roughness vs. Pressure (Sd = 2 mm, Tr = 1.5 mm/s, Depth = 7.2 mm)	61
4.8e	Top Kerf Width vs. Water Pressure (Sd = 2 mm, Tr = 3.0 mm/s)	63
4.8f	Bottom Kerf Width vs. Water Pressure (Sd = 2 mm, Tr = 3.0 mm/s)	63
4.8g	Kerf Taper vs. Water Pressure (Sd = 2 mm, Tr = 1.5 mm/s)	64
4.8h	Kerf Taper vs. Water Pressure (Sd = 3 mm, Tr = 0.5 mm/s)	64
4.8i	Kerf Taper vs. Water Pressure (Sd = 3 mm, Tr = 1.5 mm/s)	65
4.8j	Kerf Taper vs. Water Pressure (Sd = 4 mm, Tr = 1.5 mm/s)	65
4.9a	Surface Roughness vs. Traverse Speed ($P = 45 \text{ ksi}$, $Sd = 3 \text{ mm}$, Depth = 4.6 mm)	67
4.9b	Surface Roughness vs. Traverse Speed $(P = 45 \text{ ksi}, \text{ Sd} = 3 \text{ mm}, \text{ Depth} = 7.2 \text{ mm})$	68

4.9c	Surface Roughness vs. Traverse Speed $(P = 25 \text{ ksi}, \text{ Sd} = 2 \text{ mm}, \text{ Depth} = 4.6 \text{ mm})$	68
4.9d	Surface Roughness vs. Traverse Speed $(P = 25 \text{ ksi}, \text{ Sd} = 2 \text{ mm}, \text{Depth} = 7.2 \text{ mm})$	69
4.9e	Surface Roughness vs. Traverse Speed (P = 25 ksi, Sd = 3 mm, Depth = 2.0 mm)	69
4.9f	Surface Roughness vs. Traverse Speed (P = 35 ksi, Sd = 3 mm, Depth = 2.0 mm)	70
4.9g	Surface Roughness vs. Traverse Speed (P = 35 ksi, Sd = 2 mm, Depth = 4.6 mm)	70
4.9h	Surface Roughness vs. Traverse Speed (P = 35 ksi, Sd = 4 mm, Depth = 2.0 mm)	71
4.10a	Top Kerf Width vs. Traverse Speed $(P = 45 \text{ ksi}, \text{Sd} = 4 \text{ mm})$	73
4.10b	Bottom Kerf Width vs. Traverse Speed $(P = 45 \text{ ksi}, \text{Sd} = 4 \text{ mm})$	73
4.10c	Top Kerf Width vs. Traverse Speed $(P = 35 \text{ ksi}, \text{Sd} = 2 \text{ mm})$	74
4.10d	Bottom Kerf Width vs. Traverse Speed $(P = 35 \text{ ksi}, \text{ Sd} = 2 \text{ mm})$	74
4.10e	Kerf Taper vs. Traverse Speed $(P = 45 \text{ ksi}, \text{ Sd} = 2 \text{ mm})$	75
4.10f	Kerf Taper vs. Traverse Speed $(P = 45 \text{ ksi}, \text{ Sd} = 4 \text{ mm})$	75
4.10g	Kerf Taper vs. Traverse Speed $(P = 35 \text{ ksi}, \text{ Sd} = 2 \text{ mm})$	76
4.10h	Kerf Taper vs. Traverse Speed (P = 35 ksi, Sd = 4 mm)	76
4.10i	Kerf Taper vs. Traverse Speed (P = 25 ksi, Sd = 3 mm)	77
4.11a	Surface Roughness vs. Standoff Distance $(P = 45 \text{ ksi}, \text{ Tr} = 1.5 \text{ mm/s}, \text{ Depth} = 1.0 \text{ mm})$	79
4.11b	Surface Roughness vs. Standoff Distance $(P = 45 \text{ ksi}, \text{Tr} = 0.5 \text{ mm/s}, \text{Depth} = 4.6 \text{ mm})$	79

4.11c	Top Kerf Width vs. Standoff Distance $(P = 45 \text{ ksi}, \text{Tr} = 0.5 \text{ mm/s})$	80
4.11d	Bottom Kerf Width vs. Standoff Distance $(P = 45 \text{ ksi}, \text{Tr} = 0.5 \text{ mm/s})$	80
4.11e	Top Kerf Width vs. Standoff Distance $(P = 35 \text{ ksi}, \text{Tr} = 3.0 \text{ mm/s})$	81
4.11f	Bottom Kerf Width vs. Standoff Distance $(P = 35 \text{ ksi}, \text{Tr} = 3.0 \text{ mm/s})$	81
4.11g	Kerf Taper vs. Standoff Distance ($P = 35 \text{ ksi}$, $Tr = 0.5 \text{ mm/s}$)	82
4.11h	Kerf Taper vs. Standoff Distance (P = 35 ksi, Tr = 1.5 mm/s)	82
4.11i	Kerf Taper vs. Standoff Distance (P = 45 ksi, Tr = 1.5 mm/s)	83
4.11j	Kerf Taper vs. Standoff Distance (P = 25 ksi, Tr = 0.5 mm/s)	83
4.12a	SEM Micrograph of Machined Surface L24 (0/90°)	89
4.12b	SEM Micrograph of Machined Surface L24 (45°)	90
4.13a	SEM Micrograph of Machined Surface M24 (0/90°)	91
4.13b	SEM Micrograph of Machined Surface M24 (45°)	92
4.14	SEM Micrograph of Machined Surface H24 (0/90°)	93
4.15	A Machined Surface of 9.2mm Kevlar by Band Saw	94
4.16a	NPP Plot for Surface Roughness	103
4.16b	NPP Plot for Kerf Taper	104
4.17a	Scatter Plot for the Model of Surface Roughness	106
4.17b	Scatter Plot for the Model of Kerf Taper	106

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Recently, there has been considerable interest in the use of water jet cutting technology. Water jets are versatile, non-traditional machining tools that are currently used in many different industrial operations especially in the cleaning processes, mining and demolition, industrial machining and impulse fragmenting (Fabien et al. 2002). In industrial cutting applications, the high pressure jets mixed with abrasives, are used for precision cutting or trimming both linear and complicated contours over a range of surfaces with little distortion of the final work surface. This particular cutting tool not only can cut simple but also complex shapes of numerous materials. For example, by using pure water, it is possible to cut textiles, paper, thin plastics, wood and even food products. Whereas, thicker and harder materials such as glass, steel, ceramics, stone, composites, etc can easily be cut with the addition of an abrasive provided in the water jet system. In the principle of water jet machining, water is compressed to ultrahigh pressures of 380-400 Mpa (60,000 psi) and then released through a small orifice made of a hard material such as sapphire or diamond of 0.01-0.02 mm in diameter. The stream attains an estimated speed of up to 2,700 ft/s (915 m/s) or 2.5 times the speed of sound with sufficient kinetic energy to cut or slice through most non-metals and thin metallic materials, carrying away most of the heat generated in the process, thereby eliminating the thermal and minimizing machined surface distortion (Ramulu 1993). The high-pressure water jets were originally introduced to be used for washing away clay and rock in mining operations. Only during the late 1960s, water jet cutting technology has gained its potential use in

trimming the newly developed composite materials for aerospace application. Manufacturing is always looking for ways to lower its cost and increase its throughput while maintaining quality. Thus, the new water jet (WJ) machining method has then replaced the conventional industrial saws which usually created mechanical and thermal stresses that damage the composites and render them unusable. Later, in the early 1980s, abrasive particles, serve primarily as the erosive medium, were introduced into high-pressure water jets forming the abrasive water jet (AWJ) with the capability of high removal rates for the machining of harder industrial materials including precision machining (Ramulu 1993). According to a survey in 1995, 90% of the AWJ shops use garnet, 15% olivine, 15% slag, 11% aluminium oxide and 11% silica-sand as the abrasive materials (Momber & Kovacevic 1998: 5). Abrasive water jet (AWJ) has then been applied to cut a wide range of materials including metallic materials and traditionally difficult-to-machine or cut materials such as glass, ceramics, and composites (Ramulu 1993). Besides cutting, the versatility of the abrasive water jet technology has also made possible other manufacturing processes such as milling, turning, piercing and finishing (Momber & Kovacevic 1988: 284).

1.2 Background

Indeed, water jet (WJ) and abrasive water jet (AWJ) are receiving considerable attention and have been introduced at the industrial level because of the beneficial characteristics of material removal. The high velocity jet of water transfers momentum to the abrasive particles, accelerating them to their impingement on the work piece (Ramulu 1993: Wang and Wong 1999). Specific advantages of these machining methods in relation to their ability to machine difficult-to-cut materials without thermal stresses and their omni-directional cutting capability (ability to cut in any direction) has given the most promising technique to be applied in machining composites (Ramulu and Arola 1993). By having its remarkable potential, both WJ and AWJ systems have been suggested for the machining of fiber-reinforced composites (FRP) which have made significant contributions in today's technology such as in the aerospace applications in which Graphite/Epoxy composite has been widely used (Ramulu and Arola 1994,1996: Colligan et al.1993). Composite materials offer not only high strength-to-weight ratios and stiffness-to-weight ratios but also possess increased toughness and greater reliability along with good corrosive resistance properties (Ramulu et.al 2001). Aramid fiber reinforced plastics (AFRP) or Kevlar, in particular, is no exception. The development of modern ballistic armor based on aramid fibers namely as Kevlar- reinforced phenolic is now being envisaged to be competitive and impressive in the industry of protection technology for use as ballistic armor. However, as with other composite materials, Kevlar poses a lot of problems for its manufacturing process especially in machining.

1.3 Problem Statement

1.3.1 Hazardous Dust Problem

Firstly, due to inhomogenous structure of composites and lack of plastic elongation, fine, powdery chips and fiber particles together with a high proportion of dust will be released into the air during the machining of the materials (König et al. 1985: Komanduri et al. 1991: König and Rummenhöller 1993). Thus, industrial safety regulations require that particular attention be paid to the dust generated during the machining process, since some of them is very fine and, therefore, dangerous to health (König and Rummenhöller 1993). Furthermore, some of the chemicals released due to heat and thermal damage during the machining of polymer based composites,

can be harmful. Since fibrous materials such as asbestos has been established to cause cancer and other fibers are also suspected agents, the effect of airborne fibrous glass and dust originating from machining fiber reinforced plastics (FRP) have come to attention for study (Komanduri et al. 1991). Both inorganic fibers and organic compounds released during machining of polymer based composites can cause respiratory and other medical problems. Even though fibers used in composites have diameter ranges from 9-24 μ m are not respirable, the split fibers during machining could be very hazardous. For instance, the measurement of size distribution of dust and fiber particles is found to be smaller than 5 μ m and respirable which definitely can impair the health of the operator in present and cause machine tool damage (König and Rummenhöller 1993). However, environmental concerns such as airborne contaminants and fumes related to the cutting of fibrous materials is expected to be significantly reduced or eliminated through the use of a water jet cutting system since water jet washes away the eroded material from the surface of the work piece.

1.3.2 Machined Surface Quality and High Tool Wear Rates

Secondly, post-mold fabrication of fiber-reinforced plastics (FRP) has become a challenging task for today's manufacturing engineers in which more efficient methods of net shape trimming are required (Ramulu and Arola 1993: Wang et al.1995). Even though a majority of composite components can be produced by near-net-shape manufacturing methods, composite parts often require post-mould machining and drilling to meet dimensional tolerance, surface quality and other functional requirements (König et al. 1985: Wang et al.1995). Moreover, it is also still difficult to mould holes and slots without disturbing the fibers around them (Ramulu and Arola 1993). It is only through machining that intricate shapes and desired tolerances can be

produced. However, the material behaviour of fiber reinforced composites is inhomogeneous due to the diverse fiber and matrix properties, fiber orientation, and relative volume of matrix and composites which limit the potential use of traditional methods for net shape trimming. Therefore, the machining of fiber-reinforced composite materials (FRCM) differs significantly compared to conventional metallic materials and always poses problems not frequently seen for metals due to the nonhomogeneity, anisotropy and their abrasive characteristics. For instance, most fibers used in composites such as glass, carbon and aramid are hard and abrasive in the soft matrix composite such as aluminium, magnesium, epoxy and polyester resins, making them as difficult-to-machine materials that impose special demand on the geometry and abrasive resistance on tool materials (König et al. 1985). Polycrystalline diamond (PCD) tool materials have shown excellent wear resistance with superior surface finish for the machining of Graphite/Epoxy which have led to an increase in the use of PCD inserts for drilling and edge trimming operations (König and Rummenhöller 1993: Wang et al. 1995). However, damage to the material is an important qualityrelated characteristic for the evaluation of the composite workpiece. Delamination which is regarded as a resin or matrix dominated failure mode in the interply region is a typical damage phenomena most frequently occur during the machining of composites such as aramid, carbon epoxy or glass fiber materials. Fraying is also identified as a damage which result from fibers protruding from the machined surface. Meanwhile, other typical problems encountered include spalling, splintering, cracking and edge chipping (Ramulu et al. 2001: König and Rummenhöller 1993). The risk of damage is found to be greater during machining operations involving unidirectional laminates since there is no mechanical link between unidirectionally oriented fibers compared to the ones involving fabric reinforced (König and Rummenhöller 1993). Furthermore, the quality of cut surface when machining fiber reinforced thermoplastics depends largely on the angle between the fiber orientation and the machining direction (König and Rummenhöller 1993: Wang et al. 1995). This produces different levels of surface quality in accordance with the orientation of the fibers in relation to the tool feed direction. Indeed, due to the high tool wear and high costs of tooling experienced with conventional machining, water jet cutting technology has been envisaged to offer an attractive alternative as non-contact material removal process in the manufacturing of composite materials.

1.4 Objectives of the Study

The objectives of this thesis are as follows:-

- 1. To study the influence of abrasive water jet (AWJ) cutting parameters on the surface quality and kerf taper of an abrasive water jet machined Kevlar dominated ballistic material namely, Kevlar-reinforced phenolic. Surface quality in terms of surface roughness and kerf geometry are designated as criteria for assessing the AWJ of the machined surface. Whereas, kerf width and taper are serious considerations when cutting expensive materials such as composites. Reduced kerf taper is very important to allow parts to be positioned closer together as well as to save material which ultimately can optimize material use and increase cost-effectiveness.
- 2. To examine the machined surface that will be conducted with the aid of an optical microscope, scanning electron microscope (SEM), and surface profilometer.
- 3. To compare the surface quality of the AWJ machined samples with that of traditional band saw cutting.

4. To develop empirical models for the prediction of surface roughness and kerf taper in terms of the selected cutting parameters for the material under study.

1.5 Significance of the Study

1.5.1 Hard-to-Machine Composite Ballistic Material - Kevlar

As the use of composite structures becomes ever more demanding and widespread, the use of different fibers other than fiberglass and carbon fibers is likely to increase. Today, the use of metal for many threat levels has been dramatically decreased due to the development of high performance synthetic composite materials such as the one that is based on aramid fibers. The creation of aramid fibers called 'Kevlar' has led to the big breakthrough in the development of modern ballistic armor due to its unique properties of special applications in armor which give ballistic protection (Brent Strong, http://www.cfa-hg.org/documents/Polymeric). The performance of aramid fibers Kevlar, a space-age material designed by Du Pont industries, is appealing when weight and toughness are major considerations. Kevlar is a manmade organic fiber ever developed, with its unique combination of properties allowing for high strength with low weight, high chemical resistance, and high cut resistance. The material is also flame resistant; does not melt, soften, or flow; and the fiber is unaffected by immersion in water (Brent Strong, http://www.cfa-hg.org /documents (Polymeric). Kevlar is also known to be five times stronger than steels and ten times than aluminium (http://blackarmor.com/Car_MaterialSpecs.htm). By using Kevlar in conjunction with resins like those to make fiberglass, it is possible to mold Kevlar in composite armor. This type of composite has its applications in ballistic helmets and lightweight armored vehicle as well as bank counters, safe rooms, and guard stations. Being an armor material, Kevlar protects against ballistic attacks