COPYRIGHT[©] INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

THE EFFECT OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE ON CORPORATE SOCIAL AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF MALAYSIAN ISLAMIC BANKS

BY

HAMIDAH BINTI BANI

A dissertation submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Accounting

Kulliyyah of Economics and Management Sciences International Islamic University Malaysia

AUGUST 2014

ABSTRACT

Social responsibility is incumbent in the foundation of corporate governance of Islamic banks (IBs) and positioned as one of the bank's objective world widely. This research uses stakeholder and agency theories in search of empirical evidences on the achievement of the social objective in Malaysian Islamic banks. It investigates the issue via a questionnaire survey and content analysis of the IBs' annual reports. The survey is carried out with 152 IBs managers aimed to gain insight on the important corporate social performance (CSP) indicators and salient stakeholder groups from the managers' perspective. In general, Islamic bank managers perceived that there were 47 important CSP indicators while different stakeholder interest groups were significantly different in salience for CSP consideration. New stakeholder groups, the government, investment account holder and Zakat were recognized in Islamic banking with the government interest group being the most salient. The content analysis aimed to gauge the actual CSP's /achievement) for various stakeholder interest groups of 11 IBs in Malaysia from 2003 to 2008 and to examine the relationship and effect of corporate governance structures with CSP and the banks' performance. CSP (scored based on the presence of the CSP indicators in the banks' annual report weighted by its perceived importance) in IBs was still marginally low with an average of 52% of the expected performance. Controlling the cultural and national differences, this study found significant improvement in CSP particularly in 2007 and 2008 (years after the issuance of CSR framework for public listed companies (2006) and after the issuance of specific Code of Corporate Governance for Islamic Financial Institutions in Malaysia (2005). However, the significant improvement was neither across all stakeholder groups nor across the time series. CSP in the full-fledged IBs (FFIB) was inactive compared to the Islamic subsidiary banks (ISB). Though generally the CSP in IBs was not encouraging, EGLS regression analysis empirically provided evidences that the board structures particularly the board size and Shari'ah governance structures (size and roles) were significant factors to the banks' CSP (social functions). Only Government ownership (GOwn) had significant effect to ROA while the size of Shariah Committee (ScSize) and the concentrated ownership (IOWN) had significant effect to Tobin Q. Though general analysis found that CG had significant effect on CSP and CSP had significant effect on financial performance. Barron and Kenny (1985) mediating test procedure found that CSP was not a mediating variable in the CG and financial performance relationship.

ملخص البحث

المسؤولية الاجتماعية حتمية في تأسيس حوكمة الشركات للمصارف الإسلامية وتعتبر كأحد أهم أهداف البنوك على مستوى العالم، هذا البحث يستخدم نظريات المساهمين والوكالة لإستقصاء الأدلة التجريبية على تحقيق الهدف الاجتماعي في المصارف الإسلامية الماليزية، تستخدم هذه الدراسة الاستبيان وتحليل محتوى التقارير السنوية للبنوك الإسلامية، تم تطبيق الاستبانة على 152 من مدراء البنوك بهدف معرفة أهمية مؤشرات الأداء الاجتماعي للشركات ومجموعة المساهمين البارزين من وجهة نظرهم، ويرى المديرون أن هناك 47 مؤشرا مهما من مؤشرات الأداء الاجتماعي للشركات بينما كانت مجموعات فوائد المساهمين البارزين دالة إحصائيا بإختلاف الأداء الإجتماعي للشركات. وكانت الأكثر أهمية في البنوك الإسلامية مع مجموعة الفوائد الحكومية: مجموعات المساهمين الجدد، وأصحاب الحسابات الاستثمارية والزكاة، ويهدف تحليل المحتوى إلى قياس الأداء الفعلى للمسؤولية الإجتماعية للشركات لمختلف مجموعات مصالح المساهمين والمكونة من (11) من مدراء البنوك الإسلامية في ماليزيا في الفترة 2003-2008 لإختبار العلاقة بين بنية حوكمة الشركات والمسؤولية الإجتماعية للشركات وأداء البنوك، إن المسؤولية الإجتماعية للشركات في البنوك مازالت هامشية ومنخفضة بمعدل 52% من الأداء المتوقع، وللتحكم في الإختلافات الثقافية والوطنية فقد وجدت هذه الدراسة تحسنا ملحوظا في المسؤولية الإجتماعية للشركات وخاصة في عامي 2007 و 2008 وهي السنوات التي أعقبت إقرار الإطار العام للمسؤولية الإجتماعية للشركات الوطنية المدرجة لعام 2006 وبعد صدور القانون المحدد لحوكمة الشركات للمؤسسات المالية الإسلامية في ماليزيا لعام 2005 . وعموما لم يكن التحسن الكبير في جميع مجموعات المساهمين ولا عبر سلسلة زمنية، إن إكتمال المسؤولية الاجتماعية للشركات في البنوك الإسلامية غير مفعل مقارنة مع فروع البنوك الإسلامية. وبالرغم أن المسؤولية الإجتماعية للشركات في البنوك الإسلامية لم تكن مشجعة ، وتحليل الإنحدار أثبت عمليا بالأدلة أن هياكل الجالس وخصوصا حجم الهياكل وبنية حوكمة الشريعة (الحجم والأدوار) كانت عوامل هامة للبنوك في وظائفها الإجتماعية، إن ملكية الحكومة له تأثير مهم على (RAO) بينما حجم الهيئة الشرعية والملكية المركزة كان له تأثير على العائد على الأصول، وأثبتت التحليلات العامة أن (CG) له تأثير مهم على (CSP) وأن (CSP) له تأثير مهم على الأداء الاقتصادي ، كما أن إجراءات إختبار المتوسطي لبراون وكيني (1985) وجدت أن (CSP) ليس بالعامل المتوسطي في العلاقة بين (CG) والأداء المالي.

APPROVAL PAGE

The dissertation of Hamidah Bani has been approved by the following:

Noraini Mohd Ariffin Supervisor

Abdul Rahim Abdul Rahman Co-Supervisor

Syed Musa Syed Jaafar AlHabshi Internal Examiner

Asyraf Wajdi Dusuki External Examiner

Nasr El-Din Ibrahim Ahmed Chairman

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this dissertation is the result of my own investigations, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

Hamidah Bani

Signature.....

Date

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH

Copyright © 2014 by Hamidah Binti Bani. All right reserved.

THE EFFECT OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE ON CORPORATE SOCIAL AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF MALAYSIAN ISLAMIC BANKS

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below.

- 1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may only be used by others in their writing with the due acknowledgement.
- 2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
- 3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieval system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

Affirmed by Hamidah Binti Bani

Signature

Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah the Most Compassionate and the Most Merciful

All Praise due to Allah SWT, The Lord of the Universe, for His Guidance and peace and blessing to His Prophet and Messenger Muhammad S.A.W.

First and foremost I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my learned supervisors Dr. Noraini Mohd Ariffin and Prof. Dr. Abdul Rahim Abdul Rahman for sharing their immense knowledge, expertise, advice and time in their supervision to complete this thesis. Besides advisors, my special thanks to my thesis examiners Prof Madya. Dr.Syed Musa Al Habshi and Dr.Asyraf Wajdi Dusuki, for giving insightful written and verbal comment while examining my thesis.

Throughout my PhD study I am indebted to my beloved husband Shamsuri Jamil for his patience, unconditional support and continuous encouragement to my study despite his tight schedules. I appreciates: my parent and in- laws for constant prayers and help; my children ,Saufi, Shafiq, Safwan and Syahir, for their unconditional understanding and accompany in acquiring knowledge,: lecturers , staff and friends at Kulliyah of Economics and Management Sciences for sharing their thought and concern in encountering the pain and gain from this lonely journey and process. Your supports made the small steps taken in this journey more meaningful and successful.

Last but not least, I am gratitude to University Technology Mara (UiTM) and Ministry of Higher Education of Learning (Department of Public Services - JPA) for sponsoring and giving me the opportunity to pursue this academic excellence and to International Islamic University of Malaysia (UIAM) for the opportunity to study in the garden of virtue and knowledge. My appreciation also goes to those who directly participated in my survey (the post graduates students from the Management Center and the Islamic banks' managers) and others who indirectly assisted me in completing my thesis.

May Allah bless all of us and provide us valuable and beneficial knowledge to be disseminated and shared. *Amin, Ya Rabbal A'lamin.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Abstract | ii |
|--|-----|
| Abstract in Arabic | |
| Approval Page | |
| Declaration Page | |
| Copyright Page | |
| Acknowledgements | |
| List of Tables | |
| List of Graph | |
| List of Figures | |
| List of Abbreviations | xix |
| | |
| CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Background of the study | |
| 1.1.1 The Nature of Corporate Social Performance | |
| 1.1.2 Stakeholder Governance Model | 7 |
| 1.1.3 Types of Social Performance | |
| 1.1.4 Governance Structure | |
| 1.2 Problem Statement | |
| 1.3 Research Objectives | 14 |
| 1.4 Research Questions | |
| 1.5 Motivation of the study | 17 |
| 1.6 Scope and Research Method | 21 |
| 1.7 Structure of the study | |
| | |
| CHAPTER 2: THE FRAMEWORK OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE | |
| AND SOCIAL PERFORMANCE OF ISLAMIC BANKS IN MALAYSIA. | |
| 2.1 Introduction | |
| 2.2 The Objectives Islamic Banking and Operation | |
| 2.3 The Development of Islamic Banks in Malaysia | |
| 2.4 Islamic Banking Regulatory Framework | |
| 2.5 The Shariah Governance Framework of Islamic Banks | |
| 2.5.1 Types of Shari'ah Governance Model | |
| 2.5.1 The Development of Shari'ah Governance Legal Framework i | |
| Malaysia | |
| 2.6 The Governance Structure of Islamic Banks and their responsibility | |
| towards the implementation of Shari'ah Governance Framework | |
| 2.6.1 The Government as the Regulator | |
| 2.6.2 Board of Directors and Structure | |
| 2.6.3 Audit Committee | |
| 2.6.4 Shariah Committee | 53 |
| 2.6.5 Shareholders and Depositors | 56 |
| 2.7 The Foundation of Corporate Governance and Corporate Social | |
| Performance in Islamic banks | 59 |

| 2.7.1 The Philosophical (Al-Tawhid) Foundation of Corporate | |
|--|-------|
| Governance | . 61 |
| 2.7.2 The Shariah Foundation OF Corporate Governance | |
| 2.7.3 The Economic Foundation of Corporate Governance | |
| 2.7.4 The Social Foundation of Corporate Governance | . 72 |
| 2.7.4.1 Explicit Stakeholders | |
| 2.7.4.2 Implicit Stakeholders | . 75 |
| 2.7.5 Ethical Principles of Islamic Corporate Governance and Social | |
| Performance | |
| 2.7.5.1 Accountability as Khalifah Principle | . 79 |
| 2.7.5.2 Fairness and Justice Principle | |
| 2.7.5.3 Transparency Principle | . 83 |
| 2.7.5.4 Maslahah (protection of public interest) Principle | |
| 2.8 The Specificity of Islamic Corporate Governance, Corporate Social | |
| Performance and Firm Performance. | . 88 |
| 2.9 Stakeholder Theory in Corporate Governance | |
| 2.9.1 Stakeholder theory in Islamic bank | |
| 2.9.2 The Definition of Property | |
| 2.9.2.1 Individual Ownership | |
| 2.9.2.2 Collective Ownership | |
| 2.9.2.3 Government Ownership | |
| 2.9.3 Principles of Property Right | |
| 2.9.3.1 Right to accumulate the property | |
| 2.9.3.2 Right to enhance the property | |
| 2.9.3.3 Right to protect the property | |
| 2.9.3.4 Right to distribute the property | |
| 2.9.3.5 Right to purify the property | |
| 2.9.4 Stakeholder Theory in Governance Literature | |
| 2.9.5 Implication of Sakeholder Theory on CSP | |
| 2.10 Agency theory in Corporate Governance of Islamic banks | . 106 |
| 2.10.1 Agerncy problem in Islamic Banks | |
| 2.10.2 Implication of Agency Theory on CSP | |
| 2.11Summary of the chapter | |
| | |
| CHAPTER 3: LITERATURE REVIEW ON CORPORATE | |
| GOVERNANCE, CORPORATE SOCIAL AND FIRM PERFORMANCE | . 118 |
| 3.1 Introduction | |
| 3.2 Literature Review on CSP Indicators in Islamic banks | . 118 |
| 3.2.1 CSP indicators to Shareholders and Investment account holders | 120 |
| 3.2.2 CSP indicators to Depositors or customers | . 121 |
| 3.2.3 CSP indicators to Borrowers | |
| 3.2.4 CSP indicators to Employees | . 124 |
| 3.2.5 CSP indicators to Community | . 125 |
| 3.2.6 CSP indicators to Zakat Payment and Recipients | |
| 3.2.7 CSP indicators to Environment | |
| 3.2.8 CSP indicators to Government Regulators | . 129 |
| 3.3 Literature review on Disclosure of Corporate Social Responsibility | |
| and Performance | |
| 3.4 Literature Review on Board Structures | . 136 |

| 3.4.1 Board Size | |
|--|--|
| 3.4.2 Board Independent Directors | |
| 3.4.3 Board Meeting | 145 |
| 3.4.4 Audit Committee | |
| 3.4.5 Shari'ah Committee | |
| 3.5 Literature Review on Ownership Structures | |
| 3.5.1 Top Management Team Equity Ownership | |
| 3.5.2 Concentrated Ownership | 155 |
| 3.5.3 Government Ownership | |
| 3.5.4 Investment Account Holders' Investment | |
| 3.6 Literature Review on CG, CSP and Financial Performance | |
| 3.7 Gap in the literature | |
| 5.7 Sup in the incluture | |
| CHAPTER 4: DEVELOPMENT OF RESEARCH HYPOTHESIS | 171 |
| 4.1 Introduction | 171 |
| 4.2 Research Hypotheses | 171 |
| 4.2.1 Managers Insight on Corporate Social Performance | 172 |
| 4.2.2 The Corporate Social Performance in Islamic Banks in | |
| Malaysia | |
| 4.2.3 Board Structures and CSP relationship | 184 |
| 4.2.4 Ownership Structures and CSP Relationship | |
| 4.2.5 CSP and Financial performance relationship | 203 |
| 4.2.6 Effect of Corporate Governance Sructure on CSP | |
| 4.2.7 CSP as a Mediating Variable | |
| 4.2.8 Research Framework | |
| | |
| CHARTER 5 DECEARCH METHOD | 212 |
| CHAPTER 5: RESEARCH METHOD | |
| 5.1 Introduction | |
| 5.1 Introduction5.2 Research Setting | |
| 5.1 Introduction5.2 Research Setting5.3 Data Collection Method | 213 213 213 215 |
| 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Research Setting 5.3 Data Collection Method 5.4 The First Data Collection Method - Questionnaire Survey | 213 213 215 217 |
| 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Research Setting 5.3 Data Collection Method 5.4 The First Data Collection Method - Questionnaire Survey 5.4.1 Indicators of Corporate Social Performance | 213 213 215 217 218 |
| 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Research Setting 5.3 Data Collection Method 5.4 The First Data Collection Method - Questionnaire Survey 5.4.1 Indicators of Corporate Social Performance 5.4.2 The Content of the Questionnaires Survey | 213 213 215 217 217 218 223 |
| 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Research Setting 5.3 Data Collection Method 5.4 The First Data Collection Method - Questionnaire Survey 5.4.1 Indicators of Corporate Social Performance 5.4.2 The Content of the Questionnaires Survey 5.4.3 Pilot Test of Questionnaire Survey | 213 213 215 217 218 223 224 |
| 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Research Setting 5.3 Data Collection Method 5.4 The First Data Collection Method - Questionnaire Survey 5.4.1 Indicators of Corporate Social Performance 5.4.2 The Content of the Questionnaires Survey 5.4.3 Pilot Test of Questionnaire Survey 5.4.4 Distribution and Collection for the Questionnaire Survey | 213 213 215 217 217 218 223 224 225 |
| 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Research Setting 5.3 Data Collection Method 5.4 The First Data Collection Method - Questionnaire Survey 5.4.1 Indicators of Corporate Social Performance 5.4.2 The Content of the Questionnaires Survey 5.4.3 Pilot Test of Questionnaire Survey 5.4.4 Distribution and Collection for the Questionnaire Survey 5.5 The Second Data Collection Method - Content Analysis | 213 213 215 217 217 218 223 224 225 229 |
| 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Research Setting 5.3 Data Collection Method 5.4 The First Data Collection Method - Questionnaire Survey 5.4.1 Indicators of Corporate Social Performance 5.4.2 The Content of the Questionnaires Survey 5.4.3 Pilot Test of Questionnaire Survey 5.4.4 Distribution and Collection for the Questionnaire Survey 5.5 The Second Data Collection Method - Content Analysis 5.5.1 Data Collection for CSP | 213 213 215 217 217 218 223 224 225 229 229 |
| 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Research Setting 5.3 Data Collection Method 5.4 The First Data Collection Method - Questionnaire Survey 5.4.1 Indicators of Corporate Social Performance 5.4.2 The Content of the Questionnaires Survey 5.4.3 Pilot Test of Questionnaire Survey 5.4.4 Distribution and Collection for the Questionnaire Survey 5.5 The Second Data Collection Method - Content Analysis 5.5.1 Data Collection for CSP 5.5.2 Unit of Analysis | 213 213 215 217 218 223 224 225 229 229 232 |
| 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Research Setting 5.3 Data Collection Method 5.4 The First Data Collection Method - Questionnaire Survey 5.4.1 Indicators of Corporate Social Performance 5.4.2 The Content of the Questionnaires Survey 5.4.3 Pilot Test of Questionnaire Survey 5.4.4 Distribution and Collection for the Questionnaire Survey 5.5 The Second Data Collection Method - Content Analysis 5.5.1 Data Collection for CSP 5.5.2 Unit of Analysis 5.5.3 Assessment Period | 213 213 215 217 217 218 223 224 225 229 229 229 229 232 234 |
| 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Research Setting 5.3 Data Collection Method 5.4 The First Data Collection Method - Questionnaire Survey 5.4.1 Indicators of Corporate Social Performance 5.4.2 The Content of the Questionnaires Survey 5.4.3 Pilot Test of Questionnaire Survey 5.4.4 Distribution and Collection for the Questionnaire Survey 5.5 The Second Data Collection Method - Content Analysis 5.5.1 Data Collection for CSP 5.5.2 Unit of Analysis 5.5.3 Assessment Period 5.5.4 Method of Corporate Social Performance Scoring | 213 213 215 217 217 218 223 224 225 229 229 229 229 232 234 234 |
| 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Research Setting 5.3 Data Collection Method 5.4 The First Data Collection Method - Questionnaire Survey 5.4.1 Indicators of Corporate Social Performance 5.4.2 The Content of the Questionnaires Survey 5.4.3 Pilot Test of Questionnaire Survey 5.4.4 Distribution and Collection for the Questionnaire Survey 5.5 The Second Data Collection Method - Content Analysis 5.5.1 Data Collection for CSP 5.5.2 Unit of Analysis 5.5.3 Assessment Period 5.5.4 Method of Corporate Social Performance Scoring 5.5.5 Islamic Social Performance Measure | 213 213 215 217 218 223 224 225 229 229 229 229 232 234 235 238 |
| 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Research Setting 5.3 Data Collection Method 5.4 The First Data Collection Method - Questionnaire Survey 5.4.1 Indicators of Corporate Social Performance 5.4.2 The Content of the Questionnaires Survey 5.4.3 Pilot Test of Questionnaire Survey 5.4.4 Distribution and Collection for the Questionnaire Survey 5.5 The Second Data Collection Method - Content Analysis 5.5.1 Data Collection for CSP 5.5.2 Unit of Analysis 5.5.3 Assessment Period 5.5.4 Method of Corporate Social Performance Scoring 5.5.5 Islamic Social Performance Measure 5.6 Corporate Governance Structure Variables | 213 213 215 217 218 223 224 225 229 229 229 232 234 235 238 242 |
| 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Research Setting 5.3 Data Collection Method 5.4 The First Data Collection Method - Questionnaire Survey 5.4.1 Indicators of Corporate Social Performance 5.4.2 The Content of the Questionnaires Survey 5.4.3 Pilot Test of Questionnaire Survey 5.4.4 Distribution and Collection for the Questionnaire Survey 5.5 The Second Data Collection Method - Content Analysis 5.5.1 Data Collection for CSP 5.5.2 Unit of Analysis 5.5.3 Assessment Period 5.5.4 Method of Corporate Social Performance Scoring 5.5.5 Islamic Social Performance Measure 5.6 Corporate Governance Structure Variables 5.7 Measurement of Bank Financial Performance | 213 213 215 217 217 218 223 224 225 229 229 229 229 232 234 234 235 238 242 242 |
| 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Research Setting 5.3 Data Collection Method 5.4 The First Data Collection Method - Questionnaire Survey 5.4.1 Indicators of Corporate Social Performance 5.4.2 The Content of the Questionnaires Survey 5.4.3 Pilot Test of Questionnaire Survey 5.4.4 Distribution and Collection for the Questionnaire Survey 5.5 The Second Data Collection Method - Content Analysis 5.5.1 Data Collection for CSP 5.5.2 Unit of Analysis 5.5.3 Assessment Period 5.5.4 Method of Corporate Social Performance Scoring 5.5.5 Islamic Social Performance Measure 5.6 Corporate Governance Structure Variables 5.7 Measurement of Bank Financial Performance 5.7.1 Return on Assets (ROA). | 213 213 215 217 218 223 224 225 229 229 229 229 229 232 234 234 235 238 242 244 245 |
| 5.1 Introduction | $\begin{array}{c} 213\\ 213\\ 215\\ 217\\ 218\\ 223\\ 224\\ 225\\ 229\\ 229\\ 232\\ 234\\ 235\\ 238\\ 242\\ 244\\ 245\\ 246\\ \end{array}$ |
| 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Research Setting 5.3 Data Collection Method 5.4 The First Data Collection Method - Questionnaire Survey 5.4.1 Indicators of Corporate Social Performance 5.4.2 The Content of the Questionnaires Survey 5.4.3 Pilot Test of Questionnaire Survey 5.4.4 Distribution and Collection for the Questionnaire Survey 5.5 The Second Data Collection Method - Content Analysis 5.5.1 Data Collection for CSP 5.5.2 Unit of Analysis 5.5.3 Assessment Period 5.5.4 Method of Corporate Social Performance Scoring 5.5.5 Islamic Social Performance Measure 5.6 Corporate Governance Structure Variables 5.7 Measurement of Bank Financial Performance 5.7.1 Return on Assets (ROA) 5.7.2 Approximate Tobin Ratio (Tobin Q) 5.8 Mediating Variable | 213 213 215 217 218 223 224 225 229 229 232 234 235 238 238 242 244 245 246 |
| 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Research Setting 5.3 Data Collection Method 5.4 The First Data Collection Method - Questionnaire Survey 5.4.1 Indicators of Corporate Social Performance 5.4.2 The Content of the Questionnaires Survey 5.4.3 Pilot Test of Questionnaire Survey 5.4.4 Distribution and Collection for the Questionnaire Survey 5.5 The Second Data Collection Method - Content Analysis 5.5.1 Data Collection for CSP 5.5.2 Unit of Analysis 5.5.3 Assessment Period 5.5.4 Method of Corporate Social Performance Scoring 5.5 Islamic Social Performance Measure 5.6 Corporate Governance Structure Variables 5.7 Measurement of Bank Financial Performance 5.7.1 Return on Assets (ROA) 5.7.2 Approximate Tobin Ratio (Tobin Q) 5.8 Mediating Variable 5.9 Reliability and Validity Analysis of Questionnaires | $\begin{array}{c} 213\\ 213\\ 213\\ 215\\ 217\\ 217\\ 218\\ 223\\ 224\\ 225\\ 229\\ 229\\ 229\\ 229\\ 229\\ 232\\ 234\\ 235\\ 238\\ 242\\ 244\\ 245\\ 244\\ 245\\ 246\\ 250\\ \end{array}$ |
| 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Research Setting 5.3 Data Collection Method 5.4 The First Data Collection Method - Questionnaire Survey 5.4.1 Indicators of Corporate Social Performance 5.4.2 The Content of the Questionnaires Survey 5.4.3 Pilot Test of Questionnaire Survey 5.4.4 Distribution and Collection for the Questionnaire Survey 5.5 The Second Data Collection Method - Content Analysis 5.5.1 Data Collection for CSP 5.5.2 Unit of Analysis 5.5.4 Method of Corporate Social Performance Scoring 5.5.5 Islamic Social Performance Measure 5.6 Corporate Governance Structure Variables 5.7 Measurement of Bank Financial Performance 5.7.1 Return on Assets (ROA) 5.7.2 Approximate Tobin Ratio (Tobin Q) 5.8 Mediating Variable | 213 213 215 217 218 223 224 225 229 229 229 229 229 232 234 235 238 238 242 244 245 244 245 246 250 254 |

| 5.10.2 Normality of Sakeholder Salient Attributes | 256 |
|--|------|
| 5.11 Data Analysis of Questionnaire Survey | |
| 5.12 Data Analysis of CSP | |
| 5.13 Data Analysis of Relationships of Board and Ownership Structure | |
| on CSP and Financial Performance | 258 |
| 5.14 Data Analysis of the Effect of Corporate Governance Structure on | |
| CSP and Financial Performance | 261 |
| 5.15 Data Analysis for CSP as Mediating Variable | |
| 5.16 Regression Model Estimation | |
| 5.17 Summary of The Chapter | |
| CHAPTER 6: CORPORATE SOCIAL PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | |
| AND STAKEHOLD SALIENCE | |
| 6.1 Introduction | 266 |
| 6.2 Response Rate | |
| 6.3 Background Information | 266 |
| 6.3.1 Background of Islamic Banks Participating in Questionnaires survey | 260 |
| 6.3.2 Demographic Background of the Respondents | |
| 6.4 CSP Indicators of Islamic Banks | |
| 6.5 Finding for Hypothesis Test H1a | |
| 6.6 Finding for Managers Perception on Power, Urgency and | 202 |
| Legitimacy (Salient Attributes) for Corporate Social Performance to | |
| Various Stakeholder Groups of Islamic Banks | 286 |
| 6.7 Finding for Hypothesis Test H1b (The Stakeholders Salient in | 200 |
| Managers Perception) | 291 |
| 6.8 Finding for Hypothesis Test H1c (Stakeholder Salient in Full | 271 |
| Fledged Islamic Banks and Islamic Subsidiary Banks) | 299 |
| 6.9 Summary of The Chapter | |
| CHAPTER 7: CORPORATE SOCIAL PERFORMANCE OF ISLAMIC | |
| BANKS IN MALAYSIA | 303 |
| 7.1 Introduction | 303 |
| 7.2 Background of The SampleBanks and Data Collected | 303 |
| 7.3 CSP of Islamic Banks: The Cross Section Performance | |
| 7.4 CSP of Islamic Banks: The Time Series Performance | |
| 7.5 Comparision of CSP Between Full Fledged Islamic Banks and Islam | |
| Subsidiary Banks | |
| 7.6 Finding for Hypothesis Test H2a | |
| 7.7 Finding for Hypothesis Test H2b | |
| 7.8 Summary of The Chapter | |
| CHAPTER 8: CORPORATE GOVERNANCE, CORPORATE SOCIAL | |
| PERFORMANCE RELATIONSHIP AND IMPACT ON FIRM | |
| PERFORMANCE | |
| 8.1 Introduction | |
| 8.2 Descriptive Analysis of Governance Structure and Bank Performance | e324 |

| 8.3.2 Normality Assumption for variable under study | 331 |
|--|--|
| 8.3.3 Autocorrelation | 334 |
| 8.3.4 Heteroskedasticity | 335 |
| 8.3.5 Multicollinearity | 336 |
| 8.4 Finding for Hypothesis Test 3 The relationship between Governance Structures and CSP | 339 |
| 8.4.1 Finding for Hypotheses Test 3 on The Relationship Between | |
| Board of Directors and CSP (H3a to H3c) | 340 |
| 8.4.2 Finding for Hypotheses Test 3 on The Relationship Between | |
| Audit Committee and CSP (H3d and H3e) | 341 |
| 8.4.3 Finding for Hypotheses Test on The Relationship Between | |
| Shariah committee and CSP (H3f and H3g) | 339 |
| 8.5 Finding for Hypotheses Test H4 The relationship Between | |
| Ownership Structure and CSP (H4a to H4d) | 342 |
| 8.6 Finding for Hypothesis Test H5 The Relationship Between CSP and | |
| Financial Performance | |
| 8.7 Finding for Hypothesis Test H6a The Effect of CG Structure on CSP | 347 |
| 8.8 Finding for Hypothesis Test H6b The effect of CG Structure on | |
| Financial performance | 350 |
| 8.9 Finding for Hypothesis Test 7 CSP Act As AMediating Variable to | |
| CG and Financial Performance Relationship | |
| 8.10 Robustness of The Panel Model | |
| 0.11 Commence of The Chamter | |
| 8.11 Summary of The Chapter | 358 |
| 8.11 Summary of The Chapter CHAPTER 9: DISCUSSION ON THE FINDING AND CONCLUSION | |
| | 362 |
| CHAPTER 9: DISCUSSION ON THE FINDING AND CONCLUSION | 362 362 |
| CHAPTER 9: DISCUSSION ON THE FINDING AND CONCLUSION 9.1 Summary of The Research | 362 362 363 |
| CHAPTER 9: DISCUSSION ON THE FINDING AND CONCLUSION 9.1 Summary of The Research 9.2 Discussion of Findings and Conclusion 9.2.1 CSP Indicators of Islamic Banks | 362 362 363 364 364 |
| CHAPTER 9: DISCUSSION ON THE FINDING AND CONCLUSION 9.1 Summary of The Research | 362 362 363 364 364 |
| CHAPTER 9: DISCUSSION ON THE FINDING AND CONCLUSION 9.1 Summary of The Research | 362 363 364 369 373 |
| CHAPTER 9: DISCUSSION ON THE FINDING AND CONCLUSION 9.1 Summary of The Research | 362 363 363 364 369 373 378 |
| CHAPTER 9: DISCUSSION ON THE FINDING AND CONCLUSION 9.1 Summary of The Research | 362 363 364 369 373 378 378 |
| CHAPTER 9: DISCUSSION ON THE FINDING AND CONCLUSION 9.1 Summary of The Research | 362 363 364 369 373 378 384 384 |
| CHAPTER 9: DISCUSSION ON THE FINDING AND CONCLUSION 9.1 Summary of The Research | 362 363 364 369 373 378 384 384 384 |
| CHAPTER 9: DISCUSSION ON THE FINDING AND CONCLUSION 9.1 Summary of The Research | 362 363 364 369 373 378 384 384 388 393 |
| CHAPTER 9: DISCUSSION ON THE FINDING AND CONCLUSION 9.1 Summary of The Research | 362 363 364 369 373 378 384 384 384 388 393 396 |
| CHAPTER 9: DISCUSSION ON THE FINDING AND CONCLUSION 9.1 Summary of The Research | 362 363 364 369 373 378 384 384 384 383 393 396 399 |
| CHAPTER 9: DISCUSSION ON THE FINDING AND CONCLUSION 9.1 Summary of The Research | 362 363 364 369 373 378 384 384 384 383 393 396 399 |
| CHAPTER 9: DISCUSSION ON THE FINDING AND CONCLUSION 9.1 Summary of The Research | 362 363 364 369 373 378 384 384 388 393 396 399 401 |
| CHAPTER 9: DISCUSSION ON THE FINDING AND CONCLUSION 9.1 Summary of The Research | 362 363 364 369 373 378 384 384 388 393 396 399 401 404 |
| CHAPTER 9: DISCUSSION ON THE FINDING AND CONCLUSION 9.1 Summary of The Research | 362 363 364 369 373 378 384 384 388 393 396 399 401 404 440 451 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table No | | Page No. |
|----------|--|----------|
| 2.1 | List of Licensed Islamic Banking Institutions in Malaysia | 29 |
| 2.2 | Stakeholders in Corporate Governance of Islamic Banks, Issues, Objectives and Function in CSP | 58 |
| 2.3 | Key Constructs in the Theory of Stakeholder Identification and Salience | 94 |
| 2.4 | CSP in Islamic Banks | 116 |
| 3.1 | A Summary list of CSP Indicators utilized in prior research | 130 |
| 3.2 | Past research on CSP disclosure in Islamic Financial Institutions | 133 |
| 3.3 | Summary of literature on board size relationship | 141 |
| 3.4 | Summary of literature on board independent | 145 |
| 3.5 | Summary of literature on board meeting | 147 |
| 3.6 | Summary of literature on audit committee | 151 |
| 3.7 | Summary of literature on top management ownership and social performance | 155 |
| 3.8 | Summary of literature on concentrated ownership and social performance | 158 |
| 3.9 | Summary of literature on government ownership and performance | 161 |
| 4.1 | The research objectives, questions and hypothesis | 209 |
| 5.1 | Summary of CSP indicators used in the study | 220 |
| 5.2 | Population Sample and questionnaires distribution | 227 |
| 5.3 | Number of CSP indicators used | 235 |
| 5.4 | Comparison of unweight CSP (i) and weighted CSP (wi) | 238 |

| 5.5 | Paired Samples Test CSP and WCSPi | 238 |
|------|--|-----|
| 5.6 | Objectives, Principle and Islamic Social Performance Measure of Islamic Banks | 242 |
| 5.7 | Board and ownership structure proxy measures | 243 |
| 5.8 | Reliability Statistics of CSP indicators and stakeholder groups and salient attributes | 252 |
| 5.9 | KMO and Bartlett's Test for sampling adequacy | 254 |
| 6.1 | Distribution of questionnaires | 268 |
| 6.2 | Return of the questionnaire according to IB type. | 269 |
| 6.3 | Distribution and return of the questionnaires of FFIB | 269 |
| 6.4 | Distribution and return of the questionnaire of ISB | 269 |
| 6.5 | Demographic background of the respondents | 270 |
| 6.6 | Cross tabulation between the gender and age of the respondents | 271 |
| 6.7 | Cross tabulation between current position in the bank and bank type | 273 |
| 6.8 | Result of Chi Square test on the respondents' background | 273 |
| 6.9 | Test of Homogeneity of Variances on the respondents background | 274 |
| 6.10 | Mean of CSP indicators | 275 |
| 6.11 | The five most important CSP indicators | 278 |
| 6.12 | The five most important CSP indicators and the level of importance | 278 |
| 6.13 | The five least important CSP indicators | 279 |
| 6.14 | The five least relevant (important) CSP indicators and level of its relevance | 281 |
| 6.15 | Independent Sample t test on CSP indicators | 283 |
| 6.16 | Significant difference and the degree of relevance of CSP indicators | 284 |

| 6.17 | Mean, Standard deviation, Skewness and Kurtosis of stakeholder salient | 287 |
|------|--|-----|
| 6.18 | Mean of stakeholder salient attributes | 288 |
| 6.19 | ANOVA of Stakeholder Salient | 291 |
| 6.20 | Robust Tests of Equality of Means | 292 |
| 6.21 | Post Hoc Tukey HSD Multiple Comparisons of Stakeholder Salient and Group | 293 |
| 6.22 | ANOVA of Stakeholder Salient Attribute and Stakeholder Group | 294 |
| 6.23 | Multiple Comparisons of Stakeholder Salient attributes and Stakeholder Group | 295 |
| 6.24 | No of Stakeholder Attributes Present | 297 |
| 6.25 | Regression on stakeholder salient and accumulated salient attributes | 298 |
| 6.26 | Comparison of salient between FFIB and ISB | 300 |
| 6.27 | Independent Samples Test for stakeholder group | 300 |
| 6.28 | Summary of hypothesis, test conducted and finding | 302 |
| 7.1 | Sample Bank, Sample year and Total asset (YE 2008) | 304 |
| 7.2 | Average CSP of Malaysian Islamic Banks | 305 |
| 7.3 | Bank's Average CSP and Stakeholder Index | 306 |
| 7.4 | Yearly Average of CSP according to stakeholders | 307 |
| 7.5 | Comparison of CSP between FFIB and ISB | 308 |
| 7.6 | The yearly mean, minimum and maximum CSP | 315 |
| 7.7 | ANOVA of CSP (2003 – 2008) | 316 |
| 7.8 | Tukey HSD Multiple Comparisons of yearly CSP | 316 |
| 7.9 | Tests of Equality of Means across 2003-2008 | 320 |
| 7.10 | Tukey HSD Multiple Comparisons of CSP to stakeholders and the observation year | 321 |

| 7.11 | Summary of hypothesis, test conducted and findings | 322 |
|------|--|-----|
| 8.1 | Descriptive analysis for continuous variables from 2003 -2008 | 326 |
| 8.2 | Descriptive analysis for continuous variables FFIB and IBS from2003 -2008 | 327 |
| 8.3 | Result of Normality Test | 333 |
| 8.4 | Breusch-Godfrey Serial Correlation or Lagrange Multiplier (LM) Test | 336 |
| 8.5 | Heteroskedasticity Test: White | 337 |
| 8.6 | Pairwise correlation matrix of CSP and CG variables | 339 |
| 8.7 | Pairwise correlation of CSP, financial performance and Islamic social performance | 347 |
| 8.8 | Panel Least Square regression result of CG structures and CSP | 348 |
| 8.9 | FGLS regression result of CG structures on ROAA and Tobin Q (2003 to 2008) | 352 |
| 8.10 | Results of Two-steps FGLS Regressions on Tobin Q (Testing Mediating Effects of CSP on CG and Financial performance relationship) | 355 |
| 8.11 | Wald Test for Equation of CG and CSP | 358 |
| 8.12 | Redundant Fixed Effects Likelihood Ratio | 358 |
| 8.13 | Summary of Hypotheses, Tests and Findings | 361 |
| 9.1 | New Important CSP indicators in IBs | 369 |
| 9.2 | Stakeholder Ranking Compared to Prior Studies | 373 |
| 9.3 | Stakeholder Ranking in Two different Islamic Banking | 377 |

LIST OF GRAPHS

| <u>Graph No</u> . | | Page No. |
|-------------------|--|----------|
| 7.1 | Mean of CSP for FFIB and ISB from 2003 to 2008 | 308 |
| 7.2 | Mean of CSP to employee stakeholder group (EMPi) for FFIB and ISB | 311 |
| 7.3 | Mean of CSP to community stakeholder group (COMi) for FFIB and ISB | 311 |
| 7.4 | Mean of CSP to depositors stakeholder group (DEPi) for FFIB and ISB | 312 |
| 7.5 | Mean of CSP to borrowers stakeholder group (BORi) for FFIB and ISB | 312 |
| 7.6 | Mean of CSP to environment stakeholder group (ENVi) for FFIB and ISB | 313 |
| 7.7 | Mean of CSP to Zakat stakeholder group (ZAKi) for FFIB and ISB | 313 |
| 7.8 | Mean of CSP to investment account holder stakeholder group (IAHi) for FFIB and ISB | 314 |
| 7.9 | Mean of CSP to government as stakeholder group (REGi) for FFIB and ISB | 314 |
| 7.10 | Mean of CSP Plot | 317 |
| 7.11 | CSP to Stakeholders | 318 |
| 7.18 | CSP to Stakeholders | 319 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure No | | Page No |
|-----------|---|---------|
| 2.1 | Structure of Islamic Corporate Governance | 58 |
| 2.2 | The foundation of Islamic Corporate Governance and Social Performance | 60 |
| 2.3 | The Foundation of Islamic Corporate governance and concept | 78 |
| 2.4 | The specificities of Islamic Corporate Governance, Corporate Social Performance and Firm Performance | 91 |
| 4.1 | Research Framework of the Study | 212 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| AAIOFI | Accounting and Auditing International Organization for |
|---------|---|
| | Financial Institution |
| Amet | Number of Audit Committee meeting |
| BAFIA | Banking and Financial Institution Act |
| BIMB | Bank Islam Malaysia Berhad |
| BMet | Number of board meeting |
| BMMB | Bank Muamalat (Malaysia) Berhad |
| BNM | Central Bank of Malaysia |
| BNM CCG | Guideline of corporate governance for licensed IBs (revised |
| | BNM/GP1-i) |
| BSize | Board Size |
| CG | Corporate governance |
| CSP | Corporate Social Performance |
| EPF | Employee Provident Fund |
| FFIB | Full fledge Islamic Banks |
| GDP | Gross Domestic Product |
| GOwn | Government agency share ownership |
| IAH | Investment Account Holders investment |
| IBA | Islamic Banking ACT |
| IBs | Islamic banks |
| IFI | Islamic Financial Institutions |
| IIIB | Institute of Institution of Islamic Banking |
| IOwn30 | 30 Substantial owners share ownership |
| ISB | Islamic Subsidiary banks |
| KLSE | Kuala Lumpur Stock Exchange (Bursa Malaysia) |
| MCCG | Malaysian Code of Corporate Governance |
| MESRA | Malaysian Environmental and Social Report Award |
| MIA | Malaysian Institute of Accountant |
| NEP | New Economic Policy |
| PauInd | Percentage of auditors independent |
| Pbisi | Percentage of independent board |
| PNB | Permodalan National Berhad |
| ROA | Return on Assets |
| RWCR | Risk-Weighted Capital Ratio |
| SAC | Shari'ah Advisory Board |
| ScRoles | Number of Roles of Shari'ah Committee |
| ScSize | Size of Shari'ah Committee |
| SPI | Skim Perbankan Islam |
| Tobin Q | Approximate Tobin Q |
| TOwn | Board of directors and top management team share ownership |
| VIF | Variance Inflation Factor |
| | |

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

The role of corporate governance (CG) in banking is vital. In fact, the recurring financial crisis in the year 1997 and 2009 that affected the banking industry globally, has attracted the attention on the effectiveness of the CG of the banks in ensuring safe and sound banking system. Effective and efficient CG in banking industry is important because the industry contributes to the economic system significantly. In Malaysia for example, banking and finance industry has significant contribution to the national economic system or Gross Domestic Product (GDP) of 9.2% in 2000, 11% in 2008 and 17% in 2011 (BNM, 2009; 2012)

CG is also important in the fast growing Islamic finance industry. All over the world, Islamic finance industry operates in 75 countries with over 500 Islamic financial institutions (IFIs) with total assets of nearly USD 1 trillion and is expected to capture half of the savings of the Muslim world (Austay, 2010: pg. 41-42). In Malaysia, the industry has shown a tremendous growth over the last five years. The total assets in the system increased from RM156, 810.8 million in 2007 to RM334, 982.6 million in 2011 (BNM Monthly Statistical Bulletin, 2012).

Consistent to the growth of the Islamic finance industry, the CG of Islamic banks is expected to play greater role in ensuring business success in fulfilling the responsibilities of the banks to shareholders and other stakeholders (Hakim, 2002) and to act as a mechanism to mitigate agency problems involving various stakeholders of the business namely the shareholders, creditors, management, employees, consumers and the public at large (Abu-Tapanjeh, 2009).

The term CG in the Islamic perspective is referred to as *Howkomat al Sharikat* (حوكمة الشركات) in Arabic which literally means the governance of companies (Adwam, World Bank Group 2013). It refers to the manner of directing, managing affairs, controlling, engineering, steering, marshaling, regulating, be in charge, managing well, economizing, devising, planning and conducting the course of business. In Al Quran it is termed as *Tadbir* (تَدْبِيه) (Al Burey, 1985). It refers to rational act of examining the consequence (s) of an affair so that a creditable result may be worked out and thus obtained. In the Malaysian Code of CG (MCCG, 2000 pg. 2) CG is defined as "the process and structure to direct and manage the business and affairs of the institution towards enhancing business prosperity and the corporate accountability with the ultimate objective of realizing long term shareholders value, whilst taking into account the interest of other stakeholders".

In the context of Islamic banks (IBs) in Malaysia, CG involves the manner in which the business and the affairs of individual banking institutions are being governed by their board of directors and senior managers. CG assures generation of economic returns to the owners and day-to-day operations, and acknowledges the interests of recognized stakeholders including depositors. This is to ensure that the banking business is safe and sound and in compliance with the applicable laws and regulations with an aim to maximize shareholders' return and protect the interest of all stakeholders (BNM/RH/GL 001-1 (GP-i), 2005 pg.1)

The comparison among few definitions above provide basic understanding that CG of IBs has the responsibility to integrate social responsibility in their banking business by taking into account the interests of all stakeholders. In other words, it means that other than ensuring business success; the CG of IBs is expected to be more socially responsible. This is because, according to the Islamic law (*Shari'ah*), faithbased institutions and business entities with wealth (the wealthy) are required to; contribute to social and humanitarian works (Kahf, 2004), emphasize the promotion of *adl* (fair) and just (justice) operation to customers, society and the needy and promote social benevolence in their business operations for example through prohibiting *Riba* (interest) and *Gharar* (gambling) and upholding the payment of *Zakat* (Chapra, 1985; Chapra and Khan, 2000; Munawar, Iqbal and Llywellyn, 2002; Munawar and Philip, 2005; Acher and Abdel Karim, 2007). Due to those expectations, IBs are expected to place a greater concern on the accounting, accountability and transparency in their business operation in comparison to conventional banks.

The definition of CG in context of IBs also appreciates the stakeholders' relations in the systems and processes which requires the management to act in a socially responsible way in all areas of their business activities. The aim of social responsibility activities, policies or programs is to discharge accountability to various stakeholders through optimizing their CG system so that it can maximize the IBs' performance over a long term. Theoretically, this resembles the application of stakeholder theory (Iqbal and Mirakhor, 2004; Hasan, 2008; Hasan and Harahap, 2010). The theory specifies that decision makers utilize resources efficiently, to manage them in the best interest of their principal stakeholders and to maintain good relationship between different stakeholders (Grais and Pellegrini, 2006). Indirectly, CG also covers decision-making activities; who make the decision on CG, who will benefit from CG and what resources to use to materialize CG (Lewis, 2005), in order to meet the objectives of the decision based on the principle of accountability, transparency and trustworthiness.

In general, an efficient CG from the Islamic perspective is the mechanism, which has the capability in ensuring good relationship and protecting the interests of various stakeholders. Philosophically (in *Tawhidic* paradigm), efficient governance primarily needs to ensure efficient relationship with the ultimate stakeholder that is the resource owner (Allah) through compliance of *Shari'ah*. The *Tawhidic* paradigm (Unity of God) conceptually provides illustrations of the link between the spirit of *Tawhid* with all management functions (planning, organization, leading, and controlling) and ensures harmony between the other worldly (*Al-Akhirah* - the Hereafter) and the worldly (*Al Duniya*). The banks' mission and objectives will be achieved through continuous enforcement in promoting goodness (*amr bil ma"aruf*) and prevention of evil (*wannaha anil munkar*). Based on this paradigm, harmonized management approach, in accordance to the requirements of the religion (*ad-din*), is an important ingredient to achieve the objective of *Shari'ah* (*Maqasid Shari'ah*) of Islamic banks successfully.

Economically, effective CG must be able to achieve its commercial objective to generate profit that will protect the economic interest of the shareholders and in return increase the value of the banks.

Ethically, CG requires banks to provide interest-free goods or services to customers (depositors and borrowers) and socially IBs need to be efficient in protecting the interest of affected parties or entities (stakeholders) such as employees, the general community and environment surrounding the corporations.

The capability of Islamic banks in fulfilling the interests of various stakeholders through providing interest-free products and services, performing welfare activities, installing appropriate policies and program in compliance to *Shari'ah* is known as corporate social performance (CSP) in this study. As such, the Islamic

perspective on CSP in Islamic banks is defined as the fulfillment of *Al Tawhidic* philosophy *(servant (al-abd)* and vicegerancy *(khalifah)* paradigm). This is based on firstly, the principles of accountability, transparency, justice and fair and trust, which motivates action taken *(akhlaq)* by the cooperated individuals (on behalf of the company) on various stakeholders (who are affected and affecting the business). Secondly, the basis is the degree to which firms make use of social responsiveness processes and finally, the existence and nature of policies and programs designed to manage the societal relationships and the social impact (that are observable outcomes). In brief, corporate social performance is not limited to donating money but more on integrating philosophical, economic, social and ethical foundations of corporate governance into the business practices. (This study uses CSP and CSR interchangeably because CSR denotes social activities)

A number of Islamic scholars criticized the incapability of IBs to emphasize economic and social objectives fairly and adequately. Chapra (2009), Siddiqi (2009) and El Gamal (2006) claimed that IBs do not have genuine business operations. This is because they are in the opinion that most of IBs activities are similar to any conventional finance where activities are market and profit driven and they neglect their responsibility to serve and engage with the communities (Asutay, 2010).

The stakeholder theory and the philosophical perspective (*Tawhidic* paradigm) of CG in Islam place stakeholders' interest as an important aspect in Muslims' daily activities in maintaining the relationship with Allah (*hablum minallah*) and fellow man (*hablum minannas*) for example, shareholders, investors, fellow workers, customers, supplier, debtors, community, the needy, and competitors and the environment (nature and universe) surrounding them. Nonetheless, the specific indicators of social responsibility for various stakeholders have not been adequately