PERFORMANCE EXCELLENCE MEASUREMENT FRAMEWORK: A STUDY OF HOTEL INDUSTRY IN BANGLADESH

BY

MD. MAHBUBAR RAHMAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Business Administration)

Kulliyyah of Economics and Management Sciences International Islamic University Malaysia

JANUARY 2018

ABSTRACT

The past decades have seen the development of numerous quality awards and excellence frameworks such as ISO, Deming Prize, Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award (MBNQA), and European Foundation Quality Management (EFQM). More than 80 countries have successfully applied these frameworks in various sectors to achieve excellence in their businesses. Most of the Asian countries follow the MBNQA with little modification. However, the business sector in Bangladesh displayed limited efforts in following a framework for measuring performance excellence in a structured and systematic manner. This study filled the void by developing a framework that enables ranking of the criteria and sub-criteria for achieving business excellence in the hotel industry of Bangladesh.

The specific objectives of the study are to: (1) identify the most frequently used business excellence models that can be used as theories for developing a performance excellence measurement framework for the hotel industry of Bangladesh, (2) identify the necessary criteria and sub-criteria to develop a new framework for the hotel industry, (3) prioritise the criteria and sub-criteria in the course of developing the new performance excellence measurement framework, and (4) operationalise the new framework and evaluate the performance level of some selected hotels in Bangladesh. This study adapted the sequential mix method process by combining qualitative and quantitative approaches. Semi structured interviews with 24 participants were initially conducted to identify the criteria and sub-criteria. Subsequently, a questionnaire survey was carried out on 40 respondents to prioritise the identified criteria and subcriteria, and 32 respondents were further surveyed to evaluate a selected number of hotels. Data for all three stages were accumulated from internal and external stakeholders of Bangladesh's hotel industry ranging from policy makers, quality management experts, experienced hotel guests, and top and middle-level hotel executives.

Thematic analysis was used during the qualitative stage and eight criteria were uncovered namely: Top Management Commitment and Leadership, Strategic Planning, Service Process Management, Employee Focus, Customer/Guest Focus, Quality Management, Communication, and Business Results together with their corresponding sub-criteria. Subsequently, the relative measurement of Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) was applied to prioritise these identified criteria and sub-criteria. Quality Management emerged as the most crucial criterion, and Health and Safety-Security Measures was the most important sub-criterion in addressing this criterion. Additionally, using the absolute measurement of AHP, Hotel Radisson Blu of Chittagong was identified as the best performing hotel among the selected hotels.

The performance excellence measurement framework developed for hotel industry is a unique one which can be used by practitioners to evaluate performance of hotels. Though the framework has been developed in the context of Bangladesh, however, the framework can be applied to measure performance of hotels in other countries as well with minor modifications.

ملخص

شهدت العقود الماضية تطوير العديد من الجوائز وأطر التميز مثل أي. إيس. أو.، وجائزة ديمينغ، وجائزة ما مالكولم بالدريج الوطنية للجودة (مبنكا)، وإدارة الجودة في المؤسسة الأوروبية (EFQ). وقد نجح أكثر من 80 بلدا في تطبيق هذه الأطر في مختلف القطاعات لتحقيق التميز في أعمالها. ومعظم البلدان الآسيوية تتبع وزارة الطاقة والمياه والبيئة مع تعديل طفيف، غير أن قطاع الأعمال في بنغلاديش أظهر جهودا محدودة في اتباع إطار لقياس التميز في الأداء بطريقة منظمة ومنهجية. وقد ملأت هذه الدراسة الفراغ من خلال وضع إطار يتيح ترتيب المعايير الأساسية والمعايير الفرعية لتحقيق التميز في الأعمال التجارية في صناعة الفنادق في بنغلاديش.

وتتمثل الأهداف المحددة للدراسة فيما يلي: (1) تحديد نماذج التميز التحاري الأكثر استخداما والتي يمكن استخدامها كنظريات لوضع إطار لقياس التميز في الأداء لقطاع الفنادق في بنغلاديش، (2) معايير لوضع إطار جديد لصناعة الفنادق، (3) إعطاء الأولوية للمعايير والمعايير الفرعية في سياق تطوير إطار قياس التميز في الأداء الجديد، و (4) تفعيل الإطار الجديد وتقييم مستوى أداء بعض الفنادق المختارة في بنغلاديش. قامت هذه الدراسة بتكييف عملية طريقة المزيج المتسلسل من خلال الجمع بين النهج النوعي والكمي. وأجريت في البداية مقابلات شبه منظمة مع 24 مشاركا لتحديد المعايير والمعايير الفرعية الفرعية. وبعد ذلك، أجري توزيع استبيان على 40 من العينات لتحديد أولويات المعايير والمعايير الفرعية المحددة، وتمت دراسة 32 من المشاركين في الدراسة لتقييم عدد مختار من الفنادق. وقد تراكمت البيانات الخاصة بالمراحل الثلاث من أصحاب المصلحة الداخليين والخارجيين في صناعة الفنادق في بنغلاديش، بدءا من صانعي السياسات وخبراء إدارة الجودة وضيوف الفنادق ذوي الخبرة والمديرين التنفيذيين في الفنادق العليا والمتوسطة.

تم استخدام التحليل المواضيعي خلال المرحلة النوعية وتم الكشف عن ثمانية معايير هي: الالتزام الإداري والقيادة العليا، والتخطيط الاستراتيجي، وإدارة عمليات الخدمة، وتركيز الموظفين، وتركيز العملاء / الضيوف، وإدارة الجودة، والاتصالات، ونتائج الأعمال، والمعايير. بعد ذلك، تم تطبيق القياس النسبي لعملية التسلسل للهرمي التحليلي (أهب) لتحديد أولويات هذه المعايير والمعايير الفرعية المحددة. وقد برزت إدارة الجودة باعتبارها المعيار الأكثر أهمية، وكانت تدابير الأمن والسلامة والأمن أهم معيار فرعي

في معالجة هذا المعيار. بالإضافة إلى ذلك، وباستخدام القياس المطلق لـ"أهب"، تم تحديد فندق راديسون بلو من شيتاغونغ كأفضل الفنادق أداء بين الفنادق المختارة.

كان إطار قياس التميز في الأداء الذي يتم تطويره لصناعة الفنادق هو إطار فريد يمكن استخدامه من قبل الممارسين لتقييم أداء الفنادق. على الرغم من أن الإطار قد تم تطويره في سياق بنغلاديش، ومع ذلك، يمكن تطبيق الإطار لقياس أداء الفنادق في بلدان أحرى وكذلك مع تعديلات طفيفة.

APPROVAL PAGE

The thesis of Md. Mahbubar Rahman has been approved by the following:

	Rafikul Islam
	Supervisor
	Khaliq Ahmad
	Co-Supervisor
***	Did il W
Wan	Rohaida Wan Husain Co-Supervisor
	Co Supervisor
	ahad Osman Ghani
]	Internal Examiner
	Lo May Chiun
I	External Examiner
	13.6 11.41.1.11.1
Muha	ammad Madi Abdullah

DECLARATION

Md. Mahbubar Rahman	
Md. Mahhuhar Rahman	
whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institut	tions
I stated. I also declare that it has not been previous	sly or concurrently submitted as a
I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of my ov	wii investigation, except otherwise

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH

PERFORMANCE EXCELLENCE MEASUREMENT FRAMEWORK: A STUDY OF HOTEL INDUSTRY IN BANGLADESH

I declare that the copyright holders of this thesis jointly owned by Md. Mahbubar Rahman and IIUM.

Copyright © 2017 Md. Mahbubar Rahman and International Islamic University Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below

- 1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
- 2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
- 3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieved system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledged that I have read and understand the IIUM Intellectual Property Right and Commercialization policy.

Affirmed by Md. Mahbubar Rahman	
Signature	Date

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to

my loving parents, wife and daughter

whose compassion for me flow like a waterfall

that continually nourishes my soul

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah, all praises be to Allah swt, the ultimate owner of every single knowledge, I am indeed very grateful for His mercy and guidance throughout this Ph.D. journey. I am most indebted to by supervisor, Professor. Dr. Rafikul Islam, whose enduring disposition, kindness, promptitude, thoroughness and friendship have facilitated the successful completion of my work. I put on record and appreciate his detailed comments, useful suggestions and inspiring queries which have considerably improved this thesis. His brilliant grasp of the aim and content of this work led to his insightful comments, suggestions and queries which helped me a great deal. Despite his commitments, he took time to listen and attend to me whenever requested. The moral support he extended to me is in no doubt a boost that helped in building and writing the draft of this research work. I am also grateful to my co-supervisor, Prof. Dr. Khaliq Ahmad and Asst. Prof. Dr. Wan Rohaida Wan Husain, whose support and cooperation contributed to the outcome of this work.

The completion of this thesis also takes along a bucket of love and support from my family members. I really appreciate the continuous support and prayers from my mother Rahela Khatun. My gratitude also goes to my beloved wife, Sucheta Sarmin, not only for her prayer, love, companionship and patience but also her assistance and sacrifice to gain the momentum of my work. I am thankful and really appreciate my dearest daughter, Nahian Tasfia Neonta, for her patience and support to complete my Ph.D. journey.

Once again, we glorify Allah for His endless mercy on us one of which is enabling us to successfully round off the efforts of writing this thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstractii	
Abstract in Arabiciii	
Approval Pagev	
Declarationvi	
Copyrightvii	
Dedicationvii	i
Acknowledgementsix	
Table of Contentsx	
List of Tablesxvi	ii
List of Figuresxx	
List of Abbreviationsxxi	
	-
CHAPTER ONE	
INTRODUCTION1	
1.1 Background of the Study	
1.2 Need for Business Excellence2	
1.3 Concept of Best Practices Business Excellence Frameworks	
1.3.1 Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award (MBNQA)	
1.3.2 European Foundation for Quality Management (EFQM)	
1.3.3 ISO Excellence Model	
1.3.4 Deming Prize	
1.4 Criteria for Business Excellence Model	
1.5 Practising Business Excellence Framework in Asian Countries9	
1.6 Overview of the Global Hotel Industry	
1.7 Hotel Industry in Bangladesh	
1.9 Research Questions 22	
1.10 Research Objectives	
1.11 Limitations of the Study	
1.12 Significance of the Study	
1.13 Chapter Summary25	
CHAPTER TWO	
LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Introduction	
2.2 Philosophies, Theories, and Principles of Quality Management28	
2.2.1 Deming's Theory of Quality Management	
2.2.2 Juran's Theory of Quality Trilogy	
2.2.3 Kaizen Concept of Quality Management	
2.3 Performance Excellence in Hotel Industry	
2.3.1 Performance Enhancement through Service Quality in Hotel	
Industry35	
2.3.1.1 Hotel Performance Improvement and SERVQUAL40	
2.3.2 Benchmarking and Hotel Performance Improvement42	
2.4 Framework of Performance Measurement for the Hotel Industry43	

2.4.1 Deming Cycle	
2.4.2 Results and Determinants Model	45
2.4.3 Balanced Scorecard	46
2.5 Quality Awards and Excellence Models	47
2.5.1 ISO Excellence Model	50
2.5.1.1 Application of ISO in the Hotel Industry	52
2.5.2 EFQM	53
2.5.2.1 Application of EFQM in the Hotel Industry	54
2.5.3 MBNQA	55
2.5.3.1 Criteria of MBNQA	55
2.5.3.1.1 Organisational Profile	56
2.5.3.1.2 The Criteria Framework	57
2.5.3.1.3 Leadership	58
2.5.3.1.4 Strategic Planning	
2.5.3.1.5 Customer Focus	60
2.5.3.1.6 Measurement, Analysis, and Knowledge Mar	nagement
2.5.3.1.7 Workforce Focus	61
2.5.3.1.8 Operations Focus	62
2.5.3.1.9 Organisational Performance Results	63
2.5.3.2 Applications of MBNQA	
2.5.3.3 Application of MBNQA in the Hotel Industry	
2.5.4 Six Sigma	
2.5.4.1 Application of Six Sigma in the Hotel Industry	
2.5.5 Lean Quality	
2.5.5.1 Application of Lean Quality Model in the Hotel Inc	
2.6 Methods of Performance Measurement	
2.6.1 Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)	
2.6.1.1 Application of AHP in the Hotel Industry	
2.6.1.2 AHP Studies for Model Development	
2.7 Research Gap	
2.8 Chapter Summary	80
CHAPTER THREE	
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Introduction	
3.2 Research Paradigm	
3.3 Research Design	
3.4 Population and Sampling Technique	
3.4.1 Study Population	
3.4.2 Sample Size	
3.4.3 Sampling Technique	
3.5 Data Collection	
3.6 Data Analysis	
3.6.1 Qualitative Data Analysis	
3.6.2 Quantitative Data Analysis	
3.7 The Analytic Hierarchy Process	
3.7.1 Developing Criteria, Sub-Criteria and Alternatives	98

3.7.2 Structure the Decision Hierarchy of Criter	ria, Sub-Criteria and
Alternatives	99
3.7.3 Relative Measurement	101
3.7.4 Pairwise Comparison Matrix (PCM)	101
3.7.5 Criteria Weights	102
3.7.5.1 Determining Priority Vectors	103
3.7.5.2 Measuring Consistency	103
3.7.5.3 Global Weight for the Alternative	
3.7.6 Absolute Measurement	
3.8 Chapter Summary	106
	40=
CHAPTER FOURFINDINGS OF QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS	
4.1 Introduction	
4.2 Demographic Profile of the Respondents	
4.3 Themes Related to Measuring Performance of H	
4.3.1 Top Management Commitment and Leader	
4.3.1 1 Quality Leadership	
4.3.1.1 Quality Leadership4.3.1.2 Corporate Social Responsibility	
4.3.2 Strategic Planning	
4.3.2 Strategic Framming	
4.3.2.2 Strategy Development and Implem	
4.3.3 Service Process Management	
4.3.3 Process Design	
4.3.3.2 Performance Metrices	
4.3.3.3 Competitor Business Analysis	
4.3.3.4 Promotional Policy	
4.3.4 Employee Focus	
4.3.4.1 Employee Development	
4.3.4.2 Employee Satisfaction	
4.3.5 Customer/Guest Focus	
4.3.5.1 Customer/Guest Relationship Mar	
4.3.5.2 Customer/Guest Retention	C
4.3.5.3 Customer/Guest Satisfaction	
4.3.6 Quality Management	
4.3.6.1 Quick and Expected Service Deliv	verv141
4.3.6.2 Health and Safety-Security Measu	
4.3.6.3 Environment Management	
4.3.7 Communication	
4.3.7.1 Internet /Digital Service	
4.3.7.2 Networking Facilities	
4.3.8 Business Results	
4.3.8.1 Return on Investment	
4.3.8.2 Quality Management	
4.3.8.3 Employee Satisfaction	
4.3.8.4 Customer/Guest Satisfaction	
4.3.8.5 Leadership Performance	
4.4 A Synthesis of the Criteria and Sub-Criteria	
4.4.1 Top Management Commitment and Leade	

4.4.1.1 Quality Leadership	155
4.4.1.2 Corporate Social Responsibility	156
4.4.2 Strategic Planning	
4.4.2.1 Vision, Mission and Goal	
4.4.2.2 Strategy Development and Implementation	157
4.4.3 Service Process Management	
4.4.3.1 Process Design	157
4.4.3.2 Performance Metrices	158
4.4.3.3 Competitor Business Analysis	158
4.4.3.4 Promotional Policy	
4.4.4 Employee Focus	159
4.4.4.1 Employee Development	
4.4.4.2 Employee Satisfaction	159
4.4.5 Customer/Guest Focus	160
4.4.5.1 Customer Relationship Management	160
4.4.5.2 Customer Retention	160
4.4.5.3 Customer Satisfaction	161
4.4.6 Quality Management	161
4.4.6.1 Quick and Expected Service Delivery	161
4.4.6.2 Health and Safety-Security Measures	
4.4.6.3 Environment Management	162
4.4.7 Communication	162
4.4.7.1 Internet/Digital Service	
4.4.7.2 Networking Facilities	
4.4.8 Business Results	163
4.4.8.1 Return on Investment	
4.4.8.2 Quality Management	
4.4.8.3 Employee Satisfaction	
4.4.8.4 Customer/Guest Satisfaction	
4.4.8.5 Leadership Performance	
4.5 Chapter Summary	166
CHAPTER FIVE	167
FINDINGS OF QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS	
5.1 Introduction	
5.2 Demographic Profile of the Respondents	168
5.3 Assessing Criteria and Sub-Criteria by Pairwise Comparison	
Metrices (PCMs)	171
5.4 Establishing Weights of Criteria and Sub-Criteria as well as	
Assigned Points	177
5.4.1 Overall Weights of Criteria and Sub-Criteria as well as	
Assigned Points	
5.4.1.1 Top Management Commitment and Leadership (89)	-
5.4.1.1.1 Quality Leadership (63 points)	
5.4.1.1.2 Corporate Social Responsibility (26 points)	
5.4.1.2 Strategic Planning (79 points)	
5.4.1.2.1 Vision, Mission and Goal (42 points)	184

	5.4.1.2.2 Strategy Development and Implementation (37 points)	
	5.4.1.3 Service Process Management (95 points)	185
	5.4.1.3.1 Process Design (18 points)	
	5.4.1.3.2 Performance Metrices (23 points)	
	5.4.1.3.3 Competitor Business Analysis (26 points)	
	5.4.1.3.4 Promotional Policy (28 points)	
	5.4.1.4 Employee Focus (92 points)	
	5.4.1.4.1 Employee Development (53 points)	
	5.4.1.4.2 Employee Satisfaction (39 points)	
	5.4.1.5 Customer/Guest Focus (170 points)	
	5.4.1.5.1 Customer/Guest Relationship Management (68 points)	
	5.11.5.1 Customer/Guest Relationship Management (00 poin	
	5.4.1.5.2 Customer/Guest Retention (42 points)	
	5.4.1.5.3 Customer/Guest Satisfaction (60 points)	189
	5.4.1.5.4 Quality Management (186 points)	
	5.4.1.5.5 Quick and Expected Service Delivery (54 points)	
	5.4.1.5.6 Health and Safety-Security Measure (76 points)	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	5.4.1.5.7 Environment Management (56 points)	
	5.4.1.6 Communication (147 points)	
	5.4.1.6.1 Internet/Digital Service (86 points)	
	5.4.1.6.2 Networking Facilities (61 points)	
	5.4.1.7 Business Results (142 points)	
	5.4.1.7.1 Return on Investment (19 points)	
	5.4.1.7.2 Quality Management (24 points)	
	5.4.1.7.3 Employee Satisfaction (23 points)	
	5.4.1.7.4 Customer/Guest satisfaction (45 points)	
	5.4.1.7.5 Leadership Performance (31 points)	193
5.4.2	Analysis of Criteria and Sub-Criteria on the Basis of Category	
	of Respondents	193
	5.4.2.1 Weights from the Standpoint of Quality Management	
	Experts	
	5.4.2.2 Weights from the Standpoint of Experienced Hotel Gues	
	5.4.2.3 Weights from the Standpoint of Hotel Executives	203
	5.4.2.4 Comparison of Weights on the Basis of Category of	
	Respondents	207
	5.4.2.5 Test of Validity of Ranking on the Basis of Category of	
	Respondents	212
5.4.3	Analysis of Criteria and Sub-Criteria Weights on the Basis of	
	Demographic Profile	
	5.4.3.1 Analysis of Criteria and Sub-Criteria Weights on the Bas	sis
	of Level of Education	
	5.4.3.1.1 Weights from the Standpoint of the Respondents	
	Having Bachelor Qualifications	214
	5.4.3.1.2 Weights from the Standpoint of the Respondents	
	Having Masters Qualifications	218
	5.4.3.1.3 Weights from the Standpoint of the Respondents	
	Having Ph.D. Qualifications	222

5.4.3.1.4 Comparison of Weights on the Basis of Level of	
Education	226
5.4.3.1.5 Test of Validity of Ranking on the Basis of Level	of
Education	230
5.4.3.2 Analysis of Criteria and Sub-Criteria Weights on the B	asis
of Working Experiences	
5.4.3.2.1 Weights from the Standpoint of the Executives H	
Working Experiences of Below 5 Years	
5.4.3.2.2 Weights from the Standpoint of the Hotel Execut	
Having Experiences of 5 to 10 Years	
5.4.3.2.3 Weights from the Standpoint of the Hotel Execut	
Having Experiences of Above 10 Years	
5.4.3.2.4 Comparison of Weights on the Basis of Working	
Experiences of Hotel Executives	
5.4.3.2.5 Test of Validity of Ranking on the Basis of Work	
Experiences	_
5.5 Absolute Measurement	
5.5.1 Data Collection	
5.5.2 Demographic Profile of the Respondents in Hotel Evaluation	
5.5.3 Intensities for Absolute Measurement	
5.5.4 Evaluation of Hotels Using AHP Absolute Measurement	
5.5.4 Evaluation of Flotels Using ATT Absolute Measurement	230
Global Weights	257
5.5.6 Rating some Selected Hotels of Bangladesh	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
5.6 Chapter Summary	204
CHAPTER SIX	266
DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	
6.1 Introduction	
6.2 Discussion of the Findings	
6.2.1 RQ 1: What are the most appropriate performance excellence	200
frameworks need to be considered as theories for developing a	1
performance excellence measurement framework for the hotel	
industry of Bangladesh?	
6.2.2 RQ 2: What are the necessary criteria and sub-criteria that	207
need to be incorporated in the proposed performance	
excellence measurement framework?	260
6.2.2.1 Top Management Commitment and Leadership	
6.2.2.2 Strategic Planning	
6.2.2.3 Service Process Management	
6.2.2.4 Employee Focus	
6.2.2.5 Customer/Guest Focus	
6.2.2.6 Quality Management	
6.2.2.7 Communication	
6.2.2.8 Business Results	279
6.2.3 RQ 3: How the criteria and sub-criteria are prioritized in the	
course of developing the new performance excellence measurement framework?	
	201

to evaluate the performance level of some selected hotels in Bangladesh? 6.3 Contribution of the Study 6.3.1 Theoretical Contributions	
6.3 Contribution of the Study	
6.3 Contribution of the Study	
	287
6.3.2 Practical Contributions	289
6.4 Recommendations for Future Research	
6.5 Conclusion	292
	<u> </u>
REFERENCES	295
	295
	295
APPENDIX 1: LIST OF BUSINESS EXCELLENCE AWARDS IN	295 328
APPENDIX 1: LIST OF BUSINESS EXCELLENCE AWARDS IN DIFFERENT COUNTRIES	_, _
APPENDIX 1: LIST OF BUSINESS EXCELLENCE AWARDS IN DIFFERENT COUNTRIES	328 333

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table No.</u>	Page No.
Table 1.1 Comparison of Criteria for the Best Practices Excellence Models	9
Table 1.2 National Quality Awards in Asian Countries	14
Table 1.3 Location of International Standard Hotels in Bangladesh	18
Table 1.4 List of Hotels on the Basis of Classification	18
Table 1.5 List of Some International Standard Hotels and Room Offerings	19
Table 2.1 Deming's 14 Points for Quality	30
Table 2.2 Principles of Juran's Quality Trilogy	32
Table 2.3 Results and Determinants Model	45
Table 2.4 Excellence Models/National Quality Awards	49
Table 2.5 MBNQA Model (Criteria, Items and Assigned Points)	58
Table 2.6 Major Studies Conducted on AHP in the Hotel Industry	75
Table 3.1 Step-By-Step Research Processes	85
Table 3.2 Number of Respondents in AHP Application	87
Table 3.3 Distribution of Respondents	88
Table 3.4 List and Definition of Identified Themes	94
Table 3.5 List and Definition of Corresponding Sub-Themes	95
Table 3.6 The Fundamental Scale of AHP	102
Table 3.7 Random Index for AHP	104
Table 4.1 Classification of Interview Respondents	108
Table 4.2 Detailed Profile of the Respondents in Qualitative Stage	109
Table 4.3 Respondent's Demographic Profile for Qualitative Stage	110
Table 4.4 Items Collected from the Respondents	112
Table 4.5 Main Ideas of Respondents' and Relevant Themes	113

Table 4.6 List and Definition of Themes	115
Table 4.7 Themes and Number of Occurrences	116
Table 4.8 List of Performance Criteria and Sub-Criteria Generated by the Respondents	153
Table 5.1 Detailed Information on Respondents Profile	169
Table 5.2 The AHP Fundamental Scale of Absolute Numbers	171
Table 5.3 Pairwise Comparison of Criteria with Respect to the Overall Goal	172
Table 5.4 Overall Weights of Criteria and Sub-Criteria by all Stakeholder Groups	178
Table 5.5 Overall Points of Criteria and Sub-Criteria Derived from Respondents	182
Table 5.6 Weights Derived from Quality Management Experts	196
Table 5.7 Weights Derived from Experienced Hotel Guests	201
Table 5.8 Weights Derived from Hotel Executives	205
Table 5.9 Comparison of Weights for Three Categories of Respondents	208
Table 5.10 Rank Correlation Coefficient (RCC) and p Values	212
Table 5.11 Weights Derived from the Respondents with Bachelor Qualifications	216
Table 5.12 Weights Derived from Master/MBA Respondents	220
Table 5.13 Weights Derived from the Ph.D. Respondents	224
Table 5.14 Comparison of Weights for Level of Education	227
Table 5.15 Rank Correlation Coefficient (RCC) and p Values	231
Table 5.16 Weights Derived from the Hotel Executives Having Experiences of Le Than 5 Years	ess 234
Table 5.17 Weights Resulting from the Hotel Executives Having Experiences of 5 10 Years	5 to 239
Table 5.18 Weights Derived from the Hotel Executives Having Experiences of Al 10 years	bove 243
Table 5.19 Comparison of Weights on the Basis of Working Experiences of Hotel Executives	l 246
Table 5.20 Rank Correlation Coefficient (RCC) and p Values	250
Table 5.21 Demographic Breakdown of the Interviewed Respondents	253

Table 5.22 Detailed Information on Respondents Profile	254
Table 5.23 Intensities and Their Weights	256
Table 5.24 Overall Weights of Criteria and Sub-Criteria Derived from all Respondents	257
Table 5.25 Synthesis to Obtain the Global Weights	258
Table 5.26 Performances of Radisson Blu Hotel on Various Sub-Criteria	260
Table 5.27 Weights and Ranks of Eight Sampled Hotels on the Basis of Sub-Crite	eria 261
Table 5.28 Points on Sub-Criteria of the Radisson Blu Hotel	263
Table 5.29 Ranks of Eight Sampled Hotels on the Basis of Points Earned	264
Table 6.1 List of Performance Criteria and Sub-Criteria Generated by the Respondents	281
Table 6.2 Overall Points of Criteria and Sub-Criteria Derived from Respondents	285
Table 6.3 Ranks of Eight Sampled Hotels on the Basis of Points Earned	287

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Page No.
Figure 2.1 Juran's Quality Trilogy Model	32
Figure 2.2 Kaizen Concept of Quality Management	34
Figure 2.3 Deming Cycle	44
Figure 2.4 Balanced Scorecard	46
Figure 2.5 ISO 9001:2000	51
Figure 2.6 Enablers and Results of EFQM	54
Figure 2.7 MBNQA Model	57
Figure 2.8 DMAIC Approach of Six Sigma	68
Figure 2.9 Lean Quality Principles	71
Figure 3.1 Flow Chart of Qualitative Data Analysis	91
Figure 3.2 AHP Hierarchy Model	100
Figure 4.1 Sub-Themes of Top Management Commitment and Leadership	118
Figure 4.2 Sub-Themes of Strategic Planning	122
Figure 4.3 Sub-Themes of Service Process Management	125
Figure 4.4 Sub-Themes of Employee Focus	132
Figure 4.5 Sub-Themes of Customer/Guest Focus	137
Figure 4.6 Sub-Themes of Quality Management	141
Figure 4.7 Sub-Themes of Communication	145
Figure 4.8 Sub-Themes of Business Results	148
Figure 4.9 Hierarchy of the Criteria, Sub-Criteria and Alternatives	154
Figure 5.1 Breakdown of the stakeholders of Bangladesh Hotel Sector	168
Figure 5.2 A Sample for a Complete Set of Pairwise Comparison Metrices	174
Figure 5.3 PCMs Comprising the Geometric Means of Group Judgements	176

Figure 5.4 Overall Values of Criteria and Sub-Criteria by Stakeholder Groups	180
Figure 5.5 Hierarchical Model for the Criteria and Sub-Criteria in Measuring Performance	181
Figure 5.6 PCMs Derived from Quality Management Experts	195
Figure 5.7 PCMs Derived from Experienced Hotel Guests	200
Figure 5.8 PCMs Derived from Hotel Executives	204
Figure 5.9 PCMs Derived from the Bachelor Respondents	215
Figure 5.10 PCMs Derived from Masters/MBA Respondents	219
Figure 5.11 PCMs Derived from Ph.D. Respondents	223
Figure 5.12 PCMs Derived from the Hotel Executives Having Experiences of Le than 5 Years	ess 233
Figure 5.13 PCMs Resulting from the Hotel Executives Having Experiences of 5 10 Years	5 to 238
Figure 5.14 PCMs Derived from the Hotel Executives Having Experiences of Ab 10 Years	oove 242

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviations	Description
ABEF	Australian Business Excellence Framework
AHP	Analytic Hierarchy Process
ANP	Analytic Network Process
BCPE	Baldrige Criteria for Performance Excellence
BEF	Business Excellence Framework
BEM	Business Excellence Model
CQA	China Quality Award
DEA	Data Envelopment Analysis
DMD	Deputy Managing Director
EFQM	European Foundation for Quality Management
EQÀ	European Quality Award
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GM	General Manager
HKMA	Hong Kong Management Association
IHG	Intercontinental Hotels Group
ILO	International Labour Organisation
INQA	Iran National Quality Award
IPA	Importance-Performance Analysis
ISO	International Organisation for Standardisation
JQA	Japan Quality Award
JUSE	Japanese Union of Scientists and Engineers
KAAE	King Abdullah II Award for Excellence
KPI	Key Performance Indicators
MBNQA	Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award
MPC	Malaysia Productivity Corporation
NHTTI	National Hotel & Tourism Training Institute
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
NPA	National Productivity Award
NPO	National Productivity Organisation
NQA	National Quality Awards
PCM	Pairwise Comparison Matrix
PMQA	Prime Minister's Quality Award
QFD	Quality Function Deployment
QMEA	Quality Management Excellence Award
RGNQA	Rajiv Gandhi National Quality Award
SLSI	Sri Lanka Standards Institution
SQA	Singapore Quality Award
SQAC	Singapore Quality Award Criteria
TNQA	Taiwan National Quality Award
TQA	Thailand Quality Award
TQC	Total Quality Control
TQM	Total Quality Management
WTTC	World Travel and Tourism Council

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

Service sector plays a crucial role in most economies. The sector comprises numerous industries such as tourism, banking, insurance, transportation, hospitality etc. The service industry is distinct from the manufacturing industry. Many service organisations are treated as profit earning business enterprises, among which the hotel industry features prominently (Yang *et al.*, 2011) and is related to the hospitality industry. The hotel industry is, at present, treated as the largest and most rapidly growing industry in the service sector (Mace, 1995). Huge amounts of capital are invested to improve the quality of services in this industry (Fernandez & Bedia, 2005).

Due to increased choice, greater value for money and augmented level of services, hotels face challenges of growing competition. Additionally, there are little differences between one hotel's products and services with that of another. Thus, gaining competitive advantage has become overbearing for the hotel industry. To secure some advantage, the most commonly used strategies followed by hotel authorities are low cost leadership through discounting price and developing customer loyalty by delivering unique services to customers. Hotels that attempt to increase their market share by reducing prices ultimately face the serious risk of negative impact on the medium and long term profitability. Hence, rather than price, quality of service has become the key indicator to a hotel's ability to differentiate itself from its competitors (Pereira-Moliner *et al.*, 2016; Kandampully & Suhartanto, 2000).

Hotel service providers face a manifold of challenges such as high customer demand on quality, and increasing competition for high customer satisfaction and the

demand for full services while ensuring service quality (Ikiz & Masoudi, 2008). Quality is the means by which a company can achieve competitive advantage. It reflects the ability to meet the needs and expectations of customers and all other stakeholders along with community in which a company operates (Tan *et al.*, 2015). Accordingly, quality consciousness is a vital issue to governments and companies. Companies are looking for effective guidance to implement quality programmes. Nations and hotel operators must search for strategic applications to improve the quality of their services.

Considering this, measuring performance is a growing priority for many organisations. To this end, many national and international performance measurement frameworks have been launched. For example, there are more than 95 quality performance awards and excellence frameworks at the international level, with additional national quality awards in 82 countries (www.coer.org.nz.). Most countries established their frameworks for national quality award programmes based on the Deming Prize (DM), International Organisation for Standardisation (ISO), Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award (MBNQA) and European Quality Award (EQA) as their success is remarkable in the industries of their respective countries.

1.2 NEED FOR BUSINESS EXCELLENCE

A business excellence model is a performance measurement framework used to improve the quality of products or services, and increase customer satisfaction and national competitiveness. It helps countries secure a sound position in the competitive market and improve the internal and external environment of the enterprise (Vercic & Zerfass, 2016).