



**ORGANIZATIONAL RESILIENCE  
FOR DISASTER MANAGEMENT**

**BY**

**MAHENDIRA RAJAN A/L VAITILINGGAM**

**A dissertation submitted in fulfillment of the requirement for  
the degree of Doctor of Business Administration**

**Graduate School of Management  
International Islamic University Malaysia**

**JUN 2016**

## ABSTRACT

Organizational disaster resilience (ODR) has been a topic of interest in the last few decades. However, very few published literature strive to extend its capability to predict key determinants of ODR. A possible rationale for this for this gap may be due to the conceptualization of the ODR under which organizational perceptions can only be predicted with two constructs: continuity of operations planning (COOP) and operational drivers for vulnerability management (ODVM). The evidence in literature shows that COOP and ODVM constructs are not sufficient; hence, they may not explain ODR. Therefore, there is need for inclusion of additional factors that can enhance predictions of ODR. Extending research on the organizational resilience, this study developed and tested a model of ODR. The proposed model integrates key constructs from the organizational resilience potential research stream into the theoretical frame of the ODR and other theories from organizational resilience, such as the theories of highly reliability organizations, relative overall resilience and adjusted relative overall resilience. According to the proposed conceptual model, ODR is determined by four determinants; COOP, ODVM, strategic drivers for vulnerability management (SDVM) and adaptive capacities for high reliability organizations (ACHRO). This model was tested on a sample of 455 disaster management practitioners and representatives from various industry sectors in Malaysia. Using structural equation modeling (SEM), data analysis shows considerable support for the extended hypothesized model. The result indicates that, ACHRO explained 51% of the variance in ODR. Multi-group analysis on industry sector was carried to demonstrate applicability of this model to various industry sectors. Finally, this study derives linear regression equation from the model and tested it on organizations in Malaysia which had participated in this study in this study.

## ملخص البحث

أصبحت القدرة التنظيمية على مواجهة الكوارث من الموضوعات المهمة في العقود القليلة المنصرمة. و بالرغم من ذلك، هنالك القليل جدا من البحوث المنشورة في هذا المجال وخاصة التي تسعى لتوسيع قدرتها على التنبؤ بالمحددات الرئيسية لشبكة الاتصالات مباشرة. وقد يكون الأساس المنطقي الممكن لهذا الفجوة البحثية يعود إلى وضع تصور القدرة التنظيمية على مواجهة الكوارث التي يمكن التنبؤ بها فقط مع اثنين من بنيات استمرار عمليات التخطيط وتحريك العمليات التشغيلية بإدارة نقاط الضعف. كما أوضحت الدراسات السابقة ان استمرارية تخطيط العمليات (ODR)، والحركات التشغيلية لإدارة نقاط الضعف (ODVM) ليست كافية. لهذا السبب، هناك حاجة لإدراج العوامل الاضافية التي يمكن أن تعزز التوقعات من استمرارية تخطيط العمليات. لذلك لا بد من توسيع استمرارية تخطيط العمليات حول القدرة على المرونة التنظيمية، لذلك أجريت هذه الدراسة لاختبار القدرة التنظيمية على تصدى الكوارث. النموذج المقترح لتنفيذ الدراسة هو عن طريق دمج اللبنة الأساسية بين القدرة على المرونة التنظيمية لمجابهة الكوارث وإطار نظري آخر لبعض المنظمات مثل الإطار النظري للمكتب الاتحادي للاجئين ونظريات أخرى من المرونة التنظيمية، مثل نظريات المنظمات المعروفة العالمية، بالاضافة للمرونة النسبية الشاملة. وفقا للنموذج النظري المقترح (ODR)، يتم تحديد أربع محددات وهي (COOP) الدافع الإستراتيجي لإدارة نقاط الضعف (SDVM)، وقدرات التكيف للمنظمات ذات المصدقية العالية (ACHRO). هذا النموذج تمّ اختباره بتطبيقه على عينة شملت عدد 455 من المشاركين في الدراسة لإدارة الكوارث علماً بأنهم ممثلين لمختلف القطاعات الصناعية في ماليزيا. أستخدمت نمذجة المعادلة الهيكلية (SEM). وقد أوضح تحليل التباين دعماً كبيراً لنموذج الافتراضات الموسعة. وأوضحت نتيجة قدرات التكيف للمنظمات ذات المصدقية العالية ACHRO أن هنالك 51٪ من التباين في ODR القدرة التنظيمية لتصدى الكوارث. وأجري التحليل مجموعة متعددة على قطاع الصناعة للتحقيق من جدوى تطبيق هذا النموذج على مختلف قطاعات الصناعة. وأخيراً، فإن هذه الدراسة أسهمت في معرفة تحديد معادلة الانحدار الخطي من النموذج المستخدم واختبارها على المنظمات في ماليزيا التي شاركت في هذه الدراسة.

## **APPROVAL PAGE**

The thesis of Mahendira Rajan a/l Vaitilingam has been approved by the following:

---

Professor Datin Dr Junaidah Hashim  
Supervisor  
Kulliyah of Economics and Management Science  
International Islamic University  
Malaysia

---

Professor Dr Rafikul Islam  
Internal Examiner  
Kulliyah of Economics and Management Science  
International Islamic University  
Malaysia

## **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that this dissertation is the result of my own investigations, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

Mahendira Rajan a/l Vaitilinggam

**INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA**

**DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION  
OF  
FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH**

**ORGANIZATIONAL RESILIENCE  
FOR DISASTER MANAGEMENT**

I declare that the copyright holder of this thesis/ dissertation is  
**Mahendra Rajan a/l Vaitilinggam**

Copyright © 2014 Mahendra Rajan a/l Vaitilinggam. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below

1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may only be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purpose.
3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieval system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledged that I have read and understand the IIUM Intellectual Property Right and Commercialization policy.

Affirmed by: **Mahendra Rajan a/l Vaitilinggam**

.....  
Signature

.....  
Date

*I would like to dedicate this thesis to my father, my source of life, love and strength. To see him being happy and proud of his children's achievements are my timeless motivation and source of energy. My father passed on before I could make him happy and proud another one time by completing this study. My mother consoled he is always present in our hearts and to continue achieving and bringing goodness to humanity. This makes my father happy and proud of his children. I am and will remain grateful to my father.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude and thank all who helped and supported me during my doctorate journey.

First of all, I thank my wife, from the core of my heart for giving me practical lessons on resilience. All good and nice things that I have in my life are due to her support and love. Our four children, Gautam, Suhash, Yougan and small one for adding purpose to our lives, which greatly steered us through this journey. My parents, my source of life and strength. My father, his love and happiness are my timeless motivation towards goodness of mankind in all my endeavors. He is always present in my heart. My siblings, for the unfailing confidence that I would breakthrough and increase the family achievement bar.

I am greatly indebted to my supervisors, Dr Nuranisah Abdullah and Datin Prof Dr Junaidah Hashim, for their guidance and valuable advice throughout this research. I am grateful to Dr Abideen Adewalle, my mentor and motivator.

I am greatly indebted to Professor Dr Ariff Zakaullah, for the awakening and call for action. I am extremely thankful for opening the doors of “giving back” from where I had only been taking.

I am sincerely thankful to my most special friends - Hawati, Nabil, Waqas, Ahmed, Amila, and fellow DBA class for their support and encouragement, to complete this study.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract .....	ii
Abstract in Arabic .....	iii
Approval Page.....	iv
Declaration .....	v
Copyright .....	vi
Acknowledgements.....	viii
List of Tables .....	xiii
List of Figures .....	xvi
List of Graphs .....	xviii
List of Equations .....	xix
List of Abbreviations .....	xx
<b>CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction to Organizational Resilience .....	1
1.2 The Importance of Organizational Resilience.....	2
1.3 Measuring Organizational Resilience .....	4
1.4 Problem Statement .....	6
1.5 Research Questions .....	7
1.6 Research Objectives .....	9
1.7 The Significance of this Research.....	9
1.8 Thesis Structure.....	10
<b>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW.....</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1 Introduction .....	13
2.2 Organizational Exploration in the Context of Disaster Literature .....	15
2.3 Systems Theory .....	17
2.4 Disaster Development Phases in Organisations .....	19
2.5 Disaster Response from Organizational Perspective .....	30
2.6 Resilience .....	40
2.7 Disaster Resilience .....	43
2.8 Resilience from Organizational Perspective .....	46
2.9 Organisational Resilience Measurement.....	53
2.10 Anticipation versus Resilience .....	58
2.11 Literature Review Summary and Research Gaps.....	60
<b>CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY .....</b>	<b>66</b>
3.1 Introduction.....	66
3.2 Philosophical Perspectives .....	67
3.2.1 Positivist Approach.....	68
3.2.2 Interpretivist Approach.....	68
3.2.3 Research Approach Adopted in this Study.....	69
3.3 Design of the Study .....	70
3.4 Sampling Strategy .....	72
3.4.1 Population .....	72
3.4.2 Sampling Frame .....	72

3.5	Sampling .....	73
3.5.1	Probability Sampling Techniques .....	73
3.5.2	Non-probability Sampling Methods.....	74
3.6	Sample Size.....	74
3.7	Data Collection Procedure .....	75
3.7.1	Disaster Management Practitioner Focus Group Session.....	77
3.7.2	Survey Questionnaire.....	79
3.7.3	Data Tabulation.....	81
3.7.4	Scale Development .....	82
3.7.5	Operationalisation of Variables .....	86
3.8	Pre-testing and Pilot Study.....	91
3.8.1	Pre-testing the Questionnaire.....	91
3.8.2	Pilot Study.....	92
3.9	Data Analysis .....	95
3.9.1	Preliminary Data Analysis .....	96
3.10	Factor Analysis.....	98
3.10.1	Exploratory Factor Analysis .....	98
3.11	Structure Equation Modeling.....	100
3.11.1	Measurement Model.....	101
3.11.2	Reliability .....	105
3.11.3	Validity.....	106
3.11.4	Structural Model Evaluation and Hypothesis Testing .....	107
3.12	Summary .....	108

**CHAPTER FOUR: RESULT AND DISCUSSION ..... 111**

4.1	Introduction.....	111
4.2	Organizational Resilience Potential Constructs and Items .....	112
4.3	Focus Group Session Results .....	113
4.4	Organizational Disaster Resilience Constructs and Items .....	115
4.5	Model Development and Research Hypotheses.....	116
4.6	Dependent Variable – Organizational Disaster Resilience (ODR) .....	119
4.7	Determinants of Organizational Disaster Resilience .....	123
4.7.1	Continuity Of Operation Planning (COOP).....	123
4.7.2	Operational Drivers for Vulnerability Management (ODVM).....	127
4.7.3	Strategic Drivers for Vulnerability Management (SDVM) .....	131
4.7.4	Adaptive Capacity for High Reliability Organizations (ACHRO).....	134
4.8	Summary .....	138

**CHAPTER FIVE: RESULTS..... 139**

5.1	Response Rate and Non-response Bias .....	139
5.1.1	Response rate .....	139
5.2	Demographic Characteristics of Participants .....	139
5.3	Descriptive Statistics of Construct Items .....	142
5.3.1	Organizational Disaster Resilience.....	142
5.3.2	Continuity Of Operations Planning .....	143

5.3.3	Operational Drivers for Vulnerability Management.....	144
5.3.4	Strategic Drivers for Vulnerability Management .....	145
5.3.5	Adaptive Capacities for High Reliability Organizations .....	145
5.3.6	Descriptive Statistics of Constructs .....	146
5.4	Missing Data and Handling of Missing Data.....	147
5.5	Outliers .....	147
5.6	Data Normality for Individual Item .....	149
5.7	Exploratory Factor Analysis.....	149
5.7.1	KMO and Bartlett’s Test of Sphericity.....	149
5.7.2	Communalities .....	149
5.7.3.	Exploratory Factors Extraction Model .....	150
5.7.4	Loadings of Measured Items on Latent Factor .....	151
5.8	Creation of Constructs.....	152
5.8.1	Organizational Disaster Resilience Construct .....	152
5.8.2	Continuity Of Operations Planning construct.....	153
5.8.3	Operational Drivers for Vulnerability Management construct.....	154
5.8.4	Strategic Drivers for Vulnerability Management construct....	155
5.8.5	Adaptive Capacities for High Reliability Organizations Construct.....	156
5.9	Structural Equation Modeling Analysis.....	157
5.9.1	Measurement Model Specification and CFA Results.....	158
5.9.2	Goodness of Fit Indices .....	158
5.10	Assessment of Reliability and Validity of Constructs .....	165
5.10.1	Reliability of Constructs .....	165
5.10.2	Validity of Constructs .....	166
5.11	Structural Model Evaluation and Hypotheses Testing.....	169
5.12	Modifying Structural Model by Removing Insignificant Paths.....	180
5.13	Multiple Group Analysis for Industry Sector.....	184
5.14	Organizational Disaster Resilience Linear Equation .....	186
5.15	Conclusions .....	190

**CHAPTER SIX: EVALUATING RESILIENCE OF ORGANISATIONS .... 193**

6.1	Organizational Disaster Resilience Model.....	193
6.2	Approaches to Resilience .....	193
6.3	Overall Resilience in Malaysia .....	199
6.3.1	Resilience Strengths in Malaysia.....	203
6.3.2	Resilience Weaknesses in Malaysia.....	204
6.4	Resilience of Industry Sectors in Malaysia.....	206
6.4.1	The Most Resilient Sector – Government.....	206
6.4.2	The Least Resilient Sector – Construction .....	207
6.5	Improving Resilience in Malaysia .....	208
6.6	Leveraging Strengths in Malaysia.....	211
6.7	Summary .....	212

**CHAPTER SEVEN: DISCUSSION.....214**

7.1	Overview of This Research .....	214
7.2	Discussion.....	217

7.2.3	Constructs and Items.....	217
7.2.3.1	Organizational Disaster Resilience.....	217
7.2.3.2	Continuity Of Operations Planning .....	218
7.2.3.3	Operational Drivers for Vulnerability Management .	219
7.2.3.4	Strategic Drivers for Vulnerability Management .....	220
7.2.3.5	Adaptive Capacities of High Reliability Organizations.....	220
7.2.4	Hypotheses Testing.....	221
7.2.4.1	ODR – The Dependent Variable .....	221
7.2.4.2	Relationship of COOP, ODVM, SDVM, ACHRO and ODR .....	
7.2.4.3	Relationship of ODVM, SDVM, ACHRO and ODR.....	228
7.2.4.4	Relationship of SDVM, ACHRO and ODR.....	233
7.2.4.5	Relationship of ACHRO and ODR .....	235
7.3	Multiple Group Analysis.....	237
7.4	Evaluating Disaster Resilience for Organizations in Malaysia.....	238
7.5	Summary .....	239
<b>CHAPTER EIGHT: CONCLUSIONS .....</b>		<b>242</b>
8.1	Implications of Research Findings.....	242
8.1.1	Theoretical Implications .....	243
8.1.2	Managerial Implications .....	244
8.2	Study Contributions .....	246
8.3	Study Limitations .....	248
8.4	Recommendations for Future Research .....	249
8.5	Summary .....	250
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>		<b>254</b>
<b>APPENDICES .....</b>		<b>278</b>
	Appendix 1 Survey Questionnaire .....	278
	Appendix 11 Data Analysis Statistics.....	286

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table No.</b>		<b>Page No.</b>
Table 1.1	Organizational Resilience and Competitive Excellence	6
Table 2.1	The Sequence of Events Associated With a Failure of Foresight	24
Table 2.2	Adaptive Fit and Underlying Assumptions	32
Table 2.3	High Reliability Organizations	38
Table 2.4	Components and Domains of Resilience	46
Table 2.5	Constructs and Items of Organizational Resilience Potential	55
Table 2.6	Summary of Literatures on Organizational Resilience	61
Table 3.1	Research Philosophy, Approach and Strategy of this Study	71
Table 3.2	Objective, Hypotheses, Variables, Relationships, Scales & Questions	83
Table 3.3	Demographic Data of Pilot Study Respondents	93
Table 3.4	Cronbach's Alpha Coefficient for all Constructs in Pilot Study	95
Table 3.5	Goodness of Fit Statistics in SEM	103
Table 3.6	Measurement of Model Estimates	104
Table 4. 1	Constructs and Items of Organizational Resilience Potential	112
Table 4.2	Salient Points from Focus Group Session	113
Table 4.3	Organizational Disaster Resilience Constructs and Items	115
Table 4.4	Items of Organizational Disaster Resilience	121
Table 4.5	Items of Continuity of Operation Planning	124
Table 4.6	Items of Operational Drivers for Vulnerability Management	129

Table 4.7	Items of Strategic Drivers of Vulnerability Management	133
Table 4.8	Items of Adaptive Capacities of High Reliability Organizations	136
Table 4.9	Constructs, Code Name and Hypothesized Relationships	137
Table 5.1	Demographic Characteristics of Survey Respondents	141
Table 5.2	Descriptive Statistics for ODR Items	143
Table 5.3	Descriptive Statistics for COOP Items	144
Table 5.4	Descriptive Statistics for ODVM Items	144
Table 5.5	Descriptive Statistics for SDVM Items	145
Table 5.6	Descriptive Statistics for ACHRO Items	146
Table 5.7	Descriptive Statistics of Latent Constructs	147
Table 5.8	Mahalanobis Distance for Multivariate Outliers	148
Table 5.9	KMO Statistics and Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	149
Table 5.10	Communalities Between Measured Items	150
Table 5.11	Total Number of Factors Extracted in EFA Model	151
Table 5.12	Rotated Component Matrix	151
Table 5.13a	Reliability Statistics of ODR	153
Table 5.13b	Survey Item Statistics of ODR	153
Table 5.14a	Reliability Statistics of COOP	154
Table 5.14b	Survey Item Statistics of COOP	154
Table 5.15a	Reliability Statistics of ODVM	155
Table 5.15b	Survey Item Statistics of ODVM	155
Table 5.16a	Reliability Statistics of SDVM	155
Table 5.16b	Survey Item Statistics of SDVM	156
Table 5.17a	Reliability Statistics of ACHRO	156

Table 5.17b	Survey Item Statistics of ACHRO	157
Table 5.18	Goodness of Fit Statistics for Hypothesized Measurement Model	161
Table 5.19	Goodness of Fit Statistics for Improved Measurement Model	164
Table 5.20	Reliability of Constructs	166
Table 5.21	Convergent Validity of Constructs	167
Table 5.22	Inter-construct Correlations of Constructs	169
Table 5.23	Discriminant Validity of Constructs	169
Table 5.24	Hypotheses Testing and Causal Paths Relationships	170
Table 5.25	Goodness of Fit Statistics for Default Structural Model	172
Table 5.26	Regression Estimates and Critical Ratios of Causal Paths	173
Table 5.27	Hypotheses Test Results	174
Table 5.28	Results of Improved Structural Model	180
Table 5.29	Goodness of Fit Statistics for Improved Structural Model	181
Table 5.30	Results for Multi Group Analysis	185
Table 5.31	Parameter Coefficient Data from Regression Analysis	187
Table 6.1	Descriptive Statistics for ODR Model Constructs' Score	198
Table 6.2	Average Scores for Constructs and Items of ODR	200
Table 6.3	Organizational Resilience Strength Based on Highest Item Score	204
Table 6.4	Organizational Resilience Weakness Based on Lowest Item Score	205
Table 8.1	Summary of Research Implications	242

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Disaster Model	20
Figure 2.2	Onion Model of Disaster Management	25
Figure 2.3	Genesis of Disaster	26
Figure 2.4	Model of Disaster Management	27
Figure 2.5	Integrated Model of Disaster Management	28
Figure 2.6	DRC Typology of Organized Behavior in Disaster	31
Figure 2.7	Organizational Performance at the Edge of Chaos	34
Figure 2.8	Bell Shaped Curve versus the Power Law	35
Figure 2.9	Post Disaster Turnaround Stages	36
Figure 2.10	Stress-strain State Space Analogy	47
Figure 2.11	Organizational Resilience and its Functions	48
Figure 2.12	Integrated Functions Model	49
Figure 2.13	Herringbone Model on Organizational Resilience	50
Figure 2.14	Resilience Strategies Model	55
Figure 3.1	Research Design	79
Figure 3.2	Disaster Management Practitioner Focus Group Process Flow	87
Figure 4.1	Organizational Resilience Potential Model	117
Figure 4.2	Organizational Disaster Resilience Conceptual Model	119
Figure 4.3	Distinction Between Adaptive and Vulnerability in Disaster Context	135
Figure 5.1	Hypothesized Measurement Model Derived From EFA	160
Figure 5.2	Improved Measurement Model	163
Figure 5.3	Hypothesized Structural Model	175



Figure 5.4	Improved Structural Model	182
Figure 5.5	Structural Model With Significant & Insignificant Pathways	183
Figure 5.6	Final Structural Model for ODR	184

## LIST OF GRAPHS

Graph 6.1	Average ODR Score by Industry Sector	194
Graph 6.2	Respondents' ODR Score	196
Graph 6.3	Respondents' COOP Score	196
Graph 6.4	Respondents' ODVM Score	197
Graph 6.5	Respondents' SDVM Score	197
Graph 6.6	Respondents' ACHRO Score	197
Graph 6.7	ODR Performance Band	225

## LIST OF EQUATIONS

Equation 2.1	Power Law on Probability and Characteristic of a Disaster	35
Equation 5.1	Computing Constructs Reliability	165
Equation 5.2	Computing Construct Average Variance Extracted	167
Equation 5.3	ODR Linear Equation	188

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACHRO	Adaptive Capacities for High Reliability Organizations
AMCHAMP	American Chambers of Commerce
AROR	Adjusted Overall Resilience Scale
BCI	Business Continuity Institute
BCM	Business Continuity Management
CFA	Confirmatory Factor Analysis
CFI	Comparative Fit Index
CI	Critical Infrastructure
COOP	Continuity Of Operations Planning
CR	Critical Ratio
DID	Drainage and Irrigation Department
DRC	Disaster Recovery Centre
DRM	Disaster Risk Management
EFA	Exploratory Factor Analysis
EMS	Environmental Management System
FA	Factor Analysis
FMM	Federation of Malaysian Manufacturers
GFI	Goodness of Fit Index
ILI	Incremental Fit Index
KPI	Key Performance Index
MCEER	Multidisciplinary Centre for Earthquake Engineering
MEF	Malaysian Employers Federation

MIHA	Malaysian Industrial Hygiene Association
MOSHPA	Malaysian Occupational Safety and Health Practitioners Association
MRT	Monorail Transit System
MSOSH	Malaysian Society for Occupational Safety and Health
NAT	Normal Accident Theory
NFI	Normed Fit Index
NGO	Non Governmental Organizational
ODR	Organizational Disaster Resilience
ODVM	Operation Drivers for Vulnerability Management
OHSAS	Occupational Safety and Health Assessment Systems
ORPS	Organizational Resilience Potential Scale
QMS	Quality Management System
RMR	Root Mean Square
RMSEA	Root Mean Square Error Approximation
ROR	Relative Overall Resilience
SDVM	Strategic Drivers for Vulnerability Management
SEM	Structural Equation Modeling
TLI	Tucker Lewis Fit Index

# **CHAPTER ONE**

## **INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 INTRODUCTION TO ORGANIZATIONAL RESILIENCE**

Globalization in tandem with development in technology has resulted in exposure to risks being far more widespread. Disasters can spread beyond borders and have devastation capacity to affect across regions. No particular country or community is protected from this impetus. A disaster affecting Malaysia, ie flood, could result in loss of valuable lives and properties and resulting in interruption to basic amenities such as electrical, water, sanitary, health care, education and etc. In this respect, country and community resilient plays a vital role to manage the impact of the disasters and get back to life as usual or better.

There is link between organizational and community resilience. This is evident from life critical services, ie electricity, water, gas, health care, education and others that are provided by the organizations. These services are vital for the continuity of community. In short, organizational resilience is fundamental needs to achieve community resilient. Resilient organizations have strong and effective foundation to support community needs in times of disaster.

Furthermore, it is evident that resilient organizations also strive better in the current competitive environment. Factors that drive resilience in organizations, ie pragmatic planning, vulnerability management and adaptive capacities are also the same factors that drive competitiveness in organizations. Hence, organizational resilience nurtures competitive characteristic which ensures survival and capability to strive in the current challenging business environment.

On the contrary, although the benefits of being a resilient organization are evident, many organizations have difficulties to give importance to resilience and to connect resilience to emergency preparedness and business interruption during normal business phase. Despite the acknowledgement from many business leaders on the vitality of organizational resilience, it remains to be accorded its deserved priority and competes to obtain the required resources. Operational and bottom line needs often supersede over organizational resilience, especially to obtain the required funding to be implemented. Organizations in Malaysia are not spared from this dilemma especially in the current challenging business environment. Top tier organizations are occupied in getting their organizations to the next level whereas the struggling organizations are too busy to spare any resources towards organizational resilience.

It is important that the current business environment is analysed from a pragmatic angle. Besides establishing the relationship between organizational resilience and competitiveness, it is vital to be able to measure and gauge organizational resilience. The ground rule in business remains what gets measured gets done. Further to this, organizations need tools that will enable measuring organizational resilience and profiling progress. In short, resilience measurement will enable organizations to gauge its plans and determine their status. With this data, organizations are equipped to review and determine if their strategies are appropriate or change if deemed necessary to remain competitive and thrive ahead. This is indeed the much needed business tool and a relevant business case not to be missed.

## **1.2 THE IMPORTANCE OF ORGANIZATIONAL RESILIENCE**

Mitroff reviewed trends in disasters and highlighted the increase in the number of disasters (Mitroff, 2005) in the years before 2001. The main contributors were social

and high technology and points that disasters are integral to our current environment and challenges. Further to this, reliance on complex system and high technology has increased our vulnerability towards disasters (McManus, Seville, Vargo, & Brunson, 2008). Current disasters are complicated in nature and are as globalized as any other aspects of human activities (Boin & Lagadec, 2000).

Organizational resilience is a dynamic goal and are relevant and required both during normal times as well as disaster events (Mitroff, 2005). Due to this, ability to manage current challengers requires highly reliable organizations (Weick & Sutcliffe, 2007) to be endowed with superior tactical competencies (Durodie, 2003). Organizational resilience enables organizations to stay in business and grow in the face of disaster (Seville, Brunson, Dantas, Le Masurier, Wilkinson, & Vargo, 2008). Organizational resilience is critical as community and organizational resilience are complementing and supporting each other (Dalziell & McManus, 2004), and being a competitive organization to thrive in the current business environment (Parsons, 2007).

Historical data provides evidence that communities benefit tremendously from resilient organizations (Chang & Chamberlin, 2003). In essence, resilient organizations support community to bounce back in event of a disaster (McManus, Seville, Vargo, & Brunson, 2008). Buckle commented organizations demonstrate degree of resilience from social perspective (Buckle, 2006). McManus et al. argued people insist on organizations to embody strong reliability characteristics and leaders have the competency to manage both disasters which can be predicted and those that cannot be predicted (McManus, Seville, Vargo, & Brunson, 2008). Coleman sharply pointed out that in Australia a quarter of the organizations cease to exist in the aftermath of a disaster (Coleman, 2004).