COPYRIGHT<sup>©</sup>INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

# ECONOMIC ROLE OF ZAKAT IN REDUCING POVERTY AND INCOME INEQUALITY IN THE PROVINCE OF DKI JAKARTA, INDONESIA: CASE STUDY OF THE GOVERNMENT BOARD OF ZAKAT AND DOMPET DHUAFA REPUBLIKA

## BY

# IRFAN SYAUQI BEIK

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Economics

Kulliyyah of Economics and Management Sciences International Islamic University Malaysia

OCTOBER 2010

#### ABSTRACT

Poverty and income inequality are still the major problems faced by Indonesia. Some scholars argue that economic development in the country had created a trade-off between economic growth and distribution. Therefore, the number of the poor for the last two decades did not fall significantly. Likewise, income inequality in the country tends to worsen. The Central Board of Statistics (2008) found that the gap between the rich and the poor is widened for the last decade. Given these facts, policies for alleviating poverty and reducing income inequality must be evaluated. The conventional distribution approach seems to fail in overcoming these two problems. Hence, the introduction of zakat mechanism is highly needed as an alternative approach to solve the problems. This research attempts to examine the economic role of zakat in reducing income inequality and poverty in the country, by taking a case study in the Province of DKI Jakarta. Three zakat institutions namely, the National Board of Zakat (BAZNAS), the Jakarta Board of Zakat (BAZIS DKI) and Dompet Dhuafa Republika, have been selected to represent the government as well as the private institution of zakat. A number of well-known inequality and poverty measures have been utilized including Lorenz curve, Gini coefficient, Atkinson index, headcount ratio, poverty gap and income gap ratios, Sen index, and FGT index. These comprise the analytical method of the study. After analyzing 1,195 households as the respondents of the research obtained from simple random sampling technique, this study finds that zakat fund has increased monthly income of the households by 8.94 percent. The income share of the bottom 40 percent of the respondents can be increased by 1.90 percent while that of the top 20 percent can be reduced by 2.20 percent. In general, the presence of zakat helps lower the income inequality by an average of 0.57 percentage points. However, when social welfare aspect is taken into consideration, the findings suggest that the presence of zakat has worsened the income loss of the respondents by an average of 12 percentage points. This contrasts the theoretical expectation. Furthermore, the existence of zakat is able to decrease the poverty incidence by an average of 16.79 percentage points. The depth of poverty can be reduced as the poverty gap and the income gap show the reductions of 13.90 percent and 13.72 percentage points, respectively. Similarly, the severity of poverty can also be lowered as evidenced from a 26.69 percentage points increase in the Sen index and a 36.70 percentage points increase in the FGT index. Given these findings, this study urges the government to integrate zakat in the national economic policy.

### ملخص البحث

إن قضية الفقر وعدم المساواة في الدخل لا تزال تمثل المشاكل الرئيسية التي تواجهها إندونيسيا. وبعض الخبراء برى أن التنمية الاقتصادية في البلاد قد أنتجت مقايضة بين النمو الاقتصادي والتوزيع. ولذلك، فإن عدد الفقراء في العقدين الماضبين لا ينقص بشكل كبير. فضلاً عن ذلك، فإن عدم المساواة في الدخل في البلد يميل إلى حالة التدهور. ووجد المجلس المركزي للإحصاء في إندونيسيا (2008) أن الفجوة بين الأغنياء والفقراء قد اتسعت على مدى العقد الماضي. ونظرًا لهذه الحقائق، فإنه لا بد من عملية التقييم والمراجعة لسياسات تخفيف الفقر والحد من عدم المساواة في الدخل ويبدو أن نهج التوزيع التقليدي قد فشل في التغلب على هاتين المشكلتين. وبالتالي، فإن هناك حاجة ماسّة لإدخال آلية الزكاة بوصفها نهجاً بديلاً لحل تلك المشاكل. وهذه الدراسة تحاول أن تتناول الدور الاقتصادي للزكاة في الحد من عدم المساواة في الدخل والفقر في إندونيسيا، ويتم ذلك عن طريق اتخاذ دراسة حالة في منطقة جاكرتا العاصمة الخاصة (DKI). علماً بأن فيها ثلاث مؤسسات زكوية، وهي: المجلس الوطني للزكاة (BAZNAS) تحت إشراف الحكومة، ومجلس جاكرتا للزكاة (BAZIS DKI) تحت إشراف إدارة حكومية لمنطقة جاكرتا، ومؤسسة Dompet Dhuafa للزكاة التابعة لمؤسسة Republika، تلك الهيئات الثلات تم اختيار ها لتمثل مؤسسة زكوية للحكومة ومؤسسة زكوية أهلية. وقد تم استخدام عدد من المقابيس المعروفة في الإحصاء بما في ذلك مقياس منحني للوُرْ نْز Lorenz، ومعَامِل جيني Gini، ومُؤشِّر أتكينسون Atkinson، ونسبة عدد الموظفين، وفجوة الفقر ونسب الفجوة في الدخل، مؤشر سبن Sen، ومؤشر FGT، فالدراسة تستخدم هذه الطريقة في التحليل. وبعد التحليل من مجموع استبانات تم توزيعها على 1,195 من الأُسَر والمستجيبين لأبحاث تقنية بسيطة من عينات عشو إئية، فقد كشفت الدراسة عن أن صندوقَ الزكاة قد أثر في ازدياد الدخل الشهري للأسرة من 8،94 في المائة، كما أنها كشفت عن زيادة حصة الدخل من 40 في المائة من المجيبين أسفل من 1، 90 في المائة في حين يمكن أن تخفض من 20 في المائة أعلى من 2،20 في المائة. و على وجه العموم، فإن وجود الزكاة قد ساعد على خفض التفاوت في الدخل بمعدل 0،57 نقطة مئوية. ومع ذلك، عندما أخذ الجانب الاجتماعي في الاعتبار، فإن النتائج تشير إلى أن وجود الزكاة قد أثَّرَ في تفاقم فقدان الدخل من المشاركين بمعدل 12 نقطة مئوية، وهذه النتيجة في الحقيقة تتناقض مع التوقع النظري. وإضافةً إلى ذلك، فإن وجود تلك المؤسسات الزكوية قد أسهمت في خفض نسبة. الفقر بمعدل 79،16 نقطة مئوية. ويمكن تخفيض عمق الفقر وعدم المساواة في الدخل إلى حدود 13، 90 في المائة و13.72 نقطة مئوية على التوالي. وكذلك، يتجلى أيضًا من خلال الدراسة أن شدة الفقر يمكن أن تخفض من نسبة الزيادة 26.69 نقطة كما يتضح في مؤشر Sen وزيادة 36، 70 نقطة مئوية في مؤشر FGT. ونظراً لهذه النتائج، فإن هذه الدراسة تحث الحكومة على إدماج الزكاة ضمن قوانين السياسة الاقتصادية الوطنية

### **APPROVAL PAGE**

The dissertation of Irfan Syauqi Beik has been approved by the following:

Ataul Huq Pramanik Supervisor

> Rafikul Islam Co-supervisor

Nasim Shah Shirazi Internal Examiner

Chamhuri Siwar External Examiner

Nasr Eldin Ibrahim Ahmad Hussein Chair person

### DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this dissertation is the result of my own investigations, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

Irfan Syauqi Beik

Signature .....

Date .....

## INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

## DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH

Copyright © 2010. by Irfan Syauqi Beik. All right reserved.

#### ECONOMIC ROLE OF ZAKAT IN REDUCING POVERTY AND INCOME INEQUALITY IN THE PROVINCE OF DKI JAKARTA, INDONESIA: CASE STUDY OF THE GOVERNMENT BOARD OF ZAKAT AND DOMPET DHUAFA REPUBLIKA

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without the prior written permission of the copyright holder except provided below.

- 1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may only be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
- 2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
- 3. the IIUM library will have the right to make store in a retrieval system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

Affirmed by Irfan Syauqi Beik

Signature

Date

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my heartfelt gratefulness to the Almighty Allah, because of His mercy, this dissertation has been completed. I also would like to express my sincere thankfulness to those who have provided invaluable assistance to me in the completion process of the dissertation.

My first gratitude goes to the supervisory committee comprising Prof Dr Ataul Huq Pramanik as main supervisor and Prof Dr Rafikul Islam as co-supervisor. Their sincere guidance, comments and supervision are very useful. I also would like to thank Assoc Prof Dr Ugi Suharto, my former co-supervisor, who has given useful suggestions prior to his departure to Bahrain and United Kingdom.

My second gratitude goes to Prof Dr Nasim Shah Shirazi (internal examiner) and Prof Chamhuri Siwar (external examiner), who have provided very useful comments and suggestions for quality enhancement of the dissertation. My sincere thanks also goes to the Rector and Deputy Rectors, Dean and Deputy Deans of the Centre for Postgraduate Studies, Dean and Deputy Deans of the Kulliyyah of Economics and Management Sciences, Head of the Department of Economics, and all personnel of the secretariat in each office.

The role of the resourced persons and institutions are worth mentioning. I would like to thank Prof Dato' Dr Jamil Othman and Dr Patmawati Hj Ibrahim, who shared very useful ideas for the dissertation. My deep thankfulness also goes to the Library of the IIUM, which has provided unlimited sources of reference, and to the zakat institutions, which have provided all the data needed including the database of their zakat beneficiaries. These institutions are the National Board of Zakat of Indonesia, the Jakarta Board of Zakat, and the Dompet Dhuafa Republika. Without their sincere assistance, this research may not be accomplished.

I also would like to express my sincere gratefulness to my wife, Laily Dwi Arsyianti, who has accompanied me during the entire process tirelessly; my parents, Prof Dr Hj Didin Hafidhuddin and Hjh Nining Suningsih; and my parents in law, Hj Aba Muhabah Tanuwijaya and Hjh Yetti Supriati Subandi. Also to my brothers and sisters including Hilman Hakiem, Desi Silfia Ratih Waluyo, Muhammad Imaduddin, Adisti Bintang Azizah, Fithriyyah Shalihati, Qurroh Ayuniyyah, Medi Arif Humaidi, Nur Intan, and Betti Astriani. Their endless love and support have strongly encouraged me to finish this study.

Last, but not least, I would like to extend my deep thankfulness to the Bogor Agricultural University of Indonesia, the Ministry of National Education of Indonesia, Dr Raditya Sukmana, Dr Teddy Surya Gunawan, Muhamad Abduh, and my other friends and colleagues, who may not be able to be mentioned in this acknowledgement. May Allah SWT reward all of them and record their contribution as pious deed.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Abstract	11
Abstract in Arabic	iii
Approval Page	iv
Declaration Page	V
Copyright Page	vi
Acknowledgements	vii
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	xviii
List of Abbreviation	XX

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background of the Study	
1.2 Statement of the Problem	9
1.3 Objectives of the Study	
1.4 Scope and Limitation of the Study	
1.5 Significances of the Study	
1.6 Hypotheses	
1.7 Organization of Dissertation	
1.8 Summary of the Chapter	

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Introduction	
2.2 Basic Concept of Poverty	
2.3 Basic Concept of Inequality	
2.4 Basic Issues of Zakat	
2.5 Zakat, Poverty, and Income Inequality	
2.6 Empirical Studies on Zakat	
2.7 Summary of the Chapter	

CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY OF RESEARCH	
3.1 Introduction	
3.2 Selection of Research Area and Zakat Institution	52
3.3 Sources of Data	54
3.3.1. Secondary Data Collection	54
3.3.2. Primary Data Collection	55
3.3.2.1. Selection of Sample	55
3.3.2.2. Field Survey	
3.3.2.3. Questionnaire	57
3.4. Analytical Method	58

3.4.1. Lorenz Curve and Gini Coefficient	
3.4.2. Atkinson Index	
3.4.3. Headcount Ratio	
3.4.4. Poverty Gap	
3.4.5. Income Gap Ratio	
3.4.6. Sen index	
3.4.7. FGT index	
3.5 Summary of the Chapter	

#### CHAPTER FOUR: OVERVIEW ON THE BACKGROUND OF THE PROVINCE OF DKI JAKARTA .....

E PROVINCE OF DKI JAKARTA	
4.1 Introduction	72
4.2 General Background of the Province of DKI Jakarta	
4.2.1. Geography	72
4.2.2. Demography	74
4.2.3. Selected Economic Indicator	
4.3 Income Inequality in DKI Jakarta	80
4.4 Poverty in DKI Jakarta	83
4.5 Poverty and Inequality Reduction Policy in DKI Jakarta	88
4.6 Summary of the Chapter	93

## CHAPTER FIVE: ZAKAT DEVELOPMENT IN INDONESIA AND

PROFILE OF THE SELECTED ZAKAT INSTITUTIONS	
5.1 Introduction	
5.2 Brief History of Zakat Development in Indonesia	
5.3. Profile of the National Board of Zakat	
5.3.1 Brief History and Legal Foundation	
5.3.2 Vision and Mission	101
5.3.3 Organizational Structure	103
5.3.4 Zakat Programmes	
5.4. Profile of the Jakarta Board of Zakat	
5.4.1 Brief History and Legal Foundation	
5.4.2 Vision and Mission	110
5.4.3 Organizational Structure	111
5.4.4 Zakat Programmes	
5.5. Profile of the Dompet Dhuafa Republika	115
5.5.1 Brief History and Legal Foundation	115
5.5.2 Vision and Mission	117
5.5.3 Organizational Structure	118
5.5.4 Zakat Programmes	
5.6 Summary of the Chapter	122

CHAPTER SIX: POVERTY ANALYSIS	124
6.1 Introduction	124
6.2 Demographic Analysis	124
6.2.1 Respondent Profile based on Gender and Age of the	

<ul> <li>6.2.2 Respondent Profile based on Marital Status and Household Size</li></ul>
Size 6.2.3 Respondent Profile based on Level of Education and Types of Jobs
<ul> <li>6.2.3 Respondent Profile based on Level of Education and Types of Jobs</li></ul>
Jobs 6.2.4 Geographical Distribution of the Respondents
<ul> <li>6.2.4 Geographical Distribution of the Respondents</li> <li>6.3 Data Analysis and Empirical Results</li> <li>6.4 General Poverty Analysis in the Province of DKI Jakarta</li> <li>6.4.1 Poverty Analysis based on Gender of the Household Heads</li> <li>6.4.2 Poverty Analysis based on Marital Status of</li> <li>the Household Heads</li> <li>6.4.3 Poverty Analysis based on the Age of the Household Heads</li> <li>6.4.4 Poverty Analysis based on Education Level of</li> <li>the Household Heads</li> <li>6.4.5 Poverty Analysis based on Types of Jobs of</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6.3 Data Analysis and Empirical Results</li></ul>
<ul> <li>6.4 General Poverty Analysis in the Province of DKI Jakarta</li></ul>
<ul> <li>6.4.1 Poverty Analysis based on Gender of the Household Heads</li> <li>6.4.2 Poverty Analysis based on Marital Status of the Household Heads</li></ul>
<ul> <li>6.4.2 Poverty Analysis based on Marital Status of</li> <li>the Household Heads</li></ul>
the Household Heads 6.4.3 Poverty Analysis based on the Age of the Household Heads 1 6.4.4 Poverty Analysis based on Education Level of the Household Heads 6.4.5 Poverty Analysis based on Types of Jobs of the Household Heads
<ul> <li>6.4.3 Poverty Analysis based on the Age of the Household Heads 1</li> <li>6.4.4 Poverty Analysis based on Education Level of the Household Heads</li></ul>
<ul> <li>6.4.4 Poverty Analysis based on Education Level of the Household Heads</li> <li>6.4.5 Poverty Analysis based on Types of Jobs of the Household Heads</li> </ul>
the Household Heads 6.4.5 Poverty Analysis based on Types of Jobs of the Household Heads
6.4.5 Poverty Analysis based on Types of Jobs of the Hausehold Heads
the Household Heads
the Household Heads
6.4.6 Poverty Analysis based on Household Size
6.4.7 Poverty Analysis based on Geographical Distribution
6.4.8 Poverty Analysis based on Types of Programmes
6.4.9 Poverty Analysis based on Amil Institutions
6.5 Poverty Map
6.6 Summary of the Chapter
TED SEVEN. INCOME INFOLIATITY ANALYSIS
I F.K. SH.V.H.N. IINU UJIVIH. IINHUJI JALJI I Y. AINALYSIS
7 1 Introduction
7.1 Introduction
7.1 Introduction
<ul> <li>7.1 Introduction</li> <li>7.2 General Income Inequality Analysis in the</li> <li>Province of DKI Jakarta</li> <li>7.2 1 Inequality Analysis based on Gender of the Household Heads</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>7.1 Introduction</li> <li>7.2 General Income Inequality Analysis in the</li> <li>Province of DKI Jakarta</li> <li>7.2.1 Inequality Analysis based on Gender of the Household Heads.</li> <li>7.2.2 Inequality Analysis based on Marital Status of</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>7.1 Introduction</li> <li>7.2 General Income Inequality Analysis in the</li> <li>Province of DKI Jakarta</li> <li>7.2.1 Inequality Analysis based on Gender of the Household Heads</li> <li>7.2.2 Inequality Analysis based on Marital Status of</li> <li>the Household Heads</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>7.1 Introduction</li> <li>7.2 General Income Inequality Analysis in the</li> <li>Province of DKI Jakarta</li> <li>7.2.1 Inequality Analysis based on Gender of the Household Heads.</li> <li>7.2.2 Inequality Analysis based on Marital Status of</li> <li>the Household Heads</li> <li>7.2.3 Inequality Analysis based on the Age of the Household Heads.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>7.1 Introduction</li></ul>
<ul> <li>7.1 Introduction</li></ul>
7.1 Introduction       1         7.2 General Income Inequality Analysis in the       1         7.2 General Income Inequality Analysis in the       1         7.2.1 Inequality Analysis based on Gender of the Household Heads       1         7.2.2 Inequality Analysis based on Marital Status of       1         the Household Heads       1         7.2.3 Inequality Analysis based on the Age of the Household Heads       1         7.2.4 Inequality Analysis based on Education Level of       1         the Household Heads       1         7.2.5 Inequality Analysis based on Types of Jobs of       1
<ul> <li>7.1 Introduction</li></ul>
7.1 Introduction       1         7.2 General Income Inequality Analysis in the       1         Province of DKI Jakarta       1         7.2.1 Inequality Analysis based on Gender of the Household Heads       1         7.2.2 Inequality Analysis based on Marital Status of       1         the Household Heads       1         7.2.3 Inequality Analysis based on the Age of the Household Heads       1         7.2.4 Inequality Analysis based on Education Level of       1         the Household Heads       1         7.2.5 Inequality Analysis based on Types of Jobs of       1         7.2.6 Inequality Analysis based on Household Size       1
7.1 Introduction       1         7.2 General Income Inequality Analysis in the       1         7.2 General Income Inequality Analysis in the       1         7.2.1 Inequality Analysis based on Gender of the Household Heads       1         7.2.2 Inequality Analysis based on Marital Status of       1         7.2.3 Inequality Analysis based on the Age of the Household Heads       1         7.2.4 Inequality Analysis based on Education Level of       1         7.2.5 Inequality Analysis based on Types of Jobs of       1         7.2.6 Inequality Analysis based on Household Size       1         7.2.7 Inequality Analysis based on Geographical Distribution       1
7.1 Introduction       1         7.2 General Income Inequality Analysis in the       1         7.2.1 Inequality Analysis based on Gender of the Household Heads       1         7.2.2 Inequality Analysis based on Marital Status of       1         7.2.3 Inequality Analysis based on the Age of the Household Heads       1         7.2.4 Inequality Analysis based on Education Level of       1         7.2.5 Inequality Analysis based on Types of Jobs of       1         7.2.6 Inequality Analysis based on Household Size       1         7.2.7 Inequality Analysis based on Geographical Distribution       1         7.2.8 Inequality Analysis based on Types of Programmes       1
7.1 Introduction       1         7.2 General Income Inequality Analysis in the       1         7.2.1 Inequality Analysis based on Gender of the Household Heads       1         7.2.2 Inequality Analysis based on Marital Status of       1         7.2.3 Inequality Analysis based on the Age of the Household Heads       1         7.2.4 Inequality Analysis based on Education Level of       1         7.2.5 Inequality Analysis based on Types of Jobs of       1         7.2.6 Inequality Analysis based on Household Size       1         7.2.7 Inequality Analysis based on Geographical Distribution       1         7.2.8 Inequality Analysis based on Types of Programmes       1         7.2.9 Inequality Analysis based on Types of Programmes       1
7.1 Introduction       1         7.2 General Income Inequality Analysis in the       1         7.2 General Income Inequality Analysis in the       1         7.2.1 Inequality Analysis based on Gender of the Household Heads       1         7.2.2 Inequality Analysis based on Marital Status of       1         7.2.3 Inequality Analysis based on the Age of the Household Heads       1         7.2.4 Inequality Analysis based on Education Level of       1         the Household Heads       1         7.2.5 Inequality Analysis based on Types of Jobs of       1         7.2.6 Inequality Analysis based on Household Size       1         7.2.7 Inequality Analysis based on Types of Programmes       2         7.2.8 Inequality Analysis based on Types of Programmes       2         7.2.9 Inequality Analysis based on Amil Institutions       2         7.3 Inequality Map       1
7.1 Introduction       1         7.2 General Income Inequality Analysis in the       1         7.2.1 Inequality Analysis based on Gender of the Household Heads       1         7.2.2 Inequality Analysis based on Marital Status of       1         7.2.3 Inequality Analysis based on the Age of the Household Heads       1         7.2.4 Inequality Analysis based on Education Level of       1         7.2.5 Inequality Analysis based on Types of Jobs of       1         7.2.6 Inequality Analysis based on Geographical Distribution       2         7.2.7 Inequality Analysis based on Types of Programmes       2         7.2.8 Inequality Analysis based on Types of Programmes       2         7.2.9 Inequality Analysis based on Amil Institutions       2         7.3 Inequality Map       2         7.4 Performance Comparison of Amil Institutions       2

CHAPTER EIGHT: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION .	
8.1 Introduction	
8.2 Summary of Findings	
8.3 Policy Recommendation	251

8.4 Future A	rea of Research	 
BIBLIOGRAPHY	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	 

APPENDIX A	
APPENDIX B	
APPENDIX C	
APPENDIX D	

## LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Pa	<u>ge No.</u>
1.1	The Number and the Percentage of Poor People in Indonesia	2
1.2	Gini Ratio in Indonesia based on Areas 1996-2007	4
4.1	Total Population of DKI Jakarta 2004-2008	74
4.2	Economic Growth of the Province of DKI Jakarta with and without Oil and Gas 2004-2007	75
4.3	Contribution of Various Economic Sectors towards Real GDP of DKI Jakarta 2004-2007	f 76
4.4	Inflation Rate in the Province of DKI Jakarta 2004-2008	78
4.5	Unemployment Rate in the Province of DKI Jakarta 2004-2007	78
4.6	Monthly Minimum Wage in DKI Jakarta 2004-2008	79
4.7	Annual per Capita Income of the Province of DKI Jakarta 2004-2007	79
4.8	Income Distribution among Three Groups of Population in the Province of DKI Jakarta (1990, 2000-2006)	81
4.9	Gini Ratio of the Province of DKI Jakarta (1990, 2000-2007)	82
4.10	Poverty Line per Capita in DKI Jakarta 2006-2007	83
4.11	Number and Percentage of the Poor in DKI Jakarta 1999-2007	84
4.12	Number and Percentage of the Poor based on Gender of the Household Head in DKI Jakarta 2000-2006	85
4.13	Number and Percentage of the Poor based on Education of the Household Head in DKI Jakarta 2000-2006	86
4.14	Number and Percentage of the Poor based on Job Types of the Household Head in DKI Jakarta 2000-2006	88
5.1	National Zakat, Infak, and Sadaqah (ZIS) Collection 2002-2008	105

5.2	Collection of Zakat, Infak, and Sadaqah (ZIS) BAZIS DKI Jakarta 2002-2008	113
5.3	Collection of Zakat, Infak, and Sadaqah (ZIS) Dompet Dhuafa Republika 2002-2007	120
6.1	Respondent Profile based on Gender and Age	125
6.2	Respondent Profile based on Marital Status and Family Size	126
6.3	Respondent Profile based on Level of Education and Types of Jobs	127
6.4	Respondent Profile based on District	128
6.5	Changes in the Aggregate Monthly Income of the Respondents with and without Zakat Distribution based on District in Jakarta	129
6.6	Poverty Analysis in the Province of DKI Jakarta	130
6.7	Poverty Analysis based on Gender of Household Head	133
6.8	Poverty Analysis based on Marital Status of Household Head	135
6.9	Poverty Analysis based on Age Group of Household Head	137
6.10	Poverty Analysis based on Education Level of Household Head	140
6.11	Poverty Analysis based on Job Types of Household Head	143
6.12	Poverty Analysis based on Household Size	146
6.13	Poverty Analysis based on Geographical Distribution	148
6.14	Poverty Analysis based on Types of Zakat Programmes	151
6.15	Poverty Analysis based on Amil Institution	153
6.16	Jakarta Poverty Map with and without Zakat Distribution	156
7.1	Size Distribution of Household Income with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	160
7.2	Gini Coefficient with and without Zakat Distribution in Jakarta	162
7.3	Atkinson Index of Inequality: With and Without Zakat Distribution	162

7.4	Size of Monthly Income of the Male-Headed Households with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	165
7.5	Size of Monthly Income of the Female-Headed Households with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	167
7.6	Gini Coefficient based Gender of the Household Head	168
7.7	Atkinson Index of Inequality based on Gender of Household Head	169
7.8	Size of Monthly Income of the Single-Headed Households with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	171
7.9	Size of Monthly Income of the Married-Headed Households with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	172
7.10	Size of Monthly Income of the Widow/Divorced-Headed Households with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	174
7.11	Gini Coefficient based on the Marital Status of the Household Head	176
7.12	Atkinson Index of Inequality based on Marital Status of the Household Head	177
7.13	Size of Monthly Income of the Age Group 17-30 of the Household Heads with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	178
7.14	Size of Monthly Income of the Age Group 31-45 of the Household Heads with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	180
7.15	Size of Monthly Income of the Age Group 46-60 of the Household Heads with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	182
7.16	Size of Monthly Income of the Age Group above 60 of the Household Heads with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	184
7.17	Gini Coefficient based on the Age Group of the Household	

	Head	185
7.18	Atkinson Index of Inequality based on the Age Group of the Household Head	186
7.19	Size of Monthly Income of the Household Heads who receive Informal Education with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	188
7.20	Size of Monthly Income of the Elementary School Graduates-Headed Households with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	189
7.21	Size of Monthly Income of the Junior High School Graduates-Headed Households with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	191
7.22	Size of Monthly Income of the Senior High School Graduates-Headed Households with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	193
7.23	Size of Monthly Income of the Diploma/Bachelor Graduates-Headed Households with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	195
7.24	Gini Coefficient based on the Education Level of the Household Head	196
7.25	Atkinson Index of Inequality based on Education Level of the Household Head	197
7.26	Size of Monthly Income of the Unemployed-Headed Household with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	199
7.27	Size of Monthly Income of the Household Mother-Headed Household with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	201
7.28	Size of Monthly Income of the Entrepreneur-Headed Household with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	202
7.29	Size of Monthly Income of the Employee-Headed Household with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	204
7.30	Size of Monthly Income of the Other Jobs-Headed Household with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province	

	of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	206
7.31	Gini Coefficient based on the Types of Jobs of the Household Head	207
7.32	Atkinson Index of Inequality based on Types of Jobs of the Household Head	208
7.33	Size of Monthly Income of the Household Size of 1-3 with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	210
7.34	Size of Monthly Income of the Household Size of 4-6 with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	212
7.35	Size of Monthly Income of the Household Size of 7 and above with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	213
7.36	Gini Coefficient based on the Household Size	214
7.37	Atkinson Index of Inequality based on the Household Size	215
7.38	Size of Monthly Income of the Respondents with and without Zakat Distribution in North Jakarta and Kepulauan Seribu based on Decile	217
7.39	Size of Monthly Income of the Respondents with and without Zakat Distribution in the South Jakarta based on Decile	218
7.40	Size of Monthly Income of the Respondents with and without Zakat Distribution in the West Jakarta based on Decile	220
7.41	Size of Monthly Income of the Respondents with and without Zakat Distribution in the East Jakarta based on Decile	222
7.42	Size of Monthly Income of the Respondents with and without Zakat Distribution in the Central Jakarta based on Decile	223
7.43	Gini Coefficient based on Geographical Distribution	225
7.44	Atkinson Index of Inequality based on Geographical Distribution	n 225
7.45	Size of Monthly Income of the Consumption-based Zakat Recipients with and without Zakat Distribution based on Decile	227
7.46	Size of Monthly Income of the Productive-based Zakat Recipients with and without Zakat Distribution based on Decile	229

7.47	Gini Coefficient based on Types of Zakat Programmes	230
7.48	Atkinson Index of Inequality based on Types of Zakat Programmes	231
7.49	Size of Monthly Income of the Zakat Recipients of BAZNAS with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	233
7.50	Size of Monthly Income of the Zakat Recipients of BAZIS DKI with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	234
7.51	Size of Monthly Income of the Zakat Recipients of DDR with and without Zakat Distribution in the Province of DKI Jakarta based on Decile	236
7.52	Gini Coefficient based on Amil Institutions	237
7.53	Atkinson Index of Inequality based on Amil Institutions	237
7.54	Jakarta Inequality Map with and without Zakat Distribution	239

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure No.		Page No.
3.1	Composition of Research Samples	56
5.1	Organizational Structure of BAZNAS	103
5.2	Organizational Structure of BAZIS DKI	112
5.3	Organizational Structure of Dompet Dhuafa Republika	117
6.1	Changes in Monthly Income of the Respondents with and without Zakat Distribution based on Districts in Jakarta	129
7.1	Lorenz Curve with and without Zakat Distribution	161
7.2	Lorenz Curve for Male-Headed Households	166
7.3	Lorenz Curve for Female-Headed Households	168
7.4	Lorenz Curve for Single-Headed Households	172
7.5	Lorenz Curve for Married-Headed Households	174
7.6	Lorenz Curve for Widow/Divorced-Headed Households	176
7.7	Lorenz Curve for the Age 17-30-Headed Households	179
7.8	Lorenz Curve for the Age 30-45-Headed Households	180
7.9	Lorenz Curve for the Age 46-60-Headed Households	183
7.10	Lorenz Curve for the Age above 60-Headed Households	185
7.11	Lorenz Curve for the Informally Educated-Headed Househol	d 189
7.12	Lorenz Curve for the Elementary School Graduates-Headed Households	190
7.13	Lorenz Curve for the Junior High School Graduates-Headed Households	192
7.14	Lorenz Curve for the Senior High School Graduates-Headed Households	194

7.15	Lorenz Curve for the Bachelor/Diploma Graduates-Headed Households	196
7.16	Lorenz Curve for the Unemployed-Headed Household	200
7.17	Lorenz Curve for the Household Mother-Headed Household	202
7.18	Lorenz Curve for the Entrepreneur-Headed Household	203
7.19	Lorenz Curve for the Employee-Headed Household	205
7.20	Lorenz Curve for the Other Jobs-Headed Household	207
7.21	Lorenz Curve for Household Size of 1-3	211
7.22	Lorenz Curve for Household Size of 4-6	212
7.23	Lorenz Curve for Household Size of 7 and above	214
7.24	Lorenz Curve for North Jakarta and Kepulauan Seribu	218
7.25	Lorenz Curve for South Jakarta	219
7.26	Lorenz Curve for West Jakarta	221
7.27	Lorenz Curve for East Jakarta	223
7.28	Lorenz Curve for South Jakarta	224
7.29	Lorenz Curve for Consumption-Based Zakat Programmes	228
7.30	Lorenz Curve for Production-Based Zakat Programmes	230
7.31	Lorenz Curve for BAZNAS	233
7.32	Lorenz Curve for BAZIS DKI	235
7.33	Lorenz Curve for Dompet Dhuafa Repulika	236

## LIST OF ABBREVIATION

BAZIS	Badan Amil Zakat dan Infak/Sedekah (The Board of				
	Zakat and Infak/Sadaqah, refers to the Jakarta Board of				
	Zakat)				
BAZNAS	Badan Amil Zakat Nasional (The National Board of				
	Zakat)				
DDR	Dompet Dhuafa Republika				
DKI	Daerah Khusus Ibukota (Special Capital Region)				
FGT	Foster Greer Thorbecke				
KEJAR PAKET	Kelompok Belajar Paket (Study Package Group)				
TEKPD UI	Tim Evaluasi Kinerja Pembangunan Daerah				
	Universitas Indonesia (University of Indonesia Team				
	for Regional Development Performance Evaluation)				

#### **CHAPTER ONE**

### INTRODUCTION

#### **1.1. BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY**

Islamic economics has been experiencing an impressive development in recent decades. It emerged with the desire to implement the shariah rule in contemporary Muslim society. It is expected to offer better solution to the economic problems faced by most of the Muslim countries. This can lead to an increase in betterment of life. Islamic economics is still on the process of evolution. As a system it has not yet been established at the macro level. At the micro level, there are two main areas in which this new system has been evolved. These areas are Islamic banking and finance, and zakat collection and distribution. They can be taken into consideration as empirical evidence proving that the system can be gradually implemented. Many Muslim countries have tried to develop their own Islamic banking system as well as zakat system. One of which is Indonesia, the most populous Muslim country in the world.

Development of Islamic economic system and institution in Indonesia gained momentum during the severe economic crisis in Southeast Asia during 1997-1998. After the crisis in the region, welfare problems, such as poverty<sup>1</sup> and income inequality<sup>2</sup>, became the core tribulation faced particularly by Indonesia. Until today these two problems still exist. Table 1.1 below shows the fluctuation of the number of poor people in the country from 1996 until 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> There are a lot of definitions of poverty. One of which is "the standard of living below that of subsistence" (Pramanik, 1993).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Income inequality can be defined as the extent of disparity between high income and low income households (New Zealand Social Report, 2008).

	No. of Poor People (million)		Percentage of Poor People to the			
Voor			whole Population			
i eai	Urban	Rural	Urban+Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban+Rural
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1996	9.42	24.59	34.01	13.39	19.78	17.47
1998	17.60	31.90	49.50 (45.55%)*	21.92	25.72	24.23
1999	15.64	32.33	47.97 (-3.09%)	19.41	26.03	23.43
2000	12.30	26.40	38.70 (-19.33%)	14.60	22.38	19.14
2001	8.60	29.30	37.90 (-2.07%)	9.76	24.84	18.41
2002	13.30	25.10	38.40 (1.32%)	14.46	21.10	18.20
2003	12.20	25.10	37.30 (-2.87%)	13.57	20.23	17.42
2004	11.40	24.80	36.10 (-3.22%)	12.13	20.11	16.66
2005	12.40	22.70	35.10 (-2.77%)	11.68	19.98	15.97
2006	14.49	24.81	39.30 (11.97%)	13.47	21.81	17.75
2007	13.56	23.61	37.17 (-5.42%)	12.52	20.37	16.58
2008	12.77	22.19	34.96 (-5.95%)	11.65	18.93	15.42

Table 1.1 The Number and the Percentage of Poor People in Indonesia 1996-2008

*Notes*: \*: figure in parentheses show the percentage point increase (+) or decrease (-) based on previous year

Source: Central Board of Statistics (2008)

From the table above, it can be observed that during 1996-1999 the number of poor people both urban and rural has risen from 34.01 million to 47.97 million (41.05 percent increase). This was a direct impact of the South East Asian financial and economic crisis. However, during the period of 2000-2005, the number of poor people fell from 38.70 million (19.14 percent, vide column 6) to 35.10 million (15.97 percent, vide column 6). It indicated the economic progress of the country in its post-crisis recovery efforts.

In the year 2006, the number of poor people started to rise again, from 35.10 million to 39.30 million (11.97 percent increase). Central Board of Statistics found that this increase was caused by oil price hike in the year 2005 which disturbed the world economy. Inflation in the nation was grown by 17.10 percent. This forces the

people to fall below the poverty line and join the rank of the poor<sup>3</sup>. Although the government was able to lower the poverty incidence<sup>4</sup> in the two consecutive years, it was made possible by the favourable oil price in the world. Generally, during 1996-2008 the average reduction in the incidence of poverty appears to be 1.28 percent. But if we exclude two abnormal years, i.e. 1998 and 2006, showing a jump in the poverty incidence, the average decline in poverty incidence for eight years excluding 1998, 2002 and 2006, appears quite impressive (4.07 percent). Some scholars argue that this figure indicated that government poverty alleviation program did not have significant impact as stated by Susilowati *et al* (2007)<sup>5</sup>.

The Table 1.1 above also provides evidence that the incidence of rural poverty in the country was higher as compared to the incidence of urban poverty. It is interesting to note that during the period of 1996 – 2008, the number of poor people in the rural areas was reduced while in the urban area it was increasing. However, in terms of percentage, both rural and urban poverty are decreasing although marginally. These figures also show that rural poverty is worst than the urban poverty.

The income inequality measured by Gini ratio<sup>6</sup> of the country, as can be observed from the Table 1.2, has been fluctuating during the period of 1996-2007. The Gini ratio, which combines income inequality of both urban and rural areas in the nation, tends to decrease in the period of 1996-1999 from 0.356 to 0.311. It means that income distribution in the nation was moving towards more equal distribution.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Central Board of Statistics. 2008. July, Official Statistical News. Jakarta.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The poverty incidence, which shows the number of the poor, is calculated based on the poverty line per capita made officially by the Central Board of Statistics.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Susilowati, Sinaga, Limbong and Erwidodo (2007) argued that economic development in Indonesia had created a trade-off between economic growth and distribution. Therefore, the number of poor people for the last two decades did not fall significantly. In 1985 for instance, the incidence reached 24.2 percent while in the year 2006 it stood at 17.75 percent. Its total reduction was only 6.45 percent in two decades.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Gini ratio is one of the effective measures of income inequality. Explanation of this concept can be found in the Chapter Three.

However, after the 1998 crisis, Gini ratio started to worsen until 2007. The finding suggests worsening income distribution during 1996-2007.

When urban inequality is compared to rural inequality, the data shows that during 1996-2007 income inequality in the urban area is higher than that in the rural area. However, the pattern of income distribution in both areas is not following linear path.

Voor	Gini Ratio			
I cal	Urban	Rural	Urban+Rural	
1996	0.362	0.274	0.356	
1999	0.326	0.244	0.311	
2002	0.330	0.290	0.329	
2005	0.338	0.264	0.343	
2006	0.350	0.276	0.357	
2007	0.374	0.302	0.376	

Table 1.2 Gini Ratio in Indonesia Based on Areas 1996-2007

Source: Central Board of Statistics (2008)

Another research conducted by Kuncoro as cited in Hafidhuddin (2009) also portrayed similar figure of income inequality in the country. The economic growth experienced during the period of 2000-2006<sup>7</sup> has widened the income gap between the rich and the poor. He found that the bottom 40 percent of the population experienced 20.92 percent of the total income while the top 20 percent received 42.19 percent of the total income in the year 2000. Nevertheless, in the year 2006, the bottom 40 percent of the population received only 19.20 percent, which is lower than the initial position, while the top 20 percent enjoyed 45.72 percent of the total income, which is higher as compared to the year 2000. Hence, the share of the top 20 percent increases at the cost of the share of the bottom 40 percent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Economic growth rates of Indonesia in the year 2000 and 2006 are 4.90 percent and 5.50 percent, respectively. During this period, Indonesia enjoyed impressive economic growth.