

MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTING SYSTEMS IN FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS IN MALAYSIA: INFLUENCING FACTORS, ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT

BY

SITI ZALEHA BINTI ABDUL RASID

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Accounting

Kulliyah of Economics and Management Science International Islamic University Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2009

ABSTRACT

The function of management accounting systems (MAS) in an organization has evolved from the traditional cost determination and financial control role to a more sophisticated role of value creation through the effective use of resources. Financial institutions are experiencing rapid transformation due to financial liberalization, rapid advancement in technology, intense market competition, and the emergence of increasingly innovative products and distribution channels. To remain competitive, they need sophisticated MAS information for informed decision making and to create value for the long term success and survival of the organization. Due to the lack of management accounting research for financial services, this study investigates the factors influencing MAS sophistication among financial institutions in Malaysia and examines whether it leads to enhanced organizational performance. Sophistication of MAS is viewed in a broader aspect by focusing on the four dimensions of MAS information - scope, timeliness, integration and aggregation. In addition, since financial institutions are essentially in the business of managing risks, this study also explores the relationship between enterprise risk management (ERM) and MAS. In ERM, performance management, which is the most important role of MAS, is integrated with risk management. With the existence of a dual banking system in Malaysia, it is also important to explore the difference between MAS of Islamic Financial Institutions (IFIs) and that of conventional financial institutions. Based on the contingency theory framework, this study adopts an explanatory sequential mixed method approach that was conducted in two stages. In the first stage a survey was conducted on the banking and insurance companies listed on the Central Bank of Malaysia website, with the chief financial officers (CFO) as the respondents. In the second stage, post-survey semi-structured interviews were conducted with selected respondents. Based on multiple regression analysis, the results indicate that perceived intensity of market competition and technological advancement influence MAS sophistication. The results provide support of a direct relationship between MAS and organizational performance and show some support for the mediating role of MAS between contextual variables and performance. The post-survey interviews further support the results. The findings from the survey and the post-survey interviews also show that MAS and risk management complement each other. However, there was not enough evidence to support the role of risk management in enhancing the relationship between MAS and organizational performance. The post-survey interviews provide some insights into this issue. The findings further show that IFIs use more sophisticated MAS than conventional financial institutions. Overall, this study demonstrates the importance of MAS sophistication for coping with the intensity of market competition and of rapid technological advancement in financial institutions to achieve better performance. In addition, the study also provides evidence of the importance of MAS and risk management as integral management tools for corporate performance management. Finally, as IFIs have to adhere to the Sharī'ah compliance framework they require more sophisticated MAS to manage their The findings contribute to financial institutions and organizations effectively. regulators as well as towards testing a comprehensive contingency model that includes multiple contingent factors, multiple elements of accounting systems, and multiple outcome variables.

```
( )(MAS)
                                 ( )
                                 )(sophistication)
(MAS) ( )
                                                  -:
                      .( )(MAS)
                  ( )(MAS)
                                         ( )(MAS)
                                .( )(MAS)
                                            ( ) (MAS)
                                                 (MAS)
                                            (MAS)
           (MAS)
                              (MAS)
```

(MAS)

(MAS)

(MAS)

iv

APPROVAL PAGE

| The thesis of Siti Zaleha binti Abdul Rasid has been approved by the following: | g: |
|---|----|
| Abdul Rahim Abdul Rahman Supervisor | |
| Nik Nazli Nik Ahmad | |
| Internal Examiner | |
| Ibrahim Kamal Hj. Abdul Rahman External Examiner | |
| Nasr Eldin Ibrahim Hussien Chairman | |

DECLARATION

| I hereby declare that this dissertation is the result of my own investigations, e | except |
|---|--------|
| where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concur | rently |
| submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions. | |
| | |
| Siti Zaleha Binti Abdul Rasid | |
| Signature Date | |

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH

Copyright © 2009 by Siti Zaleha Binti Abdul Rasid. All rights reserved.

MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTING SYSTEMS (MAS) IN FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS IN MALAYSIA: INFLUENCING FACTORS, ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronics, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below.

- 1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may only be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
- 2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
- 3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieval system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

This PhD work is especially dedicated to my loved ones, my husband Nasir bin Ismail, my mother Hjh. Asnah binti Itam, my late father Hj. Abdul Rasid Abdul Rahman and my beloved children, Farhanah, Asma' Nabihah, Husna Nadhirah, Huda Nadiah and Sarah Nayli.

Thank you for the unconditional love and support.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful. Praise be to Allah, Lord of the Universe and to His Messenger, Muhammad PBUH.

First and foremost, I am very grateful to Allah, the Almighty for giving me the strength, courage, peace and blessings to complete this study successfully. This work would not have been completed without the help of many individuals whose support has meant a great deal to me.

First of all, I would like to extent my heartfelt thanks to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Abdul Rahim Abdul Rahman for his assistance, encouragement, patience and understanding in guiding me through the development of this thesis. Without his endeavour, this thesis is not a reality. My sincere thanks are also due to Dr. Asyraf Wajdi Dusuki for his valuable comments and to Dr Fatima Abdul Hamid for her help in the path analysis. I would also like to express my appreciation to Associate Professor Dr Che Ruhana Isa for her assistance and guidance during the early stage of this study and for her consistent support throughout the study. Special thanks are also due to Professor Dr. Normah Omar, Professor Dr. Rozhan Othman and Professor Dr. Foong Soon Yau for their valuable comments and suggestions on the questionnaire. My deepest gratitude and appreciation to my examiners, Professor Dr. Ibrahim Kamal Abdul Rahman and Associate Professor Dr. Nik Nazli Nik Ahmad for their beneficial and insightful comments and suggestions.

I am also grateful to University Teknologi Malaysia and the Public Service Department of Malaysia for sponsoring my studies. I would also like to thank all my friends especially Amizawati, Romzie, Dayana, Nik Nadzirah, Haslina, Fauziah Hanim, Nawal, Ridzwana and many others whose support made this pursuit a little less stressful. My sincere thanks are also due to Nora and John Dilling for editing the work.

I am sincerely indebted to the respondents who had participated in the pilot survey, the actual survey and the interviews. I am indebted to their cooperation, time and support. There was no doubt that the information they provided was extremely important for this study.

Most of all, my special thanks and love to my dear husband, Nasir Ismail for his endless and tremendous support, sacrifice and understanding throughout my studies. My warm thanks to my children, Farhanah, Asma', Husna, Huda and Sarah for their patience, tolerance and understanding. They have inspired me to complete this study. Also to my mother, sisters and in-laws, thank you for their love, support and prayers. Finally, from the bottom of my heart, to all who have contributed directly and indirectly to the completion of this study, thank you very much.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Abstract | ii |
|--|------------------------------|
| Abstract in Arabic | iii |
| Approval Page | v |
| Declaration Page | vi |
| Copyright Page | |
| Dedication | viii |
| Acknowledgements | ix |
| List of Tables | xiv |
| List of Figures | xvii |
| List of Abbreviations | xviii |
| | |
| CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Background of the Research | |
| 1.2 Research Objectives | |
| 1.3 Motivation for the Study | |
| 1.4 Scope of the Study | |
| 1.5 Contributions of the Study | |
| 1.6 Organization of the Chapters | |
| | |
| | |
| CHAPTER 2: MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTING SYSTEMS (MAS) | |
| | : A |
| | |
| REVIEW OF LITERATURE 2.1 Introduction | 17 |
| REVIEW OF LITERATURE 2.1 Introduction | 17 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 171718 |
| REVIEW OF LITERATURE 2.1 Introduction | |
| 2.1 Introduction | 17181820 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 1718182020 |
| 2.1 Introduction 2.2 Management Accounting Systems (MAS) 2.2.1 The Meaning of MAS 2.2.2 Information Characteristics of MAS 2.2.2.1 Scope 2.2.2.2 Timeliness | 171818202021 |
| REVIEW OF LITERATURE 2.1 Introduction 2.2 Management Accounting Systems (MAS) 2.2.1 The Meaning of MAS 2.2.2 Information Characteristics of MAS 2.2.2.1 Scope 2.2.2.2 Timeliness 2.2.2.3 Aggregation | 17182021 |
| 2.1 Introduction 2.2 Management Accounting Systems (MAS) 2.2.1 The Meaning of MAS 2.2.2 Information Characteristics of MAS 2.2.2.1 Scope 2.2.2.2 Timeliness | 1718202121 |
| REVIEW OF LITERATURE 2.1 Introduction 2.2 Management Accounting Systems (MAS) 2.2.1 The Meaning of MAS 2.2.2 Information Characteristics of MAS 2.2.2.1 Scope 2.2.2.2 Timeliness 2.2.2.3 Aggregation 2.2.2.4 Integration 2.2.3 Empirical Studies on MAS in Manufacturing | 17182020212122 |
| 2.1 Introduction 2.2 Management Accounting Systems (MAS) 2.2.1 The Meaning of MAS 2.2.2 Information Characteristics of MAS 2.2.2.1 Scope 2.2.2.2 Timeliness 2.2.2.3 Aggregation 2.2.2.4 Integration 2.2.3 Empirical Studies on MAS in Manufacturing 2.2.4 Discussion and Gaps in Previous Studies | 171820212121222330 |
| 2.1 Introduction 2.2 Management Accounting Systems (MAS) 2.2.1 The Meaning of MAS 2.2.2 Information Characteristics of MAS 2.2.2.1 Scope 2.2.2.2 Timeliness 2.2.2.3 Aggregation 2.2.2.4 Integration 2.2.3 Empirical Studies on MAS in Manufacturing 2.2.4 Discussion and Gaps in Previous Studies 2.3 MAS in Service Organizations | 171820212121233033 |
| REVIEW OF LITERATURE 2.1 Introduction 2.2 Management Accounting Systems (MAS) 2.2.1 The Meaning of MAS 2.2.2 Information Characteristics of MAS 2.2.2.1 Scope 2.2.2.2 Timeliness 2.2.2.3 Aggregation 2.2.2.4 Integration 2.2.3 Empirical Studies on MAS in Manufacturing 2.2.4 Discussion and Gaps in Previous Studies 2.3 MAS in Service Organizations 2.3.1 Characteristics of Service Organizations | 1718202121223333 |
| 2.1 Introduction 2.2 Management Accounting Systems (MAS) 2.2.1 The Meaning of MAS 2.2.2 Information Characteristics of MAS 2.2.2.1 Scope 2.2.2.2 Timeliness 2.2.2.2 Timeliness 2.2.2.3 Aggregation 2.2.2.4 Integration 2.2.3 Empirical Studies on MAS in Manufacturing 2.2.4 Discussion and Gaps in Previous Studies 2.3 MAS in Service Organizations 2.3.1 Characteristics of Service Organizations 2.3.2 Service Organizations and MAS Implications | 17182020212122333333 |
| 2.1 Introduction 2.2 Management Accounting Systems (MAS) 2.2.1 The Meaning of MAS 2.2.2 Information Characteristics of MAS 2.2.2.1 Scope 2.2.2.2 Timeliness 2.2.2.3 Aggregation 2.2.2.4 Integration 2.2.3 Empirical Studies on MAS in Manufacturing 2.2.4 Discussion and Gaps in Previous Studies 2.3 MAS in Service Organizations 2.3.1 Characteristics of Service Organizations 2.3.2 Service Organizations and MAS Implications 2.3.3 Empirical Studies on MAS in Service Organizations | 171820212123333333 |
| 2.1 Introduction 2.2 Management Accounting Systems (MAS) 2.2.1 The Meaning of MAS 2.2.2 Information Characteristics of MAS 2.2.2.1 Scope 2.2.2.2 Timeliness 2.2.2.2 Timeliness 2.2.2.3 Aggregation 2.2.2.4 Integration 2.2.3 Empirical Studies on MAS in Manufacturing 2.2.4 Discussion and Gaps in Previous Studies 2.3 MAS in Service Organizations 2.3.1 Characteristics of Service Organizations 2.3.2 Service Organizations and MAS Implications | 17182021212330333437 |
| 2.1 Introduction 2.2 Management Accounting Systems (MAS) 2.2.1 The Meaning of MAS 2.2.2 Information Characteristics of MAS 2.2.2.1 Scope 2.2.2.2 Timeliness 2.2.2.3 Aggregation 2.2.2.4 Integration 2.2.2.4 Integration 2.2.4 Discussion and Gaps in Previous Studies 2.3 MAS in Service Organizations 2.3.1 Characteristics of Service Organizations 2.3.2 Service Organizations and MAS Implications 2.3.3 Empirical Studies on MAS in Service Organizations 2.3.3 Empirical Studies on MAS in Service Organizations 2.4 MAS Studies in Malaysia | 1718202121223334374247 |
| 2.1 Introduction 2.2 Management Accounting Systems (MAS) 2.2.1 The Meaning of MAS 2.2.2 Information Characteristics of MAS 2.2.2.1 Scope 2.2.2.2 Timeliness 2.2.2.3 Aggregation 2.2.2.4 Integration. 2.2.3 Empirical Studies on MAS in Manufacturing. 2.2.4 Discussion and Gaps in Previous Studies 2.3 MAS in Service Organizations. 2.3.1 Characteristics of Service Organizations. 2.3.2 Service Organizations and MAS Implications. 2.3.3 Empirical Studies on MAS in Service Organizations. 2.3.4 MAS Studies in Malaysia. 2.5 MAS in the Financial Services Sector. 2.5.1 Introduction to Financial Services Sector. | 171820212122333334374247 |
| 2.1 Introduction 2.2 Management Accounting Systems (MAS) 2.2.1 The Meaning of MAS 2.2.2 Information Characteristics of MAS 2.2.2.1 Scope 2.2.2.2 Timeliness 2.2.2.3 Aggregation 2.2.2.4 Integration 2.2.3 Empirical Studies on MAS in Manufacturing 2.2.4 Discussion and Gaps in Previous Studies 2.3 MAS in Service Organizations 2.3.1 Characteristics of Service Organizations 2.3.2 Service Organizations and MAS Implications 2.3.3 Empirical Studies on MAS in Service Organizations 2.3.4 MAS Studies in Malaysia 2.5 MAS in the Financial Services Sector | 1718202121222330333437424748 |

| CHAPTER 3: FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS | 63 |
|--|-----|
| 3.1 Introduction | |
| 3.2 Nature and Functions of Financial Institutions | 64 |
| 3.3 Regulation of Financial Institutions | 66 |
| 3.3.1 Regulatory Framework | 67 |
| 3.3.2 Basel II – Basel Committee on Banking Supervision | |
| 3.4 Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) | |
| 3.5 MAS and ERM in Financial Institutions | 74 |
| 3.6 Empirical Studies on Management Accounting and Risk | |
| 3.7 Development of Financial Institutions in Malaysia | |
| 3.8 Islamic Financial System | |
| 3.9 The Relevance of MAS to Islamic Financial Institutions | |
| 3.10 Summary | |
| CHAPTER 4: THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND HYPOTHESES | |
| DEVELOPMENT | |
| 4.1 Introduction | |
| 4.2 Contingency Theory in Management Accounting Research | |
| 4.3 Contingent Variables | |
| 4.3.1 Perceived Intensity of Market Competition | |
| 4.3.2 Technological Advancement | |
| 4.3.3 Innovativeness | |
| 4.3.4 Enterprise Risk Management | |
| 4.4 The Theoretical Framework | |
| 4.5 Conceptual Definitions of Main Variables | |
| 4.6 Hypotheses Development | |
| 4.6.1 Perceived Intensity of Market Competition and MAS | |
| 4.6.2 Innovativeness and MAS | |
| 4.6.3 Technological Advancement and MAS | |
| 4.6.4 MAS and Organizational Performance | |
| 4.6.5 The Mediating Effect of MAS on the Relationship | |
| 4.6.6 ERM Practices and MAS | |
| 4.6.7 Islamic Financial Services and MAS | |
| 4.7 Summary | 129 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 5: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | |
| 5.1 Introduction | |
| 5.2 Research Design | |
| 5.3 Questionnaire Survey | |
| 5.3.1 Population and Sampling | |
| 5.3.2 Unit of Analysis and Respondents | |
| 5.3.3 Questionnaire Design | |
| 5.3.3.1 Section 1: Background Information | |
| 5.3.3.2 Section 2: Intensity of Market Competition | |
| 5.3.3.3 Section 3: Management Accounting Systems | |
| 5.3.3.4 Section 4: Risk Management Practices | |
| 5.3.3.5 Section 5: Organizational Performance | 151 |

| 5.3.4 Pilot Testing | 152 |
|--|-------|
| 5.3.5 Administration of the Questionnaire Survey | 153 |
| 5.3.6 Quantitative Data Analysis | 154 |
| 5.3.6.1 Data Cleaning and Screening | 154 |
| 5.3.6.2 Response Rate and Non-Response Bias | |
| 5.3.6.3 Profile Analysis | |
| 5.3.6.3.1 Profile of Survey Respondents | |
| 5.3.6.3.2 Profile of Firms Surveyed | |
| 5.3.6.4 Inferential Data Analysis | |
| 5.4 Semi-Structured Interviews | 163 |
| 5.4.1 Respondents for Semi-Structured Interviews | 164 |
| 5.4.2 Design of the Interview Guide | 164 |
| 5.4.3 Administration of the Interview | 166 |
| 5.4.4 Profile of Interviewees | 167 |
| 5.4.5 Qualitative Data Analysis | 168 |
| 5.5 Summary | 169 |
| CHAPTER 6: MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTING AND RISK MANAG | EMENT |
| PRACTICES AND VARIABLE MEASUREMENT | |
| 6.1 Introduction | |
| 6.2 Management Accounting (MA) Practices | |
| 6.3 Risk Management Practices | |
| 6.3.1 Stage of ERM Development | |
| 6.3.2 Management Accounting Practices and Operational Risks. | |
| 6.3.3 Involvement of the accounting function | |
| 6.4 Principle Component Analysis (PCA) | |
| 6.4.1 Reliability | |
| 6.4.2 Management Accounting Systems (MAS) Measures | 187 |
| 6.4.2.1 Scope Measure | 188 |
| 6.4.2.2 Timeliness Measure | 189 |
| 6.4.2.3 Integration Measure | 189 |
| 6.4.2.4 Aggregation Measure | 190 |
| 6.4.3 Perceived Intensity of Market Competition Measure | 191 |
| 6.4.4 Innovativeness Measure | 193 |
| 6.4.5 Technological Advancement Measure | 194 |
| 6.4.6 Risk Management Practices Measure | |
| 6.4.7 Perceived Organizational Performance Measure | |
| 6.5 Normality Tests | |
| 6.6 Descriptive Statistics | |
| 6.7 Summary | 204 |
| CHAPTER 7: FACTORS INFLUENCING AND EFFECORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE | |
| 7.1 Introduction | |
| 7.1 Introduction 7.2 Correlation Analysis | |
| 7.3 Relationship between Perceived Intensity of Market Competition | |
| 7.4 The Direct Relationship between MAS | |

| 7.4 | 1 Overall MAS and Perceived Organizational Performance | 229 |
|--------------|--|------------|
| | .2 Each MAS Dimension as Independent Variables | |
| | Indirect Effects of Contextual Variables | |
| 7.6 Sumi | mary | 256 |
| | • | |
| | | |
| | EMERGING NEW PERSPECTIVES OF MAS: RISK | 250 |
| | NT AND ISLAMIC FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS | |
| | duction | |
| | and Risk Management. | |
| | action Effect of Risk Management Activities and MAS | |
| 8.3. | Organizational Non-Financial Performance | 212 |
| | 8.3.1.1 Interaction Effects of Overall MAS and Risk | |
| 0.27 | 8.3.1.2 Interaction Effects of Each MAS Dimension and Risk | |
| | 2 Organizational Financial Performance | |
| | in Islamic Financial Institutions | |
| 8.5 Sumr | nary | 299 |
| | | |
| CHAPTER 9: 0 | CONCLUSION | 300 |
| | duction | |
| | nary of Research Findings | |
| | in Financial Institutions. | |
| | The Influence of Competition and Technological | |
| | 2 Role of MAS on Organizational Performance | |
| | 3 MAS and Risk Management | |
| | 4 MAS in Islamic Financial Institutions | |
| | cations for Theory and Practice | |
| | Theoretical Implications | |
| | 2 Practical and Policy Implications | |
| | 3 Methodological Implications | |
| | tations of the Study | |
| | estions for Future Research | |
| | nary | |
| 7.7 Suiii | 1141 y | 554 |
| | | |
| BIBLIOGRAP | HY | 336 |
| | | |
| APPENDIX A: | Covering Letters and Questionnaire | 359 |
| | Interview Guide | |
| | Normality Tests | 375 385 |

LIST OF TABLES

| <u>Table</u> | Table No. | |
|--------------|--|-----|
| 2.1 | Empirical findings on MAS | 25 |
| 2.2 | Typical service characteristics and implications for management accounting and control | 36 |
| 2.3 | Empirical studies on MAS in service organizations (other than financial institutions) | 39 |
| 2.4 | Summary of contingent factors | 41 |
| 2.5 | Empirical studies on management accounting in Malaysia | 43 |
| 2.6 | Types of management information utilized by financial institutions | 52 |
| 2.7 | MAS information characteristics and examples | 55 |
| 2.8 | Empirical studies on MAS in financial institutions | 56 |
| 3.1 | Basel II | 68 |
| 3.2 | Traditional risk management and ERM | 71 |
| 4.1 | Technology waves in banking | 106 |
| 4.2 | Conceptual definition of main variables | 113 |
| 5.1 | Types of major mixed methods design | 133 |
| 5.2 | Financial Institutions in Malaysia | 137 |
| 5.3 | Lists of financial institutions | 139 |
| 5.4 | Summary of questionnaire design and data analysis methods | 141 |
| 5.5 | Profile of survey respondents | 158 |
| 5.6 | Age of respondents holding current position between 1 to 3 years | 159 |
| 5.7 | Job designation of respondents with the age of 36 and below | 160 |

| 5.8 | Profile of sample firms | |
|------|---|------------|
| 5.9 | Background of the interviewees | 161 |
| | Ç | 167 |
| 6.1 | Descriptive statistics of management accounting practices (MAP) | 171 |
| 6.2 | Stages of management accounting practices (MAP) based on IFAC framework | 175 |
| 6.3 | Stage of ERM development | |
| 6.4 | Extent to which MAP help to manage operational risk | 177 |
| 6.5 | Integration of accounting and risk management | 179 182 |
| 6.6 | PCA on scope measure | 188 |
| 6.7 | PCA on timeliness measure | 189 |
| 6.8 | PCA on integration measure | 190 |
| 6.9 | PCA on aggregation measure | 190 |
| 6.10 | PCA on perceived intensity of market competition measure | |
| 6.11 | PCA on innovativeness | 192 |
| 6.12 | PCA on technological advancement measure | 193 |
| 6.13 | PCA on front-end functionality and back-end integration | 195 |
| 6.14 | PCA on risk management practices measure | 196 |
| 6.15 | PCA on performance | 197 |
| 6.16 | Descriptive statistics of variables | 199 |
| 7.1 | Pearson correlations | 201 |
| 7.2 | Multiple regression results for the effects of COMP, INNO and | 208 |
| | TECH on MAS | 214 |
| 7.3 | Results of regression analysis for the effects of overall MAS on organizational performance | 230 |
| 7.4 | Multiple regression results for the effects of SCOPE, TIME and INTAGG on organizational performance | 234 |

| 7.5 | Results of regression analysis for the effects of COMP, INNO, TECH and TMAS on TPER | 242 |
|------|---|------------|
| 7.6 | Analysis of indirect effects | 245 |
| 7.7 | Analysis of indirect effects based on Sobel's (1982) test | 246 |
| 7.8 | Path coefficients and decomposition effects | |
| 7.9 | Analysis of the indirect effects – univariate analysis | 248 |
| 7.10 | Path coefficients and decomposition effects – univariate analysis | 255 256 |
| 8.1 | Correlation of ERM and MAS | 261 |
| 8.2 | Results of moderated regression analysis –TMAS x RMA | 273 |
| 8.3 | Results of moderated regression analysis –SCOPE x RMA | 274 |
| 8.4 | Results of moderated regression analysis -TIME x RMA | 274 |
| 8.5 | Results of moderated regression analysis –INTAGG x RMA | 275 |
| 8.6 | Results of t test on use of MAS | 286 |
| 9.1 | Research objectives, research hypotheses and summary of the results | 301 |
| 9.2 | Key opportunities for success in E-business | 308 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure No. | | Page No. |
|------------|--|----------|
| 3.1 | Role of Islamic investment bank | 85 |
| 4.1 | Theoretical framework | 112 |
| 5.1 | Research process | 135 |
| 7.1 | The path analytic model (based on standardized coefficients) | 244 |
| 7.2 | Path diagram for Sobel test (based on unstandardized coefficients) | 246 |
| 9.1 | Theoretical framework (with results) | 306 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AAOIFI Accounting and Auditing Organizations of Islamic Financial

Institutions

ABC Activity-Based Costing
ABM Activity Based Management

AGG MAS Aggregation

ALM Asset/Liability Management ATM Automatic Teller Machines

BAFIA Banking and Financial Institutions Act 1989

BBA Bai' Bithaman 'Ajil

BCBS Basel Committee on Banking Supervision

BI Business Intelligence
BNM Bank Negara Malaysia
BSC Balanced Scorecard
CAR Capital Adequacy Ratio

CAS Casualty Actuarial Society Enterprise Risk Management Committee

CFO Chief Financial Officer

COMP Perceived Intensity of Market Competition

COSO Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission

CRO Chief Risks Officer CVP Cost-Volume-Profit

DFI Development Financial Institutions

EDI Electronic Data Interchange
ERM Enterprise Risk Management
EVA Economics Value Added
FIs Financial Institutions
FM Financial Management
FPER Financial Performance
FTP Fund Transfer Pricing

GATS General Agreement on Trade and Services

GCC Gulf Cooperation Council GDP Gross Domestic Products

IAS International Accounting Standard

IFAC The International Federation of Accountants

IFIs Islamic Financial Institutions
IFSB Islamic Financial Services Board

INNO Innovativeness
INT MAS Integrativeness
IS Information Systems
IT Information Technology

JIT Just in Time

KMO Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy

KPIs Key Performance Indicators

LOFSA Labuan Offshore Financial Services Authority

MA Management Accounting

MAP Management Accounting Practices
MAS Management Accounting Systems
MASB Malaysian Accounting Standard Board

MCS Management Control Systems

MIFC Malaysia as an Islamic Financial Centre
MIS Management Information Systems

MRP Material Resource Planning
NFPER Non-financial Performance
NIA New Investment Agenda

NPAP New Product Development Process

OC Organizational Control PC Personal Computer

PCA Principle Component Analysis
PDA Personal Digital Assistant

PEU Perceived Environmental Uncertainty

PRISK Perceived Risk

RMA Risk Management Activities

ROA Return on Assets
ROE Return on Equity
SCOPE MAS Scope

SDUs Savings-deficit Units

SEM Strategic Enterprise Management Systems

SMA Strategic Management Accounting

SSB Sharī'ah Supervisory Board

SSUs Saving-surplus Units

STP Straight Through Processing

SWOT Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats

TECH Technological Advancement

TIME MAS Timeliness TMAS Overall MAS

TPER Total/Overall Performance TQM Total Quality Management

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE RESEARCH

Management Accounting Systems (MAS) refers to the systematic use of management accounting to achieve organizational goals. The International Federation of Accountants (IFAC, 1998) defines management accounting as the process of identification, measurement, accumulation, analysis, preparation, interpretation, and communication of information (financial and operational) used for the planning, control and effective use of resources by management. Thus, management accounting becomes an integral part of the management process in an organization providing information essential for (i) controlling the current activities of an organization; (ii) planning its future strategies, tactics and operations; (iii) optimizing the use of its resources; (iv) measuring and evaluating performance; (v) reducing subjectivity in the decision making process; and (vi) improving internal and external communication (IFAC, 1998). The MAS of an organization is expected to be available to managers in an appropriate format and on demand to satisfy the information needs of managers (Govindarajan, 1984; Mia and Chenhall, 1994).

MAS are actually part of management control systems (MCS) and are considered as an organizational control sub-system device (Otley, 1980; Gul and Chia, 1994; Chong, 1996; Chenhall, 2003). Chia (1995, p. 812) defined MAS as "an organizational control mechanism which facilitates control by reporting and creating visibility in the action and performance of its members". Thus, well designed and appropriate MAS assist managers to be more effective in decision-making, thereby

helping organizations improve their efficiency and remain competitive in an ever challenging environment.

Over the years, the focus of management accounting has shifted from its simple role of cost determination and financial control to the focus of value creation through the effective use of resources (Abdel-Kader and Luther, 2008; Suzana et al., 2005). According to IFAC's (1998) framework, the evolution of management accounting can be categorized into four identifiable stages. In Stage 1, that is prior to 1950, most companies focused on cost determination and financial control. The main sources of data were financial statements consisting of Income Statements, Balance Sheets and Cash Flow Statements. The use of ratio analysis, financial statement analysis and budgeting became widespread with management accounting information becoming defined in quantitative and financial terms. There was greater attention to internal matters, especially production capacity (Abdel-Kader and Luther, 2008).

In the second stage of evolution, 1950–1965, the emphasis shifted to the provision of information for management planning and control. During this stage accounting and management accounting techniques that support decision analysis were introduced. These included marginal costing, standard costing, Cost-Volume-Profit (CVP) analysis, Break-Even Analysis and transfer pricing. However, "the management controls were oriented towards manufacturing and internal administration rather than strategic and environmental considerations" (Abdel-Kader and Luther, 2008: 4). Consequently, the practice of management accounting was still centred on the manufacturing sector with control activities that were more reactive rather than proactive. The emphasis was still very much on the narrow scope of management accounting information.

The world recession in the 1970s, followed by increased global competition and the rapid development of technology in the early 1980s, shifted the focus of management accounting to reducing resources wasted in business processes (Abdel-Kader and Luther, 2008). This represents the third stage of the management accounting evolution - 1965 to 1986 - with the emphasis on the use of process analysis and cost management technologies. The aim was to eliminate non-value added activities with techniques such as Activity-Based Costing (ABC), Total Quality Management (TQM), Management Resource Planning (MRP) and multiple regressions. This was the beginning of the use of sophisticated management accounting information for decision making, planning and control. Besides focusing on internal matters, external factors such as a change in the environment and customers preferences were given priority. Probability analysis was administered for performance evaluation and the development of computers helped information to be more effectively managed than before.

The shift of focus from waste reduction to value creation, through the effective use of resources and technologies, typified Stage 4 (1985 to 1995) of the management accounting evolution. During this stage, it became important to identify the drivers of customer value, shareholder value and organizational innovation. Contemporary management accounting techniques such as Just-In-Time (JIT), Target Costing, Balance Scorecard (BSC) and Strategic Management Accounting (SMA) gained dominance during this period. These management accounting tools and techniques are capable of considering a broad spectrum of information. The BSC for example considers financial and non-financial information while the SMA is externally focused. With the advancement in IT, management accounting information can be highly integrated with many functions in the organization and provision of

information can be made in a timely manner. Hence, the sophistication of management accounting information is essential for value creation and for the long term success and survival of an organization (IFAC, 1998).

Although the manufacturing sector has not diminished in terms of its contribution to the economy, advanced economies have become increasingly dependent upon their service sectors since World War II (Lowry, 1990). In fact, the service industry is now the main contributor towards the GDP of most countries. As part of the service sector, financial institutions act as intermediaries between the surplus and deficit units in the economy. This intermediary role is crucial for efficient allocation of resources in the modern economy (Sinkey, 2002; El-Hawary et al., 2007). A collapse of the financial institutions would affect the stability of the whole economy, and hence, it is crucial to maintain the soundness and the stability of the financial institutions in this increasingly competitive and fast changing environment.

Financial liberalization and technological revolution intensify competitive pressures among financial institutions. By liberalization governments provide a more conducive business environment through which the safety and soundness of the sector can be maintained, while financial institutions are given the flexibility to develop their own strategies to remain competitive. Financial institutions were forced by globalisation to become stronger in order to compete better internationally. To remain competitive some financial institutions started to consolidate as mergers appeared to be the best vehicle to realize operating cost efficiencies and profitability gains (Lissette, 1997).

At the same time advances in technology allowed financial institutions to develop new and efficient delivery and processing channels as well as allowing them to be more innovative in delivering new products and services. The complexity of the financial services business also increased due to the emergence of these increasingly innovative products and distribution channels. This highly competitive environment compels financial institution managers to meet their customers and stakeholders' expectations much more closely to ensure the survival and success of the business. Within this uncertain environment managers need more information for decision making. An appropriate information system can help managers satisfy the expectations of their customers and stakeholders and thus achieve organizational goals (Damonte et al., 1997).

Therefore, in line with the focus on the effective use of resources and technologies for value creation, management accounting systems (MAS) need to be designed to provide sophisticated information for decision-making, improvement, and control in organizations (Atkinson et al., 2001). As part of the management processes the management accounting function should be able to provide this sophisticated information, covering a broad spectrum of information, in an integrated, aggregated and timely manner for organizational success and survival.

Also, as financial institutions are essentially in the risk management business they are currently integrating business line performance management with risk management, thereby implementing an essential part of Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) (Bowling and Rieger, 2005; Bowling et al., 2003). The interest in the implementation of ERM among financial services firms has grown steadily as it gives a competitive advantage while facilitating compliance with regulations. Under traditional risk management individual risk categories are separately managed in each risk category, whereas with ERM, firms manage a wide array of risks in an integrated, holistic fashion (Liebenberg and Hoyt, 2003).