



BIOPHILIA IN THE NEIGHBOURHOOD:  
CHILDREN'S CONNECTION WITH THEIR NEARBY  
NATURE

BY

`IZZAH ADIBAH BINTI ISMAIL

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the  
degree of Master of Science in Built Environment

Kulliyyah of Architecture and Environmental Design  
International Islamic University Malaysia

MARCH 2015

## ABSTRACT

This research focuses on children's connection with their natural environment by the agency of outdoor activities. It emphasises on nearby nature as a setting that triggers the children's sense of unstructured play. Outdoor activities offer children the chances to interact with nature and to nurture their sense of *biophilia*. Biophilia can be described as the affection for living things or nature. Thus, a positive environment for the children's development needs to consist of *biophilic design* in order to encourage contact with nature on a daily basis. Hence, this study aims at establishing the preferences of children in their natural environment, accompanied by an understanding of the sense of biophilia in their urban neighbourhood settings. Three objectives are formulated: (i) to examine the types of nearby nature that middle childhood children are connected with within their neighbourhood settings, (ii) to identify the children's perception of nature (preference or otherwise) and their sense of biophilia, and (iii) to determine the physical characteristics of nearby nature that connect the children to Biophilia. The scope of this study highlights on middle childhood children in an urban neighbourhood with various socio-demographic backgrounds in Taman Melati, Kuala Lumpur. Accordingly, in order to reveal the children's behaviour and thought, a mixed-method research design was conducted through questionnaire survey, semi-structured interview and observation. A review of literature was also carried out in the study. Data obtained from the data collection stage were analysed by descriptive statistics and content analysis. The finding shows that most of the children are familiar with places that are dominated by natural elements, while mixed elements of man-made and nature prevails in the preferred places. Due to children's limited independence mobility, both of the places are close-proximity to home. The familiar places afford children with unstructured activities, while the preferred places govern balanced types of children's activities. Children value the preferred places because the places make them happiest, afford a sense of privacy and rich in natural affordances. In general, children prefer mixed elements, with more inclination to the natural elements of the settings. Besides, parental restriction, availability of social interactions, structured lifestyles, accessibility and maintenance are the influential factors that influence children's opportunity to be outdoors. It is important to eliminate existing physical problems in children's environment since they usually lead to other social problems. Furthermore, the majority of them have positive views about nature. Notably, regular direct connection with nature developed children's sense of biophilia. Environmental knowledge supported by the sense of biophilia is a strong indicator for their willingness to protect the environment. In brief, the findings are valuable and useful in for designing children's environment that nurtures their sense of biophilia.

## ملخص البحث

هذا البحث الذي قام به الوكالة الأنشطة الخارجية يركز على اتصال الأطفال مع بيئتهم الطبيعية. ويشدّد على الطبيعة المجاورة كإعداد الذي يقوم بتشغيل إحساس الأطفال من اللعب غير المهيكل. إنّ الأنشطة الخارجية الترفيهية تقدّم لالأطفال فرص التفاعل مع الطبيعة وتعزيز شعورهم بايوفيليا. ويمكن وصف بايوفيليا بشعور الإعجاب والحب للكائنات الحية أو الطبيعة. بالتالي فالبيئة الإيجابية لتنمية الأطفال يجب أن تتكون من التصميم الذي يشجّع الاتصال مع الطبيعة يوميا. ومن تمّ تهدف هذه الدراسة إلى تحديد اماكن فضاءه الأطفال في بيئتهم الطبيعية، ويرفقها بالفهم عن شعور بايوفيليا في إعدادات المناطق الحضرية والمدن. وقد تمت صياغة ثلاثة أهداف لهذا البحث: (أ) فحص أنواع الطبيعة المجاورة التي ترتبط الأطفال في مرحلة الطفولة المتوسطة بإعداداتهم. (ب) تحديد تصور الاطفال عن الطبيعة (المفضلة و غير المفضلة لديهم) وشعور بايوفيليا لديهم (ج) تحديد الخصائص الفيزيائية للطبيعة المجاورة التي تربط الأطفال بايوفيليا. ونطاق هذه الدراسة يبرز أن الأطفال في مرحلة الطفولة المتوسطة في حي من أحياء المناطق الحضرية لهم مختلف الخلفيات الاجتماعية والديموغرافية في تامين ملاقي، كوالا لمبور. وفقا لذلك، ومن أجل الكشف عن سلوك وفكرة الأطفال، أجري تصميم البحث لطرائق مختلفة من خلال أدوات الاستبيان، والمقابلة شبه هيكلية والملاحظات. وقد تمّ مراجعة الدراسات السابقة أيضا في الدراسة الكاليفورنية. واما تحليل البيانات التي تمّ الحصول عليها في مرحلة جمع البيانات حيث لتتدم طرق الإحصاء الوصفي وتحليل المحتوى. ويظهر من هذا الاكتشاف أن معظم الأطفال يفضلون الأماكن التي يسيطر عليها عناصر الطبيعة. بينما توجد العناصر المختلطة التي صنعها الإنسان والطبيعة في الأماكن المفضلة. والسبب هو أن التنقل باستقلال هو أمر محدود للأطفال فكلاهما من الأماكن قريبان من المنزل. فالأماكن المألوفة تتيح الأطفال الفرصة للمشاركة في الأنشطة غير المهيكلية. وأما الاماكن المفضلة فإنهم لا تمتلك أنواع الأنشطة المتوازنة (بين المهيكلية وغير مهيكلية). يقدر الأطفال الأماكن المفضلة لكونها تجعلهم أكثر سعادة، وتحمل الشعور بالخصوصية ولكونها غنية بالامكانيات التي تفاعل مع الطبيعة. وبشكل عام، أنّ الأطفال يفضلون العناصر المختلطة، مع مزيد من الميل إلى العناصر الطبيعية من الإعدادات. إلى جانب ذلك، تقييد الوالدين، وتوافر التفاعلات الاجتماعية، وأنماط الحياة المهيكلية، وسهولة الوصول والصيانة هي العوامل المؤثرة التي تمنح الاطفال الفرصة لمخروج في الهواء الطلق. ومن الأهمية بمكان إزالة المشاكل المادية الموجودة من بيئة الأطفال لأنها عادة ما تؤدي إلى مشاكل اجتماعية أخرى. وعلاوة على ذلك، فإن الغالبية منهم لديهم وجهة النظر الإيجابية عن الطبيعة. والجدير بالذكر، أن التّصال المباشر المستمر مع الطبيعة تطوّر إحساس بايوفيليا للأطفال. المعارف البيئية التي يدعمها الإحساس والشعور بايوفيليا هو مؤشر قوي على استعدادهم لحماية البيئة في المستقبل. وباختصار، فإن نتائج الدراسة قيمة ومفيدة لتصميم بيئة الأطفال التي تغذي وترعى شعورهم بايوفيليا.

## APPROVAL PAGE

I certify that I have supervised and read this study and that in my opinion it conforms to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation and is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science (Built Environment).

.....  
Mazlina Binti Mansor  
Supervisor

.....  
Nurul Syala Bt. Abdul Latip  
Co-Supervisor

I certify that I have read this study and that in my opinion it conforms to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation and is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science (Built Environment).

.....  
Maheran Yaman  
Internal Examiner

This thesis was submitted to the Department of Landscape Architecture and is accepted as a fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science (Built Environment).

.....  
Zainul Mukrim Baharuddin  
Head, Department of Landscape Architecture

This thesis was submitted to the Kulliyah of Architecture and Environmental Design and is accepted as a fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science (Built Environment).

.....  
Alias Abdullah  
Dean, Kulliyah of Architecture and  
Environmental Design

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of my own investigation, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

`Izzah Adibah Binti Ismail

Signature.....

Date .....

**INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA**

**DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION  
OF FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH**

Copyright ©2015 by `Izzah Adibah Binti Ismail. All rights reserved.

**BIOPHILIA IN THE NEIGHBOURHOOD: CHILDREN’S CONNECTION  
WITH THEIR NEARBY NATURE**

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below.

1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieval system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

Affirmed by `Izzah Adibah Binti Ismail

.....  
Signature

.....  
Date

*I wholeheartedly dedicate this thesis to...*

*My beloved family*

*You are my greatest blessings*

*Thank you.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the Name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful

*Alhamdulillah*, all praise to The Most Merciful Allah SWT for His countless blessings on me and those who are important in my life.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisors, Asst. Prof. Dr. Mazlina Binti Mansor and Asst. Prof. Dr. Nurul Syala Bt. Abdul Latip for their knowledge, guidance, encouragement and concern throughout the journey of this study. Lessons shared and learnt from their previous experiences and perspectives of life are fully appreciated.

I would also like to convey my appreciation to all lecturers and staff of the Kulliyah of Architecture and Environmental Design, especially for their helpfulness and cooperative attitudes. I am also blessed to be accompanied by good friends and beautiful people during this journey.

Finally, my utmost appreciation goes to my cherished family for their everlasting love. They have been a great source of my strength. My special acknowledgement also goes to those who have contributed to this journey, may Allah S.W.T reward you for your kindness. Thank you.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                           |       |
|---------------------------|-------|
| Abstract.....             | ii    |
| Abstract in Arabic.....   | iii   |
| Approval Page.....        | iv    |
| Declaration Page.....     | v     |
| Copyright Page.....       | vi    |
| Dedication Page.....      | vii   |
| Acknowledgements.....     | viii  |
| List of Tables.....       | xiii  |
| List of Figures.....      | xvi   |
| List of Abbreviation..... | xviii |

|                                       |          |
|---------------------------------------|----------|
| <b>CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION.....</b> | <b>1</b> |
| 1.1 Introduction.....                 | 1        |
| 1.2 Research Background.....          | 1        |
| 1.3 Problem Statements.....           | 5        |
| 1.4 Research Questions.....           | 10       |
| 1.5 Research Aim and Objectives.....  | 10       |
| 1.6 Research Significance.....        | 11       |
| 1.7 Scope of Study.....               | 12       |
| 1.8 Research Stages.....              | 12       |
| 1.9 Thesis Structure.....             | 14       |

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| <b>CHAPTER TWO: MIDDLE CHILDHOOD CHILDREN AND THEIR<br/>NEEDS OF OUTDOOR PLAY.....</b> | <b>16</b> |
| 2.1 Introduction.....  | 16        |
| 2.2 Definition of Children.....  | 16        |
| 2.3 Children and Their Rights to Play.....   | 17        |
| 2.4 Children's Needs of Play.....  | 19        |
| 2.4.1 What is Play?.....   | 19        |
| 2.4.2 Types of Play.....   | 21        |
| a) Cognitive Play.....   | 21        |
| b) Social Play.....  | 23        |
| 2.4.3 Outdoor Play.....  | 24        |
| 2.5 Development in Middle Childhood.....   | 28        |
| 2.5.1 Physical Development.....  | 29        |
| 2.5.2 Cognitive Development.....   | 30        |
| 2.5.3 Social and Emotional Development.....  | 32        |
| 2.6 The Value of Play.....   | 34        |
| 2.7 Theoretical Framework.....   | 36        |
| 2.8 Summary.....   | 38        |

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <b>CHAPTER THREE: NATURE, BIOPHILIA AND CHILDREN'S CONNECTION WITH NATURE.....</b>          | <b>39</b> |
| 3.1 Introduction.....   | 39        |
| 3.2 'Nature' in Urban Environment and Nearby Nature.....                                    | 39        |
| 3.3 Biophilia.....  | 41        |
| 3.3.1 Biophilia Theory.....   | 42        |
| 3.3.2 Biophilia and Children.....   | 42        |
| 3.4 Connection and Disconnection of Children with Nature .....                              | 44        |
| 3.4.1 Children's Connection with Nature: Previous Generation Versus Today's Generation..... | 44        |
| 3.4.2 Children's Connection with Nature .....   | 47        |
| a) The Benefits of Children–Nature Connection.....  | 48        |
| 3.4.3 Disconnection and its Impacts to Children's Development.....                          | 52        |
| 3.5 Biophilic Design.....   | 61        |
| 3.5.1 The Dimensions of Biophilic Design.....   | 62        |
| a) Organic or Naturalistic Dimension.....   | 62        |
| b) Place-Based or Vernacular Dimension.....   | 62        |
| 3.5.2 The Elements and Attributes of Biophilic Design.....                                  | 63        |
| a) Environmental Features.....  | 63        |
| b) Natural Shapes and Forms.....  | 63        |
| c) Natural Pattern and Process.....   | 64        |
| d) Light and Space.....   | 65        |
| e) Place-Based Relationship.....  | 66        |
| f) Evolved Human-Nature Relationship.....   | 66        |
| 3.5.3 The Practice of Biophilic Design.....   | 68        |
| 3.6 Theoretical Framework .....   | 70        |
| 3.7 Summary.....  | 72        |

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| <b>CHAPTER FOUR: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....</b>             | <b>73</b> |
| 4.1 Introduction.....                                      | 73        |
| 4.2 Research Design.....                                   | 72        |
| 4.3 Setting.....   | 80        |
| 4.3.1 Study Area Background.....                           | 80        |
| 4.3.2 The Site Study.....                                  | 81        |
| 4.3.3 Population.....                                      | 84        |
| 4.3.4 Taman Melati Housing: Land Use Planning of Area..... | 84        |
| a) Types of Nearby Nature in Taman Melati.....             | 86        |
| 4.4 Units of Analysis.....                                 | 93        |
| 4.5 Types of Data.....                                     | 94        |
| 4.5.1 Literature Reviews.....                              | 95        |
| a) Study Variables .....                                   | 95        |
| 4.5.2 Preliminary Field Study.....                         | 97        |
| 4.5.3 Interview Survey .....                               | 97        |
| a) Design of Questionnaire Survey .....                    | 98        |
| b) Design of Semi-Structured Interview .....               | 99        |
| 4.5.4 Reliability of the Instruments .....                 | 101       |
| 4.6 Data Collection Procedure.....                         | 102       |
| 4.6.1 Interview Survey .....                               | 103       |

|                                   |     |
|-----------------------------------|-----|
| 4.6.2 Observation .....           | 105 |
| 4.7 Analysis Of Data.....         | 106 |
| 4.7.1 Descriptive Statistics..... | 107 |
| 4.7.2 Content Analysis .....      | 107 |
| 4.8 Triangulation.....            | 108 |
| 4.9 Summary.....                  | 109 |

**CHAPTER FIVE: FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS..... 110**

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| 5.1 Introduction.....   | 110 |
| 5.2 Respondents' Characteristics.....   | 110 |
| 5.3 Connection With Nearby Nature.....  | 114 |
| 5.3.1 Availability of Nearby Nature for Children.....   | 114 |
| 5.3.2 Children's Preference of Outdoors Play.....   | 117 |
| 5.3.3 Children's Independent Mobility.....  | 118 |
| 5.3.4 Children's Familiarity of Outdoor Places.....   | 128 |
| 5.3.4.1 Types of Familiar Outdoor Place.....  | 128 |
| 5.3.4.2 Modes of Travel to the Familiar Outdoor Places.....   | 134 |
| 5.3.4.3 Duration and Time of Visits to the Familiar Outdoor<br>Places.....                                | 135 |
| 5.3.4.4 Reasons for Visiting Familiar Outdoor Place.....  | 137 |
| 5.3.4.5 Activities in Familiar Outdoor Places.....  | 140 |
| 5.3.4.6 Discussion on Children Familiar Outdoor Places.....   | 143 |
| 5.3.5 Children's Preferred Outdoor Place and Their Sense of<br>Biophilia.....                             | 147 |
| 5.3.5.1 Children's Preferred Outdoor Place.....   | 147 |
| (a) Types of Preferred Outdoor Place.....   | 148 |
| (b) Distance and Time Taken to Preferred Place.....   | 150 |
| (c) Frequency of Visit to Preferred Place.....  | 153 |
| (d) Reason for Visiting and Feeling Perceived in<br>Preferred Places.....                                 | 154 |
| (e) Activities Perform at the Preferred Place.....  | 157 |
| 5.3.5.2 Children Sense of Biophilia.....  | 160 |
| (a) Children Perception of Natural Environment.....   | 160 |
| 5.3.5.3 Children Knowledge about Environment.....   | 165 |
| (a) Children Justification on Environmental<br>Degradation.....   | 165 |
| (b) Awareness on Environmental Issues.....  | 166 |
| 5.3.5.4 Discussion on Children's Preferred Place and Their<br>Sense of Biophilia.....                     | 169 |
| 5.3.6 Physical Characteristics of The Environment That Stimulate<br>Biophilic Design.....                 | 171 |
| 5.3.6.1 Preference of Man-made or Natural Elements.....   | 171 |
| 5.3.6.2 Affordances in Nearby Nature.....   | 173 |
| 5.3.6.3 Neighbourhood Accessibility.....  | 176 |
| 5.3.6.4 Reason for Dissatisfaction of the Outdoor Place.....  | 177 |
| 5.3.6.5 Discussion on Physical Characteristics Of The<br>Environment That Stimulate Biophilic Design..... | 180 |
| 5.4 Summary.....  | 182 |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <b>CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....</b>             | <b>185</b> |
| 6.1 Introduction.....   | 185        |
| 6.2 Main Findings.....  | 185        |
| 6.3 Recommendations.....  | 194        |
| 6.4 Research Limitation.....  | 198        |
| 6.5 Recommendation for Future Research.....                         | 199        |
| 6.6 Conclusion.....   | 200        |
| <br>  |            |
| <b>BIBLIOGRAPHY.....</b>  | <b>201</b> |
| <br>  |            |
| APPENDIX A: THE ELEMENTS AND ATTRIBUTES OF BIOPHILIC<br>DESIGN..... | 210        |
| APPENDIX B: SURVEY INTERVIEW.....                                   | 212        |
| APPENDIX C: OBSERVATION LIST.....                                   | 226        |

## LIST OF TABLES

| <u>Table No.</u> |  | <u>Page No.</u> |
|------------------|--|-----------------|
| 2.1              | Summary of children's development characteristics  | 17              |
| 2.2              | Studies on definition of play and its concepts   | 20              |
| 2.3              | Unstructured and structured play   | 27              |
| 2.4              | Phases or tasks of middle childhood children   | 29              |
| 3.1              | Studies on diminishing connection with nature  | 46              |
| 3.2              | Studies on impact of disconnection with nature to children   | 53              |
| 3.3              | Elements and attributes of biophilic design  | 67              |
| 4.1              | Case study research and techniques on children and their outdoor environment   | 75              |
| 4.2              | Ethnic groups in Taman Melati  | 84              |
| 4.3              | Types of house in Taman Melati   | 85              |
| 4.4              | Study variables  | 96              |
| 4.5              | Types of data collection used to address each research question  | 100             |
| 5.1              | Cross-tabulation between availability of nature within home area and amount of nature that children encountered  | 114             |
| 5.2              | Cross-tabulation between children's preference to be outdoors and weather condition  | 117             |
| 5.3              | Cross-tabulation between children's distance range to wander outdoors with children's transportation to school, children's company to and from school, children's and their parents' perception on safety to be outdoors | 124             |

| <u>Table No.</u> |  | <u>Page No.</u> |
|------------------|--|-----------------|
| 5.4              | Cross-tabulation between types of house and their range of wanders outdoors          | 126             |
| 5.5              | Cross-tabulation between children's range to wander with gender and age              | 127             |
| 5.6              | Cross-tabulation between familiar outdoor places with gender and age                 | 129             |
| 5.7              | Cross-tabulation between familiar outdoor places types of house                      | 132             |
| 5.8              | Duration and visiting hours to the familiar outdoor places                           | 136             |
| 5.9              | Cross-tabulation between reasons to be outdoors and types of familiar outdoor places | 138             |
| 5.10             | Cross-tabulation between activities in familiar outdoor place with gender and age    | 141             |
| 5.11             | Cross-tabulation between preferred place with age and gender                         | 149             |
| 5.12             | Cross-tabulation between preferred place and its distance                            | 151             |
| 5.13             | Cross-tabulation between time taken to preferred place and its distance              | 152             |
| 5.14             | Restriction from playing longer  | 154             |
| 5.15             | Factors that make the preferred place more special than familiar place               | 156             |
| 5.16             | Children's feeling at preferred place  | 157             |
| 5.17             | Children perception of natural environment   | 163             |
| 5.18             | Children justification on environmental degradation                                  | 166             |
| 5.19             | Knowledge on how to save environment   | 166             |
| 5.20             | Cross-tabulation between parents' influence and children's behaviour                 | 168             |

| <u>Table No.</u> |   | <u>Page No.</u> |
|------------------|---|-----------------|
| 5.21             | Cross-tabulation between parents' influence and children's type of house                        | 169             |
| 5.22             | Preference of man-made or natural elements  | 172             |
| 5.23             | Determination of play settings  | 173             |
| 5. 24            | Availability of natural elements  | 174             |
| 5.25             | Affordances of natural elements   | 175             |
| 5.26             | Cross-tabulation between children's ability to cross road and their history in traffic accident | 176             |
| 5.27             | Bullies or territorial conflict   | 177             |
| 5.28             | Factors for dissatisfaction of outdoor place  | 178             |

## LIST OF FIGURES

| <u>Figure No.</u> |  | <u>Page No.</u> |
|-------------------|--|-----------------|
| 1.1               | Flow chart of research stages of the thesis  | 13              |
| 2.1               | Framework of middle childhood children and their needs of outdoor play             | 37              |
| 3.1               | Framework of nature, biophilia and children's connection with nature               | 71              |
| 4.1               | Research design flow chart   | 79              |
| 4.2               | Six strategic zones of Kuala Lumpur  | 81              |
| 4.3               | The site plan and land use of study area   | 83              |
| 4.4               | Types of nearby nature in terrace house areas (local park and neighbourhood field) | 90              |
| 4.5               | Types of nearby nature in walk-up flats area (playfield and home garden)           | 91              |
| 4.6               | Types of nearby nature in highrise flats (playlot and nearby nature)               | 92              |
| 4.7               | Triangulation  | 109             |
| 5.1               | Gender and age distribution  | 111             |
| 5.2               | Types of children's house  | 112             |
| 5.3               | Types of children's house  | 113             |
| 5.4               | Types of children's house  | 113             |
| 5.5               | Types of nature encountered by children  | 116             |
| 5.6               | Children's and parent's perception of safety                                       | 119             |
| 5.7               | Children's school  | 120             |



| <u>Figure No.</u> |  | <u>Page No.</u> |
|-------------------|--|-----------------|
| 5.8               | Transport to and from school   | 122             |
| 5.9               | Company to and from school   | 122             |
| 5.10              | Parents' perception of safety and children's range to wander                                       | 123             |
| 5.11              | Types of children's familiar outdoor places  | 131             |
| 5.12              | Cross-tabulation between modes of travel and time taken to the familiar outdoor places             | 134             |
| 5.13              | Girls' activities according to age   | 142             |
| 5.14              | Boys' activities according to age  | 142             |
| 5.15              | Types of place between preferred outdoor place and familiar outdoor place                          | 148             |
| 5.16              | Time taken to preferred places and familiar places   | 152             |
| 5.17              | Frequency of visit to preferred places and familiar places   | 153             |
| 5.18              | Reason for visiting between preferred place and familiar place                                     | 155             |
| 5.19              | Comparison of activities in preferred place and familiar places                                    | 158             |
| 5.20              | Children's unstructured activities   | 159             |
| 5.21              | Children's structured activities   | 159             |
| 5.22              | Cross-tabulation between children's pet preference and children's noticing the existence of nature | 161             |
| 5.23              | Preference of types of natural environment   | 162             |
| 5.24              | Children's familiar types of connection to nature  | 164             |
| 5.25              | Sources of information on the awareness of the environmental issues                                | 167             |
| 5.26              | Dissatisfaction of the outdoor places  | 179             |
| 5.27              | Dissatisfaction of the outdoor places  | 179             |

## LIST OF ABBREVIATION

|      |   |
|------|---|
| CIM  | : Children's Independence Mobility        |
| DBKL | : Dewan Bandaraya Kuala Lumpur            |
| EHA  | : Established Housing                     |
| LRT  | : Light Railway Transit                   |
| KLCP | : Kuala Lumpur City Plan                  |
| KLSP | : Kuala Lumpur Structure Plan             |
| JPBD | : Jabatan Perancangan Bandar dan Desa     |
| MRR2 | : Middle Ring Road 2                      |
| R3   | : Residential 3                           |
| RQ   | : Research Question                       |
| PH   | : Public Housing                          |
| WHO  | : World Health Organization               |
| SPSS | : Statistical Package for Social Sciences |

# **CHAPTER ONE**

## **INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 INTRODUCTION**

This chapter is an overview of study on the children's connection with their natural environment or what this research calls as *nearby nature*. In doing so, the study investigates the ways in which children connect with nearby nature and the physical characteristics that determine this connection to the natural environment. The first section discusses on the background of research, which provides an overview of the major topics that include middle childhood children's need of play, nearby nature and the Biophilia theory. Section 1.3 addresses the problem statements of the research. Issues concerning the children's relationship with nature are discussed in general and then further examined in the Malaysian context. The next section reveals the research questions, aim and objectives of the study. Section 1.5 of this chapter elaborates the significance of the study further and explains the scopes of study and structure of the research in detail.

### **1.2 RESEARCH BACKGROUND**

This research focuses on middle childhood children as the unit of analysis of the study. According to Jean Piaget, a child psychologist, middle childhood children who are in the ages of 6 or 7 to 12 years old, are reaching the stage of concrete operational thought (Saul McLeod, 2008). Thus, the selection of children from this stage is mainly due to their ability to interpret their experiences and feelings to others (Nor Fadzila and Ismail, 2012).

This study focuses on the children's connection with the natural environment through play. Natural environment within the children's range varies from domestic gardens, school compounds, streets, vacant lot, and parks to playgrounds within their vicinity. Furthermore, this study also emphasises on any omnipresence of nature elements within walking distance from a child's home, or widely known as *nearby nature*. The nearby nature amplifies the children's play and it exists in many forms. They can be a small grassy mound area to play tag and chase; an isolated secret place for group's gathering in an abandoned mining site; a place where children can pick fruits and flowers; and a place where they might get lucky to come across cats, squirrels or other animals. Regardless the form, the nearby nature is able to trigger the children's sense of play.

The novelty that the outdoor environment offers is qualitatively different from indoor environments. The outdoor environment allows children to express themselves, either through structured play or unstructured play. This study, on the other hand, urges to focus on unstructured play in outdoor environment. Unstructured play grants children the chance to play comfortably without consistent interruption from adults (Tovey, 2007). At the same time, it also allows children to create their own challenges and imaginations accordingly to affordances of nature.

Moreover, outdoor play activities encourage the children's innate interaction to nature. This closeness to nature emerges when children learn to value the natural environment. Biologist, Edward O. Wilson (1984), dubbed '*biophilia*' as "the connection that human beings subconsciously seek with the rest of life". He stressed that human beings have an innate and an evolutionary-based affinity for nature. Additionally, the biophilic design is believed to add value to energy-centric concepts of sustainable or green design (Kellert et al., 2008). In general, the biophilic design

purpose does not only focus on conserving energy, but also concentrates on producing human energy. Designing by means of permitting sufficient natural light, air ventilation and inducing some natural elements are some examples of the biophilic design.

In addition, biophilia in built environment represents an opportunity for us to reconnect with nature, not just to appreciate it visually, but most importantly, by making direct connection with nature on regular basis. The biophilic design matters the most for two primary reasons; (i) human performance metrics, and (ii) appreciation of nature. For instance, the advantages of biophilic design for in human health, particular in hospitals settings, include faster healing recovery, expense on using strong painkiller, reducing anxiety and relieving pain (Kellert et al., 2008). Moreover, the biophilic design also enhances human intelligence (Louv, 2008). ‘Nature smart’ is the eighth intelligence from all eight types of intelligence proposed by Howard Gardner (1983). Children who possessed this kind of intelligence have keen sensory skills, good in recognising and categorising species or object found in natural elements, prefer to be outdoors, interest in nature related themes, and good at observing their surrounding patterns (Louv, 2008). Besides, the time spent with nature during childhood positively influences their creativity as adults (Louv, 2008). Another advantage of the biophilic design is the outstanding appreciation for nature. This desire to value nature without harming the nature, in turn will motivate people to protect nature elsewhere and have better chances in having direct connection with nature. In Japan, the “Ring Around a Tree” by Yui and Takaharu Tezuka, is a great example of kindergarten that was built around a big tree. Aside from showing great appreciation to nature by maintaining the existing tree, it also gives emphasis on

appreciating the surrounding view of nature from the inside. Interestingly, the design also responds to the children's ergonomic, in terms of its size and shape.

Additionally, research on children's outdoor environment has evolved through time. Studies of children and their outdoor environment demonstrate that trend on types of children's outdoor environment, and research concerning them have changed over time. According to Nor Fadzila and Ismail (2012), before the year of 1990, studies were mainly focused on specific environments, such as playground, street and school ground. The research concerns during that time were primarily on the design and safety aspects of the children's play space. In the 1970s and 1980s, examples of early studies on this topic, provide information on the children's range of behaviour, which were found to be influenced by the children's maturity level rather than obstacles from the built environment and parents (Kellert et al., 2008). Moreover, the evolution of studies on children's outdoor environment can be seen during the early 1990s, where studies were focused on the wider environment, such as within the neighbourhood area, public places and also, the playground areas. These studies investigated on factors that determine the children's use of outdoor spaces, children's experiences being outdoors and its impact on children's development.

Besides, during the years between 2000 and 2010, studies on children's outdoor were still commonly carried out for residential areas with an extension on school grounds and also projected more interest on the natural environment. Recently, research on the relationship between natural attributes and children's development becomes more significant than before. The research from this period proves that the limitation on children's use of outdoor environment brings negative consequences to their development and play experience (Nor Fadzila and Ismail, 2012). In addition, more researches during these years are concerned about the roles of design to

encourage children's activities, by integrating natural elements into the children's play space. Hence, this study embarked on exploring and understanding the phenomenon of children's connection with the natural environment.

### **1.3 PROBLEM STATEMENTS**

People from all walks of life are experiencing the impacts of children's limited opportunity to connect with the natural environment (Kellert et al., 2008). One of the broadly known negative effects to children is '*nature deficit disorder*', which was popularized by Richard Louv (2008). This term suggests the human costs of alienation from nature, by higher rates of emotional and physical illness, decline in children's use of senses and attention difficulties.

Apart from the children's declined in physical and mental health, there are also negative effects of '*nature deficit disorder*' which from a broader perspective will worsen the connection between children and nature. For instance, this issue has also contributed to fear of nature itself or known as *biophobia*. Biophobia has significant negative effects on the children's life. Biophobia, which is a dislike feeling towards nature, can be seen when a person becomes extremely attached to be indoors and becomes uncomfortable being outdoors (White and Stoecklin, 1998). In relation to this, according to White (2008), middle childhood children are in the stage where they undergo '*bonding with the earth stage*'. This means that a feeling of dislike to nature or biophobia may develop, if the children's affiliation towards nature '*biophilia*' are being curbed and disturbed during their middle childhood years from thriving.

In addition, unlike the previous generation, today's generation has lesser appreciation for the environment (Clements, 2004). Insufficient experience in nature during younger years in one's life will influence their attitudes towards environmental

issues, whereby they are more inclined to form negative perspectives towards nature (Ainul and Suhardi, 2012).

At the same time, the lack of affinity to nature can be seen when people do not regard the existence of nature as part of their life. This perspective puts more gaps between people and nature and encourages disconnection from the natural environment. This is supported by Vining et al., (2008) where they suggested that dissonance may arise, even though people generally perceived themselves as part of nature. This is because most people perceive natural places as independent from human contact or interferences. Such conflict begins when people assume that the human built environment can exist independently without the natural environment. At the same time, this misunderstanding will support environmental abuse and worsen people's weak inclination towards nature (Kellert, 2005). These negative effects are formed because of the children's disconnection from nature.

Within the past few decades, studies suggested a worldwide emerging pattern of declining numbers of children's activities in the natural environment. This phenomenon is prominent in urban areas. However, this phenomenon is also not an exception in rural areas, although the places are synonymous with abundance of natural elements (Min and Lee, 2006). In spite of that, nowadays, it is also difficult to find children wandering in the neighbourhood, and the culture of walking and cycling to school seems to have been diminished too. Furthermore, Tovey (2007) reported that children in rural and urban areas are experiencing a decline of freedom to play outdoors. This is in regards to their independence mobility, which has shrunk drastically from the way it was in 1970s. Thus, it is found that children seen unsupervised playing outdoors, particularly in unstructured play using natural elements have increasingly decreased.