AN ANALYSIS OF THE PLANNING EFFECTIVENESS ON OPEN SPACE AS A SOCIAL PLACE USING GIS AND REMOTE SENSING TECHNIQUES

BY

WAN RAMLAH WAN MOHAMAD

A dissertation submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Urban and Regional Planning

Kulliyyah of Architecture and Environmental Design International Islamic University Malaysia

AUGUST 2017

ABSTRACT

This study was to analyze the planning effectiveness on open space as a sociable place by using the GIS and Remote Sensing techniques. Since a long time ago, open spaces have always been threatened and sacrificed for the developments which affecting the distribution and the planning of open spaces in an area. The open space is essentially needed by human to perform social activities because it offers numerous advantages for them. Thus, the objectives of the study are; to identify the current planning practice of open space towards the social activities at the study area, to assess the users' satisfaction regarding the open space quality, to investigate on how GIS and remote sensing can assist in providing spatial data to handle planning problems and to underline some recommendations. The assessment focused on the open space's hierarchy (size and type), location, accessibility, facilities and vegetation. The study was conducted at four sections in Petaling Java; SS 22, SS 23, SS 24 and SS 26. The GIS data was adopted to identify the current open space planning practice towards social activities at the study area in terms of the open space hierarchy, the location and the accessibility. The SPOT 2 (1990) and SPOT 5 (2011) satellite image were used to investigate the land cover changes, open space distribution and the presence of the vegetation at the open space. The face to face questionnaire survey was done at the study area to get the user's perception and their satisfaction level towards the open space's size, location, accessibility, facilities and vegetation. However, a general Google online survey was also done to support the findings where it concerned on the general opinion from other open space's users within Malaysia. These surveys involved 100 respondents. The result indicated, the open space planning in study areas has improved in 2011 and remained until now. This proved that GIS and remote sensing has greatly helped in handling the urban planning matters by providing relevant spatial data. For the assessment, it was found that the effective elements in open space planning towards social activities are; the hierarchy, the location in terms of the visibility and the inviting sense, the accessibility (only at the study area, not for other open spaces in Malaysia) and the vegetation, meanwhile, ineffective elements are; the location aspect in terms of the safety, the accessibility in terms of the distance at other open spaces in Malaysia and the facilities provided. For social activities, the participation of users is reasonably good.

ملخص

كانت هذه الدراسة تهدف إلى تحليل فعالية التخطيط على الفضاء المفتوح بوصفه مكانا اجتماعيا باستخدام تقنيات نظم المعلومات الجغرافية والاستشعار عن بعد. منذ زمن طويل، كانت المساحات المفتوحة مهددة دائما وتتم التضحية بالتطورات التي تؤثر على توزيع وتخطيط المساحات المفتوحة في منطقة ما. إن الفضاء المفتوح ضروري أساسا من قبل الإنسان لأداء الأنشطة الاجتماعية لأنه يوفر العديد من المزايا بالنسبة لهم. وبالتالي، فإن أهداف الدراسة هي؛ لتحديد ممارسة التخطيط الحالية للفضاء المفتوح نحو الأنشطة الاجتماعية في منطقة الدراسة، وتقييم مدى رضا المستخدمين عن نوعية الفضاء المفتوح، والتحقيق في الكيفية التي يمكن أن تساعد بها نظم المعلومات الجغرافية والاستشعار عن بعد في توفير البيانات المكانية لمعالجة مشاكل التخطيط وعلى بعض التوصيات. وركز التقييم على التسلسل الهرمي للمساحات المفتوحة (الحجم والنوع)، والموقع، وإمكانية الوصول، والمرافق والنباتات. وأجريت الدراسة في أربعة أقسام في بيتالينغ جايا؛ س.س. 22، و س.س. 23، و س.س. 24 و س.س. 26. تم اعتماد بيانات نظام المعلومات الجغرافية لتحديد الممارسة الحالية لتخطيط الفضاء المفتوح نحو الأنشطة الاجتماعية في منطقة الدراسة من حيث التسلسل الهرمي للمساحة المفتوحة، والموقع وإمكانية الوصول. واستخدمت الصورة الساتلية سبوت 2 (1990) وسبوت 5 (2011) للتحقيق في تغيرات الغطاء الأرضى، وتوزيع المساحات المفتوحة، ووجود الغطاء النباتي في الفضاء المفتوح. وجرى إجراء مسح استبيان في منطقة الدراسة للحصول على تصور المستخدمين ومستوى رضاهم تجاه حجم المساحات المفتوحة، والموقع، وإمكانية الوصول، والمرافق والنباتات. ومع ذلك، تم إجراء استطلاع عام على الإنترنت من غوغل أيضا لدعم النتائج التي تتعلق فيها بالرأي العام من المستخدمين الآخرين في الفضاء المفتوح داخل ماليزيا. وشملت هذه الدراسات الاستقصائية 100 عينة. وتشير النتيجة إلى أن تخطيط المساحات المفتوحة في مناطق الدراسة قد تحسن في عام 2011 وظل قائما حتى الآن. وقد أثبت ذلك أن نظم المعلومات الجغرافية والاستشعار عن بعد ساعدتا كثيرا في معالجة مسائل التخطيط الحضري من خلال توفير البيانات المكانية ذات الصلة. وللاطلاع على التقييم، تبين أن العناصر الفعالة في تخطيط الأماكن المفتوحة نحو الأنشطة الاجتماعية هي: والتسلسل الهرمي، والموقع من حيث الرؤية والشعور الجذاب، وإمكانية الوصول (فقط في منطقة الدراسة، وليس للمساحات المفتوحة الأخرى في ماليزيا) والغطاء النباتي، وفي الوقت نفسه، العناصر غير الفعالة هي؛ وجانب الموقع من حيث السلامة، وإمكانية الوصول من حيث المسافة في الأماكن المفتوحة الأخرى في ماليزيا والمرافق المقدمة. وبالنسبة للأنشطة الاجتماعية، فإن مشاركة المستخدمين جيدة إلى حد معقول.

APPROVAL PAGE

I certify that I have supervised and read this study a to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation a quality, as a dissertation for the degree of Master of	and is fully adequate, in scope and
	Norzailawati Hj Mohd Noor Supervisor
	Illyani Ibrahim Co-Supervisor
I certify that I have read this study and that in my standards of scholarly presentation and is fully ad dissertation for the degree of Master of Urban and F	lequate, in scope and quality, as a
	M. Zainora Asmawi Examiner
This dissertation was submitted to the Department of is accepted as a fulfilment of the requirement for t Regional Planning.	
	Syakir Amir Ab Rahman Head, Department of Urban and Regional Planning
This dissertation was submitted to the Kulliyyah of Design and is accepted as a fulfilment of the requirement of the requiremen	
	Abdul Razak Sapian Dean, Kulliyyah of Architecture and Environmental Design

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this dissertation is the result of n	ny own investigations, except
where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not b	een previously or concurrently
submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM of	r other institutions.
Wan Ramlah Wan Mohamad	
Signature	Date

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH

AN ANALYSIS OF THE PLANNING EFFECTIVENESS ON OPEN SPACE AS A SOCIAL PLACE USING GIS AND REMOTE SENSING TECHNIQUES

I declare that the copyright holders of this dissertation are jointly owned by the student and IIUM.

Copyright © 2017 Wan Ramlah Wan Mohamad and International Islamic University Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below

- 1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
- 2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
- 3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieved system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledged that I have read and understand the IIUM Intellectual Property Right and Commercialization policy.

Affirmed by Wan Ramlah Wan Mohamad	
Signature	Date

This dissertation is dedicated to my beloved family for their love and also to open space lovers who enjoy the feel of liveability, the beauty of greeneries and who delight always towards attachment with their community and the nature.

"If you had started doing anything two weeks ago, by today you would have been two
weeks better at it."

-John Mayer-

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah, all praises to Allah Almighty, The Most Merciful God.

It is only He who has granted me this precious opportunity for being a MURP student in KAED, IIUM, seeking His vast knowledge and only He who has bestowed me strength through the support from my dearest family, lecturers and friends.

I wish to express my gratitude to those who have directly or indirectly involved along my journey to complete this study.

Firstly, this appreciation is sincerely dedicated to my beloved parents and my family members for their encouragement, their trust on me to pass through this tough journey and their support mentally and financially. My niece for accompanying me during the site visit and the data collection in Petaling Jaya.

Secondly, I pay my deep sense of gratitude to my respected supervisor, Dr. Norzailawati Hj Mohd Noor, who has always been patience and has spent her valuable time to supervise, to support, to advice and to guide me until the paper is completed. A very big thank for her. Without her supervision, this paper will not be succeeded.

Thirdly, I extend my special thanks to Dr. Ilyani Ibrahim as my co-supervisor, and also to Dr. M. Zainora Asmawi and Dr. Mansor Ibrahim for their guidance in the early stage of my research study. Not forgotten, I gratefully acknowledge the support from other MURP lecturers, their precious teachings and knowledge starting from my first semester until the final semester.

Fourthly, it is my utmost pleasure to dedicate a humble thankful to all of my friends especially my friends in MURP and Architecture program. They have always been beside me, assisting me and sharing the knowledge with me.

Next, I submit my heartiest gratitude to all IIUM and KAED staffs for their kindliness in managing the submission of this paper.

Lastly, there are no words can be said, only He, the Most Powerful God is able to reward all of your kindness, patience and support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
Abstract in Arabic	iii
Approval Page	iv
Declaration	V
Copyright Page	vi
Dedication	vii
Acknowledgements	vii
List of Tables	xii
List of Figures	xiv
Abbreviation	xix
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Background	2
1.3 Problem Statement	4
1.4 Research Questions	6
1.5 Research Aim and Objectives	7
1.6 Scope of Study	7
1.7 Limitation of Study	9
1.8 Significance of Study	10
1.9 Study Structure	
1.10 Conclusion	13
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	14
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	
	14
2.1 Introduction	14 15
2.1 Introduction	14 15 16
2.1 Introduction	14 15 16 18
2.1 Introduction	14 15 16 18
2.1 Introduction	14 15 16 18 19
2.1 Introduction	14 15 16 18 19 20
2.1 Introduction	14 15 16 18 19 20 21
2.1 Introduction	14151619202126
2.1 Introduction 2.2 Definition of Open Space	14 15 18 19 20 21 26 30
2.1 Introduction 2.2 Definition of Open Space	14151618192021263030
2.1 Introduction 2.2 Definition of Open Space 2.3 History of Open Space in Malaysia 2.4 Development and Concept of Open Space Planning 2.4.1 Garden City by Ebenezer Howard 2.4.2 Neighborhood Unit by Clarence A. Perry (1929) 2.4.3 Radburn Layout by Clarence Stein and Henry Wright (1929) 2.5 Open Space Management in Malaysia 2.6 Open Space in Other Countries (Copenhagen, Denmark) 2.6.1 Background	14151619202126303030
2.1 Introduction 2.2 Definition of Open Space	1415161920212630303335
2.1 Introduction 2.2 Definition of Open Space	1415161920212630303535
2.1 Introduction 2.2 Definition of Open Space 2.3 History of Open Space in Malaysia 2.4 Development and Concept of Open Space Planning 2.4.1 Garden City by Ebenezer Howard 2.4.2 Neighborhood Unit by Clarence A. Perry (1929) 2.4.3 Radburn Layout by Clarence Stein and Henry Wright (1929). 2.5 Open Space Management in Malaysia 2.6 Open Space in Other Countries (Copenhagen, Denmark) 2.6.1 Background 2.6.2 Urban Planning: Five Finger Plan 2.6.3 Open Space and Social Activities in Copenhagen 2.7 Importance of Open Space 2.7.1 Social	1415161920212630303535
2.1 Introduction 2.2 Definition of Open Space 2.3 History of Open Space in Malaysia 2.4 Development and Concept of Open Space Planning 2.4.1 Garden City by Ebenezer Howard 2.4.2 Neighborhood Unit by Clarence A. Perry (1929) 2.4.3 Radburn Layout by Clarence Stein and Henry Wright (1929) . 2.5 Open Space Management in Malaysia 2.6 Open Space in Other Countries (Copenhagen, Denmark) 2.6.1 Background 2.6.2 Urban Planning: Five Finger Plan 2.6.3 Open Space and Social Activities in Copenhagen 2.7 Importance of Open Space 2.7.1 Social 2.7.2 Health	141516192021263030353535
2.1 Introduction 2.2 Definition of Open Space	141516192021263030353535
2.1 Introduction 2.2 Definition of Open Space	141518202126303035353535
2.1 Introduction 2.2 Definition of Open Space	14151619202126303335353535

2.10.1.2 Location	2.10.1.1 Hierarchy (Size and Type)	42
2.10.2 Physical Characteristics of Open Spaces		
2.10.2 Physical Characteristics of Open Spaces	2.10.1.3 Accessibility	44
2.10.2.1 Facilities		
2.10.2.2 Vegetation		
2.11 Theoretical of GIS And Remote Sensing 46 2.11.1 Geographic Information System (GIS) 44 2.11.2 Remote Sensing 48 2.12 The Application of GIS And Remote Sensing in Open Space Study and Urban Planning 55 2.12.1 GIS And Remote Sensing for Mapping 57 2.12.2 GIS And Remote Sensing for Analysis 56 2.13 Conclusion 66 3.1 Introduction 66 3.2 Study Area 6 3.2.1 History of Petaling Jaya 6 3.2.2 Petaling Jaya New Town's Open Space Concept 6 3.2.3 Site Selection 66 3.2.3.1 SS 22 (Damansara Jaya) 66 3.2.3.2 SS 23 (Taman SEA) 66 3.2.3.3 SS 24 (Taman Megah) 66 3.2.4 Justification of Site Selection 6 3.3.1 Stage 1: Background and Theoretical Studies 66 3.3.2 Stage 2: Data Collection 70 3.3.2 1.1 Site Verification and Observation 70 3.3.2.1 2 Questionnaire Survey 7 3.3.2.2 3 Remote Sensing Data 72 3.3.3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 72 3.3.3.3 Sampling Method for The Data Collection 72 3.3.3.3		
2.11.1 Geographic Information System (GIS) 46 2.11.2 Remote Sensing 44 2.12 The Application of GIS And Remote Sensing in Open Space Study and Urban Planning 55 2.12.1 GIS And Remote Sensing for Mapping 55 2.12.2 GIS And Remote Sensing for Analysis 55 2.13 Conclusion 60 CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY 60 3.1 Introduction 6 3.2 Study Area 6 3.2.1 History of Petaling Jaya 6 3.2.2 Petaling Jaya New Town's Open Space Concept 66 3.2.3 Site Selection 66 3.2.3.1 SS 22 (Damansara Jaya) 66 3.2.3.2 SS 23 (Taman SEA) 66 3.2.3.4 SS 26 (Taman Mayang Jaya) 66 3.2.3.4 SS 26 (Taman Mayang Jaya) 66 3.3.2 Stage 1: Background and Theoretical Studies 66 3.3.2 Stage 2: Data Collection 70 3.3.2.1 Primary Data 70 3.3.2.2 Questionnaire Survey 71 3.3.2.2 Secondary Data 72 3.3.2.2 Gig Data 72 3.3.2.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 76 3.3.3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 77 <td></td> <td></td>		
2.11.2 Remote Sensing 44 2.12 The Application of GIS And Remote Sensing in Open Space Study and Urban Planning 55 2.12.1 GIS And Remote Sensing for Mapping 55 2.12.2 GIS And Remote Sensing for Analysis 55 2.13 Conclusion 66 CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY 61 3.1 Introduction 6 3.2 Study Area 6 3.2.1 History of Petaling Jaya 6 3.2.2 Petaling Jaya New Town's Open Space Concept 66 3.2.3 Site Selection 66 3.2.3.1 SS 22 (Damansara Jaya) 66 3.2.3.2 SS 23 (Taman SEA) 66 3.2.3.4 SS 26 (Taman Megah) 66 3.2.4 Justification of Site Selection 66 3.3 Research Framework 66 3.3.1 Stage 1: Background and Theoretical Studies 69 3.3.2.1 Primary Data 70 3.3.2.1.2 Questionnaire Survey 7 3.3.2.2.2 GIS Data 70 3.3.2.2.2 GIS Data 72 3.3.2.2 Secondary Data 72 3.3.3.2 Stage 3: Data Analysis 76 3.3.3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 76 3.3.3.3 Q		
2.12 The Application of GIS And Remote Sensing in Open Space Study and Urban Planning		
and Urban Planning	9	
2.12.1 GIS And Remote Sensing for Mapping 5' 2.12.2 GIS And Remote Sensing for Analysis 55 2.13 Conclusion 66 CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY 6 3.1 Introduction 6 3.2 Study Area 6 3.2.1 History of Petaling Jaya 6 3.2.2 Petaling Jaya New Town's Open Space Concept 6 3.2.3 Site Selection 6 3.2.3.1 SS 22 (Damansara Jaya) 66 3.2.3.2 SS 23 (Taman SEA) 6 3.2.3.3 SS 24 (Taman Megah) 66 3.2.4 Justification of Site Selection 6 3.2.4 SS 26 (Taman Mayang Jaya) 66 3.3.1 Stage 1: Background and Theoretical Studies 66 3.3.1 Stage 1: Background and Theoretical Studies 66 3.3.2 Stage 2: Data Collection 70 3.3.2.1 Primary Data 70 3.3.2.2 I Primary Data 70 3.3.2.2 Secondary Data 72 3.3.2.2 Secondary Data 72 3.3.2.2 GIS Data 72 3.3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 74 3.3.3.1 Site Verification and Observation 70 3.3.3.3 Questionnaire Survey		
2.12.2 GIS And Remote Sensing for Analysis 56 2.13 Conclusion 66 CCHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY 61 3.1 Introduction 6 3.2 Study Area 6 3.2.1 History of Petaling Jaya 6 3.2.2 Petaling Jaya New Town's Open Space Concept 6 3.2.3 Site Selection 66 3.2.3.1 SS 22 (Damansara Jaya) 66 3.2.3.2 SS 23 (Taman SEA) 66 3.2.3.3 SS 24 (Taman Megah) 66 3.2.4 SS 26 (Taman Mayang Jaya) 66 3.3.1 Stage 1: Background and Theoretical Studies 66 3.3.1 Stage 1: Background and Theoretical Studies 66 3.3.2 Stage 2: Data Collection 70 3.3.2.1 Primary Data 70 3.3.2.1.1 Site Verification and Observation 71 3.3.2.2 Secondary Data 72 3.3.2.2.2 GIS Data 72 3.3.2.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 70 3.3.3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 70 3.3.3.3 Questionnaire Survey 71 3.3.3.3 Questionnaire Survey 72 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 73 3.3.3 GIS Data 73		
2.13 Conclusion 66 CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY 6 3.1 Introduction 6 3.2 Study Area 6 3.2.1 History of Petaling Jaya 6 3.2.2 Petaling Jaya New Town's Open Space Concept 66 3.2.3 Site Selection 66 3.2.3.1 SS 22 (Damansara Jaya) 66 3.2.3.2 SS 23 (Taman SEA) 66 3.2.3.4 SS 26 (Taman Megah) 66 3.2.4 Justification of Site Selection 6 3.2.4 Justification of Site Selection 6 3.3.1 Stage 1: Background and Theoretical Studies 66 3.3.2 Stage 2: Data Collection 70 3.3.2.1 Primary Data 70 3.3.2.1.2 Questionnaire Survey 7 3.3.2.2 Secondary Data 7 3.3.2.2.2 GIS Data 7 3.3.2.3 Sampling Method for The Data Collection 7 3.3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 7 3.3.3.1 Site Verification and Observation 7 3.3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey 7 3.3.3.3 Remote Sensing Data 7 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 7 3.3.3.5 Remote Sensing Data 7 </td <td></td> <td></td>		
CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY 61 3.1 Introduction 6 3.2 Study Area 6 3.2.1 History of Petaling Jaya 6 3.2.2 Petaling Jaya New Town's Open Space Concept 6 3.2.3 Site Selection 66 3.2.3.1 SS 22 (Damansara Jaya) 66 3.2.3.2 SS 23 (Taman SEA) 66 3.2.3.4 SS 26 (Taman Megah) 66 3.2.4 Justification of Site Selection 66 3.3.1 Stage 1: Background and Theoretical Studies 69 3.3.2 Stage 2: Data Collection 70 3.3.2.1 Primary Data 70 3.3.2.1.2 Questionnaire Survey 71 3.3.2.2 Secondary Data 72 3.3.2.2.3 Remote Sensing Data 72 3.3.2.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 72 3.3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 72 3.3.3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 73 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 73 3.3.3.3 GIS Data 73 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 73 3.4 Conclusion 82 4.1 Introduction 83 4.2 Analysis and Findings of Remote Sensing Images 83		
3.1 Introduction 6 3.2 Study Area 6 3.2.1 History of Petaling Jaya 6 3.2.2 Petaling Jaya New Town's Open Space Concept 6 3.2.3 Site Selection 6 3.2.3.1 SS 22 (Damansara Jaya) 6 3.2.3.2 SS 23 (Taman SEA) 6 3.2.3.4 SS 26 (Taman Megah) 6 3.2.4 Justification of Site Selection 6 3.3 Research Framework 6 3.3.1 Stage 1: Background and Theoretical Studies 6 3.3.2 Stage 2: Data Collection 70 3.3.2.1 Primary Data 70 3.3.2.1.1 Site Verification and Observation 70 3.3.2.2 Secondary Data 70 3.3.2.2.2 GIS Data 70 3.3.2.3 Sampling Method for The Data Collection 70 3.3.2.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 70 3.3.3.3 GIS Data 70 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 71 3.3.3.3 Five Verification and Observation 70 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 71 3.3.3.3 Five Verification and Observation 70 3.3.3.3 Five Verification and Observation 70 3.3.3.3 Resection <td< th=""><th></th><th></th></td<>		
3.1 Introduction 6 3.2 Study Area 6 3.2.1 History of Petaling Jaya 6 3.2.2 Petaling Jaya New Town's Open Space Concept 6 3.2.3 Site Selection 6 3.2.3.1 SS 22 (Damansara Jaya) 6 3.2.3.2 SS 23 (Taman SEA) 6 3.2.3.4 SS 26 (Taman Megah) 6 3.2.4 Justification of Site Selection 6 3.3 Research Framework 6 3.3.1 Stage 1: Background and Theoretical Studies 6 3.3.2 Stage 2: Data Collection 70 3.3.2.1 Primary Data 70 3.3.2.1.1 Site Verification and Observation 70 3.3.2.2 Secondary Data 70 3.3.2.2.2 GIS Data 70 3.3.2.3 Sampling Method for The Data Collection 70 3.3.2.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 70 3.3.3.3 GIS Data 70 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 71 3.3.3.3 Five Verification and Observation 70 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 71 3.3.3.3 Five Verification and Observation 70 3.3.3.3 Five Verification and Observation 70 3.3.3.3 Resection <td< td=""><td>CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</td><td>61</td></td<>	CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	61
3.2 Study Area 6 3.2.1 History of Petaling Jaya 6 3.2.2 Petaling Jaya New Town's Open Space Concept 6 3.2.3 Site Selection 6 3.2.3.1 SS 22 (Damansara Jaya) 6 3.2.3.2 SS 23 (Taman SEA) 6 3.2.3.3 SS 24 (Taman Megah) 6 3.2.4 Justification of Site Selection 6 3.2.4 Justification of Site Selection 6 3.3.1 Stage 1: Background and Theoretical Studies 69 3.3.2 Stage 2: Data Collection 70 3.3.2.1 Primary Data 70 3.3.2.1.2 Questionnaire Survey 7 3.3.2.2.2 GIS Data 72 3.3.2.2.2 GIS Data 72 3.3.2.2.3 Remote Sensing Data 72 3.3.3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 76 3.3.3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 76 3.3.3.3 GIS Data 71 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 73 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 73 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 73 3.4 Conclusion 82 CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS 83 4.1 Introduction 85 4.2 Analysis an		
3.2.1 History of Petaling Jaya 6 3.2.2 Petaling Jaya New Town's Open Space Concept 66 3.2.3 Site Selection 66 3.2.3.1 SS 22 (Damansara Jaya) 64 3.2.3.2 SS 23 (Taman SEA) 66 3.2.3.3 SS 24 (Taman Megah) 66 3.2.4 Justification of Site Selection 66 3.2.4 Justification of Site Selection 66 3.3.1 Stage 1: Background and Theoretical Studies 66 3.3.2 Stage 2: Data Collection 70 3.3.2.1 Primary Data 70 3.3.2.1.1 Site Verification and Observation 71 3.3.2.2.2 Guestionnaire Survey 7 3.3.2.2.2 GIS Data 71 3.3.2.2.3 Remote Sensing Data 72 3.3.3.2 Stage 3: Data Analysis 76 3.3.3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 76 3.3.3.3 GIS Data 71 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 73 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 73 3.4 Conclusion 82 CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS 83 4.1 Introduction 83 4.2 Analysis and Findings of Remote Sensing Images 83		
3.2.2 Petaling Jaya New Town's Open Space Concept 66 3.2.3 Site Selection 66 3.2.3.1 SS 22 (Damansara Jaya) 66 3.2.3.2 SS 23 (Taman SEA) 66 3.2.3.3 SS 24 (Taman Megah) 66 3.2.4 Justification of Site Selection 66 3.3 Research Framework 66 3.3.1 Stage 1: Background and Theoretical Studies 69 3.3.2 Stage 2: Data Collection 70 3.3.2.1 Primary Data 70 3.3.2.1.1 Site Verification and Observation 70 3.3.2.1.2 Questionnaire Survey 7 3.3.2.2 Secondary Data 72 3.3.2.2.2 GIS Data 72 3.3.2.2.3 Remote Sensing Data 72 3.3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 70 3.3.3.1 Site Verification and Observation 70 3.3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey 7 3.3.3.3 GIS Data 7 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 76 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 76 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 76 3.4 Conclusion 86	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3.2.3 Site Selection		
3.2.3.1 SS 22 (Damansara Jaya) 66 3.2.3.2 SS 23 (Taman SEA) 66 3.2.3.3 SS 24 (Taman Megah) 66 3.2.3.4 SS 26 (Taman Mayang Jaya) 66 3.2.4 Justification of Site Selection 67 3.3 Research Framework 67 3.3.1 Stage 1: Background and Theoretical Studies 69 3.3.2 Stage 2: Data Collection 70 3.3.2.1 Primary Data 70 3.3.2.1.1 Site Verification and Observation 70 3.3.2.1 Questionnaire Survey 7 3.3.2.2 Secondary Data 72 3.3.2.2.2 GIS Data 72 3.3.2.2.3 Remote Sensing Data 72 3.3.2.3 Sampling Method for The Data Collection 72 3.3.3.1 Site Verification and Observation 76 3.3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey 77 3.3.3.3 Remote Sensing Data 76 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 73 3.4 Conclusion 82 CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS 82 4.1 Introduction 82 4.2 Analysis and Findings of Remote Sensing Images 82		
3.2.3.2 SS 23 (Taman SEA) 64 3.2.3.3 SS 24 (Taman Megah) 64 3.2.3.4 SS 26 (Taman Mayang Jaya) 65 3.2.4 Justification of Site Selection 66 3.3 Research Framework 66 3.3.1 Stage 1: Background and Theoretical Studies 69 3.3.2 Stage 2: Data Collection 70 3.3.2.1 Primary Data 70 3.3.2.1.1 Site Verification and Observation 70 3.3.2.2 Secondary Data 72 3.3.2.2.1 Literature review 72 3.3.2.2.2 GIS Data 72 3.3.2.3 Sampling Method for The Data Collection 72 3.3.3.1 Site Verification and Observation 70 3.3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey 72 3.3.3.3 GIS Data 72 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 73 3.4 Conclusion 82 CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS 82 4.1 Introduction 83 4.2 Analysis and Findings of Remote Sensing Images 83		
3.2.3.3 SS 24 (Taman Megah) 64 3.2.3.4 SS 26 (Taman Mayang Jaya) 65 3.2.4 Justification of Site Selection 67 3.3 Research Framework 66 3.3.1 Stage 1: Background and Theoretical Studies 69 3.3.2 Stage 2: Data Collection 70 3.3.2.1 Primary Data 70 3.3.2.1.1 Site Verification and Observation 70 3.3.2.2.2 Questionnaire Survey 71 3.3.2.2.2 Secondary Data 72 3.3.2.2.2 GIS Data 72 3.3.2.2.3 Remote Sensing Data 72 3.3.3.2 Stage 3: Data Analysis 70 3.3.3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 70 3.3.3.3 Questionnaire Survey 77 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 72 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 73 3.4 Conclusion 86 CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS 86 4.1 Introduction 86 4.2 Analysis and Findings of Remote Sensing Images 86		
3.2.3.4 SS 26 (Taman Mayang Jaya) 66 3.2.4 Justification of Site Selection 6 3.3 Research Framework 6 3.3.1 Stage 1: Background and Theoretical Studies 66 3.3.2 Stage 2: Data Collection 70 3.3.2.1 Primary Data 70 3.3.2.1.1 Site Verification and Observation 70 3.3.2.1.2 Questionnaire Survey 71 3.3.2.2 Secondary Data 72 3.3.2.2.2 GIS Data 72 3.3.2.2.3 Remote Sensing Data 72 3.3.2.3 Sampling Method for The Data Collection 72 3.3.3.1 Site Verification and Observation 70 3.3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey 7 3.3.3.3 GIS Data 7 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 73 3.4 Conclusion 85 4.1 Introduction 85 4.2 Analysis and Findings of Remote Sensing Images 85		
3.2.4 Justification of Site Selection 6 3.3 Research Framework 6 3.3.1 Stage 1: Background and Theoretical Studies 6 3.3.2 Stage 2: Data Collection 70 3.3.2.1 Primary Data 70 3.3.2.1.1 Site Verification and Observation 70 3.3.2.1.2 Questionnaire Survey 7 3.3.2.2 Secondary Data 70 3.3.2.2.1 Literature review 70 3.3.2.2.2 GIS Data 70 3.3.2.2.3 Remote Sensing Data 70 3.3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 70 3.3.3.1 Site Verification and Observation 70 3.3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey 7 3.3.3.3 GIS Data 7 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 73 3.4 Conclusion 80 CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS 80 4.1 Introduction 80 4.2 Analysis and Findings of Remote Sensing Images 80		
3.3 Research Framework 66 3.3.1 Stage 1: Background and Theoretical Studies 69 3.3.2 Stage 2: Data Collection 70 3.3.2.1 Primary Data 70 3.3.2.1.1 Site Verification and Observation 70 3.3.2.1.2 Questionnaire Survey 71 3.3.2.2 Secondary Data 72 3.3.2.2.1 Literature review 72 3.3.2.2.2 GIS Data 72 3.3.2.2.3 Remote Sensing Data 75 3.3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 70 3.3.3.1 Site Verification and Observation 70 3.3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey 77 3.3.3.3 GIS Data 77 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 78 3.4 Conclusion 82 CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS 82 4.1 Introduction 83 4.2 Analysis and Findings of Remote Sensing Images 83		
3.3.1 Stage 1: Background and Theoretical Studies 66 3.3.2 Stage 2: Data Collection 70 3.3.2.1 Primary Data 70 3.3.2.1.1 Site Verification and Observation 70 3.3.2.1.2 Questionnaire Survey 71 3.3.2.2 Secondary Data 73 3.3.2.2.1 Literature review 73 3.3.2.2.2 GIS Data 72 3.3.2.2.3 Remote Sensing Data 75 3.3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 76 3.3.3.1 Site Verification and Observation 76 3.3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey 77 3.3.3.3 GIS Data 77 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 78 3.4 Conclusion 82 CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS 82 4.1 Introduction 83 4.2 Analysis and Findings of Remote Sensing Images 83		
3.3.2 Stage 2: Data Collection 76 3.3.2.1 Primary Data 70 3.3.2.1.1 Site Verification and Observation 70 3.3.2.1.2 Questionnaire Survey 71 3.3.2.2 Secondary Data 72 3.3.2.2.1 Literature review 73 3.3.2.2.2 GIS Data 73 3.3.2.2.3 Remote Sensing Data 75 3.3.3.2 Sampling Method for The Data Collection 75 3.3.3.1 Site Verification and Observation 76 3.3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey 77 3.3.3.3 GIS Data 77 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 75 3.4 Conclusion 82 CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS 82 4.1 Introduction 83 4.2 Analysis and Findings of Remote Sensing Images 83		
3.3.2.1 Primary Data 70 3.3.2.1.1 Site Verification and Observation 70 3.3.2.1.2 Questionnaire Survey 71 3.3.2.2 Secondary Data 72 3.3.2.2.1 Literature review 72 3.3.2.2.2 GIS Data 72 3.3.2.2.3 Remote Sensing Data 72 3.3.2.3 Sampling Method for The Data Collection 72 3.3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 76 3.3.3.1 Site Verification and Observation 76 3.3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey 77 3.3.3.3 GIS Data 77 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 78 3.4 Conclusion 82 CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS 83 4.1 Introduction 83 4.2 Analysis and Findings of Remote Sensing Images 83		
3.3.2.1.1 Site Verification and Observation. 70 3.3.2.1.2 Questionnaire Survey. 72 3.3.2.2 Secondary Data. 73 3.3.2.2.1 Literature review. 73 3.3.2.2.2 GIS Data. 73 3.3.2.2.3 Remote Sensing Data. 75 3.3.2.3 Sampling Method for The Data Collection. 75 3.3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis. 76 3.3.3.1 Site Verification and Observation. 76 3.3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey. 77 3.3.3.3 GIS Data. 77 3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data. 78 3.4 Conclusion. 82 CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS. 83 4.1 Introduction. 83 4.2 Analysis and Findings of Remote Sensing Images. 83		
3.3.2.1.2 Questionnaire Survey 77 3.3.2.2 Secondary Data 73 3.3.2.2.1 Literature review 73 3.3.2.2.2 GIS Data 73 3.3.2.2.3 Remote Sensing Data 75 3.3.2.3 Sampling Method for The Data Collection 75 3.3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 76 3.3.3.1 Site Verification and Observation 76 3.3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey 77 3.3.3.3 GIS Data 77 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 78 3.4 Conclusion 82 CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS 82 4.1 Introduction 82 4.2 Analysis and Findings of Remote Sensing Images 83		
3.3.2.2 Secondary Data 73 3.3.2.2.1 Literature review 73 3.3.2.2.2 GIS Data 73 3.3.2.2.3 Remote Sensing Data 75 3.3.2.3 Sampling Method for The Data Collection 75 3.3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 76 3.3.3.1 Site Verification and Observation 76 3.3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey 77 3.3.3.3 GIS Data 77 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 78 3.4 Conclusion 82 CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS 82 4.1 Introduction 82 4.2 Analysis and Findings of Remote Sensing Images 83		
3.3.2.2.1 Literature review 73 3.3.2.2.2 GIS Data 73 3.3.2.2.3 Remote Sensing Data 75 3.3.2.3 Sampling Method for The Data Collection 75 3.3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 76 3.3.3.1 Site Verification and Observation 76 3.3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey 77 3.3.3.3 GIS Data 77 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 78 3.4 Conclusion 82 CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS 83 4.1 Introduction 83 4.2 Analysis and Findings of Remote Sensing Images 83	•	
3.3.2.2.2 GIS Data 73 3.3.2.2.3 Remote Sensing Data 75 3.3.2.3 Sampling Method for The Data Collection 75 3.3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 76 3.3.3.1 Site Verification and Observation 76 3.3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey 77 3.3.3.3 GIS Data 77 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 78 3.4 Conclusion 82 CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS 83 4.1 Introduction 83 4.2 Analysis and Findings of Remote Sensing Images 83		
3.3.2.2.3 Remote Sensing Data 75 3.3.2.3 Sampling Method for The Data Collection 75 3.3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 76 3.3.3.1 Site Verification and Observation 76 3.3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey 77 3.3.3.3 GIS Data 78 3.4 Conclusion 82 CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS 83 4.1 Introduction 83 4.2 Analysis and Findings of Remote Sensing Images 83		
3.3.2.3 Sampling Method for The Data Collection 75 3.3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 76 3.3.3.1 Site Verification and Observation 76 3.3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey 77 3.3.3.3 GIS Data 77 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 78 3.4 Conclusion 82 CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS 83 4.1 Introduction 83 4.2 Analysis and Findings of Remote Sensing Images 83		
3.3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 76 3.3.3.1 Site Verification and Observation 76 3.3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey 77 3.3.3.3 GIS Data 78 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 78 3.4 Conclusion 82 CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS 4.1 Introduction 83 4.2 Analysis and Findings of Remote Sensing Images 83		
3.3.3.1 Site Verification and Observation 76 3.3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey 77 3.3.3.3 GIS Data 78 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 78 3.4 Conclusion 82 CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS 4.1 Introduction 83 4.2 Analysis and Findings of Remote Sensing Images 83		
3.3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey 7 3.3.3.3 GIS Data 7 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 78 3.4 Conclusion 82 CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS 83 4.1 Introduction 83 4.2 Analysis and Findings of Remote Sensing Images 83	•	
3.3.3.3 GIS Data 7 3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data 78 3.4 Conclusion 82 CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS 4.1 Introduction 83 4.2 Analysis and Findings of Remote Sensing Images 83		
3.3.3.4 Remote Sensing Data		
3.4 Conclusion		
CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS		
4.1 Introduction		
4.1 Introduction	CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS	83
	4.2 Analysis and Findings of Remote Sensing Images	83
4.2.1 Land Cover Changes and The Distribution of Open Spaces	4.2.1 Land Cover Changes and The Distribution of Open Spaces	
For 1990 And 201183		83

4.2.2 Vegetation Extraction at The Open Space	97
4.3 Analysis and Findings of GIS Spatial Analysis	99
4.3.1 Hierarchy (Size and Type)	99
4.3.2 Location and Accessibility	108
4.4 Analysis and Findings of Questionnaire Survey	114
4.4.1 Demographic	114
4.4.2 Utilization Level	
4.4.3 Satisfaction Level	
4.4.3.1 Location	
4.4.3.2 Accessibility	
4.4.3.3 Size	
4.4.3.4 Facilities	
4.4.3.5 Vegetation	
4.4.3.6 Overall Satisfaction and Mean Score	
4.4.4 Social Activities	
4.4.4.1 Social Activities in SS 22	
4.4.4.2 Social Activities in SS 23	
4.4.4.3 Social Activities in SS 24	
4.4.4.4 Social Activities in SS 26	
4.4.4.5 Social Activities for online survey	
4.5 Result and Discussion	
4.5.1 Distribution of The Open Space	
4.5.2 Hierarchy (Size and Type)	
4.5.3 Location	
4.5.4 Accessibility	
4.5.5 Facilities	
4.5.6 Vegetation	
4.5.7 Utilization Level for Social Activities	
4.6 Conclusion	172
CHAPTER FIVE: RECOMMENDATION AND CONCLUSION	
5.1 Introduction	
5.2 Recommendations	
5.2.1 Location	
5.2.2 Accessibility	
5.2.3 Facilities	
5.2.4 Vegetation	
5.2.5 Community Involvement	
5.3 Revisit of Research Objectives	
5.4 Future Research	
5.5 Conclusion	188
REFERENCES	190
APPENDIX A: QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY	109
TITE DIX II. VOEDITOI IIME DON IEI	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Assessment factors of the open space planning	8
Table 2.1	Neighborhood facilities in New Town planning	22
Table 2.2	Hierarchy of open space	23
Table 2.3	Walking distance and time taken	25
Table 2.4	Different implementation of open spaces guideline in every state in Malaysia	28
Table 2.5	Zoning of Greater Copenhagen	31
Table 2.6	Open space hierarchy in Denmark	32
Table 2.7	Previous research works	39
Table 2.8	Specifications of SPOT satellite system	50
Table 2.9	Resolution and bands of SPOT satellite images	51
Table 2.10	Optical Remote Sensing System	52
Table 3.1	Details of the study area	65
Table 3.2	Method and data collection for each research objective	69
Table 3.3	Variables of site verification and observation	71
Table 3.4	Variables of GIS Data	74
Table 4.1	Land cover changes in study areas based on the processed SPOT satellite images	85
Table 4.2	Accuracy Report for classified SPOT image of 1990 and 2011	96
Table 4.3	The hierarchy of the open spaces as stipulated in open space planning standard	99
Table 4.4	Hierarchy of the open space in study areas discovered from land use map	100
Table 4.5	The difference calculation based on processed SPOT image and the land use map	107

Table 4.6	Satisfaction level of the open space planning based on mean score	147
Table 4.7	Field survey timetable	149
Table 5.1	Research Objective 1	183
Table 5.2	Research Objective 2	185
Table 5.3	Research Objective 3	186
Table 5.4	Research Objective 4	187

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Padang Merdeka, Kota Bharu (left) and Dataran Merdeka, Kuala Lumpur (right)	18
Figure 2.2	Garden City Movement by Ebenezer Howard	19
Figure 2.3	Perry's Neighborhood Unit Diagram (1929)	20
Figure 2.4	The hierarchical circulation system (left) and the arrangement of Superblock facing the park (right)	21
Figure 2.5	Distance of the open space from the main road	24
Figure 2.6	Open Spaces in Putrajaya	29
Figure 2.7	Five Finger Plan concept (left) and its green wedges and transportation structure (right)	30
Figure 2.8	Christianshavn Park	33
Figure 2.9	The Red Square, The Green Park and The Black Market and their social activities	34
Figure 2.10	GIS data management	47
Figure 2.11	SPOT 5 satellite sensor	49
Figure 2.12	The process of remote sensing data processing	55
Figure 2.13	Remote Sensing and GIS workflow	56
Figure 3.1	A wide strip of mining land running through the center as a public open space	63
Figure 3.2	Location of study area	66
Figure 3.3	Flow chart of research methodology	68
Figure 3.4	Stages to process SPOT satellite image	81
Figure 4.1	SPOT 2 image of 1990 (left) and SPOT 5 image 2011 (right)	84
Figure 4.2	Distribution of green areas (including all types of vegetation and open spaces) in 1990 and 2011	86
Figure 4.3	Land cover changes in SS 22	89

Figure 4.4	Land cover changes in SS 23	91
Figure 4.5	Land cover changes in SS 24	93
Figure 4.6	Land cover changes in SS 26	95
Figure 4.7	Vegetation at the selected open space	98
Figure 4.8	Open spaces in SS 22	103
Figure 4.9	Open spaces in SS 23	104
Figure 4.10	Open spaces in SS 24	105
Figure 4.11	Open spaces in SS 26	106
Figure 4.12	Underused open space in SS 23	109
Figure 4.13	Distance of the open space from the main road	110
Figure 4.14	Location and accessibility of the open space in SS 22 & SS 23	111
Figure 4.15	Location and accessibility of the open space in SS 24 & SS 26	112
Figure 4.16	Radius of the open spaces	113
Figure 4.17	Gender of the respondents for the on-site survey	114
Figure 4.18	Gender of the respondents for the online survey	115
Figure 4.19	Age of the respondents for the on-site survey	115
Figure 4.20	Age of the respondents for the online survey	116
Figure 4.21	Race of the respondents for the on-site survey	117
Figure 4.22	Race of the respondents for the online survey	117
Figure 4.23	Transportation mode of the respondents for the on-site survey	118
Figure 4.24	Transportation mode of the respondents for the online survey	118
Figure 4.25	Companionship of the respondents for the on-site survey	119
Figure 4.26	Companionship of the respondents for the online survey	119
Figure 4.27	Frequency of visit among the respondents for the on-site survey	120

Figure 4.28	Frequency of visit among the respondents for the online survey	120
Figure 4.29	Time of visit among the respondents for the on-site survey	121
Figure 4.30	Time of visit among the respondents for the online survey	121
Figure 4.31	Distance of the open space for the on-site survey	122
Figure 4.32	Distance of the open space for the online survey	122
Figure 4.33	Time taken by the respondents for the on-site survey	123
Figure 4.34	Time taken by the respondents for the online survey	123
Figure 4.35	Length of visit by the respondents for the on-site survey	124
Figure 4.36	Length of visit by the respondents for the online survey	124
Figure 4.37	Satisfaction on the visibility of the open space for the on-site survey	125
Figure 4.38	Satisfaction on the visibility of the open space for the online survey	125
Figure 4.39	Satisfaction on the safety of the open space for the on-site survey	126
Figure 4.40	Satisfaction on the safety of the open space for the online survey	126
Figure 4.41	Satisfaction on the welcoming sense for the on-site survey	127
Figure 4.42	Satisfaction on the welcoming sense for the online survey	127
Figure 4.43	Satisfaction on the distance of the open space for the on-site survey	128
Figure 4.44	Satisfaction on the distance of the open space for the online survey	129
Figure 4.45	Satisfaction on the accessibility via walking and cycling for the on-site survey	129
Figure 4.46	Satisfaction on the accessibility via walking and cycling for the online survey	130
Figure 4.47	Satisfaction on the accessibility via car for the on-site survey	131
Figure 4.48	Satisfaction on the accessibility via car for the online survey	131

Figure 4.49	Satisfaction on the linkage of the open space for the on-site survey	132
Figure 4.50	Satisfaction on the linkage of the open space for the online survey	132
Figure 4.51	Satisfaction on the wideness of the open space for the on-site survey	133
Figure 4.52	Satisfaction on the wideness of the open space for the online survey	134
Figure 4.53	Satisfaction on unnecessity for the extra spaces for the on-site survey	134
Figure 4.54	Satisfaction on unnecessity for the extra spaces for the online survey	135
Figure 4.55	Satisfaction on the suitability of the size for the on-site survey	135
Figure 4.56	Satisfaction on the suitability of the size for the online survey	136
Figure 4.57	Satisfaction on the condition of the facilities for the on-site survey	136
Figure 4.58	Satisfaction on the condition of the facilities for the online survey	137
Figure 4.59	Satisfaction on the sufficiency of the facilities for the on-site survey	138
Figure 4.60	Satisfaction on the sufficiency of the facilities for the online survey	138
Figure 4.61	Satisfaction on the suitability of the facilities for on-site survey	139
Figure 4.62	Satisfaction on the suitability of the facilities for online survey	139
Figure 4.63	Facilities provided at the selected open space	140
Figure 4.64	Satisfaction on the vegetation creates a green ambience for on-site survey	142
Figure 4.65	Satisfaction on the vegetation creates a green ambience for online survey	143
Figure 4.66	Satisfaction on the vegetation as a shady area for the on-site survey	143

Figure 4.67	Satisfaction on the vegetation as a shady area for the online survey	144
Figure 4.68	Satisfaction on no disturbance from the vegetation for the on- site survey	144
Figure 4.69	Satisfaction on no disturbance from the vegetation for the online survey	145
Figure 4.70	Overall satisfaction of the open space planning quality for both surveys	146
Figure 4.71	Users' background and activities at the selected open space in SS 22	150
Figure 4.72	Social Activities in SS 22	151
Figure 4.73	Users' background and activities at the selected open space in SS 23	153
Figure 4.74	Social Activities in SS 23	154
Figure 4.75	Users' background and activities at the selected open space in SS 24	155
Figure 4.76	Social Activities in SS 24	156
Figure 4.77	Users' background and activities at the selected open space in SS 26	158
Figure 4.78	Social Activities in SS 26	159
Figure 4.79	Social Activities among the respondents of the Google online survey	160
Figure 4.80	The timeline of the development in the study areas	162
Figure 5.1	The installation of a bell or an emergency telephone at the open space can alert other residents with the crime that is happening	174
Figure 5.2	Redesign the space can upgrade the social bonding among the users	175
Figure 5.3	Users feel safe being alone at the space	176
Figure 5.4	Sufficient lighting source can improve the safety level at the open space	177

ABBREVIATIONS

ARSM Malaysian Remote Sensing Agency

FDTCP Federal Department of Town and Country Planning

GCP Ground Control Points

GIS Geographic Information System

GPP TLKR Garis Panduan dan Piawaian Tanah Lapang dan Rekreasi

GPS Global Positioning System

GP005-A Planning Standards and Guidelines for Open Space and Recreation

MBPJ Petaling Jaya City Council

NDVI Normalized Difference Vegetation Index

NPPC National Physical Planning Council

NUP National Urban Planning

RS Remote Sensing

SDGs Sustainable Development Goals

SPOT Satellites Pour l'Observation de la Terre

SPSS Statistical Package for the Social Science

TCPA Town and Country Planning Act 1976

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 INTRODUCTION

Open space is well-known as an important source in upgrading human life quality in terms of social, health, environment and economy. The community's demand for open spaces as a spot to perform social activities is very crucial especially in urban areas. As a social place, open space offers to help people releasing themselves from a stressful city living. There are numerous of benefits obtained when involving in social activities like playing in a group, greetings and conversation with each other. For instance, it can revitalize the physical and mental health, strengthen the families bonding, upgrade neighborliness, alleviate anti-social behavior and lessen the crime level.

In order to create a successful open space planning as a social place, it relies on several factors such as the distribution of open spaces including the size, type, location and accessibility, and the physical features which are the facilities and vegetation. These factors must be taken into the consideration and planned carefully.

In short, this research focused on the analysis of the planning effectiveness on open space as a social place by using GIS and Remote Sensing techniques. The attributes of open space were analyzed using GIS and Remote Sensing, while user's perception was used to investigate the satisfaction level among users about the quality of open space planning and also their recommendation.

1.2 BACKGROUND

Open space has been recognized as an important element in people's life, (Frisman, 2006; Mohnen et al., 2014; Montenegro et al., 2012). Its characteristics such as the green lush and surrounded by nature, creates a peaceful and serene ambience mainly in a compact developing city. The history and the development of open space in Malaysia started during the British era where the concept of *padang* was raised to function as a social center for the community, (FDTCP Malaysia, 2005).

As Malaysia is developing from time to time, the population become denser and heavier, many lands have to be sacrificed for the development. The area of Kuala Lumpur was cramped with the population and the development, forcing to the establishment of Petaling Jaya as a satellite town in 1950s. Under the British administration, England planning practices were brought into Malaysia such as Garden City by Ebenezer Howard, (FDTCP Malaysia, 2005), and also Perry's Neighborhood Unit concept (Ju et al., 2011). These concepts were initially applied to Petaling Jaya as a New Town at that time.

One of the Perry's neighborhood principle is the provision of 10% from the neighborhood area for open spaces, (Liu, 1978), and this principle is still being implemented in Malaysia's open space planning standard until today. The Federal Department of Town and Country Planning (FDTCP) Peninsular Malaysia has produced *Garis Panduan dan Piawaian Tanah Lapang dan Rekreasi (GPP TLKR)* or the Planning Standards and Guidelines for Open Space and Recreation to ensure the provision of open spaces for all types of development and to achieve Malaysia's mission of '2 hectare for 1000 population' as stated in National Physical Plan Council (NPPC) No.5/2005, (FDTCP Malaysia, 2012).

Furthermore, the provision of the open space is associated with the aspiration of sustainable development. A sustainable program known as The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) has been established to raise the quality of human life in a sustainable way, it is headed by the United Nations and aims to end the poverty, protect the earth and ensure a serene and a prosperous life by 2030, (UNDP, n.d.). The SDGs comprises 17 goals with 169 targets.

The Goal 11 has emphasized on the preservation of green spaces with the motto, 'make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable'. This Goal is intended to protect the green and public spaces to build a sustainable city and its target is to provide a secure, inclusive and reachable green and public spaces for women, children, elderly and disabled persons. According to UNChronicle (2015), the green and public spaces will be vanished if they are not protected because they are often jeopardized by the migration to cities where they usually converted to residential housing and businesses area.

Functioning as a social place, open space serves a platform for people to perform social activities like playing in a group, greeting each other, hearing and seeing people. People can release their stress, improve their mental and physical health, and strengthen the social ties. The need for open space for social activities becomes more vital especially in urban areas with rapid urbanization and constant increase in the urban population. Besides, open space acts as a green lung and a focal point for an area.

There are various factors used to ensure a good planning of open space such as the easiness of accessibility and the strategic location. Therefore, it is important to analyze the effectiveness of open space planning towards social activities by identifying on how the open space is planned and the community's perception towards its planning quality.

1.3 PROBLEM STATEMENT

In a developing country, a good urban planning is an essential point to be conceived. The development and the preservation of open spaces must be balanced. As a part of the land use designation, open space planning should not be ignored.

In an urban area, open spaces have always been threatened by the development. Petaling Jaya for example, built by British as a solution for the problem of overpopulation in Kuala Lumpur. The site was previously an estate, and according to MBPJ (2008), 1200 acres of the Effingham Rubber Estate was allocated for the establishment of Petaling Jaya as a satellite town in 1952. It comprised of residential, commercial, education and many other developments, and completed with transportation facilities and infrastructures.

Since that time, Petaling Jaya has experienced a dramatic growth in terms of population and development. Some examples can be seen where Phang (2016) reported in The Star Online, during his visit to Petaling Jaya, a small project of 18 terrace houses threatened a well-established park known as Taman Aman and it evoked worriedness among the nearby community. Phang (2016) also added, previously, there were issues about Bukit Gasing and Kota Damansara Community Forest, both were prone to be sacrificed for development and in 2010, Kota Damansara Community Forest was able to be gazetted.

Referring to these situations, it may lead to the problem of unequal distribution of open spaces in an area, as stated by Kabisch and Haase (2014), the rapid growth of population in cities and the urban planning policies of re-densification can cause the conversion of open spaces into residential land in which resulting to uneven distribution of open spaces. Nasution and Zahrah (2014) mentioned, open spaces should be considered as one of the development priorities in the urban planning policy, by

improving the quantity and quality of the open space in urban and neighborhood scale.

A proper planning of open spaces plays important roles for spatial organization,
(Legacy, 2010).

Lowe et al. (2013) also supported, in a livable city, open space is a crucial factor need to be considered in urban planning for a livability of an area because it is able to promote many activities and can positively impact human life. The concept of livability and sustainability concern more on the integration of human living area with the open space through the equity of open space distribution.

City living is stressful with the daily rush hour routine and highly competitive living environment. Thus, to alleviate the stress and pressure, open space is a great platform and making it as an important component of a city. Open spaces must be vigilantly designed to achieve a good quality of space and attract users as explained by Gehl (2011), there are three categories of outdoor activities which are the necessary, optional and social activities, if the space is in poor quality, then, only necessary activities occur, but if it is in a good quality, a broad spectrum of human activities can take place. A recent study by Abbasi et al. (2016) proved that a poor quality of an open space will affect the social space.

Moreover, open spaces should be planned based on the attributes of the environment and human needs. Most planning have been done without the awareness of the principles of human ecology, (Antrop, 2001). As claimed by Montenegro et al. (2012), urban planning is the process of examining, envisioning, determining and enforcing plans for adapting social system to fulfil community's needs, while Herzele and Wiedeman (2003) stated, understanding the needs of societies is vital in planning aspect in order to ensure the optimal use of open spaces by residents. In Petaling Jaya,