STREETSCAPE PLANNING GUIDELINES FOR WALKABLE CAMPUS

BY

AMANINA BINTI NASHAR

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science (Built Environment)

Kulliyyah of Architecture and Environmental Design International Islamic University Malaysia

APRIL 2018

ABSTRACT

Walkability is an indicator for a walkable area and it is one of the fundamental principles to achieve sustainable environment. Streets that boost positive attachment between pedestrians and their surrounding would invite and attract more pedestrian utilization to it. This study focuses on street walkability on university campus. Recently, numerous Malaysian campuses are striving toward sustainability by promoting walking and cycling as a culture among students. This study believes that proper streetscape design is essential to enhance street walkability in campus. A walkable street comprises comfort, connectivity, safety and accessibility attributes to enhance the affinity of a street. The lack of concern for streetscape design, results in unfriendly street which seem to be the issue which requires fullest attention by campus planners. This study aims to overcome this shortcoming by suggesting possible streetscape guidelines to optimize streetscape design on campus for walkability enhancement. The objectives are to identify streetscape elements and walkability factors on Malaysian campus, to identify factors influencing pedestrian preferences of streetscape elements on campus, to assess the sidewalk design and determine the Pedestrian Level of Service(PLOS) and to suggest streetscape elements and composition for a walkable campus. Selecting the International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM) Gombak as the site study, this research adopted a mixed method involving qualitative and quantitative approaches. The quantitative approach was conducted through pedestrian counting and questionnaire survey forms which were distributed among 425 IIUM students who lived on campus. Qualitative techniques involving the site inventory and observation, which aimed at assessing campus streetscape elements, were completed using the site inventory checklist. Frequencies, Relative Important Index (RII), Pedestrian Level of Service (PLOS), and Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) were used to analyzed the data. The findings indicate that comfort is the most significant factor contributing to street walkability, followed by safety, accessibility and connectivity. Pedestrian activities, physical safety, permeability and directness, pedestrian conflicts, ease of movement, traffic safety, vision at night, access to facilities, sidewalk connectivity and time/distance factors were 10 underlying sub-factors identified under these four main factors, which were highly influenced by streetscape elements that formed the streets area. All of these findings were later used to produce suitable streetscape guidelines for a walkable campus.

خلاصة البحث

القدرة على المشي أو ما يدعى الإمشائية في المنطقة القابلة للمشي في الشوارع، وهو واحد من المبادئ الأساسية لتحقيق بيئة مستدامة علماً أن الشوارع التي تعزز ممرات المشاة والمناطق المحيطة بها تترك أثر ايجابي لجذب المزيد من المشأة إليها إن هذه الدراسة تتركز على المشى في شوارع الحرم الجامعي في الأونة الأخيرة، العديد من الجامعات الماليزية تسعى جاهدة من أجل تحقيق الاستدامة من خلال تعزيز المشي وركوب الدراجات بين الطلاب. هذه الدراسة، تعتمد على التأكيد بأن تصميم الشوارع المناسبة هو ضروري لتعزيز المشي في شوارع و ممرات الحرم الجامعي. إن الشارع المدعم بمناطق قابلة للمشى يؤمن بشكل ايجابي الراحة، والاتصال، والسلامة وسهولة الاتصال بالممرات و تقارب بينها عدم الاهتمام بتصميم الشوارع، أدى إلى جعل الشارع غير ودي حيث يبدو أن هذه القضية تتطلب قدر من الاهتمام وتهدف هذه الدراسة إلى التغلب على هذا القصور من خلال اقتراح إرشادات الشوارع الممكنة لتحسين تصميم الشوارع في الحرم الجامعي لتعزيز المشي وتتمثل الأهداف في تحديد عناصر الشوارع وعوامل المشى في الحرم الجامعي، لتحديد العوامل التي تؤثر على تفضيلات المشاة من عناصر الشوارع في الحرم الجامعي، لتقييم تصميم الرصيف وتحديد مستوى المشاة للخدمة (بلوس) واقتراح عناصر الشوارع وتكوينها في الحرم لتصبح قابلة للمشى وقد اختارت هذه الدراسة المنهج المختلط للنهج النوعي والكمي أيضا تم توزيع مقاربة كمية تم إجراؤها من خلال عد المشاة واستمارات استبيان. الاستبيان بين 425 من أفراد عينة الدراسة من طلاب الجامعة الاسلامية الذين عاشوا في الحرم الجامعي التقنيات النوعية تنطوي على جرد الموقع والمراقبة، وتهدف إلى تقييم عناصر الشوارع الحرم الجامعي وبذلك يتم الانتهاء باستخدام القائمة المرجعية. تم استخدام الترددات والمؤشرات الهامة النسبية، ومستوى الخدمة للمشاة (بلوس)، وتحليل العوامل الاستكشافية (إفا) لتحليل البيانات .وأشارت النتائج إلى أن الراحة هي أهم عامل يسهم في إمكانية المشي في الشوارع، تليها السلامة، وإمكانية الوصول، والاتصال علماً أنَّ أنشطة المشاة هي : السلامة الجسدية والنفاذية وحركة الاتجاه المباشر والاتصال بالمشاة وسهولة الحركة والسلامة المرورية والرؤية الليلية والوصول إلى المرافق واتصال الأرصفة وعوامل الوقت / المسافة. كل هذه العشرة عوامل الفرعية أساسية تم تحديدها في إطار هذه العوامل الأربعة الرئيسية، متأثرة بشدة بعناصر الشوارع التي شكلت منطقة الشوارع تم استخدام كل هذه النتائج لاحقا لإنتاج مبادئ توجيهية مناسبة في شوارع الحرم الجامعي.

APPROVAL PAGE

to acceptable standards of sci	d and read this study and that in my opinion, it conforms holarly presentation and is fully adequate, in scope and ree of Master of Science (Built Environment).
	Nor Zalina Harun Supervisor
	Syahriah Bachok Co-Supervisor
	study and that in my opinion it conforms to acceptable ation and is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a thesis tience (Built Environment).
	Putri Haryati Ibrahim Internal Examiner
	Norsidah Ujang External Examiner
	he Department of Landscape Architecture and is accepted irement for the degree of Master of Science (Built
	Khalilah Zakariya Head, Department of Landscape Architecture
	the Kulliyyah of Architecture and Environmental Design at of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science
	Abdul Razak Sapian Dean, Kulliyyah of Architecture and Environmental Design

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of my or	wn investigations, except where	
otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been prev	iously or concurrently submitted	
as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.		
Amanina Binti Nashar		
Signature	Date	
Signature	Date	

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH

STREETSCAPE PLANNING GUIDELINES FOR WALKABLE CAMPUS

I declare that the copyright holders of this thesis are jointly owned by the student and IIUM.

Copyright © 2018 Amanina Binti Nashar and International Islamic University Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below

- 1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
- 2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
- 3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieved system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledged that I have read and understand the IIUM Intellectual Property Right and Commercialization policy.

Affirmed by Amanina Binti Nashar	
Signature	Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah the Most Gracious and Merciful

First of all, I would like to express my *tahmid* to Allah s.w.t for his blessing, *salawat* and *salam* to my beloved Prophet Muhammad P.B.U.H, have been with me throughout the duration of my research in Master of Science in Built Environment. It is due to His Mercies and Blessings on me, that ease the herculean task of completing this thesis.

I must offer my most profound gratitude and my sincere appreciation to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr Nor Zalina Harun for all of her expertise, support, kindness, guidance, enthusiasm and encouragement from the start till the completion of this thesis journey. I would also like to express my gratitude to my co-supervisor, Associate Professor Dr Syahriah Bachok for guiding me with her expertise during my research journey. Thank you to all respondents and persons who had contribute directly and indirectly throughout my research.

I am also indebted to my dearest companions, Haza Hanurhaza Md Jani, Aisyah Abu Bakar, Syaibatul Islamiah Che Man, who willing to spare time from their busy schedule to give continuous support and advice for me to finish up this thesis. Even though they are busy with their thesis, but they still willing to give their ideas and helping hands as soon as I am in need. Their constructive advice, kind assistance and encouragement to improve this thesis will always be remembered. Furthermore, I have to offer my special thanks to my family, deeply thank and forever indebted to my parents especially my mother Jasidah Abd Rahim, who gives me hearty support, love and patient that have made my journey more bearable. My late father who had passed away, always be my inspiration and forever remembered for his words to keep my pace till the end of this journey.

It is hope that my research could benefit the *ummah* and the person who involved in this related field for the upcoming future. *Insyaallah*, may all of us be granted the knowledge given by Allah s.w.t in a beneficial way to manage this Earth as His *khalifah* and as His *amanah*. *Amin* and *Alhamdulillah* once again.

TABLE OF CONTENT

Abstract	ii
Abstract in Arabic	iii
Approval page	iv
Declaration	v
Copyright	vi
Acknowledgement	vii
Table of Content	
List of Tables	
List of Figures	
Abbreviation	
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Research Background	1
1.3 Problem Statements	3
1.3.1 Streetscape design affecting pedestrian walking activities	
1.3.1.1 Pedestrian safety is not compromised on the streets	
1.3.1.2 Uncomfortable walking environment discourage pedestri	
walk	
1.4 Research Questions	
1.5 Research Aim	
1.6 Research Objectives	
1.7 Significance of Research	
1.8 Research Scope	14
1.9 Research Methodology	15
1.9.1 Stage 1: Preliminary Study	
1.9.2 Stage 2: Literature Review	
1.9.3 Stage 3: Research Methodology	
1.9.4 Stage 4: Data Collection and Analysis	
1.9.5 Stage 5: Findings, Conclusion and Recommendation	
1.10 Organization of the Research	
1.11 Summary	19
CHAPTER TWO: INTRODUCTION	20
2.1 Introduction	
2.2 Street as Part of Open Space	
2.2.1 The outlook on streets and its function	
2.2.2 Role of streets as an open space	
2.2.3 Types of street	
2.3 Streetscape as Determining Factor for Walkable Street	
2.4 Types of Pedestrian and Activities	
2.4 1 Pedestrian Activities	29

	2.4.2 Pedestrian Hierarchy Needs	30
	2.5 Walkability	31
	2.5.1 Indicator of Walkable Campus	
	2.5.2 Comfort attributes and its concern related to walkability	
	2.5.3 Safety attributes and its concern related to walkability	
	2.5.4 Accessibility attributes and its concern related to walkability	
	2.5.5 Connectivity attributes and its concern related to walkability	
	2.6 Significance Of Streetscape Design For Walkable Environment	
	2.6.1 Reducing pedestrian-vehicles conflict	
	2.6.2 Improving Walkability	
	2.6.3 Facilitate pedestrian walking activities and needs	
	2.7 Streetscape Elements	
	2.7.1 Sidewalk	
	2.7.1.1 Types of sidewalk	
	2.7.1.2 Sidewalk width	
	2.7.1.3 Sidewalk separation	
	2.7.1.3 Sidewark separation	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	2.7.1.4.1 Pedestrian Space	
	2.7.1.4.2 Principle of Pedestrian Flow	
	2.7.1.4.3 Walking Obstruction	
	2.7.2 Crosswalk	
	2.7.3 Signage	
	2.7.4 Benches	
	2.7.5 Lighting	
	2.7.6 Plantings	
	2.8 Summary	/ 1
CII A	PTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	72
СНА		
	3.1 Introduction	
	3.2 Site Study	
	3.2.1 Selection of Site Study Area	
	3.2.1.1 Location	
	3.2.1.2 Site Background and Campus Route Layout	
	3.3 Exploratory Research	
	3.4 Methods of Data Collection	
	3.5 Methodological Framework	
	3.6 Qualitative Method	
	3.6.1 Site Inventory	
	3.6.2 Inventory Checklist	
	3.7 Quantitative Method	
	3.7.1 Pedestrian Count Survey	
	3.7.2 Questionnaire Survey	
	3.7.2.1 Questionnaire Sampling Method: Clustered Sampling	
	3.7.2.2 Sample Size	
	3.7.2.3 Survey Questionnaire Structure	
	3.7.2.4 Pilot Study	

3.8 Summary	98
CHAPTER FOUR: FINDINGS	00
4.1 Introduction	
4.2 Inventory of Selected Streets in International Islamic University Mal	
IIUM Gombak	•
4.2.1 Streetscape Elements for Imam Malik and Al-Ghazali Street (Street 1)	
4.2.1.1 Hardscape elements	
4.2.1.1 Hardscape elements	
pointpoint	_
4.2.1.2 Pedestrian Volume Pattern	
4.2.1.3 Pedestrian Level of Services (PLOS)	
4.2.1.4 Softscape elements	
4.2.1.4.1 Hees	
4.3.1.4.3 Shrubs	
4.2.2 Streetscape Elements for Imam Abu Hanifah Street (Street 2	•
4.2.2.1 Hardscape elements	
4.2.2.1 Hardscape elements	
4.2.2.3 Softscape elements	
4.2.2.3 Softscape elements	
4.2.2.3.1 Hees	
4.2.2.3.3 Shrubs	
4.2.3 Streetscape Elements for Al Jamiah Street (Street 3)	
4.2.3.1 Hardscape elements	
4.2.3.2 Pedestrian Level of Services (PLOS)	
4.2.3.3 Softscape elements	
4.2.3.3 Trees	
4.2.3.3.1 Hees	
4.2.3.3.3 Shrubs	
4.3 Respondent Profile	
4.4 Purpose of Walking	
4.5 Important Streetscape Elements Supporting Walking Activities in III	
Campus	
4.6 Street Walkability of IIUM Campus	
4.6.1 Walking Obstacle	
4.7 Summary	
4.7 Summary	103
CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS	185
5.1 Introduction	
5.2 Street Walkability of a Campus	
5.2.1 Walkability Indicators Related to Sidewalk	
5.2.1.1 Sidewalk zoning enhance pedestrian comfort	
5.2.1.2 Wider sidewalk increases pedestrian activities	

5.2.1.3 Pedestrian Level of Services (PLOS) of IIUM Campus	197
5.2.1.3.1 Obstruction	198
5.2.1.3.2 Cultural factor	199
5.2.1.4 Land use and climate as influencing factor for selection of sidewalk types	200
5.2.1.5 Sidewalk types, location and connectivity enhance street directness	
5.2.2 Walkability Indicators Related to Planting Elements	
5.2.2 Walkability indicators Related to Flanting Elements	
5.2.2.2 Trees location and arrangements	
5.2.3 Walkability Indicators Related to Crosswalk	
5.2.3.1 Crosswalk provisioning and types enhance pedestrian Safety	
5.2.4 Walkability Indicators Related to Lighting Elements	
5.2.4.1 Lighting functionality	
5.2.4.2 Location and Types of Lighting	
5.2.5 Walkability Indicators Related to Signage	
5.2.5.1 Signage Location	
5.2.4.2 Readability /Legibility	
5.3 Pedestrian Preferences of Streetscape Elements in the Campus	
5.4 Summary	
CHAPTER SIX: RECOMMENDATION AND CONCLUSION2	229
6.1 Introduction2	229
6.2 Key research findings	229
6.2.1 Objective 1: To identify the street walkability factors of the	
campus2	229
6.2.2 Objective 2: To study on factors influencing pedestrian	
preferences of streetscape elements in the campus	234
6.2.3 Objective 3: To assess the sidewalk design and determine the	
Pedestrian Level of Service (PLOS)	236
6.2.4 Objective 4: To suggest streetscape elements and composition	
for a walkable campus	.238
6.2.4.1 Planting Design Guidelines	238
6.2.4.1.1 Trees types, form and characteristics	238
6.2.4.1.2 Trees location and arrangement	240
6.2.4.1.3 Trees grating and distance	.241
6.2.4.1.4 Other planting types requirements	242
6.2.4.2 Hardscape Design Guidelines	242
6.2.4.2.1 Sidewalk location and types	243
6.2.4.2.2 Sidewalk width and zoning	
6.2.4.2.3 Buffer /Green strips	
6.2.4.2.4 Crosswalk location and types	
6.2.4.2.5 Lighting location and types	
6.2.4.2.6 Signage location and characteristics	

6.4 Research Limitation	251
6.5 Recommendation for Future Research	252
6.6 Conclusion.	253
REFERENCES	255
APPENDIX I : SITE INVENTORY CHECKLIST	268
APPENDIX II: PEDESTRIAN COUNT SURVEY CHECKLIST	270
APPENDIX III: QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY	271
APPENDIX IX: JKR SIGNAGE TEMPLATE	276

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Studies on Walkability in Malaysia Context	7
Table 1.2	Outline of Research Methodological	17
Table 2.1	Outlook on street from various authors	22
Table 2.2	Open Space Typology adapted from Stanley et.al (2012)	24
Table 2.3	Definition of streetscape by various authors and its concern	27
Table 2.4	Types of pedestrian	28
Table 2.5	Walkability concept by various author and its concern	35
Table 2.6	Definition of comfort and its concern from various author	39
Table 2.7	Definition of safety and its concern from various author	41
Table 2.8	Definition of accessibility and its concern by various author	44
Table 2.9	Definition of connectivity and its concern by various author	45
Table 2.10	Types of pedestrian walkway	51
Table 2.11	Summary on sidewalk guidelines from different sources	53
Table 2.12	Pedestrian Level of Services (PLOS)	61
Table 2.13	Traffic sign color and description	64
Table 2.14	Planting form and description	70
Table 3.1	Target respondent and data collection method	82
Table 3.2	Streetscape elements and its characteristics	83
Table 3.3	Sidewalk characteristics and its dimension	84
Table 3.4	Crosswalk characteristics and its dimension	85
Table 3.5	Lighting characteristics and its dimension	85

Table 3.6	Softscape elements and its characteristics	86
Table 3.7	Station for pedestrian count	88
Table 3.8	Definition of variables and questions in Questionnaire Survey	92
Table 3.9	Measuring the Internal Consistency of Cronbach's Alpha	94
Table 4.1	Streetscape elements and its dimension for inventory	100
Table 4.2	Details inventory of Hardscape Elements of Street 1 (Imam Malek Street & Al- Ghazali Street)	103
Table 4.3	Pedestrian Volume along Street 1	110
Table 4.4	PLOS Segments and Results for Street 1	112
Table 4.5	Pedestrian Level of Service(PLOS) details results for Street 1	114
Table 4.6	Details inventory on Trees Physical Characteristics of Street 1	120
Table 4.7	Details inventory on Palms Physical Characteristics of Street 1	122
Table 4.8	Details inventory on Shrub Physical Characteristics of Street 1	125
Table 4.9	Details Hardscape Elements Inventory of Street 2 (Imam Abu Hanifah Street)	128
Table 4.10	Street segment and PLOS Results for Street 2	132
Table 4.11	Pedestrian Level of Service (PLOS) details results for Street 2	133
Table 4.12	Details of Trees Physical Characteristics of Street 2	136
Table 4.13	Details of Palm Characteristics of Imam Abu Hanifah Street (Street 2)	139
Table 4.14	Details of Shrubs Physical Characteristics of Imam Abu Hanifah Street (Street 2)	141
Table 4.15	Details inventory of Hardscape Elements of Al Jamiah Street (Street 3)	144

Table 4.16	Street segments and PLOS Results for Street 3	149
Table 4.17	Pedestrian Level of Service (PLOS) details results for Street 3	150
Table 4.18	Details of Trees Physical Characteristics of Street 3	153
Table 4.19	Details of Palm Physical Characteristics of Street 3	155
Table 4.20	Details of Shrubs Physical Characteristics of Street 3	157
Table 4.21	Respondent's Profile	159
Table 4.22	Purpose of Walking	161
Table 4.23	Streetscape Elements Preferred by Students	163
Table 4.24	Sidewalk Design Measures for IIUM Campus Streets	165
Table 4.25	Planting Design Measures for IIUM Campus Streets	166
Table 4.26	Crosswalk Design Measures for IIUM Campus Streets	167
Table 4.27	Signage Design Measures for IIUM Campus Streets	169
Table 4.28	Lighting Design Measures for IIUM Campus Streets	169
Table 4.29	Rotated Component Matrix according to Street Walkability Dimension	174
Table 4.30	Variance explained for extracted factors	176
Table 4.31	Rotated Component Matrix of underlying items for Street Walkability Factors	178
Table 4.32	Suggested Name for Latent Variables (Component of Street Walkability Dimension)	179
Table 4.33	Ranking on Pedestrian Walking Obstacles	181
Table 4.34	Several respondent comments/suggestion on street walkability	182
Table 5.1	Types of lighting and its suitability on outdoor environment	217
Table 6.1	Design guideline for trees types and characteristics	223

Table 6.2	Design guidelines for trees location and arrangement	240
Table 6.3	Design guidelines for trees grating and distance	241
Table 6.4	Design guidelines for other planting types requirements	242
Table 6.5	Design guidelines for sidewalk location and types	243
Table 6.6	Design guidelines for sidewalk width and zoning	244
Table 6.7	Design guidelines for buffer /green strips	246
Table 6.8	Design guideline for crosswalk location and types	247
Table 6.9	Design guideline for lighting location and types	248
Table 6.10	Design guideline for signage location and characteristics	249

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Summary of research organization	18
Figure 1.2	Summary of the organization of the study	19
Figure 2.1	Pedestrian Hierarchy Needs	30
Figure 2.2	Pedestrian body ellipse	58
Figure 2.3	Human Dimension, Walking and Sitting	58
Figure 2.4	Streetscape Planning for Walkable Campus Theoretical Framework	72
Figure 3.1	Location Plan of International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM), Gombak	77
Figure 3.2	Key plan of International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM), Gombak	77
Figure 3.3	Selected streets in IIUM Gombak Campus	79
Figure 3.4	Methodological Framework	81
Figure 3.5	Location of pedestrian count station	89
Figure 3.6	Survey Questionnaire Design	92
Figure 4.1	Selected streets for the site study in IIUM Campus	101
Figure 4.2	One sided sidewalk with disconnected condition along main street (Street 1)	103
Figure 4.3(a)	Uncovered sidewalk types	103
Figure 4.3(b)	Unpaved sidewalk and desired pathway among pedestrian	103
Figure 4.4(a)	Uncovered sidewalk with 1.2m width	104
Figure 4.4(b)	Uncovered sidewalk with 1.8m width	104
Figure 4.4(c)	Desired pathway with 0.9m (w) x 32m (l) along Street 1 main street	104

Figure 4.4(d)	Underground tunnel	104
Figure 4.5(a)	Pedestrian zone with furnishing zone	105
Figure 4.5(b)	Pedestrian zone without furnishing zone	105
Figure 4.5 (c)	Furnishing zone without pedestrian zone	105
Figure 4.6	Some of obstruction elements found in Street 1	105
Figure 4.7	Two vehicles lane with 5.9m in width	105
Figure 4.8	Some of LED street lighting types along Street 1	106
Figure 4.9	Signage types available along Street 1	106
Figure 4.10	Benches only available at bus stop and in front of Azman Hashim Building	107
Figure 4.11(a)	Uncovered and paved sidewalk	108
Figure 4.11(b)	Covered and paved sidewalk	108
Figure 4.11(c)	Underground tunnel	108
Figure 4.11(d)	Desired pathway	108
Figure 4.11(e)	Building frontage	108
Figure 4.12(a)	No crossing mark provided at where pedestrian crossing point took place.	109
Figure 4.12(b)	No crossing mark provided at where pedestrian crossing point took place.	109
Figure 4.13	PLOS Segments and Results for Street 1	113
Figure 4.14(a)	Desired pathway created by pedestrian along Street 1 (J8 to bus stop area)	116
Figure 4.14(b)	Desired pathway created by pedestrian along Street 1 (Right side of Imam Malek Street)	116
Figure 4.14(c)	Desired pathway created by pedestrian along Street 1 (Along Al- Ghazali Street)	116
Figure 4.15	Tetrapleura tetraptera were planted with inconsistence interval along Mahalah main streets	121

Figure 4.16	Samanea Saman were planted at female	121
Figure 4.17	Acacia auriculiformis were planted at informal parking near Junction 8	121
Figure 4.18	Syzygium Campanulatum were planted at Mahallah Hafsa back gate to Junction 10	121
Figure 4.19	Felicium Decipiens were planted at street nearby IIUM clinic with immature in height	121
Figure 4.20	Bucida Molinetti were planted at IIUM Student Centre gave shades to pedestrians	121
Figure 4.21 (a)	Palm species and its location available along Street 1	124
Figure 4.21(b)	Palm species and its location available along Street 1	124
Figure 4.21(c)	Palm species and its location available along Street 1	124
Figure 4.22	Worn out signage condition	126
Figure 4.23	Disconnected sidewalk lead to desired pathways	126
Figure 4.24	Some street stretches are not provided with sidewalk causing risk to pedestrian safety	126
Figure 4.25	Drainage cover were used as sidewalk by pedestrian to reach the nearest bus stop area	126
Figure 4.26	Absentees of landscape zone, resulted no spaces for tress. Hence, pedestrian had to walk under the sun	126
Figure 4.27	Treed street should have equipped with sidewalk zone to support pedestrian walking activities	126
Figure 4.28	Pedestrian safety is compromise when utilizing the right side of the street without any barriers near the slope area	128
Figure 4.29	Covered sidewalk with stairs	128
Figure 4.30	Uncovered paved sidewalk with shady trees enhance pedestrian comfort while walking	128
Figure 4.31	Pedestrian zone with furnishing zone	129
Figure 4.32	No pedestrian zone and furnishing zone	129
Figure 4.33	One-way street with two vehicles lane of 5.9m in width	129

Figure 4.34	Some of LED street lighting types along Street 2	130
Figure 4.35	Some of the signage provided along Street 2 were inappropriately located and block by other street elements	130
Figure 4.36	Planter box as seating area at IIUM Student Centre	130
Figure 4.37(a)	Pedestrian crossing activities during peak hours	131
Figure 4.37(b)	Pedestrian crossing activities during peak hours	131
Figure 4.37(c)	Pedestrian crossing activities during peak hours	131
Figure 4.38	PLOS Segment and Results for Street 2	133
Figure 4.39	Trees and palms species location at Street 2	138
Figure 4.40	Signage provided is not readable and blocked by lighting pole	142
Figure 4.41(a)	Trees were found disconnected at certain stretches, causing discomfort to pedestrian	142
Figure 4.41(b)	Trees were found disconnected at certain stretches, causing discomfort to pedestrian	142
Figure 4.42	Sidewalk is provided at certain street stretches	142
Figure 4.43	Unsignalized crosswalk is provided for pedestrian crossing activities	142
Figure 4.44	Disconnected pathway force pedestrian to walk on drain cover	142
Figure 4.45	Drain grates were used to connect main street to bus stop area	144
Figure 4.46(a)	Uncovered sidewalk types with interlocking pavers	144
Figure 4.46(b)	Uncovered sidewalk types with concrete slab. Uncovered sidewalk types with concrete slab.	144
Figure 4.47	Sidewalk defects at certain area due to illegal motorcyclist activities	144
Figure 4.48	Sidewalk with various width	144
Figure 4.49(a)	Pedestrian zone with furnishing zone	145

Figure 4.49(b)	Furnishing zone without pedestrian zone	145
Figure 4.50	Some of the obstruction along Street 3	145
Figure 4.51	One way street with two vehicles lane of 5.9m in width	145
Figure 4.52	Some of LED street lighting types along Street 3	146
Figure 4.53	Signage provided along Street 3	146
Figure 4.54	Seating area provided along Street 3	147
Figure 4.55	Planting area on opposite sidewalk disturb pedestrian crossing activities	148
Figure 4.56	Crosswalk obstructed by manhole affecting crossing activities	148
Figure 4.57(a)	Disconnected sidewalk causing difficulties for pedestrian to cross the street	148
Figure 4.57(b)	Disconnected sidewalk causing difficulties for pedestrian to cross the street	148
Figure 4.58	PLOS Segment and Results for Street 3	150
Figure 4.59	Softscape elements available at Street 3	154
Figure 4.60	Uncovered sidewalk linked male Mahallah to main street	158
Figure 4.61(a)	Sidewalk only provided at one side of the street	158
Figure 4.61(b)	Sidewalk only provided at one side of the street	158
Figure 4.62	Drain covers were treated as sidewalk	158
Figure 4.63	Uncovered sidewalk near rectory building causing difficulties for pedestrian mobility	158
Figure 4.64	Drain covers treated as sidewalk from male <i>Mahallah</i> to main street	158
Figure 4.65	Unutilized uncovered sidewalk at back of male Mahallah	158
Figure 4.66	Traffic cone as separator between pedestrian and vehicles zone in front of <i>Mahallah</i> Saffiyah	158
Figure 4.67(a)	Jogging activities are performed on sidewalk by pedestrians during evening and night	171

Figure 4.67(b)	Jogging activities are performed on sidewalk by pedestrians during evening and night	171
Figure 4.68 (a)	IIUM Students walking culture	171
Figure 4.68 (b)	IIUM Students walking culture	171
Figure 4.69	Some students were utilizing the cafeteria as place for group discussion with friends	171
Figure 4.70	Absentees of sidewalk lead to risk of pedestrian safety	171
Figure 4.71	No shades required pedestrian to use umbrella during walking	171
Figure 4.72	Some of students were found picnicking near main street area	171
Figure 4.73(a)	Cycling activities were performed on the same streets with vehicles	171
Figure 4.73(b)	Cycling activities were performed on the same streets with vehicles	171
Figure 5.1	Maslow theory of Pedestrian Hierarchy Needs	189
Figure 5.2	Lighting pole height control	213
Figure 5.3	Luminaire fittings	214
Figure 5.4	Bollard define pedestrian spaces	216
Figure 5.5	Bollard as alterative for vehicles restriction access	218
Figure 5.6	Signage color and background	221
Figure 6.1	The relationship of walkability factors and streetscape elements for walkable streets in the campus	235
Figure 6.2	Trees height requirement for pedestrian vision	239
Figure 6.3	Some of the trees species suggested to be planted along the main streets area	239
Figure 6.4	Trees distance	240
Figure 6.5	Planting distance should consider canopy sizes	241
Figure 6.6	Example of covered sidewalk for pedestrian comfort and weather protection	243

Figure 6.7	Sidewalk width and zoning	245
Figure 6.8	Example of meeting point and benches	246
Figure 6.9	Street reflector enhance crosswalk visibility	247
Figure 6.10	Warning signage improve pedestrian safety	247
Figure 6.11	Function of task lighting	249
Figure 6.12	Function of bollard	249
Figure 6.13	Function of ambient lighting	249

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

ATM Automated Teller Machine HCM Highway Capacity Manual

IIUM International Islamic University Malaysia

JPBD Jabatan Perancang Bandar Dan Desa (Department

of Urban and Regional Planning)

LOS Level of Services

NLP National Landscape Planning RII Relative Important Index

SACC Safety, Accessibility, Comfort, Connectivity

USM Universiti Sains Malaysia UPM Universiti Putra Malaysia