



**READINESS OF LIFE CYCLE COST (LCC) DATA  
INPUTS FOR LCC ANALYSIS OF NEW FLEXIBLE  
PAVEMENT CONSTRUCTION IN THE MALAYSIAN  
CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY**

**BY**

**NOR KHALISAH BT. BIDI**

**A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the  
degree of Master of Science (Built Environment)**

**Kulliyyah of Architecture and Environmental Design  
International Islamic University Malaysia**

**OCTOBER 2018**

## **ABSTRACT**

Most of the flexible pavement constructions in Malaysia are designed with a lifespan ranging between ten to fifteen years. The selection of quality design and effective construction method in the early design would minimize maintenance and rehabilitation works in the future. However, the literature study has identified that the traditional practice of clients in the Malaysian construction industry focuses on the cheapest construction cost but ignored the future cost. As a result of this, the clients may encounter difficulty to achieve long-term cost saving because the greater focus is given on the cheapest construction cost instead of the optimal total cost of road pavement throughout entire service life of flexible pavement. Life Cycle Cost (LCC) analysis is increasingly recognized as an important economic assessment technique to provide cost information to facilitate the clients in achieving potential cost savings. This study is carried out to discover the LCC practice in the Malaysian construction industry by investigating the quality and readiness of cost data inputs of LCC analysis of new flexible pavement construction in the Malaysian construction industry. The methodology employed for this study is qualitative research strategy that comprises of two approaches, which are literature review (secondary approach) and modified Delphi study (primary approach). The literature study has established that one of the barriers to the implementation of LCC practice in the Malaysian construction industry is the lack of available, accessible and reliable cost data that is required to be used as inputs for LCC analysis of new flexible pavement construction. The outcomes of the modified Delphi have shown that all the panellists have reached agreement that the cost data in the Malaysian construction industry are not readily available, accessible, current and reliable that can be used as inputs for LCC analysis of new flexible pavement construction. To overcome this problem, the majority of the modified Delphi panellists have established that there is a need to develop an appropriate methodology on how to identify, collect and record quality cost data in producing comprehensive and reliable LCC analysis of new flexible pavement construction in the Malaysian construction industry.

## خلاصة البحث

تُصمم معظم إنشآت الأرصفة المرنة في ماليزيا بعمر افتراضي يتراوح بين عشرة إلى خمسة عشرة سنة. يُقلل اختيار التصميم ذو الجودة العالية وأسلوب البناء الفعّال في المراحل الأولى من التصميم من الإصلاحات وأعمال إعادة التأهيل في المستقبل. على الرغم من ذلك، فإن الأبحاث أظهرت أن الممارسات التقليدية للعملاء في صناعة الإنشآت الماليزية تركز على أرخص التكاليف الإنشائية وتتجاهل التكاليف المستقبلية. نتيجة إلى ذلك، فإن العملاء قد يُواجهون صعوبات في تحقيق توفير طويل المدى للتكلفة، لأن التركيز الأكبر يقع على تكلفة الإنشآت الأرخص بدلاً من التكلفة الأفضل لرصيف الطريق طوال عمر الخدمة الافتراضي للرصيف المرن. لقد عُرف تحليل تكلفة دورة الحياة ( Life Cycle Cost) على نحو متزايد كأسلوب تقييم اقتصادي مهم يوفر معلومات التكلفة للتسهيل على العملاء لتحقيق توفير التكلفة الأمثل. وتم اتخاذ هذه الدراسة لاكتشاف ممارسة تكلفة دورة الحياة في صناعة الإنشآت الماليزية عن طريق التحقيق في جودة وتهيئة مدخلات بيانات تحليل تكلفة دورة الحياة لإنشاء أرصفة مرنة وجديدة في صناعة الإنشآت الماليزية. كان المنهج المتبع في هذه الدراسة هو البحث الكيفي والذي يتكون من نهجين: استعراض الأدبيات (نهج ثانوي) ودراسة ديلفي المعدلة (نهج رئيسي). وأظهرت مراجعة الأدبيات أن أحد الأسباب المعيقة لتطبيق تكلفة دورة الحياة في صناعة الإنشآت الماليزية هو عدم التوفر، وعدم سهولة الوصول لمعلومات التكلفة الموثوقة المطلوبة لاستخدامها كمدخلات في تحليل تكلفة دورة الحياة لإنشاء أرصفة مرنة جديدة. أما نتائج ديلفي المعدلة، فقد أظهرت أن جميع الأعضاء توصلوا إلى اتفاق واحد وهو أن معلومات التكلفة غير متوفرة في صناعة الإنشآت الماليزية ولا تُعد سهلة الوصول إليها ولا موثوقة لاستخدامها كمدخلات لتحليل تكلفة دورة الحياة لإنشاء أرصفة مرنة جديدة. للتغلب على هذه المشكلة، فجميع أعضاء ديلفي المعدلة اتفقوا على أنه ثمة احتياج لتطوير منهجية مناسبة تخص كيفية تبيين، وتجميع، وتسجيل معلومات التكلفة ذات الجودة في إنتاج تحليل تكلفة دورة الحياة الشامل والموثوق به لإنشاء أرصفة مرنة جديدة في صناعة الإنشآت الماليزية.

## APPROVAL PAGE

I certify that I have supervised and read this study and that in my opinion, it conforms to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation and is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science (Built Environment)

.....  
Mohd Fairullazi Ayob  
Supervisor

I certify that I have read this study and that in my opinion it conforms to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation and is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science (Built Environment)

.....  
Mohamad Saiful Nizam  
Mohd Suhaimi  
Internal Examiner

.....  
Goh Kai Chen  
External Examiner

This thesis was submitted to the Department of Quantity Surveying and is accepted as a fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science (Built Environment)

.....  
Azrin bin Mohd. Din  
Head, Department of Quantity  
Surveying

This thesis was submitted to the Kulliyyah of Architecture and Environmental Design and is accepted as a fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science (Built Environment)

.....  
Abdul Razak Sopian  
Dean, Kulliyyah of Architecture  
and Environmental Design

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of my own investigations, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

Nor Khalisah bt. Bidi

Signature .....

Date .....

**INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA**

**DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF  
FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH**

**READINESS OF LIFE CYCLE COST (LCC) DATA INPUTS FOR  
LCC ANALYSIS OF NEW FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT  
CONSTRUCTION IN THE MALAYSIAN CONSTRUCTION  
INDUSTRY**

I declare that the copyright holders of this dissertation are jointly owned by the student and IIUM.

Copyright © 2018 Nor Khalisah bt. Bidi and International Islamic University Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below

1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieved system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledged that I have read and understand the IIUM Intellectual Property Right and Commercialization policy.

Affirmed by Nor Khalisah bt Bidi

.....  
Signature

.....  
Date

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Firstly, I would like express my special thanks and gratitude to my supervisor, Assistant Professor Dr Mohd Fairullazi Ayob for his continuous support, encouragement and leadership.

To Ministry of Education, thank you for granting me such a generous fund to conduct this research.

It is my utmost pleasure to dedicate this work to my dear parents, husband and my family, who granted me the gift of their unwavering belief in my ability to accomplish this goal: thank you for your support and patience.

Finally, I wish to express my appreciation and thanks to those who provided their time, effort and support for this research.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| Abstract.....   | ii        |
| Abstract in Arabic.....   | iii       |
| Approval Page.....  | iv        |
| Declaration.....  | v         |
| Copyright.....  | vii       |
| Acknowledgements.....   | vii       |
| List of Tables.....   | xi        |
| List of Figures.....  | xv        |
| <b>CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION TO THE RESEARCH.....</b>   | <b>1</b>  |
| 1.1 Introduction.....   | 1         |
| 1.2 Statement of the Research Problem.....  | 1         |
| 1.3 Aim and Objectives of the Research.....   | 10        |
| 1.4 Scope of the Research.....  | 10        |
| 1.5 Significance of the Research.....   | 11        |
| 1.6 Organization of the Research.....   | 11        |
| 1.7 Papers in Support of this Thesis.....   | 14        |
| <b>CHAPTER TWO: OVERVIEW OF NEW FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT<br/>CONSTRUCTION IN<br/>MALAYSIA.....</b>   | <b>15</b> |
| 2.1 Introduction.....   | 15        |
| 2.2 Overview of Road Network in Malaysia.....   | 15        |
| 2.3 Categories of Road Networks in Malaysia.....  | 18        |
| 2.3.1 Federal roads.....  | 19        |
| 2.3.2 State road.....   | 21        |
| 2.3.3 District road.....  | 22        |
| 2.3.4 Toll/expressway road.....   | 22        |
| 2.4 Road Pavement in Malaysia.....  | 24        |
| 2.5 New Flexible Pavement Construction.....   | 25        |
| 2.6 The Process of New Flexible Pavement Construction.....  | 30        |
| 2.7 Traffic Flow and Traffic Volume.....  | 33        |
| 2.8 The Comparison between Flexible and Rigid Pavement<br>Types.....  | 39        |
| 2.9 Summary.....  | 43        |
| <b>CHAPTER THREE: THE STATE AND PRACTICE OF LCC ANALYSIS FOR<br/>NEW FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT CONSTRUCTION IN THE MALAYSIAN<br/>CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY.....</b> | <b>44</b> |
| 3.1 Introduction.....   | 44        |
| 3.2 Overview of LCC analysis of New Flexible Pavement Construction.....   | 45        |
| 3.3 A Brief History of LCC Application in Road Pavement.....  | 46        |
| 3.4 The State and Practice of LCC Analysis of New Flexible Pavement Construction in<br>the Malaysian Construction Industry.....                         | 49        |



|  |    |
|--|----|
| 3.5 Mathematical Cost Model for LCC Analysis .....   | 51 |
| 3.5.1 <i>The Net Present Value (NPV) model</i> .....   | 54 |
| 3.6 Stages of the Implication of LCC analysis of New Flexible Pavement Construction.....             | 57 |
| 3.7 Cost Components for LCC Analysis of New Flexible Pavement Construction .....                     | 60 |
| 3.8 Overview of LCC Practice in other countries .....  | 67 |
| 3.9 The Outcomes of the Review of Malaysian LCC Guideline and LCC guidelines of other countries..... | 69 |
| 3.10 Summary .....   | 75 |

**CHAPTER FOUR: DATA INPUT OF LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS OF NEW FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT CONSTRUCTION.....77**

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| 4.1 Introduction .....  | 77  |
| 4.2 Key Quality Requirements of Cost Data Inputs for LCC Analysis of New Flexible Pavement Construction .....                         | 77  |
| 4.3 Identification and Collection of Cost Data Inputs for LCC Analysis of New Flexible Pavement Construction .....                    | 79  |
| 4.3.1 Agency cost.....  | 79  |
| 4.3.1.1 Initial capital cost .....  | 79  |
| 4.3.1.2 Maintenance and rehabilitation cost .....   | 87  |
| 4.3.1.3 Salvage cost .....  | 94  |
| 4.3.2 Road user costs .....   | 96  |
| 4.3.3 Financial cost .....  | 100 |
| 4.3.3.1 <i>Malaysia Financial Cost Data</i> .....   | 105 |
| 4.3.4 Analysis period (n).....  | 106 |
| 4.4 Alternative Formulas to Update Historical Cost Data that can be used for LCC Analysis of New Flexible Pavement Construction ..... | 111 |
| 4.5 Managing Data Uncertainty and Risks in LCC Analysis .....   | 112 |
| 4.6 Summary .....   | 117 |

**CHAPTER FIVE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....118**

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| 5.1 Introduction .....   | 118 |
| 5.2 Research Questions .....                                   | 118 |
| 5.3 Aim and Objectives of the Research.....                    | 119 |
| 5.4 Research Strategy.....                                     | 119 |
| 5.5 Research Methodology Outline .....                         | 123 |
| 5.5.1 Preliminary Study .....                                  | 125 |
| 5.5.2 Literature review .....                                  | 125 |
| 5.5.3 Primary data collection (Fieldwork approach).....        | 128 |
| 5.5.3.1 <i>Delphi approach</i> .....                           | 128 |
| 5.5.3.2 Modified Delphi approach .....                         | 130 |
| 5.5.3.2.1 Reasons of Adopting modified Delphi Approach.....    | 131 |
| 5.5.3.2.2 Advantages of modified Delphi approach .....         | 133 |
| 5.5.3.2.3 Administrating the modified Delphi process .....     | 135 |
| 5.5.3.3.1 <i>Identify research questions</i> .....             | 139 |
| 5.5.3.3.2 <i>Design and develop questionnaire</i> .....        | 140 |
| 5.5.3.3.3 <i>Questionnaire piloting</i> .....                  | 152 |
| 5.5.3.3.4 <i>Identify the potential panellists</i> .....       | 153 |
| 5.5.3.3.5 <i>Round 1 of the modified Delphi approach</i> ..... | 154 |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| 5.5.3.3.6 Method used to analyse responses of first round of modified Delphi approach .....   | 157        |
| 5.5.3.3.7 Second round of modified Delphi questionnaire .....   | 161        |
| 5.5.3.3.8 Method used to analyse responses of the second round of the modified Delphi approach .....  | 163        |
| 5.5.3.3.9 Method used to enhance the reliability and validity of the modified Delphi questionnaire .....  | 167        |
| 5.6 Summary .....   | 169        |
| <b>CHAPTER SIX: DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS.....</b>  | <b>170</b> |
| 6.1 Introduction.....   | 170        |
| 6.2 Section A: Profile Information and Establishing Expertise of Panellists in Life Cycle Cost (LCC) Analysis of Flexible Pavement .....  | 170        |
| 6.3 Section B: Evaluating the Quality of Cost Data as Inputs for LCC Analysis and Identifying Strategies to Improve the Quality of Cost Data as Inputs for LCC Analysis of New Flexible Pavement Construction ..... | 174        |
| 6.3.2 Summary of data analyses from the modified Delphi approach.....   | 215        |
| <b>CHAPTER SEVEN: DISCUSSION OF RESEARCH FINDING.....</b>   | <b>207</b> |
| 7.1 Introduction.....   | 207        |
| 7.2 Discussion of Research Findings .....   | 207        |
| 7.3 Summary .....   | 218        |
| <b>CHAPTER EIGHT: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....</b>   | <b>219</b> |
| 8.1 Introduction.....   | 219        |
| 8.2 Review of Aim and Objectives of the Research .....  | 219        |
| 8.3 Research Limitation .....   | 224        |
| 8.4 Recommendation for Further Research .....   | 226        |
| <b>REFERENCES.....</b>  | <b>227</b> |
| <b>APPENDIX I: LETTER OF INFORMED CONSENT OF MODIFIED DELPHI STUDY .....</b>  | <b>248</b> |
| <b>APPENDIX II: FIRST ROUND OF MODIFIED DELPHI QUESTIONNAIRE.....</b>   | <b>249</b> |
| <b>APPENDIX III: SECOND ROUND OF MODIFIED DELPHI QUESTIONNAIRE.....</b>   | <b>264</b> |
| <b>APPENDIX IV: RESULTS OF FIRST ROUND OF MODIFIED DELPHI (CONTENT ANALYSIS).....</b>   | <b>281</b> |
| <b>APPENDIX V: FULL RESULTS OF SECOND ROUND QUESTIONNAIRE.....</b>  | <b>305</b> |
| <b>APPENDIX VI: INTERVIEW TRANSCRIPTION OF FIRST ROUND MODIFIED DELPHI .....</b>  | <b>336</b> |
| <b>APPENDIX VII: TRANSCRIPTION OF VERBAL COMMENTS MADE BY THE PANELLISTS IN THE SECOND ROUND QUESTIONNAIRE .....</b>  | <b>363</b> |
| <b>APPENDIX IX : PAPERS IN SUPPORT OF THIS THESIS.....</b>  | <b>366</b> |

## LIST OF TABLES

|            |  |    |
|------------|--|----|
| Table 2.1  | Road design standard   | 17 |
| Table 2.2  | The characteristics of physical site conditions  | 18 |
| Table 2.3  | Maintenance zone and concession companies  | 19 |
| Table 2.4  | Expressway considered as federal roads in Malaysia   | 20 |
| Table 2.5  | List of concession companies in Malaysia   | 22 |
| Table 2.6  | The examples of the route numbers and highway route names in Malaysia  | 23 |
| Table 2.7  | The comparison between conventional flexible pavement, full depth asphalt pavement and contained rock asphalt mats | 26 |
| Table 2.8  | New flexible pavement construction composition   | 28 |
| Table 2.9  | The comparison between manual counting and automatic counting methods  | 34 |
| Table 2.10 | Types of data recording for manual counting methods  | 34 |
| Table 2.11 | Types of automatic counters  | 35 |
| Table 2.12 | The types of traffic flow and traffic volume   | 37 |
| Table 2.13 | The comparison between flexible and rigid pavements  | 39 |
| Table 2.14 | The similarities and differences between flexible and rigid pavements  | 42 |
| Table 3.1  | The advantages and disadvantages of mathematical cost models for LCC analysis                                      | 52 |
| Table 3.2  | The stages of LCC analysis   | 58 |
| Table 3.3  | The cost components for LCC analysis of new flexible pavement construction   | 61 |
| Table 3.4  | The types of data sources for LCC analysis of road pavement  | 65 |
| Table 3.5  | The comparison between the state of LCC practice in Malaysia and other countries                                   | 68 |

|            |  |     |
|------------|--|-----|
| Table 3.6  | The procedures of carrying out the LCC analysis of the public projects in Malaysia                     | 70  |
| Table 3.7  | The procedures of carrying out the LCC analysis for the infrastructure projects in California          | 71  |
| Table 3.8  | The procedures of carrying out the LCC analysis of the buildings and infrastructure projects           | 72  |
| Table 3.9  | The comparison between the LCC analysis procedures of four standard guidelines                         | 74  |
| Table 4.1  | Cost data of initial capital cost  | 80  |
| Table 4.2  | CIDB published cost data   | 82  |
| Table 4.3  | Scale of fees of professional services in the Malaysian construction industry                          | 85  |
| Table 4.4  | Cost data of maintenance and rehabilitation cost of LCC analysis of new flexible pavement construction | 87  |
| Table 4.5  | The definition of each category of rehabilitation approaches for flexible pavement                     | 89  |
| Table 4.6  | The application for each category of rehabilitation approaches   | 91  |
| Table 4.7  | The cost data for each type of road rehabilitation approach  | 92  |
| Table 4.8  | The other alternative data sources for maintenance and rehabilitation cost                             | 93  |
| Table 4.9  | Cost data for salvage cost   | 95  |
| Table 4.10 | Alternative data sources for salvage cost estimation   | 96  |
| Table 4.11 | Cost components of road user cost  | 97  |
| Table 4.12 | Cost data of travel delay cost   | 97  |
| Table 4.13 | Cost data of vehicle operating cost  | 98  |
| Table 4.14 | Cost data of crash cost  | 98  |
| Table 4.15 | The categories of Malaysian taxes  | 103 |
| Table 4.16 | Types of taxable supply  | 104 |
| Table 4.17 | The analysis period of flexible pavement   | 109 |

|            |   |     |
|------------|---|-----|
| Table 4.18 | The factors and examples of road pavement obsolescence and deterioration  | 110 |
| Table 4.19 | Alternative data sources  | 110 |
| Table 5.1  | The key differences between quantitative, qualitative and mixed method researches   | 120 |
| Table 5.2  | The categorization of primary and secondary literature sources  | 126 |
| Table 5.3  | Types of questions  | 140 |
| Table 5.4  | Statistical analysis techniques   | 163 |
| Table 5.5  | Standard Deviation and level of consensus   | 165 |
| Table 6.1  | Demographic characteristics of the panellists in the study  | 171 |
| Table 6.2  | Summarised item responses of Question 1   | 176 |
| Table 6.3  | Ranking of the new objectives that can be achieved in the implementation of LCC analysis of new flexible pavement construction                                    | 177 |
| Table 6.4  | Justifications given by the panellists on the objectives that can be achieved in the implementation of LCC analysis of new flexible pavement construction         | 177 |
| Table 6.5  | Summarised item responses of Question 2   | 179 |
| Table 6.6  | Justifications given by the panellists on the most appropriate stage for the application of LCC analysis of new flexible pavement construction                    | 179 |
| Table 6.7  | Summarised item responses of Question 3   | 182 |
| Table 6.8  | Justifications given by the panellists on the most appropriate mathematical cost model that can be applied for LCC analysis of new flexible pavement construction | 182 |
| Table 6.9  | Summarised item responses of Question 4   | 185 |
| Table 6.10 | Justifications given by the panellists on the cost components that should be <b>included</b> in the LCC analysis of new flexible pavement construction            | 186 |
| Table 6.11 | Justifications given by the panellists on the cost components that should be <b>excluded</b> in LCC analysis of new flexible pavement construction                | 187 |

|            |   |     |
|------------|---|-----|
| Table 6.12 | Summarised item responses of Question 5   | 190 |
| Table 6.13 | The reasons of choosing the most appropriate cost estimation method for LCC analysis of new flexible pavement construction  | 190 |
| Table 6.14 | Ranking of new item responses for Question 5  | 192 |
| Table 6.15 | Justifications given by the panellists on the reasons of choosing separated estimation method for LCC analysis of new flexible pavement construction for the second round questionnaire | 193 |
| Table 6.16 | Summary of justification given by the panellist on the state of cost data and data sources for LCC analysis of new flexible pavement construction                                       | 195 |
| Table 6.17 | Ranking of new item responses of Question 6 (i)   | 200 |
| Table 6.18 | Ranking of new item responses of Question 6 (ii)  | 202 |
| Table 6.19 | Ranking of new item responses of Question 6 (iii)   | 203 |
| Table 6.20 | Ranking of new item responses of Question 6 (iv)  | 205 |
| Table 6.21 | Reasons given by the panellists for Question 6 of the second round Questionnaire  | 207 |
| Table 6.22 | Summary of answers given by the panellists on the difficulties in the implementation of LCC analysis of new flexible pavement construction in Malaysia                                  | 208 |
| Table 6.23 | Ranking of new item responses for Question 7  | 210 |
| Table 6.24 | Interview transcript – The strategies to improve the quality of cost data for LCC analysis of new flexible pavement construction  | 212 |
| Table 6.25 | Ranking of new item responses of Question 8   | 214 |
| Table 6.26 | New comments and suggestions of the panellists from the second round of the questionnaire   | 216 |
| Table 6.27 | Summarised significant results from the two round of modified Delphi questionnaire  | 217 |
| Table 8.1  | Cost data required that can be used as inputs for each category of cost components of LCC analysis of new flexible pavement construction  | 221 |
| Table 8.2  | Data sources that are categorised as ‘Very Significant’ with regard to the state of cost data as inputs for LCC analysis of new flexible pavement construction                          | 223 |

## LIST OF FIGURES

|             |  |    |
|-------------|--|----|
| Figure 1.1  | Flowchart of research methodology  | 13 |
| Figure 2.1  | Categories of road networks in Malaysia  | 19 |
| Figure 2.2  | Flexible pavement layers   | 24 |
| Figure 2.3  | Rigid pavement layers  | 25 |
| Figure 2.4  | The relationship between the CBR value, the traffic loading and the thickness of flexible pavement | 27 |
| Figure 2.5  | The seal coat, prime coat, and tack coat   | 29 |
| Figure 2.6  | The process of the new flexible pavement construction  | 30 |
| Figure 2.7  | Clearing works   | 30 |
| Figure 2.8  | Mass haul diagram  | 30 |
| Figure 2.9  | Spreading and levelling the soil for subgrade layer  | 29 |
| Figure 2.10 | Sub-base layer   | 31 |
| Figure 2.11 | Levelling road base material   | 31 |
| Figure 2.12 | Spreading and levelling binder course material   | 32 |
| Figure 2.13 | Spreading and levelling wearing course material  | 33 |
| Figure 2.14 | Mechanical counting boards   | 35 |
| Figure 2.15 | Electronic counting board  | 35 |
| Figure 2.16 | Pneumatic road tube counter  | 36 |
| Figure 2.17 | Piezoelectric sensor   | 36 |
| Figure 2.18 | Trend chart  | 37 |
| Figure 2.19 | Variation charts   | 38 |
| Figure 2.20 | Traffic flow map   | 38 |
| Figure 2.21 | Volume flow diagram at the intersection  | 38 |

|             |   |     |
|-------------|---|-----|
| Figure 2.22 | Flexible pavement layers  | 40  |
| Figure 2.23 | Rigid pavement layers   | 40  |
| Figure 3.1  | The Net Present value (NPV)   | 54  |
| Figure 3.2  | The cost components of LCC analysis of road pavement                                  | 60  |
| Figure 4.1  | The categories of rehabilitation approaches for flexible pavement                     | 88  |
| Figure 4.2  | The relationship between pavement condition and pavement design life and service life | 108 |
| Figure 4.3  | Spider diagram  | 115 |
| Figure 5.1  | The schematic flow of research methodology  | 124 |
| Figure 5.2  | Schematic flow of modified Delphi process   | 136 |
| Figure 5.3  | The schematic flow of content analysis  | 158 |



# **CHAPTER ONE**

## **INTRODUCTION TO THE RESEARCH**

### **1.1 INTRODUCTION**

This research reports a study on the investigation of data inputs in Life cycle cost (LCC) analysis of new flexible pavement construction in the Malaysian construction industry. In this chapter, the researcher provides the readers with the following introductory sections, which include:

- i. Statement of the research problem
- ii. Aim and objectives of the research
- iii. Significance of the research
- iv. Scope of the research
- v. Organization of the research
- vi. Papers in support of this thesis

### **1.1 STATEMENT OF THE RESEARCH PROBLEM**

Road infrastructures are very important assets in the trade and transportation system, which act as an enabler to generate excellent growth to the economic and social to the countries (Goh and Yang, 2009; Nurul Wahida, 2010; Nasradeen and Zulkiple, 2013; Zarabizan, Syuhada & Aminah, 2013). Road infrastructures have become the most important means for 96% of transported goods and passengers in Malaysia (Sufiyan & Zulakmal, 2009 as cited in Putera Zal Hafizin, 2015).

The Government of Malaysia has invested a large amount of money for the construction of road infrastructures (Nurul Wahida, 2010; Nasradeen and Zulkiple,

2013; Zarabizan, Syuhada & Aminah, 2013). According to the Construction Quarterly Statistical Bulletin 2017, the Government of Malaysia has spent RM9, 803.34 million between January 2017 and June 2017 for the construction of infrastructure projects (CIDB, 2017). However, in the Bulletin published by the Construction Industry Development Board (CIDB), the information provided does not properly specify the amount spent for infrastructure projects and whether it belongs to the building works, civil engineering works or road pavements (i.e. flexible and rigid pavements). Furthermore, according to the report from Malaysia Productivity Corporation (2016), there are two main projects that have contributed to the development of road infrastructure projects in Malaysia, which are the Construction of the West Coast Highway and the Construction of the People's Highway from Machang to Kuala Krai, Kelantan in year 2014. In addition, the Government of Malaysia has announced the increase of total length of road networks from 137,200km to 230,300km, between year 2010 and 2015 that has been stated in Tenth Malaysia Plan (2010-2015) with the objectives are to increase the total length of road networks and to enhance the connectivity between rural and urban areas to basic social amenities such as health, education, and public services. For this reason, it is important to ensure that the road infrastructure is continuously in a good condition and able to provide quality services to users. The Government of Malaysia has entrusted few respective agencies, i.e. the concession companies (e.g. SPRINT, PLUS Expressway Berhad, IJM Corporation Berhad, etc.) Malaysia Highway Authority, State Public Works Department and facility management companies (e.g. Global Facilities Management Sdn Bhd, Savills Malaysia's Facilities Management, SGS Malaysia, etc.) to maintain, monitor and administer road networks in Malaysia (Highway Planning Unit, Ministry of Works & Malaysia Highway Authority, 2013, as cited in Zarulazam & Evdorides, 2013).

In Malaysia, there are two types of road pavements, which are flexible and rigid pavements (Mathew & Rao, 2007; Haritsehrawat, 2012; Pavement Interactive, 2012; The Constructor, 2015; Wan Imran, 2015). The flexible pavement is constructed mainly in five different layers, which include subgrade, sub-base, road base, binder course and wearing course (Kibukwo, 2013; Public Works Department, 2013; The Constructor, 2014). Rigid pavement on the other hand, is constructed mainly with three different layers, which include subgrade, base or sub-base and concrete slab (Public Works Department, 2013; The Constructor, 2014). However, this study will focus on the LCC estimation with specific reference to new flexible pavement construction, which is well known for having lower initial capital cost, but higher maintenance cost as compared to rigid pavement (Wan Imran, 2015).

Most of the flexible pavement constructions in Malaysia are designed with a lifespan between ten to fifteen years (Zakaria & Hassan, 2005 as cited in Wan Imran, 2015). The main factors affecting the design life of flexible pavement are quality of materials, traffic loading, technology and thickness of flexible pavement layers (Vasudevan & Hidayu, 2014; Nogorska, Nagorski & Blazejowski, 2015). For example, the flexible pavement structure will deteriorate before the end of its design life when the thicknesses of each flexible pavement layers are designed to be too thin. Hence, a major road maintenance work is required to rehabilitate this deteriorated road pavement (Vasudevan & Hidayu, 2014). It is not a misconception to state that the selection of design alternatives and construction methods during planning and design stage of the road pavement will give impact to the future operation and maintenance cost (Vasudevan & Hidayu, 2014; Wennstrom, 2014). Besides that, the clients may encounter difficulty to achieve long-term cost saving if the sole focus is given on the cheapest construction cost instead of the optimal total cost of road pavement

throughout design lifespan (Goh & Yang, 2009). The total cost is defined as the total expenses of all direct and indirect costs in acquiring and operating product or services over an anticipated lifespan (Oxford University Press, 2018; Encyclopaedia Britannica, 2018). The total cost of pavement consists of initial capital cost, maintenance and rehabilitation cost as well as salvage cost. It is very important to connect initial capital cost with future costs such as maintenance and rehabilitation cost as well salvage cost in the investment decision-making process at the very early stage of the project to achieve the best value for money over the investment.

Life Cycle Cost (LCC) analysis is an economic assessment technique that is used to estimate the total ownership cost of assets or facilities i.e. roads, buildings, electricity, telecommunication, water supplies and etc. However, this study will emphasize on the estimation of LCC analysis of road pavement which includes initial capital cost, maintenance cost, rehabilitation cost, salvage cost, and financial cost over the anticipated lifespan. Life cycle cost (LCC) analysis is increasingly recognized as an important economic assessment technique to provide the cost information which can help the client to develop economical strategies since the clients and government agencies require optimum maintenance cost of roads and highways infrastructure (Rangaraju, Amirkhanian & Guven, 2008; Wan Imran, 2015). In addition, LCC analysis is a cost management tool to compare the overall long-term economic efficiency between the available competing alternatives in the construction, operation, maintenance and rehabilitation work of flexible pavement over an anticipated lifetime to identify potential cost savings (Boussabaine & Kirkham, 2006, Davis Langdon Management Consulting, 2006; BS ISO 15686-5, 2008, Davis Langdon, 2010 as cited in Mohd Fairullazi, 2014).

According to the Tenth Malaysian Plan (2011-2015), the Government of Malaysia has encouraged the adoption of LCC practice in the procurement process to assist the Government and clients to achieve the best value for money on the public investment made in building and infrastructure projects (Economic Planning Unit, 2010). In the recent Eleventh Malaysia Plan (2016-2020), the Government of Malaysia has asserted again that LCC should be practised in the Malaysian construction industry, specifically in the maintenance of road and rail infrastructure networks to ensure that the road and rail infrastructure networks are in good working performance condition and quality performance over the maximum service lifespan (Economic Planning Unit, 2015). Hence, it is not a misapprehension to state that LCC analysis has been recommended as an economic assessment technique to facilitate the Malaysian Government in designing the best value for money procurement strategies to achieve the most cost-effectiveness on public investment, particularly in building, road and rail infrastructure networks in Malaysia.

The LCC analysis process can be categorized into three main phases; i.e. data inputs, conversion and outputs (BSI ISO 15686-5, 2008; Kelly and Hunter, 2009; NATO Research and Technology Organisation, 2009; Rist, 2011 as cited in Mohd Fairullazi, 2014). For this study, the quality data refers to cost data inputs of LCC analysis. Cost data is the important inputs of LCC analysis that should be identified and measured by the cost estimators in the early stage of the project (Fuller, 2009 & Davis Langdon, 2010 as cited in Mohd Fairullazi, 2014). In the estimation of LCC analysis, there are several types of mathematical cost model have been recommended i.e. Simple Payback, Discount Payback method (DP), Net Present Value (NPV), Equivalent Uniform Annual Cost (EUAC), Internal Rate of Return (IRR) and Net saving (Guyen, 2006; Schade, 2007; BS ISO 15686-5, 2008; Nor Azizah and Zainal

Abidin, 2010; Babashamsi et al., 2016). Each of these mathematical cost models requires different type of cost data for the estimation of LCC analysis. Therefore, it is very important to identify appropriate and quality cost data to be used as inputs in these mathematical cost models. Previous study has reported that there are four key quality of data input requirements required for producing a reliable and comprehensive LCC analysis, as follows (Mohd Fairullazi and Khairuddin, 2011a, 2011b, 2013 ; Mohd Fairullazi, 2014 p. 2; Mohd Fairullazi et al., 2017):

- i. **Availability** of cost data indicates the level of data certainty (Davis Langdon Management Consulting, 2007; Gross and AEA, 2008; BS ISO 15686-5, 2008; BSI, 2008; NATO Research and Technology Organisation, 2009 as cited in Mohd Fairullazi, 2014; p. 2; Goh et al., 2010).
- ii. **Accessibility** of cost data is defined as the ease of access to obtain cost data from variable data sources within known background for the estimation of LCC analysis (Ren & Zhang, 2007; Schade, 2007; BSI, 2008; Ashworh, 2010 as cited in Mohd Fairullazi, 2014; p. 2).
- iii. **Currency** of cost data means the most recent and advanced data that are updated frequently either on monthly or yearly basis for producing reliable LCC analysis (Khairani, 2009; Department of Statistic Malaysia, 2010 as cited in Mohd Fairullazi, 2014; p.2; Free Dictionary, 2015).
- iv. **Reliability** of cost data refers to the consistency of data which implies how comparable the data to the actual value arrived from similar and repetitive methods under the same research situation (Annex 31, 2001; Neuman, 2003, Ashworth, 2004, Creswell & Clark, 2007; King, 2007, CRES & Kikira, 2009 as cited in Mohd Fairullazi, 2014; p.2).

Nevertheless, based on the comprehensive review of the literature, there is no previous study that has investigated the quality and readiness of cost data as inputs for LCC analysis of new flexible pavement construction in the Malaysian construction industry. Numerous studies have revealed that one of the major hurdles of implementing LCC practice in estimating the total cost of road pavement is the lack of availability and accessibility to a reliable cost data as inputs for LCC analysis of new flexible pavement construction (Singh and Tiong, 2004; Langdon, 2010; Tinni, 2013; Babashamsi, 2016).

Most published cost data in the Malaysian construction industry are historical data, which are collected from the previous projects in the past (Siti Hamisah et al., 2007; Masoud, 2009; Masoud et al., 2010; Mohd Fairullazi, 2011, 2012 as cited in Mohd Fairullazi, 2014). Based on the review of literature, the historical cost data can be used by various data users and organizations by measuring the currency degree of data inputs for LCC analysis i.e. “Very current”, “Current” and “Less current” data (Mohd Fairullazi, 2014). Very current data is indicated as the most recent data that are updated on a weekly, monthly or yearly basis. Current data is the data that is frequently updated on a certain period of basis where the data is updated once in a couple of years but less than 5 years. Meanwhile, less current data refer to historical data which are collected from the previous study i.e. the data that are published more than 5 years. The historical data can be used in the estimation of LCC analysis by referring to similar characteristics of the projects as well as reporting the rationales of using them in the projects (Mohd Fairullazi, 2014; Fuller, 2016). However, it was difficult to directly use the historical data in estimating the total cost of certain road projects since different road projects have different models, manufacturers and technological advancements (Wennstrom, 2014). In addition, it could cause a

misleading information to LCC analysis and makes the LCC output tends to become erroneous, unreliable and show differences from the actual costs (Siti Hamisah et al., 2007, Masoud, 2009, Masoud et al., 2010 as cited in Mohd Fairullazi, 2014). Based on the comprehensive literature study, there is no proper and complete LCC database that has been developed specifically for new flexible pavement construction in the Malaysian construction industry, which can be referred by the cost estimators to carry out LCC analysis of new flexible pavement construction. Hence, many cost estimators have to make an assumption in the LCC analysis of road pavement and justify the rationales of using the assumptions to ensure the reliability of cost data used in LCC analysis can be achieved (Mohd Fairullazi, 2014).

A technical agency of the Public Works Department (PWD) has published a LCC guideline entitled “Garis Panduan Kos Kitaran Hayat (2012)” [Guideline of Life Cycle Cost (2012)]. The objective of this guideline is to provide procedures and methodology that can facilitate the cost estimators to carry out LCC analysis for the new construction of public building and road projects in the Malaysian construction industry. This guideline also helps clients and cost practitioners in making the best decision to achieve the most optimum life cycle cost of investment made in building and road projects (PWD, 2012). Based on the comprehensive review of PWD guideline, the guideline only provides fundamental LCC methodology on how to estimate the total cost of public projects but it does not provide procedure on how to collect a comprehensive and quality cost data that are required for LCC analysis of new flexible pavement construction. This procedure is significant to be included in this guideline to assist the cost estimators to the right practice of LCC in producing comprehensive and reliable LCC analysis of new flexible pavement construction.