



DESIGN STRATEGIES INFLUENCING INFORMAL
LEARNING IN SCIENCE CENTRE

BY

NURHAYA BINTI BANIYAMIN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Built Environment)

Kulliyyah of Architecture and Environmental Design
International Islamic University Malaysia

APRIL 2018

ABSTRACT

Museum exhibition environment provides experiential learning through its messages with a view to influence knowledge, attitudes and learning behaviours of visitors. Connections in visitors' cognitive, affective, emotional and physiological responses play a beneficial role in museum visits. The focus of this study is on understanding and enhancing visitors' experience of science centre. Its subject matter is informal learning, situated in the context of both experiential design and exhibition design. The aims of the research are to understand the experiential design strategies that improves science centre intent. The first objective is to determine the tools for enchantment of message in the science centre experience. The second objective is to established the interconnection between science centres' representational systems and the tools for enchantment. The third objective is to explain design opportunities that will improve science centre visitor experience. The theoretical framework is confined to the interrelationship between the concept of atmospheric context, experiential learning, enchantment as a tool of message, representational modes and design opportunities in science centre. The changes in atmospheric context and enchantment are influenced by the design opportunities available in the science centre. Qualitative research methodology namely photographic observation and in-depth interviews were employed to achieve the objectives of the research. The research involves case studies of science centres in Asia pacific. The first finding of the research indicate that the tools for enchantment of message in the science centre experience are thematization, spatialization and scenarization of the experience. The experiential strategies include the spectacular, immersive, ritualized and commercial dimensions. The second finding suggest that design can influence visitor participation with different emphases on three dimensional representations, lighting quality and degree of linearity. The third finding propose design opportunities that improve science centre visitor experience, identified in five main themes: invoking interest, delivering the message, connecting personally, designing inclusive/immersive experience and balancing the constraints. Research conclude that design emphasis increases in complexity to overwhelm, stimulate, and transform, resulting in greater emotional impact that uplifts the level of visitor perception from mere acceptance to motivation and enjoyable learning experience. Well-designed exhibition evokes direct participation and transformational experiences for visitors. The analysis of representative modes on the dimensions of classification, formality and framing revealed the way that visitors are socially constructed as learning subjects. The design of the exhibitions creates a 'model visitor' who is highly motivated to interact with the exhibits and is also autonomous in deciding his/her own learning experiences. The research clarifies that science centre acknowledged the four processes that affect learning: attentional, affective, cognitive and compensatory. The implications from this research are design knowledge which includes connection between science centre offerings, exhibition design emphases, and visitor experiences; the concept of visitor interaction with atmospherics and the exhibition environment; and the design opportunities to improve visitor experiences.

خلاصة البحث

إن بيئة المتحف توفر تعلماً تجريبياً من خلال رسائله بالنظر إلى التأثير المعرفي، والمواقف والسلوكيات التعليمية للزوار. إن الروابط في استجابات الزوار المعرفية والوجدانية والعاطفية والفسولوجية تلعب دوراً مُجدياً في زيارة المتحف. وهذه الدراسة تركز على فهم وتعزيز تجربة الزوار لمركز العلوم. وموضوعها هو التعلم غير الرسمي، وتكمن في سياق كل من التصميم التجريبي وتصميم المعرض. وتتمثل أهداف البحث في فهم استراتيجيات التصميمات التجريبية التي تعمل على تحسين الغرض من مركز العلوم. والهدف الأول هو تحديد أدوات فن الرسالة في تجربة مركز العلوم. والهدف الثاني هو إقامة الترابط بين أنظمة التمثيل في المراكز العلمية وأدوات التقنن. والهدف الثالث هو شرح فرص التصميم التي من شأنها تحسين تجربة زوار مركز العلوم. ويقتصر الإطار النظري على العلاقة المتبادلة بين مفهوم السياق الجوي، والتعلم التجريبي، والتقنن كأداة للرسالة، وأنماط التمثيل وفرص التصميم في مركز العلوم. إن التغيرات في سياق الغلاف الجوي والافتتان تتأثر بفرص التصميم المتاحة في مركز العلوم. وتم استخدام منهجية البحث النوعي، أي المراقبة التصويرية والمقابلات المتعمقة لتحقيق أهداف البحث. يتضمن البحث دراسة حالة لمراكز العلوم في آسيا والمحيط الهادئ. وتشير النتيجة الأولى للبحث إلى أن أدوات فن الرسالة في تجربة مركز العلوم هي عبارة عن عمليات تجميع وتوضيح وتصنيف للتجربة. وتشمل الاستراتيجيات التجريبية الأبعاد المثيرة، والغامرة، والطقوس، والتجارية. وتشير النتيجة الثانية إلى أن التصميم يمكن أن يؤثر على مشاركة الزائرين بتركيزات مختلفة على التمثيل ثلاثي الأبعاد، وجودة الإضاءة، ودرجة الخطية. وتقترح النتيجة الثالثة فرص التصميم التي تعمل على تحسين تجربة الزائرين في مركز العلوم، والتي تم تحديدها في خمسة مواضيع رئيسية: استحضار الاهتمام، وإيصال الرسالة، والاتصال الشخصي، وتصميم تجربة شاملة / غامرة، وتحقيق التوازن بين القيود المفروضة. وتوصل البحث إلى أن التركيز على التصميم يزيد من التعقيد ويطغى على التحفيز والتحول، مما يؤدي إلى زيادة التأثير العاطفي الذي يرفع مستوى إدراك الزائر من مجرد القبول إلى التحفيز وتجربة التعلم الممتعة. ويستدعي المعرض ذو التصميم الجيد المشاركة المباشرة والخبرات التحويلية للزائرين. وكشف تحليل النمط الممثل لأبعاد التصنيف، الشكلية والتأطير عن الطريقة التي يتم بها تهيئة الزائرين اجتماعياً كمواضيع تعلم. ويخلق تصميم المعارض "زائراً نموذجياً" له دوافع كبيرة للتفاعل مع المعارضات كما أنه مستقل في تقرير تجاربه التعليمية الخاصة. ويوضح البحث أن مركز العلوم قد أقر العمليات الأربع التي تؤثر على التعلم: النية، والعاطفة، والإدراك، والتعويض. والآثار المترتبة على هذا البحث هي المعرفة التصميمية التي تشمل الاتصال بين عروض مركز العلوم، وتأكيد تصميم المعرض، وتجارب الزوار؛ ومفهوم تفاعل الزائرين مع الغلاف الجوي وبيئة المعرض؛ وفرص التصميم لتحسين تجارب الزوار.

APPROVAL PAGE

The thesis of Nurhaya binti Baniyamin has been approved by the following:

Zaiton Abdul Rahim
Supervisor

Noor Hanita Abdul Majid
Co-Supervisor

Abdul Razak Sopian
Internal Examiner

Gurupiah Mursib
External Examiner

Abdullah Sani Ahmad
External Examiner

Radwan Jamal Yousef Elatrash
Chairman

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of my own investigations, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

Nurhaya binti Baniyamin

Signature.....

Date.....

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

**DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF
FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH**

**DESIGN STRATEGIES INFLUENCING
INFORMAL LEARNING IN SCIENCE CENTRE**

I declare that the copyright holder of this thesis are jointly owned by
the student and IIUM.

Copyright © 2018 Nurhaya binti Baniyamin and International Islamic University Malaysia. All
rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval
system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical,
photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the
copyright holder except as provided below

1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research
may only be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print
or electronic) for institutional and academic purpose.
3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieval system
and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other
universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledged that I have read and understand the IIUM
Intellectual Property Right and Commercialization policy.

Nurhaya binti Baniyamin

.....

Signature

.....

Date

This thesis is dedicated to my loving parents.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All glory is due to Allah, the Almighty, whose Grace and Mercies have been with me throughout the duration of my programme. His continuous Blessings ease the completion of this thesis. Alhamdulillah.

Memorable experience in science centre? People give many answers to this question, most of which share one common feature; an experience towards which one is strongly motivated for future visits. This basic phenomenon led my interest and study to the field of exhibition design and museum experience. Combining two wide subjects - experiential design and informal learning - was not an easy task. My supervisors from the Kulliyah of Architecture, International Islamic University Malaysia, were able to clarify the context of my work. Associate Professor Dr. Mizanur Rashid crystallised the aim of the study by comments on its design. I would like to thank especially my supervisor Assistant Professor Dr. Zaiton Abdul Rahim for her broad perspective and advice both on the theoretical background and practical methodology of the study. I appreciate her detailed comments, useful suggestions and inspiring queries which have considerably improved this thesis. Comments and suggestions from Associate Professor Dr. Noor Hanita Abdul Majid and Professor Dr. Ar. Abd Razak Sopian made the final thesis much more comprehensive.

Comments on the first outline of this study by Professor Per-Edvin Persson, Director of Heureka, the Finnish Science Centre helped me to find the basic elements of the topic. Intensive discussions with Asger Hoeg, Executive Director of Experimentarium focussed the aim of the study. The theoretical background to science centre education was extended by insightful interview from Cindy Chambers, Head of Concepts and Education at Questacon. The clear ideas of John Richardson, Design and Online Services Manager were of great assistance in the analysis of design planning at Questacon. I would also like to thank Maria Isabel Garcia, the curator of The Mind Museum for encouraging me in this research work despite the very practical demands of managing a new museum. I have also been fortunate to get most valuable criticism on the topic of science centre exhibition from Geoff Snowdon, Director Creative Design at Team Aranda Sdn. Bhd. an expert in the fields. Daniel Tan, Director Exhibitions Group at Science Centre Singapore gave important insight at the beginning of the study. Extensive interviews with Fiona Blades, Exhibition Designer at the Powerhouse Museum and special guided tour with Matthew Connell, Principal Curator Physical Sciences and IT at the Powerhouse Museum is a fortune.

Thanks are also due to the Ministry of Higher Education for economic support for my study, and to the Kulliyah of Architecture and Environmental Design for accepting this study in their series of Research Thesis. Most gratitude goes to my husband and family for their prayers, understanding and endurance.

Nurhaya binti Baniyamin

LIST OF TABLES

| | | |
|------------|--|-----|
| Table 2.1 | Learning Theories in Museum Exhibition Design | 34 |
| Table 2.2 | Key factors of the Contextual Model of Learning in museum | 40 |
| Table 2.3 | Key factors of museum exhibition design in relation to learning experience | 48 |
| Table 2.4 | Experiential Concept | 69 |
| Table 2.5 | Atmospherics in the Museum Context | 75 |
| Table 2.6 | Concept of Visitors' Behaviour in the Experiential Environments | 82 |
| Table 2.7 | Functions of all the representational systems employed in a science centre | 84 |
| Table 2.8 | Classification modulation by the three-dimensional representations | 85 |
| Table 2.9 | Formality modulation by the three-dimensional representations | 87 |
| Table 2.10 | Framing modulation by the three dimensional representations | 88 |
| Table 2.11 | Formality modulation by lighting | 90 |
| Table 2.12 | Framing modulation by lighting | 90 |
| Table 2.13 | Framing modulation by the degree of linearity | 92 |
| Table 3.1 | Summary of the two data collection methods | 112 |
| Table 3.2 | Summary of data collection methods and procedures | 114 |
| Table 3.3 | Analytical template | 123 |
| Table 4.1 | National Science Museum Korea Classification modulation by the three-dimensional representations | 147 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| Table 4.2 | National Science Museum Korea Formality modulation by the three-dimensional representations | 148 |
| Table 4.3 | National Science Museum Korea Framing modulation by the three dimensional representations | 149 |
| Table 4.4 | National Science Museum Korea Formality modulation by lighting | 151 |
| Table 4.5 | National Science Museum Korea Framing modulation by lighting | 152 |
| Table 4.6 | National Science Museum Korea Framing modulation by the degree of linearity | 153 |
| Table 4.7 | Exhibition Planning consideration | 174 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Figure 1.1 | Research Structure | 17 |
| Figure 2.1 | Experiential Learning Theory by Kolb et al.(1999) | 31 |
| Figure 2.2 | Educational Theories by Hein (1998) | 33 |
| Figure 2.3 | The Contextual Model of Learning by Falk and Dierking (2000) | 39 |
| Figure 2.4 | Ambient Experience Suite project by Philips | 70 |
| Figure 2.5 | Theoretical Framework of the research | 98 |
| Figure 3.1 | Research processes | 130 |
| Figure 4.1 | Thematization at Atom Exhibition of The Mind Museum | 136 |
| Figure 4.2 | Thematization at Science Exploration of Macao Science Centre | 136 |
| Figure 4.3 | Spatialization at Perception & Thinking Exhibition of Guangdong Science Centre | 137 |
| Figure 4.4 | Spatialization at Questacon Foyer | 137 |
| Figure 4.5 | Spatialization at Universe Exhibition Gallery of The Mind Museum | 137 |
| Figure 4.6 | Scenarization at Experiments & Discovery Hall of Guangdong Science Centre | 137 |
| Figure 4.7 | Spectacularization in Guangdong Science Centre building's architecture | 139 |
| Figure 4.8 | Spectacularization in Macao Science Centre building's architecture | 139 |
| Figure 4.9 | Main atrium at Guangdong Science Centre | 140 |
| Figure 4.10 | Central space at Questacon | 140 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Figure 4.11 | Size and lighting as immersion at Macao Science Centre | 141 |
| Figure 4.12 | Interactive devices at Questacon | 141 |
| Figure 4.13 | Fire Tornado Demonstration at Singapore Science Centre | 142 |
| Figure 4.14 | Representations exhibit reality at Earthquake simulation of Macao Science Centre | 155 |
| Figure 4.15 | Representations exhibit reality at Children's Wonderland of Guangdong Science Centre | 155 |
| Figure 4.16 | Conventional representation at Robotic Science of Macao Science Centre | 155 |
| Figure 4.17 | Hybrid representation at Technology Exhibition of The Mind Museum | 155 |
| Figure 4.18 | Metaphorical at IZ Hero Gallery of Science Centre Singapore | 156 |
| Figure 4.19 | Metaphorical at Children Science Playground of National Science Museum Korea | 156 |
| Figure 4.20 | Classificational representations at The Mind Museum | 157 |
| Figure 4.21 | Analytical representations at Macao Science Centre | 157 |
| Figure 4.22 | Multicolour representations at National Science Museum Korea | 158 |
| Figure 4.23 | Multicolour representations at Questacon | 158 |
| Figure 4.24 | One colour representations at The Mind Museum | 159 |
| Figure 4.25 | Two colours representations at Questacon | 159 |
| Figure 4.26 | A globe as full representations at Guangdong Science Centre | 160 |
| Figure 4.27 | Chocolate bar at The Mind Museum | 160 |
| Figure 4.28 | Partial representations at The Mind Museum | 160 |
| Figure 4.29 | Partial representations at Macao Science Centre | 160 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| Figure 4.30 | Green Homeland Gallery at Guangdong Science Centre | 161 |
| Figure 4.31 | Development in Guangdong Hall at Guangdong Science Centre | 161 |
| Figure 4.32 | Inner-Space Track at The Mind Museum | 161 |
| Figure 4.33 | Bioethics exhibition at Science Centre Singapore | 161 |
| Figure 4.34 | Viewed at eye-level exhibits at Guangdong Science Centre | 162 |
| Figure 4.35 | Frontal view exhibits at Macao Science Centre | 162 |
| Figure 4.36 | Sports Challenge Gallery at Macao Science Centre | 163 |
| Figure 4.37 | Food Science Gallery at Macao Science Centre | 163 |
| Figure 4.38 | Large size replicas at Macao Science Centre | 163 |
| Figure 4.39 | High and oblique angle view of the hanging exhibits at Questacon | 163 |
| Figure 4.40 | Large size representations at Space Dreams of Guangdong Science Centre | 164 |
| Figure 4.41 | Large size representations at Robotic Gallery of Macao Science Centre | 164 |
| Figure 4.42 | Visitor can manipulate the exhibit at Children Science Gallery of Macao Science Centre | 165 |
| Figure 4.43 | Visitor can manipulate the exhibit at Human and Health Hall of Guangdong Science Centre | 165 |
| Figure 4.44 | Non-manipulative exhibits at Technology Exhibitions of The Mind Museum | 165 |
| Figure 4.45 | Non-manipulative exhibits at The Foyer, Questacon | 165 |
| Figure 4.46 | Realistic colours lighting at Sport Health Gallery of Macao Science Centre | 166 |
| Figure 4.47 | Realistic colours lighting at Q Lab of Questacon | 166 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Figure 4.48 | Unrealistic colours at LED Experience Hall of Guangdong Science Centre | 167 |
| Figure 4.49 | Differentiation lighting at Excite@Q of Questacon | 167 |
| Figure 4.50 | Diffuse lighting at Atom Exhibition of The Mind Museum | 167 |
| Figure 4.51 | Diffuse lighting at Fun Science of Macao Science Centre | 167 |
| Figure 4.52 | Focused light beams at Robotic Science of Macao Science Centre | 168 |
| Figure 4.53 | Focused light beams at Space Science of Macao Science Centre | 168 |
| Figure 4.54 | Uniform lighting at MiniQ of Questacon | 169 |
| Figure 4.55 | Uniform lighting at The Mind's Eye of Science Centre Singapore | 169 |
| Figure 4.56 | Intense lighting at Uniquely You of Science Centre Singapore | 169 |
| Figure 4.57 | Intense lighting at Experiment & Discovery of Guangdong Science Centre | 169 |
| Figure 4.58 | No reference to other exhibits at Earth Science of Macao Science Centre | 170 |
| Figure 4.59 | Independent access exhibits at Digital Home of Guangdong Science Centre | 170 |
| Figure 4.60 | Segregated and distinct exhibits at Children's Wonderland of Guangdong Science Centre | 171 |
| Figure 4.61 | Segregated exhibition galleries at the Atrium of Science Centre Singapore | 171 |
| Figure 4.62 | Non-existence connective elements between exhibits at Basic Science of National Science Museum Korea | 172 |
| Figure 4.63 | Strong levels of framing in terms of connective elements at Invent of Science Centre Singapore | 172 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Abstract | ii |
| Abstract in Arabic | iii |
| Approval Page | iv |
| Declaration Page | v |
| Copyright Page | vi |
| Dedication | vii |
| Acknowledgements | viii |
| List of Tables | ix |
| List of Figures | xi |
| | |
| CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION AND RESEARCH OVERVIEW..... | 1 |
| 1.1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 Background of Study..... | 1 |
| 1.3 Problem Statement..... | 6 |
| 1.4 Research Questions..... | 12 |
| 1.5 Research Objectives | 12 |
| 1.6 Scope of Research..... | 14 |
| 1.7 Significance of Study..... | 14 |
| 1.8 Methodology Brief Description..... | 15 |
| 1.9 Limitations..... | 17 |
| 1.10 Organization of Thesis..... | 20 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 2: REVIEW OF LITERATURE..... | 22 |
| 2.1 Introduction..... | 22 |
| 2.2 Exhibition Environment and Learning Theories..... | 22 |
| 2.2.1 Educational Concept Applied in Museums..... | 35 |
| 2.2.2 Discovery Learning in Museum..... | 37 |
| 2.2.3 Learning Experiences and Meaning-Making..... | 43 |
| 2.3 Perspectives on Message Communication..... | 49 |
| 2.4 Visitor Studies in the Museum..... | 52 |
| 2.4.1 Museum Visitor Studies..... | 52 |
| 2.4.2 Visitor Experience..... | 56 |
| 2.5 Experience Design..... | 65 |
| 2.6 Atmospherics in the Museum Context..... | 70 |
| 2.7 Experience Design in Science Centre..... | 76 |
| 2.8 Concept of Visitors' Behaviour in the Experiential Environments..... | 77 |
| 2.9 Concept of Representational Systems in Science Centre Environments.... | 83 |
| 2.9.1 Three-dimensional representations..... | 84 |
| 2.9.2 Lighting..... | 88 |
| 2.9.3 Degree of Linearity..... | 91 |
| 2.10 Theoretical Framework..... | 92 |
| 2.11 Summary..... | 98 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODS..... | 100 |
| 3.1 Introduction..... | 100 |
| 3.2 Development of Research Questions..... | 100 |
| 3.3 Rationale for Qualitative Research Approach..... | 102 |
| 3.4 Qualitative Research Principles | 104 |
| 3.4.1 Purposive sampling..... | 105 |
| 3.4.2 Open-ended, narrative-rich data..... | 105 |
| 3.4.3 Flexibility and openness..... | 106 |
| 3.4.4 Inductive analysis..... | 106 |
| 3.4.5 Reflexivity of researcher..... | 107 |
| 3.5 Selection of Samples as Case Study..... | 108 |
| 3.6 Data Collection Methods..... | 111 |
| 3.7 Observation – Technique of Photography..... | 113 |
| 3.7.1 Strategy of photography-based data collection..... | 114 |
| 3.7.2 Systematic Procedures of Photography-Based Data Collection.... | 115 |
| 3.8 In-depth Interviews..... | 116 |
| 3.8.1 Systematic Procedures of In-Depth Interview Data Collection.... | 117 |
| 3.8.2 Research Participants..... | 119 |
| 3.9 Data Analysis Procedures for Photography..... | 122 |
| 3.9.1 Enumerative Analysis..... | 122 |
| 3.9.2 Analytical Template..... | 123 |
| 3.9.3 Analytical Grid of Analysis..... | 124 |
| 3.10 Data Analysis Procedures for In-depth Interview..... | 124 |
| 3.10.1 Interview Transcription, Research Notes and Memoing..... | 125 |
| 3.10.2 Coding..... | 125 |
| 3.11 Overview of Research Processes..... | 128 |
| 3.12 Assumptions..... | 130 |
| 3.13 Summary..... | 131 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 4: RESULTS, ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS..... | 133 |
| 4.1 Introduction..... | 133 |
| 4.2 The Enchantment of Message in Science Centre..... | 133 |
| 4.2.1 The Tools for Enchantment of Message | 134 |
| 4.2.2 Spectacularization of the Cultural Experience..... | 138 |
| 4.2.3 The Immersive Character of the Experience..... | 140 |
| 4.2.4 The Ritualized Character of Visitor Experience..... | 142 |
| 4.2.5 The Merchandizing of Experience..... | 143 |
| 4.3 The Representational Modes in Science Centre..... | 144 |
| 4.3.1 Results and analysis of National Science Museum Korea..... | 145 |
| 4.3.2 Findings on Three-Dimensional Representations..... | 153 |
| 4.3.2.1 Classification Modulation..... | 154 |
| 4.3.2.2 Formality Modulation..... | 158 |
| 4.3.2.3 Framing Modulation..... | 162 |
| 4.3.3 Findings on Lighting Representation..... | 165 |
| 4.3.3.1 Formality Modulation..... | 166 |
| 4.3.3.2 Framing Modulation..... | 168 |
| 4.3.4 Findings on Degree of Linearity..... | 170 |
| 4.3.4.1 Framing Modulation..... | 170 |
| 4.4 Findings From the In-Depth Interview..... | 172 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 4.4.1 Invoking Interest..... | 173 |
| 4.4.1.1 Creating an Identity for the Science Centre..... | 175 |
| 4.4.1.2 Allowing for Interest, Curiosity and Variety..... | 176 |
| 4.4.1.3 Reframing Content With a Different Lens..... | 177 |
| 4.4.1.4 Providing Wonder and Surprise..... | 179 |
| 4.4.1.5 Allowing for Dramatic Effects..... | 180 |
| 4.4.1.6 Dealing with Current Issues Affecting the Global Community..... | 182 |
| 4.4.2 Delivering the Message..... | 183 |
| 4.4.2.1 Preparing to Deliver a Message..... | 183 |
| 4.4.2.2 Setting the Scene..... | 186 |
| 4.4.2.3 Designing a Whole Through the Parts..... | 189 |
| 4.4.2.4 Providing Layers of Information..... | 192 |
| 4.4.3 Connecting Personally..... | 194 |
| 4.4.3.1 Taking Science Home..... | 196 |
| 4.4.3.2 Making Science Accessible..... | 197 |
| 4.4.3.3 Providing Relevance by Drawing on Social Environment...200 | |
| 4.4.3.4 Creating Interest by Engaging with Aesthetic Theme.....202 | |
| 4.4.4 Designing Inclusive/Immersive Experience..... | 205 |
| 4.4.4.1 Targeting a Range of Audiences..... | 205 |
| 4.4.4.2 Broadening the range of Exhibition Appeal..... | 206 |
| 4.4.4.3 Providing Family Friendly Experiences..... | 209 |
| 4.4.4.4 Establishing an Inclusive Science Centre Environment....210 | |
| 4.4.5 Balancing the Constraint..... | 212 |
| 4.4.5.1 Budget..... | 214 |
| 4.4.5.2 Time..... | 217 |
| 4.4.5.3 Space..... | 219 |
| 4.5 Findings and Discussions..... | 221 |
| 4.5.1 Tools for Enchantment of the Science Centre Experience | 221 |
| 4.5.2 Interconnection between Representational Systems in Science Centre and the Tools for Enchantment..... | 222 |
| 4.5.3 To Identify Design Opportunities That Will Improve Science Centre Visitor Experience..... | 224 |
| 4.6 Summary..... | 237 |

CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....239

| | |
|---|-----|
| 5.1 Introduction..... | 239 |
| 5.2 Research Conclusions..... | 239 |
| 5.2.1 The Tools for Enchantment of Message in the Science Centre Experience..... | 239 |
| 5.2.2 The Interconnection between Representational Systems and Enchantment of the Science Centre Experience..... | 241 |
| 5.2.3 Design Opportunities in Science Centre Exhibition..... | 243 |
| 5.3 Research Contributions..... | 248 |
| 5.4 Reflections of Research Findings | 249 |
| 5.5 Recommendations for Future Research..... | 252 |
| 5.6 Thesis Conclusion..... | 253 |

| | |
|--------------------------|------------|
| BIBLIOGRAPHY..... | 255 |
|--------------------------|------------|

| | | |
|-----------------------|--|------------|
| APPENDIX I: | PRELIMINARY ENQUIRIES ON EXPERIENTIAL DESIGN IN SCIENCE CENTRE..... | 275 |
| APPENDIX II: | SIX-SELECTED SCIENCE CENTRE AS CASE STUDY..... | 276 |
| APPENDIX III: | INTERVIEW QUESTIONS..... | 311 |
| APPENDIX IV: | LIST OF INTERVIEW PARTICIPANTS..... | 313 |
| APPENDIX V: | SAMPLE EMAIL - CONSENT TO CONDUCT AN INTERVIEW..... | 316 |
| APPENDIX VI: | TABLE ANALYSIS RESEARCH OBJECTIVE 1..... | 317 |
| APPENDIX VII: | TABLE ANALYSIS RESEARCH OBJECTIVE 2..... | 323 |
| APPENDIX VIII: | TABLE ANALYSIS SUMMARY OF REPRESENTATIONAL MODES IN SCIENCE CENTRE..... | 332 |
| APPENDIX IX: | LIST OF PARTICIPANTS' COMMENTS..... | 333 |
| APPENDIX X: | SUMMARY OF CODING ANALYSIS FOR IN-DEPTH INTERVIEW..... | 347 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION AND RESEARCH OVERVIEW

1.1 INTRODUCTION

This chapter offers a brief introduction to the context, processes, objectives, specific research questions, significance and potential benefits of this research, as well as an overview of the chapters in this thesis. Details of the research are elaborated from Chapter 2 onwards. The research explained in this thesis focuses on understanding and enhancing visitor experiences in science centre. This research is cross-disciplinary in nature. While its subject matter is informal learning, it is situated in the context of both experiential design and exhibition design.

1.2 BACKGROUND OF STUDY

Science centres are environments for informal learning, a process central to forging knowledge, skills, and positive attitudes about science. Science centres offer visitors exciting opportunities to explore scientific ideas and ways of thinking through fun, interaction and hands-on science exhibits. Most science centre professionals agree that these attractions nurture curiosity, improve motivation and attitudes toward science, engage the visitors through participation as well as social interaction and generate excitement and enthusiasm, all of which are conducive for, science learning and understanding (Anderson and Cook Roe, 1993, Dierking, 1994). Experiences in informal environments for science learning are typically characterized as learner-motivated, guided by learner interests, voluntary, personal, ongoing, contextually relevant, collaborative, nonlinear, and open-ended (Griffin, 1998; Falk and Dierking, 2000). Informal science learning experiences are believed to lead to further inquiry,

enjoyment, and a sense that science learning can be personally relevant and rewarding. Participants in them are diverse and include learners of all ages, cultural and socioeconomic backgrounds, and abilities. Ideally these experiences enable learners to connect with their own interests, provide an interactive space for learning, and allow in-depth exploration of current or relevant topics.

Bloom's Taxonomy was created in 1956 under the leadership of educational psychologist Dr Benjamin Bloom to promote higher forms of thinking in education. It is most often used when designing educational, training, and learning processes. The identified three domains of educational activities or learning (Bloom, et al. 1956) are cognitive: mental skills (knowledge), affective: growth in feelings or emotional areas (attitude or self) and psychomotor: manual or physical skills (kinesthetic). This taxonomy of learning behaviours may be thought of as “the goals of the learning process.” That is, after a learning episode, the learner should have acquired a new skill, knowledge, and/or attitude.

Studies document the range of learning that museums afford (Falk, 1999; Leinhard et al., 2002; Rennie and McClafferty, 1996). Within the personal impact category, most studies are concerned with science learning in science centres. Some studies look at the effect of science centre in changing attitudes towards science and leading to the enjoyment of visitors. Other studies of the 1990s periods have demonstrated that students enjoy visits to museums tremendously and that increased interest, attitude and enjoyment of post-visit activities constitute extremely valuable learning outcomes (Anderson, 1999; Ayers and Melear, 1998; Ramey-Gassert et al., 1994).

In the middle of the 1990s, there was wide spread acceptance among researchers of the cognitive, affective and social aspects of the learning experiences of visitors in

museums and similar institutions (Raphling and Serrell 1993). Cognitive Learning Theory is a broad theory that explains thinking and differing mental processes and how they are influenced by internal and external factors in order to produce learning in individuals. These cognitive processes are: observing, categorizing, and forming generalizations about our environment. Researchers who embrace cognitive theory prefer to study the learner rather than their environment and in particular the complexities of human memory. A theory of learning that integrates into it the function of motivation is ultimately one that can bring together affective experiences with the construction of meaning. Affective experiences are an essential part of learning, decision making and social functioning (Barrett, Mesquita, Ochsner, & Gross, 2007; Immordino-Yang & Damasio, 2007; and Norman, 2004). Positive affect aids imagination and creativity, while attractive objects (which produce positive affect in the user) are perceived to be easier to use (Norman, 2004). This latter point implies that design can influence positive affect and thus help foster a mind-set that is conducive to discovery, exploration and learning. Further research into relation of exhibition design and learning processes is important to understand the conditions that facilitate an enjoyable and productive learning experience (Packer, 2006).

The social aspects of the learning experiences of visitors in science centres is where learning takes place in the context of meaningful activity and social interaction. Many people visit science centres in family groups. As they talk together, families are observed moving from identifying and describing to interpreting and applying their science centre experiences as evidence that learning is taking place (Anderson and Cook Roe, 1993b, Ramey-Gassert et al., 1994). Science centres are resources for families and schoolchildren, teachers and public. In increasing numbers, science centres are also places where people of all ages, cultures and educational levels can learn at their own

pace, engage their curiosity, and use all their senses to ask and answer questions, explore, and explain to others what they have learned.

Science centres are unique educational settings as their design allows visitors to choose the extent and level of their engagement in the different learning opportunities (Falk & Dierking, 2000). Certain visitors may have preferences for certain subject matter, exhibition styles or presentation methods. Scientists and psychologists have developed several different models to understand the different ways that people learn best. One popular theory, the VARK model, identifies four primary types of learners: visual, auditory, reading/writing, and kinesthetic. Each learning type responds best to a different method of teaching. Auditory learners will remember information best after reciting it back to the presenter, while kinesthetic learners prefer to participate in a hands-on activity.

Informal learning in science centre includes, but is not limited to, self-directed learning, discovery or constructivist learning, use or exploration of materials, and interaction with the exhibits or environment. Science Centre emphasis on interactive exhibits and focus on phenomena as opposed to objects. This three-dimensionality of exhibitions, along with the ability to interact with real objects, is particularly significant in a world that is becoming increasingly screen-based (i.e., two-dimensional) in the way that people interact with the world and gain new information and skills (Lord, 2007). "Experiential learning," "constructivist exhibitions," and "hands-on learning," are terms and concepts that have been embraced by museum professionals who create exhibitions. The basics of museum exhibitions in relation to the formation of knowledge began with the Experiential Learning theories (Kolb, 1984). The emphasis is on exploration and reflection besides interaction and environments for learning. Hein (1998) stressed the importance of applying constructivism to museum exhibition design. Constructivist

theory argues that both knowledge and the way it is learned are dependent on the minds of learners. Constructivist exhibitions should allow learners to construct their own personal knowledge. Learners mind should be encouraged to manipulate, conjecture, experiment, and draw conclusions of their own without having to conform to an outside standard of truth. Hands-on learning is the concept integrated in the museum programs and exhibitions. This is Dewey's (1933) pedagogical ideas on "learning by doing" that have been applied to the field of museum education.

Bitgood (2002) identified the objectives of informal learning emphasized the quality of experience instead of quantity of learning in formal learning. Enjoyment is measured by verbal descriptions, time at exhibits and repeated visitations. These institutions are predominately viewed by visitors as places for social engagement with family and friends (Morgan & Hodgkinson, 1999). In this free-choice learning environments, visitors largely come by their own choice and are thus intrinsically motivated. Whether visitors choose to interact with exhibits or technology is determined by their own expectations, preferences, and desire to learn (Falk & Dierking, 1992; Hein & Alexander, 1998; and Shettel, 1973). They engage in activities in a self-directed manner, and therefore, their methods of learning are varied (Greenhill, 1999).

Creating interactive exhibitions often requires a team of professionals with diverse backgrounds. While science educators tend to consider visitors' need to learn through different senses and whether the concepts presented are concrete enough to comprehend, designers pay more attention to the ambience of the entire exhibition setting and contemplate how the ambience can have an impact on visitors' perceptual, sensory experiences and level of understanding. As related to exhibition planning, design is the process by which decisions are made regarding all aspects related to how an exhibition will exist and the impact it is intended to have. The word exhibition is

generally used throughout this study to reflect a thematically based series of exhibits. The design process includes, but is not limited to exhibition arrangement, mode of presentation, media selection, and setting in relationship to: other media, the space and the visitors. Design is a non-linear, “transactional process involving logic and intuition, in which the message to be communicated, the mode and the medium are played off against one another according to the individual values placed on them” (Miles, et al., 1988).

There is an inseparable association between design and the user experience. Researchers, museums and exhibition designers have recognized the potential of experience design on bringing about improvement and a competitive edge in the field. Design researchers increasingly assume an integrative stance and take the initiative in synthesizing knowledge from diverse domains to generate cross-disciplinary insights regarding experience and identify design opportunities. As noted by Anderson (2004), science centre is an illustration of “reinvented” museum, not only as “keeper of knowledge”, but as a “place of exchange of knowledge”. This is globally defined as part of a logic of enchantment of the experience on offer. Enchantment is a feeling of great pleasure, delight or being captivated. Paradoxically, very little research has focused on the principles of enchantment organized in this cultural sector. In contrast, many studies have been conducted within commercial environments to identify these principles.

1.3 PROBLEM STATEMENT

Museums such as science centre have been changing, offering a wider range of choice. Museums are becoming more hybrid because of increasing cross-fertilization between culture and leisure, and more specifically between museums and amusement parks (MacDonald & Alsford, 1995; and Haywood & Cairns, 2005). The growth of