



THE *IN VIVO* STUDY OF INJECTION MOULDED 316L  
STAINLESS STEEL FRACTURE FIXATION PLATE

BY

NURUL HAFIZA BINTI MOHD JAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the  
degree of Master of Health Sciences (Biomedical Science)

Kulliyyah of Allied Health Sciences  
International Islamic University Malaysia

MAY 2015

## **ABSTRACT**

Metal Injection Moulding (MIM) is a revolutionary new class of medical implant fabrication in orthopaedics. This technology employs natural resources and holds great promises in producing large quantities of metallic parts for prosthetic implant at minimum outlay without compromising its quality. The present study was conducted to compare potential effects of MIM plate for internal fixation in fracture healing in New Zealand White (NZW) rabbits with conventional machining plate (Synthes<sup>®</sup>) as control. Following research approval by IIUM Ethics Committee (IIUM/305/20/4/10), forty rabbits were used and randomly divided into two groups. All rabbits underwent single transverse mid-shaft tibial fracture surgery and fixation under anaesthesia. The fractures were fixated with either the MIM plate or conventional plate (Synthes<sup>®</sup>). The monitoring of fracture healing was carried out at week 3, 6, 9, 12 and 26 according to ISO10993-2:2006 & ISO10993-6:2009 standards. The status of bony union was examined by means of plain radiographic assessment, macroscopic observation and, histological evaluation. There were callus formations in both groups. Bony union was evident at week 6 post-operatively, whilst bone remodelling was completed at week 26 as indicated by plain radiograph assessment and macroscopic evaluation. Histological assessment showed that both groups possessed mild to moderate callus bridging at week 3 and week 6, respectively. Complete remodelling of cortical bone was evidenced at week 26. The plate was neither broken nor bent during the study. These findings indicate that the MIM plate has equal potential to hold the fracture as the conventional plate. Therefore, the locally manufactured MIM plate has the potential to be used as an alternative internal fixator for fracture management in orthopaedic considering the value and conservation of natural resources.

## ملخص

إن نظام تقوية العظام بالألواح المعدنية (MIM)، فكرة ثورية جديدة بإمتهياز في علم طب زراعة العظام. إن هذه التقنية، التي تعتمد على المصادر الطبيعية، واعدة بتوفير كمية كبيرة من الأجزاء المعدنية الصناعية لزراعتها، على الأقل خارجياً، مع الاحتفاظ بوجودتها العالية. إن هذا البحث يهدف إلى تقويم الآثار المحتملة لقوالب معدنية (MIM) تمت زراعتها في أرانب نوزيلانيديّة لترسخ وضمّد الكسور داخلياً. وكان مع كل أرنب لوح معدنيّ عاديّ (<sup>®</sup>Synthes). أما من حيث منهج البحث، فلقد تم استخدام عدد أربعين أرنباً في العملية، وذلك بموافقة من لجنة أخلاقيات البحث بالجامعة الإسلامية العالمية ماليزيا، برقم (IIUM/305/20/4/10). وقد تم تأليف وأقلمة أرانب لمدة أسبوعين، وبعد ذلك تم تخدير جميع الأرانب قبل إجراء عملية جراحية لكسر العظام. ثم تمت إعادة ربط العظام إما بالقالب المعدني (MIM) أو باللوح المعدني العادي (<sup>®</sup>Synthes). تمت مراقبة ضمّد الكسور خلال الأسبوع الثالث، السادس، التاسع، الحادي عشر، والسادس والعشرين، وفق المعايير المعتمدة (ISO10993-2:2006 & ISO10993-6:2009). خلال هذا المراقبة قد تم اختبار حالة ضمّد الكسور عبر المجهر، المسح الطبوغرافي العادي، وتقييم بناء الأنسجة بإعتبار أن كل حالة تحوي على ولوح معدني. وكان من المثير للدهشة أن النتائج قد أظهرت أن الطبقة لحمية العريضة قد تكونت في الحالتين معاً. وكان ترابط العظام قد بدأ واضحاً منذ الأسبوع السادس، بينما إلتئامها قد اكتمل بدخول الأسبوع السادس والعشرين. من خلال اختبار بناء الأنسجة قد ظهر أن المجموعتين يمتلكان طبقة لحمية عريضة أولية إلى متوسطة بدخول الأسبوع الثالث والسادس. بينما الضمّد الكامل للعظام كان واضحاً في الأسبوع السادس والعشرين. ليست هنالك صفيحة مسكورة خلال هذه الدراسة. إن نتائج الدراسة تشير إلى أن القوالب المعدنية (MIM) قد تتمتع بنفس الميزة التي تتمتع بها الألواح المعدنية العادية في ربط الكسور وتقويتها. ولذلك يمكن استخدام القوالب المعدنية كرباط بديل لمعالجة الكسور الداخلية بالنظر إلى قيمتها الإقتصادية للمحافظة على الموارد الطبيعية.

## APPROVAL PAGE

I certify that I have supervised and read this study and that in my opinion, it conforms to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation and is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Health Sciences (Biomedical Science).

.....  
Munirah Sha'ban  
Supervisor

.....  
Ahmad Hafiz Zulkifly  
Co-Supervisor

.....  
Zunariah Buyong  
Co-Supervisor

.....  
Mohd Afian Omar  
Co-Supervisor

I certify that I have read this study and that in my opinion it conforms to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation and is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Health Sciences (Biomedical Science)

.....  
Fauziah Othman  
External Examiner

.....  
Zaitunnatakhin Zamli  
Internal Examiner

This thesis was submitted to the Department of Biomedical Science and is accepted as a fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Health Sciences (Biomedical Science).

.....  
Ibrahim Adham Taib  
Head, Department of Biomedical  
Science

This thesis was submitted to the Kulliyah of Allied Health Sciences and is accepted as a fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Health Sciences (Biomedical Science).

.....  
Wan Azdie Mohd. Abu Bakar  
Dean, Kulliyah of Allied Health  
Sciences

## **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of my own investigations, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

Nurul Hafiza Binti Mohd Jan

Signature : .....

Date .....

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

**DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND  
AFFIRMATION OF FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED  
RESEARCH**

Copyright ©2015 by Nurul Hafiza Binti Mohd Jan. All rights reserved.

**THE *IN VIVO* STUDY OF INJECTION MOULDED 316L STAINLESS  
STEEL FRACTURE FIXATION PLATE**

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below.

1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieval system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

Affirmed by Nurul Hafiza Binti Mohd Jan

.....  
Signature

.....  
Date

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful. All the praises and thanks to Allah SWT for giving me the strength to finish this project.

I am indebted to Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MOSTI) for the financial support under Techno Fund Grant Scheme (TF1208D168). I am also equally grateful to International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM), Kulliyah of Allied Health Sciences (KAHS), Kulliyah of Medicine (KOM), AMREC (SIRIM) and KAHS Postgraduate and Research Office for providing all the necessities throughout my study period.

I would like to express my sincerest, deepest gratitude and outmost thanks to my entire supervisory team members; Assistant Prof. Dr. Munirah Sha'ban, Prof. Dr. Ahmad Hafiz Zulkifly, Assistant Prof. Dr. Zunariah Buyong and Dr. Mohd Afian Omar for their guidance, support, supervision, helpful advice, motivation, suggestion, comments, valuable learning experience and readiness throughout the course of this study.

I would like to thank Sr. Zarida, Sr. Rosnani, Br. Faisal, Sr. Normila and Sr. Zafirah from Orthopaedic Department for assisting me during this study from animal handling until undecalcified histology tissue preparation.

This study could not have been completed without the help of all staff members, especially Br. Fahies and Sr. Syakirah in the Advanced Research Material Centre (AMREC), SIRIM Berhad. I am really grateful that they were willing to sacrifice their energy and time to aid in this study.

My warmest and deepest thanks to my beloved husband Mohd Zulfadzli Ibrahim for his endless support, motivation, guidance, patience, encouragements and opinion which has ensure me to complete this study. Deepest thanks to my daughters; Nurul Iman Najwa and Nurul Aathirah Najwa, my parents; Mohd Jan Ab. Shukor, Norshita Mohd Shah, Ibrahim Mohd Daud and Siti Halimah Hamid and all my family members for their support and patience along this journey.

Last but not least, I would like to express my appreciation and share this moment of happiness having completed this research with my friends and colleagues. Thank you for their love, encouragement, motivation and continued support.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract .....	ii
Abstract In Arabic .....	iii
Approval Page .....	iv
Declaration .....	v
Copyright Page .....	vi
Acknowledgements .....	vii
List Of Tables .....	xii
List Of Figures .....	xiii
List Of Abbreviations .....	xv
List Of Symbols .....	xvii
<b>CHAPTER ONE : INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background .....	1
1.2 Research Question .....	6
1.3 Hypothesis .....	6
1.4 Objectives .....	6
<b>CHAPTER TWO : LITERATURE REVIEW .....</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Bone Structure And Function .....	7
2.1.1 Structure Of Bone .....	7
2.1.2 Composition Of Bone .....	8
2.1.3 Bone Cell .....	8
2.1.4 Bone Formation And Development .....	9
2.2 Fracture Healing Process .....	10
2.2.1 Healing By Direct Union .....	10
2.2.2 Healing By Callus Formation .....	11
2.2.2.1 Tissue Destruction And Haematoma Formation .....	11
2.2.2.2 Inflammation And Cellular Formation .....	11
2.2.2.3 Callus Formation .....	12
2.2.2.4 Consolidation .....	12
2.2.2.5 Remodelling .....	12
2.2.3 Factors Affect Fracture Healing Process .....	13
2.3 Principles Of Internal Fixator .....	13
2.3.1 Mechanical Principles Of Fracture Fixation .....	13
2.3.1.1 Internal Fixator .....	13
2.3.1.2 Compression Plate .....	14
2.4 Conventional Processes .....	15
2.4.1 Casting .....	15
2.4.2 Forging .....	15
2.4.3 Machining .....	16
2.5 Limitation Of Conventional Processes .....	16
2.6 Metal Injection Moulding .....	16
2.6.1 Stainless Steel 316L .....	18
2.6.2 Binder .....	20
2.6.3 Metal Injection Moulding (MIM) Process .....	22



2.6.3.1	Mixing .....	22
2.6.3.2	Injection Molding .....	23
2.6.3.3	Debinding .....	23
2.6.3.4	Sintering .....	25
2.7	Animal Model .....	26
2.7.1	Biocompatibility Assessment For New Zealand White Rabbit .....	27
2.7.1.1	Radiographic Assessment.....	28
2.7.1.2	Gross Inspection .....	28
2.7.1.3	Histology Observation.....	29
2.7.2	Interpretation Of Slides .....	30
<b>CHAPTER THREE : METHODOLOGY.....</b>		<b>32</b>
3.1	Animal Model .....	32
3.1.1	Ethical Approval .....	32
3.1.2	Study Design .....	32
3.1.3	Experimental Procedure .....	33
3.1.4	Housing And Husbandry.....	34
3.2	Internal Fixation Plate.....	35
3.3	Approach Of Surgery.....	35
3.3.1	Pre Operative Procedure .....	36
(A)	Sterilization Of Animal Operation Room .....	36
(B)	Environmental Conditions In Animal Operation Room .....	37
(C)	Preparation Of Ketamine-Tilatamine-Xylazine Mixture Drugs (Anaesthesia) .....	37
(E)	Shaving And Cleaning Of Operation Site .....	38
3.3.2	Intra-Operative Procedure (Operative Technique).....	39
3.3.3	Intra-Operative Care.....	42
3.3.4	Post-Operative Care .....	43
3.3.5	Euthanasia .....	43
3.4	Sample Processing And Assessment .....	45
3.4.1	Plain Radiograph.....	45
3.4.2	Undecalcified Histology .....	47
3.4.2.1	Sample Grossing.....	47
3.4.2.2	Fixation And Processing.....	47
3.4.2.3	Embedding And Polymerization .....	50
3.4.2.4	Sample Block And Slide Preparation .....	51
3.4.2.5	Staining.....	55
3.4.3	Histology Image Analysis .....	55
3.5	Summary Of Data Collections, Results And Analysis .....	56
<b>CHAPTER FOUR : RESULTS .....</b>		<b>57</b>
4.1	Plain Radiograph .....	57
4.1.1	Radiographic Evaluation At Week 1.....	58
4.1.2	Radiographic Evaluation At Week 3.....	59
4.1.3	Radiographic Evaluation At Week 6.....	60
4.1.4	Radiographic Evaluation At Week 9.....	61
4.1.5	Radiographic Evaluation At Week 12.....	62
4.1.6	Radiographic Evaluation At Week 26.....	63
4.1.7	Summary RSSFH Score.....	64

4.1.8 Comparison Of Radiographic Scoring System For Fracture Healing Assessment Between MIM And Conventional Plate .....	65
4.2 Gross Observation .....	65
4.2.1 Gross Observation At Week 3.....	66
4.2.2 Gross Observation At Week 6.....	67
4.2.3 Gross Observation At Week 9.....	68
4.2.4 Gross Observation At Week 12.....	69
4.2.5 Gross Observation At Week 26.....	70
4.3 Histology Observation .....	71
4.3.1 Microscopic Observation At Week 3.....	72
4.3.2 Microscopic Observation At Week 6.....	73
4.3.3 Microscopic Observation At Week 9.....	74
4.3.4 Microscopic Observation At Week 12.....	75
4.3.5 Microscopic Observation At Week 26.....	76
<b>CHAPTER FIVE : DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>77</b>
5.1 Fracture Healing Process .....	78
5.2 Factors Affecting Biocompatibility Of Plate.....	79
5.2.1 Animal Model .....	79
5.2.2 Surgical Approach.....	80
5.2.3 Observation Period And Assessment Methods.....	80
5.2.4 Internal Fixator Effects .....	81
5.3 Biocompatibility Plate Assessments.....	82
5.3.1 Radiographic Evaluation.....	82
5.3.2 Macroscopic Evaluation.....	84
5.3.3 Microscopic Evaluation .....	85
5.4 Mim Plate Vs Conventional Plate.....	87
5.4.1 Stability Of Plate To Hold Fracture Fragments .....	89
5.5 Limitation Of The Study.....	90
<b>CHAPTER SIX : CONCLUSION AND FUTURE STUDY .....</b>	<b>91</b>
6.1 Recommendations On Further Evaluation Parameter .....	91
6.1.1 Computed Tomography Scan.....	91
6.1.2 Histomorphometry .....	92
6.1.3 Ex-Vivo Biomechanical Testing.....	92
6.1.4 Scanning Electron Microscope Observation (SEM).....	92
6.1.5 Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM).....	93
6.1.6 Translational Study To Human .....	93
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>94</b>
APPENDIX A: ETHICAL APPROVAL.....	103
APPENDIX B: GRANT APPROVAL .....	104
APPENDIX C: LABORATORY SERVICE REQUEST BOOKING FORM .....	105
APPENDIX D: REPLY FORM FROM ORTHOPAEDIC LABORATORY .....	106
APPENDIX E: ANIMAL ADMISSION RECORD FORM .....	107
APPENDIX F: ANIMAL DAILY HEALTH AND OBSERVATION RECORD FORM.....	108
APPENDIX G: ANIMAL DAILY WELFARE RECORD FORM.....	109

APPENDIX H: RECORD ACTIVITY FORM .....	110
APPENDIX I: SURGERY INSTRUMENTS FORM .....	111
APPENDIX J: INJECTION VIA INTRAVENOUS (IV) FOR EAR MARGINAL VEIN .....	113
APPENDIX K: SURGERY FORM (TIBIA) .....	114
APPENDIX L: POST OPERATIVE MONITORING RECORD .....	115
APPENDIX M: ANIMAL DEATH/EUTHANASIA FORM .....	116
APPENDIX N: PREPARATION OF SOLUTION FOR UNDECALCIFIED HISTOLOGY .....	117
APPENDIX O: HARD TISSUE PROSESSING FORM.....	118
APPENDIX P: MASSON GOLDNER TRICROME STAINING PROTOCOL .....	119
APPENDIX Q: PUBLICATION, BOOK, PROCEEDING AND ABSTRACT .....	120
APPENDIX R: PATENT.....	127
APPENDIX S: LIST OF CERTIFICATES .....	129

## LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
2.1	The chemical composition of the 20µm 316L Stainless Steel powder	19
2.2	Colour interpretation of slides stained with Masson Goldner Trichrome	31
3.1	Anesthesia regime for combination of Ketamine, Tilatamine/Zolazepam and Xylazine	38
3.2	Modified radiographic scoring system for fracture healing quantitative assessment (An, Freidman, & Draughn, 1999)	46
4.1	Summary of RSSFH Score for the radiological analysis	64

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b><u>Figure No.</u></b>		<b><u>Page No.</u></b>
2.1	Electron microscopic view of metal powder.	20
2.2	The MIM plate in n-heptane	24
2.3	MIM fracture fixation plate	25
3.1	Animal groups	33
3.2	The rabbit was housed singly in polycarbonate cages in air conditioned and ventilated animal retention area and handling	34
3.3	The operation room	35
3.4	Preparation for surgery	36
3.5	(a) Administration of anaesthetic drug through intramuscular and (b) intravenous route	39
3.6	The shaved site	39
3.7	Intra-operative procedures	42
3.8	Euthanasia procedure	44
3.9	Photograph illustrating harvesting procedure	44
3.10	X-ray procedure	46
3.11	Harvesting procedures	47
3.12	Fixation of sample (a-b) in 10% NBF	48
3.13	Washing of sample (a-b) under running tap water	49
3.14	Dehydration and infiltration process	49
3.15	Embedding of samples	51
3.16	Summaries of steps from sample sectioning up to the slide preparation in undecalcified histology tissue processing	52

3.17	Flowchart of steps preparing the undecalcified bone specimens	53
3.18	Slide sectioning and grinding process	54
3.19	Flowchart of steps for undecalcified bone slides	54
3.20	Masson Goldner Staining Kit	55
3.21	Nikon Eclipse <i>Ni</i> motorized microscope with image analyzer	56
4.1	Radiographic assessment at week 1	58
4.2	Radiographic assessment at week 3	59
4.3	Radiographic assessment at week 6	60
4.4	Radiographic assessment at week 9	61
4.5	Radiographic assessment at week 12	62
4.6	Radiographic assessment at week 26	63
4.7	Gross inspection at week 3	66
4.8	Gross inspection at week 6	67
4.9	Gross inspection at week 9	68
4.10	Gross inspection at week 12	69
4.11	Gross inspection at week 26	70
4.12	Histology interpretation at week 3	72
4.13	Histology interpretation at week 6	73
4.14	Histology interpretation at week 9	74
4.15	Histology interpretation at week 12	75
4.16	Histology interpretation at week 26	76

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AMREC	Advanced Material Research Centre
SIRIM	Standards and Industrial Research Institute of Malaysia
MIM	Metal Injection Moulding
NZW	New Zealand White
CRES	Corrosions-resistant Steel
SS	Stainless Steel
VIM	Vertical Injection Moulding
CT	Computed Tomography
PS	Palm Stearin
PP	Polypropylene
NBF	Natural Buffered Formalin
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
TEM	Transmission Electron Microscope
KTX	A combination of drugs Ketamine, Tilatamine / Zolazepam and Xylazine for anaesthesia
AO/ASIF	Association for the Study of Internal Fixation
316	Type of stainless steel
ISO/IEC	International Organization for Standardization / International Electrotechnical Commission
L	Low carbon contains in stainless steel
RSSFH	Radiographic Scoring System for Fracture Healing
n-heptane	Normal Heptane
MOSTI	Minister of Science, Technology and Innovation
POP	Plaster of Paris

IIUM	International Islamic University Malaysia
Sdn. Bhd.	Sendirian Berhad
AG	German Aktiengesetz
Pty Ltd.	Propriety / Private Limited
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Science
IBM	IBM Corporation
Co.	Company
BR	Basic Research



## LIST OF SYMBOLS

N	Newton
g	Gram
mm	Millimetre
cm	Centimetre
%	Percentage
°C	Degree Celsius
mmHg	Millimetre Mercury
rpm	Rotation per minute
µm	Micrometre
C	Carbon
Cr	Chromium
Mn	Manganese
Fe	Iron / Ferum
Ni	Nickel
®	Registered patent
™	Trademark
kg	Kilogram
<	Less than
g cm <sup>-3</sup>	Gram per cubic centimetre
min	Minute
Mg/ml	Milligram per millilitre

ml/kg	Millilitre per Kilogram
SiO <sub>2</sub>	Silicon dioxide / Quartz

# CHAPTER ONE

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 BACKGROUND

Orthopaedic is a medical specialty that is highly technical in nature. It employs numerous techniques and/or skills ranging from fine micro-vascular surgery to bone fixation, massive metallic and polymeric composite implants for joint replacement, as well as complex methods for external fixation of the bone. Successful usage of the orthopaedic implants requires extensive technical knowledge and skills by the practitioner and/or the surgeon. Understanding the biology of the affected tissues is an important factor that can lead to an effective result (Chapman, 2001).

In orthopaedic trauma, the energy that causes bone fractures generates a zone of injury that affected the bone and its surrounding tissues. Early observations on the external splinting had lead to belief that bone fractures are best treated by immobilization and prolonged rest. Although fractures can be recovered by non-operative treatment, the shortcoming of directly controlled position of the fracture fragments had led to problems such as mal-union and non-union. Moreover, prolonged immobilization can cause fracture illness that is characterized by joint stiffness, muscle atrophy, disuse osteoporosis and persistent oedema (Augustus, et al., 2008).

Up to the 19th century, the treatment of fracture was performed by means of external splinting. This is done to achieve an anatomical alignment of the broken bone, to relieve pain and stabilize the fragments for bone union. From biomechanical point of view, fracture fixation should have sufficient stability to such a degree that

bone healing may take place in order to reduce inter-fragmentary movement that may occur under external loading and muscle activity (Lutz & Ito, 2005).

The key factor that affects bone healing is the inter-fragmentary movement. It determines the tissue strain and the cellular reaction in the fracture healing zone. Therefore, the methods of fracture fixation will be assessed with regard to their capability to reduce the inter-fragmentary movement (Chapman, 2001). As a consequence, the operative of fracture treatments which involved new fixation technique and implants were developed in the 20<sup>th</sup> century (Lutz & Ito, 2005).

In light of these phenomena, it is clear that the focus of the fracture treatment should be on both healing and restoration to pre-injury functions. One of the methods that implant sufficient skeletal stability to restore its function is by internal fixation with metal implants (Gilardino, Chen, & Bartlet, 2009). Metal implants have been successful in fracture stabilization because they support bone functions without impairing the healing, remodeling or growth of the bone.

The first metal implants were fabricated from Vanadium steel. It is the best available alloy in the beginning of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. However, the usage of Vanadium had been controversial due to poor tissue compatibility issues. Later, modern orthopaedic surgery, three metallic alloys are employed for implant fabrication i.e stainless steel, chrome-cobalt and titanium (Golish & Mihalko, 2011).

One of the methods that impart sufficient skeletal stability to restore its function is by and internal fixation with metal implants (Gilardino, Chen, & Bartlet, 2009). Metal implants have been successful in fracture stabilization because they support bone functions without impairing the healing, remodelling or growth of the bone. The first metal implants were fabricated from Vanadium steel. It is the best available alloy in the beginning of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. However, the usage of Vanadium

steel had been controversial due to its poor compatibility issues. Later in modern orthopaedic surgery, three metallic alloys are employed for implant fabrication i.e. stainless steel, titanium and cobalt-chromium.

Nowadays, stainless steel 316L plate has been widely used for orthopaedic and dental implants due to its ability to form a direct bone to metal contact. The plate has good properties in terms of mechanical strength, biocompatibility and corrosion resistance (Ying, Jean, & Her, 2013). Those properties make it as the most commonly used material for internal fixation of the bone fracture. Hypothetically, the internal fixation technique provides sufficient stability for bone healing to occur by stimulating the callus formation. Research has been directed at modifying implant surfaces to achieve a more rapid and extensive stabilization as well as integration of the device in bone (Yang, Kabel, & Van Rietbergen, 1998). In medical application especially in the orthopaedic field, most surgeons opted for the 316L stainless steel plates due to their availability in different sizes and shapes. The demand of the plates is high but the price is expensive (Omar, Mustapha, Istikamah, & Ali, 2007).

Current metal implants used in Malaysia for internal fixation are made from conventional machining process. Machining is simply known as an action that requires an implant to be machined from a solid piece of metal stock. It is widely used especially in the production of metal implants in orthopaedic field since it is suitable for various work materials and can produce a variety of shapes and geometric features for the metal implants (McKinley, 2006).

However, conventional machining process requires advanced machining operation and it is time consuming since it requires more time to shape the metal compared to other processes. In addition to that, there will be leftover materials from the machining process which is considered as a waste, which subsequently will

increase the production cost of the metal implants. The price in the market will be going up and cause an economical strain in health sector (Mikell, 2010).

In order to reduce the production cost of the metal plates, a new techniques which is known as Metal injection molding (MIM) is developed. This technique utilizes the flexibility of both materials (i.e. powder metallurgy) and the design (i.e. plastic moulding) (Omar & Zulkifly, 2014). It shapes a component according to the intended size through an injection moulding process (Tandon, 2001). With it's properties that are comparable, or even better than the conventional machining process, this MIM technique is suitable for the production of a small complex-shaped parts with outstanding mechanical strength. If the shape allows the production of the part by, for example, conventional pressing and sintering, MIM would in most cases be too expensive. However, if the required number of complex parts is huge enough for large-scale production, MIM is cheaper than machining plate (Ismail, Omar, Subuki, Abdullah, Ali, & Hassan, 2007).

Previous study had done on MIM implant's biomechanics and toxicology studies have produced positive result (Abdullah, Omar, & Zulkifly, 2014). In addition to that, plates or implants produced via MIM process have high final density and close porosity. Details on MIM plates and conventional machining plates as well as their significance usage in orthopaedic field especially in the area of bone fracture fixation were provided in Chapter 2 of this thesis.

This present study aimed to evaluate the potential of MIM plate to hold the bone fracture in a rabbit model. The conventional plate Synthes<sup>®</sup> was used as control. The outcomes of this *in-vivo* study were assessed by means of plain radiograph, gross examination and undecalcified histological analysis. The complete materials and methods of the study were addressed in Chapter 3 of this thesis. In brief, the MIM

stainless steel plate was implanted on 20 of New Zealand White (NZW) rabbits or *Oryctolagus cuniculus*. The rabbits were assigned into two groups. Each group was subdivided into five groups based on the interval periods (i.e. week 3, 6, 9, 12 and 26) as per recommendation by the ISO 10993-6:2009. Experimental bony fractures were created at rabbit's tibia. The fractures were fixed with either MIM plate or conventional plate; the control. All rabbits were sacrificed at each time point post-implantation. The implantation site of the bones were harvested *en bloc* for evaluation purpose. The results of this study were described in Chapter 4 and discussed in Chapter 5 of this thesis.

The MIM technique has now become a very useful tool in producing orthopaedic implants. This technology will serve as alternative that holds great promises for efficient bone fracture management in orthopaedic. Its establishment perhaps, should be explored further since it could contribute to the local economy expansion and wealth creation of the country.

## **1.2 RESEARCH QUESTION**

Could the MIM plate have the ability to perform as good as the conventional plate?

## **1.3 HYPOTHESIS**

MIM plates could perform as good as conventional plate

## **1.4 OBJECTIVES**

This study embarked the following objectives:

1. To evaluate the potential of MIM plate as an internal fixator for bone fracture in a rabbit model with the Synthes<sup>®</sup> plate as control.
2. To compare between the unification (or, union) of a bone fracture that fixed with the MIM plate and Synthes<sup>®</sup> plate using plain radiograph, gross examination and undecalcified histology.