



**BANK STABILITY MEASURES FOR SELECTED OIC  
COUNTRIES WITH DUAL BANKING SYSTEM**

**BY**

**NORZITAH ABDUL KARIM**

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the  
degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Islamic Banking and  
Finance

IIUM Institute of Islamic Banking and Finance  
International Islamic University Malaysia

AUGUST 2018

## ABSTRACT

A robust and comprehensive measure of bank stability is crucial to identify healthy banks and save troubled banks from deteriorating in order to avoid banking crises. Potential crises in the banking sector should be detected early and prevented as they risk having a systemic effect on the overall financial system of the country. The aim of this study, therefore, is to develop a comprehensive measure of bank stability for selected Organization of Islamic Cooperation countries that have dual banking systems, namely, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Egypt, Kuwait, Indonesia, Malaysia, Pakistan, United Arab Emirates, Saudi Arabia, Turkey and Qatar. The measure is in the form of a composite index, comprehensively adopts relevant indicators from the existing literatures from central bank's stability reports, International Monetary Fund's (IMF) practice, Islamic Financial Services Board (IFSB) and journal articles. Annual data from 1999 to 2015 are obtained from the Bankscope database, and the World Bank Indicators' country macroeconomic database. The study then, extends to compare the bank stability index of Islamic and conventional banks, including introducing the conventional banks with Islamic subsidiary banks and subsidiary Islamic banks. Next, the differences between these bank models are analyzed to determine the impact of crisis indicators on their stability. The factor analysis method used by the Organization of Economic Co-operation and Development is adopted to develop the index. Nonparametric multiple comparison is then performed to compare the Bank Stability Index for different bank models. The study also employed the dynamic panel data of generalized method of moments (GMM) in analyzing the impact of crisis indicators on the stability of each bank models. The study finds that bank stability index is the comprehensive measure of bank stability for all bank models for selected countries in the dual banking system. The study also reveals that the Islamic banks are relatively less stable than the conventional banks, in general. However, there are mixed results given different crisis periods and for different bank models. The impact of crisis indicators on bank stability also produced mixed results. The findings from the study have the implication for the regulatory to use similar measure of bank stability to monitor and report the stability of different bank models. The policymakers need to ensure strong macroeconomics fundamental to mitigate the impact of crisis on the stability of the banks.

## خلاصة البحث

إن إجراء مقياس شامل لاستقرار البنوك أمر مهم لتحديد البنوك المستقرة وإنقاذ البنوك المتعثرة من التدهور لتجنب الأزمات المصرفية. إن الأزمات المحتملة في القطاع المصرفي ينبغي الكشف عنها مبكراً ومنعها لخطورتها على النظام المالي العام للدولة. والهدف من هذه الدراسة هو تطوير مقياس شامل لاستقرار البنوك لدول مختارة من منظمة التعاون الإسلامي والتي لديها أنظمة مصرفية مزدوجة ، وهي البحرين وبنغلاديش ومصر والكويت وإندونيسيا وماليزيا وباكستان والإمارات العربية المتحدة والمملكة العربية السعودية وتركيا وقطر. ويتمثل هذا المقياس في شكل مؤشر مركب ، يتبنى بشكل شامل المؤشرات ذات الصلة من تقارير الاستقرار الحالية للبنك المركزي ، وممارسة صندوق النقد الدولي (IMF) ، ومجلس الخدمات المالية الإسلامية (IFSB) ، والمقالات. وقد تم الحصول على البيانات السنوية من عام 1999 إلى عام 2015 من قاعدة بيانات البنوك، وقاعدة بيانات اقتصاد الدولة الكلي الخاصة بمؤشرات البنك الدولي. كما تناولت الدراسة مقارنة مؤشرات استقرار البنوك الإسلامية و البنوك التقليدية ، بما في ذلك إدخال البنوك التقليدية مع البنوك الإسلامية والبنوك الإسلامية الفرعية. وتم تحليل الفروق بين هذه النماذج المصرفية لتحديد تأثير مؤشرات الأزمة على استقرارها. تم اعتماد طريقة تحليل العوامل المستخدمة من قبل منظمة التعاون الاقتصادي والتنمية لتطوير المؤشر. وتم إجراء مقارنة غير متعددة لمقارنة مؤشر استقرار البنك لنماذج بنوك مختلفة. استخدمت الدراسة أيضاً بيانات اللوحة الديناميكية للأسلوب العام للحركات (GMM) في تحليل تأثير مؤشرات الأزمات على استقرار كل نموذج من نماذج البنوك. وأوضحت الدراسة أن مؤشر استقرار البنوك هو المقياس الشامل لاستقرار البنك لجميع نماذج البنوك في البلدان المختارة ذات النظام المصرفي المزدوج. كما كشفت الدراسة أن البنوك الإسلامية أقل استقراراً نسبياً من البنوك التقليدية بشكل عام. ومع ذلك ، هناك نتائج متباينة من حيث فترات الأزمات المختلفة وفي النماذج المصرفية المختلفة. كما أن تأثير مؤشرات الأزمة على استقرار البنوك أدى إلى نتائج مختلطة. والنتائج التي توصلت إليها الدراسة لها تأثير على النظام لاستخدام مقياس مماثل لاستقرار البنك في رصد واستقرار النماذج المصرفية المختلفة. ويحتاج صانعو السياسات إلى ضمان وجود اقتصاد أساسي شامل وقوي للتخفيف من تأثير الأزمة على استقرار البنوك.

## APPROVAL PAGE

The thesis of Norzifah Abdul Karim has been approved by the following:

---

Syed Musa Syed Jaafar Alhabshi  
Supervisor

---

Salina Hj Kassim  
Co-Supervisor

---

Razali Haron  
Co-Supervisor

---

Adam Abdullah  
Internal Examiner

---

M. Kabir Hassan  
External Examiner

---

Rosylin Mohd Yusof  
External Examiner

---

Fouad Mahmoud Mohammed Rawash  
Chairman

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of my own investigation, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

Norzitah Abdul Karim

Signature: ..... Date: .....

**INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA**

**DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF  
FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH**

**BANK STABILITY MEASURES FOR SELECTED OIC  
COUNTRIES WITH DUAL BANKING SYSTEM**

I declare that the copyright holders of this thesis are jointly owned by the student and IIUM.

Copyright © 2018 Norzidah Abdul Karim and International Islamic University Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below

1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieved system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledged that I have read and understand the IIUM Intellectual Property Right and Commercialization policy.

Affirmed by Norzidah Abdul Karim

.....  
Signature

.....  
Date

*This thesis is dedicated to the ummah, my parents, my beloved late husband,  
my children: Mawadda, Muhammad Muaz, Maisara, Munira and Mardiah  
for all the loves, patience and sacrifice*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the Beneficent, the Merciful. All praises and glories are for Allah s.w.t., the Almighty, the Guide. Peace and blessings be upon Prophet Muhammad s.a.w.

I would like to dedicate my acknowledgement to all the people who made this thesis possible and made my PhD journey colorful. First and foremost, my appreciation to the Ministry of Education Malaysia, the VC of UiTM and the Dean of Faculty of Business and Management for granting me a scholarship and also study leave to pursue my PhD.

I am deeply thankful to my supervisors, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Syed Musa for always showing me the light at the end of the dark tunnel, teaching me to believe in myself and giving me the freedom to explore my field of study; Assoc. Prof. Dr. Salina Kassim for always giving me words of encouragement, hugs when needed and assuring me that I am always on the right path of my studies; Assoc. Prof. Dr. Razali Haron for always being generous with his time and patience to share his knowledge in research methods, publications and his words of encouragement. A gratitude also to my examiners who specially allocate their precious time with the intention to improve my thesis.

My sincere appreciation also to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Abideen Adewalee who has tirelessly educate me on quantitative methods and for always being positive whenever I am stuck with the method; Prof. Dr. Saari of Kuliyyah Education, IIUM for allowing me to attend his class on learning the methods; Prof. Dr. Mansur Ibrahim for explaining the method of GMM; Assoc. Prof. Dr. Eng Yoke Kee of Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman (Perak Campus) for her valuable consultation time on the method of GMM; and also Assoc. Prof. Dr. Muhamad Abduh of Universiti Brunei Darussalam. A special mention to the staff of IiBF; Sr Haslina, Sr Fadila, Mdm. Zainab, Prof. Dr. Engku, Prof. Dr. Rusni and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Azman Mohd Noor.

A special mentions also to my dear friends Kak Mimi, Shefa, Jamie, Ezza, I'ffah, Rena, Ida, Fariza, Nurul, Syara, Lina and Min. Thank you dear friends, you guys definitely spiced up my PhD journey with many 'curhat' and others.

Last but not least, to the love of my life, my child, Mawadda who ever-inspires and motivates me to complete my studies. Also to the loves of my life, my children, Muhammad Muaz, Maisara, Munira and Mardiah – thank you for all the patience, sacrifice, love and understanding.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract.....	ii
Abstract in Arabic.....	iii
Approval Page.....	iv
Declaration.....	v
Copyright.....	vi
Dedication.....	vii
Acknowledgements.....	viii
List of Tables.....	xiii
List of Figures.....	xvi
List of Abbreviations.....	xvii
<b>CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background of the Study.....	1
1.2 Problem Statement.....	8
1.3 Research Objectives.....	10
1.4 Research Questions.....	11
1.5 Scope of Study.....	11
1.6 Motivation and Significance of the Study.....	14
1.7 Organization of the Study.....	15
1.8 Operational Definitions.....	16
<b>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW.....</b>	<b>18</b>
2.1 Introduction.....	18
2.2 Fundamentals of Islamic Banking.....	18
2.3 Banking Models in Dual Banking Systems.....	21
2.4 Financial Ratios In the Study of Banking.....	24
2.5 Financial Crisis and Bank Stability.....	26
2.6 Bank Stability Measures.....	28
2.6.1 Prevalent Stability Measures Across the Selected Countries with Dual Banking Systems.....	28
2.6.2 Principle-Based Measures of Banking Stability.....	34
2.6.2.1 Financial Services Indicators by International Monetary Fund.....	35
2.6.2.2 BASEL by Basel Committee for Banking Supervision of Bank of International Settlements.....	38
2.6.2.3 Islamic Banks Resilience Indicators by Islamic Financial Services Board.....	43
2.6.3 Theoretical-Based Measures of Bank Stability.....	44
2.6.4 Comparison of Bank Stability Measures.....	52
2.7 Chapter Summary.....	53
<b>CHAPTER THREE: THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK, EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK.....</b>	<b>54</b>
3.1 Introduction.....	54

3.2	Theoretical Development.....	54
3.2.1	Principle of Safety First (PSF).....	54
3.2.2	Theory in Banking.....	55
3.2.2.1	Theory of Financial Intermediation-General Perspective (GTFI).....	55
3.2.2.2	Theory of Financial Intermediation- The Islamic Perspective (ITFI).....	58
3.2.2.3	Theory of Fractional Reserves Banking.....	60
3.2.2.4	Theory of Credit Creation.....	60
3.2.3	Adapted Adverse Information Theory (AIT).....	62
3.2.4	Asymmetric Information Theory (AIT).....	62
3.2.5	Theory of the Business Cycle (TBC).....	63
3.3	Empirical Evidence.....	64
3.3.1	Bank Stability.....	64
3.3.2	Bank Models.....	65
3.3.3	Crisis Indicators.....	68
3.4	Conceptual Framework.....	70
3.5	Research Gaps.....	73
3.6	Chapter Summary.....	78

**CHAPTER FOUR: REVIEW OF DETERMINANTS AND HYPOTHESIS DEVELOPMENT ..... 79**

4.1	Introduction.....	79
4.2	Bank Stability Index.....	79
4.2.1	Latent Factor 1: Z-score from the Principle of Safety First.....	83
4.2.2	Latent Factor 2: Capital Adequacy.....	84
4.2.3	Latent Factor 3: Assets Quality.....	85
4.2.4	Latent Factor 4: Management Efficiency.....	85
4.2.5	Latent Factor 5: Earnings and Profitability.....	86
4.2.6	Latent Factor 6: Liquidity.....	86
4.2.7	Latent Factor 7: Sensitivity to Market Risk.....	87
4.3	Bank Stability as the Dependent Variables.....	87
4.4	Bank Models.....	88
4.5	Crisis Indicators.....	90
4.5.1	Dummy Crisis Periods.....	90
4.5.2	Macroeconomics Shocks and Crisis Indicators.....	93
4.6	Chapter Summary.....	96

**CHAPTER FIVE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY..... 98**

5.1	Introduction.....	98
5.2	Research Design.....	98
5.2.1	Population of Study.....	99
5.2.2	Sample Size.....	100
5.2.3	Sampling Technique.....	102
5.2.4	Data Selection.....	102
5.2.5	Data Sources.....	103
5.3	Data Analysis 1: Development of the Bank Stability Index.....	105
5.4	Data Analysis 2: Comparative Studies.....	114

5.5	Data Analysis 3: The Impact of Crisis Indicators on Bank Stability .....	115
5.5.1	Advantages of Panel Data .....	115
5.5.2	Biases in OLS, Fixed Effect, Random Effect and Instrumental Variables Models .....	116
5.5.3	Dynamic Models and the Partial Adjustment Model.....	117
5.5.4	Generalized Method of Moments (GMM).....	119
5.5.4.1	The Difference GMM.....	120
5.5.4.2	System GMM .....	121
5.5.4.3	Dynamic Panel Data Specification Tests .....	121
5.6	Data Analysis 4: The Components of Islamic Banks financing.....	122
5.7	Chapter Summary .....	124
<b>CHAPTER SIX: ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS .....</b>		<b>125</b>
6.1	Introduction .....	125
6.2	Development of the Bank Stability Index.....	126
6.2.1	Data Preparation and Factor Analysis as a Multivariate Analysis .....	126
6.2.2	Assigning Weights and Aggregation of Indices .....	131
6.2.3	Samples to Illustrate the Linear Aggregation of the Intermediate Indices and Bank Stability Index.....	143
6.2.4	Sensitivity Analysis as a Robustness Test of the Bank Stability Index.....	147
6.3	Comparative Studies and Analysis.....	153
6.3.1	Descriptive Statistics and Normality Tests.....	153
6.3.2	Differences between Islamic and Conventional Banks, 1999 - 2015 .....	158
6.3.3	Differences in Bank Stability in Crisis and Non-Crisis Periods .....	166
6.4	The Impact of Crisis Indicators on Bank Stability.....	174
6.4.1	Descriptive Statistics, Correlation and Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) .....	174
6.4.2	Model Specification, Validity and Optimal (Target) of Bank Stability .....	177
6.4.3	The Impact of Crisis Indicators on Bank Stability .....	180
6.4.4	Robustness Test: Impact of Crisis Indicators on Different Bank Stability Measures .....	192
6.5	Components of Islamic Banks' Financing .....	194
6.6	Chapter Summary .....	196
<b>CHAPTER SEVEN: SUMMARY, CONTRIBUTION AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH.....</b>		<b>197</b>
7.1	Introduction .....	197
7.2	Summary of the Major Findings .....	197
7.2.1	Bank Stability Index .....	197
7.2.2	Nonparametric Multiple Comparison .....	199
7.2.2.1	Comparison of Islamic and Conventional Banks (Bank Stability Index and its Components) During the Sampling Period .....	200

7.2.2.2	Comparison of the Stability of Different Bank Models, 1999 to 2015 .....	202
7.2.2.3	Comparison of the Stability of Different Bank Models During Crisis and Non-Crisis Periods (Global Financial Crisis and Local Crisis) .....	204
7.2.3	Impact of the Crisis Indicators on Bank Stability.....	206
7.2.4	Components of Islamic Banks' Financing.....	213
7.3	Contribution of the Study.....	214
7.3.1	Theoretical Contribution to Knowledge .....	214
7.3.2	Contribution to Body of Literature .....	215
7.3.3	Contribution to Methodology .....	216
7.4	Implications of Study .....	217
7.4.1	Implications for Regulatory Bodies.....	217
7.4.2	Implications for the Banking Industry .....	218
7.4.3	Implications for Government.....	219
7.5	Limitations of the Study.....	220
7.6	Suggestion for Future Research .....	221
7.7	Conclusions .....	222

**REFERENCES..... 224**

<b>APPENDIX I:</b>	<b>BANKING INFORMATION ON MEMBER COUNTRIES OF OIC.....</b>	<b>241</b>
<b>APPENDIX II:</b>	<b>LIST OF FINANCIAL RATIOS USED IN LITERATURES.....</b>	<b>243</b>
<b>APPENDIX III:</b>	<b>SURVEY OF INDICATORS USED IN CENTRAL BANKS FINANCIAL STABILITY REPORT.....</b>	<b>244</b>
<b>APPENDIX IV:</b>	<b>LITERATURES SURVEY OF COMPONENTS OF CAMELS .....</b>	<b>247</b>
<b>APPENDIX V:</b>	<b>LITERATURES SURVEY OF Z-SCORE .....</b>	<b>253</b>
<b>APPENDIX VI:</b>	<b>LIST OF UNAVAILABLE DATA AND EXCLUDED VARIABLES .....</b>	<b>255</b>
<b>APPENDIX VII:</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION AND SOURCES OF DATA .....</b>	<b>256</b>
<b>APPENDIX VIII:</b>	<b>DETAILS OF SAMPLING OF INDIVIDUAL BANKS.....</b>	<b>270</b>
<b>APPENDIX IX:</b>	<b>LITERATURE SURVEY OF RESEARCH DESIGN .....</b>	<b>304</b>
<b>APPENDIX X:</b>	<b>LIST OF AWARDS, PUBLICATIONS AND CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS .....</b>	<b>308</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Financial depth indicators of selected OIC countries with dual banking system in year 2015	5
Table 2.1	Details of financial stability reports for countries with dual banking systems	29
Table 2.2	Period reviewed on countries' stability report	30
Table 2.3	Assessments on countries' stability report	30
Table 2.4	Adoption stage of Basel for selected countries with dual banking systems	34
Table 2.5	Comparison of works of Roy and Markowitz	46
Table 3.1	Dimensions of Bank Stability	64
Table 3.2	Types of bank models	66
Table 3.3	Variables of Bank Crisis Indicators	70
Table 4.1	Dimensions of dependent variables, explanatory variables, measurement, hypotheses and expected signs	96
Table 5.1	List of OIC countries with dual banking systems	100
Table 5.2	Number of banks in the selected countries with dual banking systems	101
Table 5.3	The Components of U.K. Bank Lending in 2012	123
Table 5.4	Adapting from IFSB's PSIFIs report to Turner's categorization	123
Table 6.1	Normality tests	128
Table 6.2	Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin and Bartlett's Test	130
Table 6.3	Normalisation and transformation of indicators	130
Table 6.4	Eigenvalues and total variance explained	133
Table 6.5	Weighting: process of assigning weight for intermediate composite indices and Bank Stability Index	140
Table 6.6	Factorability of the data: measure of sampling adequacy and test of sphericity	142

Table 6.7	First-level linear aggregation forming intermediate composite indices (latent factors) for AB Bank Ltd	144
Table 6.8	Second-level linear aggregation forming the Bank Stability Index for AB Bank Ltd	145
Table 6.9	Descriptive statistics of the variables used in the comparative analyses	156
Table 6.10	Two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for equality of distribution	157
Table 6.11	Skewness/kurtosis tests for normality	158
Table 6.12	Results of two-sample Wilcoxon rank-sum (Mann-Whitney) test	159
Table 6.13	Results of Kruskal-Wallis rank test with bank model as the grouping variable	162
Table 6.14	Results of two-sample Wilcoxon rank-sum (Mann-Whitney) test for the sources of difference	163
Table 6.15	Results of the two-sample Wilcoxon rank-sum (Mann-Whitney) test for the sources of difference	165
Table 6.16	Results of the Kruskal-Wallis rank test with crises (local and global crises) as the grouping variable	167
Table 6.17	Results of two-sample Wilcoxon rank-sum (Mann-Whitney) test for sources of differences	168
Table 6.18	Results of Two-sample Wilcoxon rank-sum (Mann-Whitney) test for the sources of difference	172
Table 6.19	Descriptive Statistics	175
Table 6.20	Correlation matrix among independent variables	176
Table 6.21	Variance Inflation Factor and Tolerance	177
Table 6.22	Estimation results based on Static models and GMM Two-step Models	183
Table 6.23	Analysis of the impact of crisis indicators on the stability of specified bank models based on two-step system GMM at instrument (t-3)	186
Table 6.24	Analysis of the impact of crisis indicators on the stability of specified bank models run on separate samples	189
Table 6.25	Impact of local crisis on bank stability	191

Table 6.26	Result of different bank stability measures based on two-step System GMM estimation at instrument t-3	193
Table 6.27	The components of Islamic bank financing as a percentage of total Shari'ah compliant financing	195
Table 7.1	Speed of adjustment and target of bank stability of bank models and selected countries	207

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Research framework for bank stability analysis	13
Figure 2.1	Dual Banking System	23
Figure 3.1	Overall Conceptual Framework	71
Figure 3.2	Conceptual framework for the Bank Stability Index	72
Figure 4.1	Model of Bank Stability Index	81
Figure 4.2	Model of Bank Stability Index (cont.)	82
Figure 5.1	Research Design	99
Figure 5.2	Methodological process to develop Bank Stability Index	113
Figure 6.1	Scree plot	132
Figure 6.2	Flow of process in producing the bank stability index	147
Figure 6.3	Sensitivity Analysis of BSI using same weight (BSI2), weight from factor analysis (BSI1), z-score and Bankscope at bank level for year 2015	150
Figure 6.4	Sensitivity Analysis of BSI using same weight (BSI2), weight from factor analysis (BSI1), z-score and Bankscope at country level for year 2015	151
Figure 6.5	Sensitivity Analysis of BSI using same weight (BSI2), weight from factor analysis (BSI1), z-score and Bankscope for year 1999-2015	152



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BCBS	Basel Committee for Banking Supervision
BSI	Bank Stability Index
CAMELS	Principle based measures: Capital Adequacy, Asset Quality, Management Efficiency, Earning and Profitability Quality, Liquidity, Sensitivity to market risks
CB	Conventional Bank
CBS	Conventional Bank with Islamic Subsidiary Bank
FA	Factor Analysis
FDIC	Federal Deposit Insurance Cooperation
GCC	Gulf Cooperation Council
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GFC	Global Financial Crisis
GMM	Generalized Method of Moments
IB	Full-fledged Islamic Bank
IFSB	Islamic Financial Services Board
IIUM	International Islamic University Malaysia
IMF	International Monetary Fund
OECD	Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development
OIC	Organization of Islamic Cooperation
OLS	Ordinary Least Square
PLS	Profit Loss Sharing
PSF	Principle of Safety First
SIB	Subsidiary Islamic Bank

# CHAPTER ONE

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

Stability is a persistent concern of most regulatory bodies across jurisdictions, particularly its concept, measurement and framework. Despite studies examining banking and financial stability (see, for example, Segoviano and Goodhart, 2009; Gersl and Hermanek, 2010; Schinasi, 2004; Creel et al., 2014; Swamy, 2014), the concept is not properly defined. To date, there is a lack of consensus on the measures, indicators and quantification of banking and financial stability and instability (Segoviano and Goodhart, 2009; Gersl and Hermanek, 2010). Many studies use the terms *financial stability* and *banking stability* interchangeably (see, for example, Schinasi, 2004; Creel et al., 2014; Swamy, 2014) as a result of the absence of consensus on or a widely accepted model of financial stability (Swamy, 2014) and difficulty measuring and defining financial stability (see, for example, Schinasi, 2004; Creel et al., 2014; Swamy, 2014). As argued by Allen and Wood (2006), though, it is utmost importance to define something with public policy objective because the right definition ensures the right policy been identified and implemented. Thus, it is important to define banking stability, financial stability and the difference between the two, in light of this.

Some common themes do run through definitions of financial and banking stability: institutions' ability to absorb or withstand shocks (see, for example, Schinasi, 2004; Allen and Wood, 2006; Petrovska and Mihajlovska, 2013; Houben, Kakes, and Schinasi, 2004; Popovska, 2014; European Central Bank, 2013; National

Bank of Belgium, 2014), financial solvency, liquidity (see, for example, Allen and Wood, 2006) and freedom from financial distress (see, for example, Segoviano & Goodhart, 2009). Schinasi (2004) defines financial stability as banks' ability "to facilitate and enhance economic processes, manage risks, and absorb shocks over time, along with a continuum rather than over a static condition" (p.1). Similarly, Houben et al. (2004) defines financial stability as "its ability to help the economic system allocate resources, manage risks and absorb shocks again over a continuum changeable over time and consistent with multiple combinations of its constituent elements".

Allen and Wood (2006) furthermore give financial stability a more taxonomic definition, classifying into different dimensions, such as financial solvency and liquidity. Allen and Wood (2006) explain financial stability as "a property of an economic system which is not prone to episodes of financial instability, dampening of perturbation, such as unexpected event, shock, unforeseen development or the unexpected failure, rather than amplifying it to larger in size and magnitude" (p.155). In addition, Segoviano and Goodhart (2009) measure financial stability in three areas of potential distress: 'common' distress throughout the banks in the system, distress between specific banks and distress in the system associated with a specific bank.

Despite these attempts to defining financial stability, Gadanez and Jayaram (2009) clarify that financial stability is not easy to define or measure due to the interdependence and the complex interactions of various elements of the financial system among themselves and with the real economy. This view of inter-relatedness of banking and financial stability is supported by Swamy (2014) who nonetheless stresses the importance of differentiating banking from financial stability. This inter-relatedness is also evidence in the European Central Bank (2013) definition of

financial stability as a characteristic of the financial system, which consists of banks, markets and market infrastructure able of absorbing and mitigating the likelihood of financial shock in the banking processes.

Few researches (see, for example, Swamy, 2014; Popovska, 2014; Hartmann et al., 2005) highlight the importance of financial stability lies in the stability of banking system. According to Swamy (2014), the financial stability is a by-product of stability conditions prevailing in the banking system, financial markets and real economy. Swamy (2014) stresses the paramount importance of banking stability to financial stability as at the micro level, the stability of the banking system depends on the asset capital adequacy, asset quality, earnings and liquidity of the individual banks. Moreover, according to Hartmann et al., (2005), the situation of the banking sector is typically considered to be major determinants of the financial stability. This position also gains support from Popovska (2014), who argues that bank is the most important sector to the stability of the financial system. Popovska (2014) further explains that financial stability in developed economies is determined mainly by the condition of nonbanking financial institutions (e.g. investment funds, pensions, private equity funds and brokerage houses). In contrast, in developing countries, stock exchanges, investment and pension funds and insurance companies are underdeveloped, and investments rely on traditional bank loans; therefore, banks are the main pillar of financial stability and overall economic stability. Thus, banking stability is a highly important determinant of financial stability.

According to Swamy (2014), banking stability exists at a micro level, and the stability of the banking system depends on individual banks' asset capital adequacy, asset quality, earnings and liquidity. Since banking stability exists at a micro level, then banking stability should also have a micro-level definition. These criteria are




similar to the International Monetary Fund's (IMF) micro-level financial soundness indicators used to determine the health of a bank (e.g. bank capitalisation, asset quality and profitability). On the other hand, the definition of bank stability includes macro level of bank such as bank's ability to withstand adverse events i.e. bank run (see, for example, Balino et al., 1999) and bank's capacity to remain solvent (see, for example, Bourkhis and Nabi, 2013). Thus, the bank stability then can be defined on the micro level as the bank's stability, which dependent on bank capitalisation, asset quality, profitability and other measures, and on the macro level as the bank's ability to withstand adverse event, including crisis, and to remain solvent. Thus, in this research, bank stability is defined as bank capitalisation, asset quality, profitability and other micro measures that enable the bank to withstand adverse events and remain solvent.

The banking system plays an important function in the economic and financial activities of countries with dual banking systems, of which most still have undeveloped non-banking sectors (Popovska, 2014). In Table 1.1, it can be noted that the majority of these countries had high percentage ratios of deposit money bank assets to gross domestic product (GDP), central bank assets to GDP, and bank deposits to GDP. Malaysia has the highest ratios of deposit money bank assets to GDP (135.12%), bank deposits to GDP (123.89%), private credit by deposit money to GDP (119.64%) and financial system deposits to GDP (123.89%) (Beck, Demirguc-Kunt, Levine, Cihak, and Feyen, 2017). However, Egypt has the highest ratio of central bank assets to GDP (26.28%) (Beck et al., 2017).

Table 1.1 Financial depth indicators of selected OIC countries with dual banking system in year 2015

Country	Central Bank Assets to GDP (%)	Deposit Money Bank Assets to GDP (%)	Bank Deposits to GDP (%)	Private credit by deposit money to GDP (%)	Financial system deposits to GDP (%)
Bahrain	NAV	101.27	79.20	70.20	79.20
Bangladesh	0.93	59.35	48.11	40.95	48.11
Egypt	26.28	69.61	60.76	24.66	60.76
Indonesia	3.22	37.01	33.71	31.53	33.71
Kuwait	NAV	99.54	95.39	94.97	95.39
Malaysia	0.23	135.12	123.89	119.64	123.89
Pakistan	9.28	39.90	30.05	14.90	30.05
Qatar	0.03	121.70	83.76	63.57	83.76
Saudi Arabia	NAV	66.27	40.57	54.19	40.57
Turkey	0.54	70.65	44.49	58.01	44.49
United Arab Emirates	3.84	102.65	82.54	74.00	82.54
Japan	54.37	165.51	215.36	102.63	215.36
United States	23.47	60.20	81.35	50.39	81.35

*Legend:*

 Lowest  Highest  Benchmark *GDP* Gross Domestic Product

Source: Financial Development and Structure Dataset, (Beck et al., 2017)

There are three types of banking systems: a full-fledged Islamic banking system, a conventional banking system and a dual banking system. Countries including Iran and Sudan have adopted full-fledged Islamic banking systems, which permit only Islamic banks and no conventional banks to operate in the financial system. Other Muslim-majority countries, including Egypt, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Brunei, Indonesia, Jordan, Kuwait, Malaysia, Pakistan, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Turkey, and the United Arab Emirates, have adopted dual banking systems, which allow both Islamic and conventional banks to operate side-by-side in the financial system. Other countries tend to adopt conventional systems which allow only conventional banks,

with a few Islamic banks, to operate in the financial system. Vast academic discussions are devoted to the similarities and differences between Islamic and conventional banks (see, for example Hassan, Mohamad, and Bader 2009a; Hasan and Dridi, 2010; Parashar and Venkatesh, 2010; Kassim and Majid, 2010; Pappas, Izzeldin, and Fuertes, 2012; Johnes et al., 2013; Bourkhis and Nabi, 2013). These discussions tend to focus on fundamental aspects of banking models, particularly bank efficiency, performance, profitability, soundness and stability.

The vast majority of research (see, for example Hassan, Mohamad and Bader (2009a); Hasan and Dridi (2010); Parashar and Venkatesh (2010); Kassim and Majid (2010); Pappas, Izzeldin, and Fuertes (2012); Johnes et al. (2013), and Bourkhis and Nabi (2013)) has reported inconclusive findings on differences between Islamic and conventional banks. On the other hand, Hassan et al. (2009a), Pappas et al. (2012) and Johnes et al. (2013) find no significant difference in the gross efficiency of Islamic and conventional banks. Whereas Beck et al. (2013) report that Islamic banks are less cost effective, Hassan et al. (2009a) find that Islamic banks are more efficient than conventional banks at using their resources to generate revenues and profits. Iqbal (2001) also supports that Islamic banks use resources more effectively.

Evidence on differences between Islamic and conventional banks in performance, soundness and stability is also inconclusive. Bourkhis and Nabi (2013) find no significant difference in the soundness of Islamic and conventional banks. However, Hasan and Dridi (2010), Parashar and Venkatesh (2010) and Bourkhis and Nabi (2013) report that Islamic banks have better performance and more stable than conventional banks during and after the global crisis. Similarly, Beck et al. (2013) detected significant differences in bank stability (as measured by z-score) between Islamic and conventional banks.

Debate continues about the significance of difference between Islamic and conventional banks. A number of researchers have tried to explain the differences inherent in fundamental aspects of the banks. Whereas the conventional bank is largely debt based and allows for risk transfer, the Islamic bank is asset based and grounded in risk sharing. In a key difference, the model of Islamic banks does not allow investing in or financing the kind of instruments also known as toxic assets, such as derivatives, that adversely affect their conventional competitors and triggered the 2007–2009 Global Financial Crisis (GFC) (Hasan & Dridi, 2010). In contrast to environments that do allow such investment or financing, Islamic banks provide a different environment for both Muslims and non-Muslims, creating a more stable financial system (Karwowski, 2009; Ariff, 2006).

The issue of the comparison of Islamic and conventional banks has grown in importance in light of the 2007-2009 GFC. The GFC is considered the worst financial crisis, threatened to cause the total collapse of large financial institutions and resulted in bank bailouts by national government and stock market downturns around the world. Confusion and a loss of confidence regarding what constitutes a stable bank emerged as financial institutions reported to be ‘healthy’ financial institution prior to the crisis, had indeed suffered failure during the crisis. There is also puzzle as to whether the Islamic banking is shielded from the crisis. . Parashar and Venkatesh (2010) report that better performance by Islamic banks than convention banks both under normal economic conditions and during the GFC. Similarly, Hasan and Dridi (2010) trace adverse effects from the global financial crisis on the Islamic banking model, particularly on banks’ profitability, asset growth and rating. However, Kassim and Majid (2010) find that both Islamic banking and conventional banking are