



**THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DEBT-TAKING,
CHARITY-GIVING, AND FINANCIAL EDUCATION ON
FINANCIAL INCLUSION: THE CASE OF LOW-
INCOME HOUSEHOLDS IN INDONESIA**

BY

LAILY DWI ARSYANTI

**A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Islamic Banking and
Finance**

**IIUM Institute of Islamic Banking and Finance
International Islamic University Malaysia**

MARCH 2018

ABSTRACT

This study aims to investigate the link between debt-taking, charity-giving and financial education and their contribution towards achieving financial inclusion. This research is important since it involves various stakeholders including low-income households, the government and authoritative bodies, industry players including financial planners, social institutions and educators, to objectives of this research are to explore the driving forces that influences the perception of low-income households regarding consecutive debt-taking behaviour in Indonesia, to explore the driving forces that influence the perception of low-income households regarding regular charity-giving behaviour in Indonesia, to investigate the influence of regular charity-giving on consecutive debt-taking behaviour and conversely the influence of consecutive debt-taking on regular charity-giving behavior, to assess the effects of financial education on consecutive debt-taking behaviour and regular charity-giving behavior, to assess the effect of the selection of financial institutions by low-income households on consecutive debt-taking behaviour and regular charity-giving behaviour in the extent of financial inclusion and to formulate a financial education strategy taking into account debt and charity considerations among low-income households in Indonesia which is significant in overcoming the problem of financial inclusion. Questionnaires were utilised as tools to gather data primarily from six areas in Indonesia: Aceh, Jabodetabek, Yogyakarta, East Borneo, Central Sulawesi and Eastern Nusa Tenggara through the purposive sampling technique. Exactly 1800 questionnaires were distributed. However, only 1780 were used in the analysis through the cluster sampling technique. The research questions in this study were answered by using three different statistical methods including logistic regression to achieve the first and second objectives, the structural equation modelling (SEM) to achieve third, fourth, and fifth objectives, and the analytic network process (ANP) to achieve sixth objective. Consecutive debt-taking behaviour is proven to affect financial ratios and lifestyle satisfaction. Level of education, income, financial education, financial institutions and charity institutions significantly indirectly affect consecutive debt-taking behaviour. In the context of regular charity-giving behaviour, those socioeconomic demography factors are indirectly affecting the behaviour, except income. Meanwhile, consecutive debt-taking influences regular charity-giving behaviour negatively by performing the behaviour of taking debt consecutively. Apparently, regular charity-giving affects consecutive debt-taking behaviour negatively through intention towards regular charity-giving behaviour. This study suggests that financial education for low-income households in Indonesia should be conducted in formal education institution by using government funds and collaborating with financial institutions. Experts, comprising of financial educators, public figures, financial planners, social finance activists and regulators, choose women as top priority in general to be educated on financial inclusion. Finally, behaviour on taking debts is perceived to be the main targeted behaviour in conducting financial education. This study mainly contributes to financial education, especially for low-income households in managing their money. The Islamic perspective on regular charity-giving that is expected to overcome households' financial difficulties is also demonstrated in this study, which is introduced as a shifting paradigm from a more taking to a more giving behaviour from low-income households. The models presented in this study are useful for users, especially future researchers, from around the world who are interested in the same research area.

ملخص البحث

تهدف هذه الدراسة إلى تحقيق كيفية الاندماج المالي، والتي يمكن أن تتعزز بين الأسر ذات الدخل المنخفض في إندونيسيا من خلال أخذ الدين، وخيرية العطاء، والتعليم المالي. على وجه التحديد، هذه الدراسة: (أ) تستكشف مفهوم الأسر ذات الدخل المنخفض فيما يتعلق بسلوكهم في أخذ الدين على التوالي، (ب) تحقق مفهوم سلوك الصدقة العادية، (ج) يصوغ استراتيجية التعليم المالي في اتخاذ الدين، وإعطاء اعتبارات الخيرية بين الأسر ذات الدخل المنخفض في إندونيسيا. هذا البحث مهم لأنه ينطوي على مختلف أصحاب المصلحة بما في ذلك الأسر ذات الدخل المنخفض نفسها والحكومة والتخطيط المالي، والمؤسسات الاجتماعية، والمربين. استخدمت الاستبيانات بوصفها أدوات لجمع البيانات في المقام الأول من أباء الأسر في ست مناطق في إندونيسيا: آتشي، وجابوديتاييك، ويوجياكرتا، وشرق بورنيو، وسولاويسي الوسطى، ونوسا تينجارا الشرقية. وبالضبط تم توزيع ١٨٠٠ استبيانات، ومع ذلك، تم استخدام ١٧٨٠ استبياناً فقط في التحليل. تمت الإجابة عن أسئلة البحث في هذه الدراسة باستخدام ثلاث طرق إحصائية المختلفة بما في ذلك الانحدار اللوجستي، نموذج المعادلة الهيكلية، وعملية شبكة التحليلية. ثبت أن التعليم المالي ذو علاقة كبرى مع العوامل الديموغرافية. هذه العلاقة تؤثر في سلوك أخذ الدين باستمرار بشكل غير مباشر من خلال السيطرة السلوكية المتوقعة، والمعيار الشخصي بوصفهما وسطاء. ثبت المنصوص عليها متغير النتائج التي تتكون من النسب المالية ورضا نمط الحياة أن تتأثر سلوك أخذ الدين باستمرار. ثبت أيضاً أن التعليم المالي ذو علاقة كبرى مع التكوين الديموغرافي نحو سلوك الصدقة العادية. فهذا التكوين يؤثر في السلوك الصدقة العادية بشكل غير مباشر من خلال سيطرة السلوكية المحسوسة، وبنية السلوك. وفي الوقت نفسه، كان أخذ الدين على استمرار يؤثر في السلوك الصدقة العادية سلباً. على ما يبدو، من خلال خيرية العطاء يؤثر في السلوك وأخذ الدين باستمرار أكثر سلبية من خلال النية بدلاً من أداء سلوك الصدقة العادية. ومع ذلك، ثبت التعليم المالي أنه يكون فهماً في كلا النموذجين. وبناءً عليه، هذه الدراسة تقترح أن التعليم المالي للأسر ذات الدخل المنخفض في إندونيسيا ينبغي أن يتم في المؤسسات التعليمية الرسمية باستخدام ميزانية الحكومة، وكذلك بالتعاون مع المؤسسات المالية للتوعية بهذا الأمر. وكذلك اختار الخبراء النساء من الأسر ذات الدخل المنخفض عموماً من أجل تعليمهن المسائل المالية. وأخيراً، السلوك على أخذ الدين ينظر إليه على أنه السلوك المستهدف الرئيسي في إدارة التعليم المالي.

APPROVAL PAGE

The thesis of Laily Dwi Arsyianti has been approved by the following:

Salina Hj. Kassim
Supervisor

Adewale Abideen Adeyemi
Co-Supervisor

Mustafa Omar Mohamed
Internal Examiner

Zurina Shafii
External Examiner

M. Shabri Abd. Majid
External Examiner

Ismail Hassanein Ahmed
Chairman

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of my own investigation, except where otherwise stated.

I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

Laily Dwi Arsyianti

Signature.....

Date

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

**DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF FAIR USE OF
UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH**

**THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DEBT-TAKING, CHARITY-GIVING, AND
FINANCIAL EDUCATION ON FINANCIAL INCLUSION: THE CASE OF
LOW-INCOME HOUSEHOLDS IN INDONESIA**

I declare that the copyright holder of this thesis is Laily Dwi Arsyianti

Copyright © 2018 Laily Dwi Arsyianti and International Islamic University Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below:

1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieved system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledged that I have read and understand the IIUM Intellectual Property Right and Commercialization policy.

Affirmed by Laily Dwi Arsyianti

.....
Signature

.....
Date

*Thank you to Dr. Salina Kassim, Dr. Adewale Abideen Adeyemi, Dr. Irfan Syauqi Beik
and my parents (Aba Muhabah Tanuwijaya, Yeti Supriati Subandi, Didin Hafidhuddin
Maturidi, and Nining Suningsih)*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah rabbil'alamin, all praise be to Allah (S.W.T) for the guidance and blessings bestowed upon me in completing this research. *Allahummasholli 'ala Muhammad*. I would like to take this opportunity to express my deepest gratitude to my wonderful inspiring main supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr Salina Hj Kassim for her generous support, advise, encouragement, kindness, motivation and valuable guidance during my time in IIUM. Her valuable insights and suggestions have assisted me in building and improving myself as well as this thesis.

I also appreciate the contributions of my co-supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr Adewale Abideen Adeyemi, who provided generously of his time in expanding the ideas, improving the thesis through his innumerable and valuable comments. My gratitude also goes to Prof. Dr Mustafa Omar Mohamed, Assoc. Prof. Dr Zurina Shafii and Assoc. Prof. Dr. M. Shabri Abd. Majid for their valuable suggestions during my viva.

I am grateful to my parents, Aba Muhabah Tanuwijaya and R. Yeti Supriati Subandi, my parents in law Didin Hafidhuddin and Nining Suningsih for their prayers and best supports. Similarly, I would also like to thank and appreciate my brothers and sisters, for their prayers and goodwill towards my study. My beloved husband Irfan Syauqi Beik, who has always been my priority and always give his best supports. It was their unconditional love, strength, emotions, as well as moral support that had enabled me to come this far. To my friends, I say thank you very much for the support, advice and goodwill, I received from you.

Finally I acknowledge and appreciate to BAZNAS and Dompot Dhuafa for providing me data and suggestions during field study. Also, I thank you for all IIBF academic officer, especially Sister Dila and Brother Zul. Last but not least, thank you Brother Ibnu Khaldun al-Banjary for helping me to complete all final administration.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Abstract | ii |
| Abstract in Arabic | iii |
| Approval Page..... | iv |
| Declaration | v |
| Copyright Page..... | vi |
| Dedication | vii |
| Acknowledgements | viii |
| List of Tables | xiii |
| List of Figures | xviii |
| List of Abbreviations | xx |
| CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Background of Study | 1 |
| 1.1.1 Debt in Indonesia | 7 |
| 1.1.2 Charity in Indonesia | 12 |
| 1.1.3 Financial Education in Indonesia | 14 |
| 1.2 Statement of the Problem..... | 16 |
| 1.3 Objectives of the Research | 18 |
| 1.4 Research Questions..... | 19 |
| 1.5 Significance and Contribution of the Study..... | 19 |
| 1.6 Scope of Study..... | 22 |
| 1.7 Organisation of Study | 23 |
| CHAPTER TWO: LOW-INCOME HOUSEHOLDS IN INDONESIA..... | 26 |
| 2.1 Introduction..... | 26 |
| 2.2 Labour and Financial Figures of Indonesia | 26 |
| 2.3 Households' Profile in Indonesia | 34 |
| 2.4 Low-Income Profile in Indonesia | 37 |
| 2.5 Financial Inclusion in Indonesia | 41 |
| 2.6 Conclusion | 43 |
| CHAPTER THREE: THEORETICAL AND EMPIRICAL LITERATURE | |
| REVIEW | 45 |
| 3.1 Introduction..... | 45 |
| 3.2 Theory of Social Production Function..... | 46 |
| 3.3 Financial Behaviour of Low-Income Households..... | 49 |
| 3.3.1 Theory of Planned Behaviour | 50 |
| 3.3.1.1 Attitude | 54 |
| 3.3.1.2 Subjective Norm | 54 |
| 3.3.1.3 Perceived Behaviour Control | 54 |
| 3.3.2 Debt-taking Behaviour | 56 |
| 3.3.3 Charity-giving Behaviour..... | 58 |
| 3.4 Socioeconomic and Demographic Factors | 64 |
| 3.4.1 Factors Affecting Consecutive Debt-taking..... | 64 |
| 3.4.2 Factors Affecting Regular Charity-giving | 72 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 3.5 Financial Education | 78 |
| 3.5.1 Knowledge-Attitude-Behaviour Model | 80 |
| 3.5.2 Establishing Low-Income Households through Financial Education..... | 83 |
| 3.5.2.1 Financial Education through Financial Institutions..... | 85 |
| 3.5.2.2 Financial Education through Social Finance Institutions | 88 |
| 3.6 Conclusion | 90 |
| CHAPTER FOUR: METHODOLOGY | 91 |
| 4.1 Introduction..... | 91 |
| 4.1 Conceptual Framework..... | 92 |
| 4.2 Research Philosophy..... | 94 |
| 4.3 Data and Data Source | 95 |
| 4.3.1 Population of the Study..... | 95 |
| 4.3.2 Targeting and Profiling | 96 |
| 4.3.3 Sampling Method | 99 |
| 4.3.4 Type of Data and Selected Variables | 101 |
| 4.3.5 Questionnaires Administration..... | 104 |
| 4.4 Models Analysis | 105 |
| 4.4.1 Logistic Regression..... | 106 |
| 4.4.2 Structural Equation Modeling Analysis | 113 |
| 4.4.3 Analytic Network Process..... | 129 |
| 4.5 Conclusion | 135 |
| CHAPTER FIVE: CHARACTERISTICS OF RESPONDENTS | 136 |
| 5.1 Introduction..... | 136 |
| 5.2 Description about Respondents | 136 |
| 5.2.1 Attitude towards Debt-taking and Charity-giving Behaviours | 143 |
| 5.2.2 Subjective Norm towards Debt-taking and Charity-giving Behaviours | 147 |
| 5.2.3 Perceived Behaviour Control towards Debt-taking and Charity- giving | 151 |
| 5.2.4 Intention towards Debt-taking and Charity-giving Behaviours | 153 |
| 5.2.5 Outcomes: Financial Ratios and Respondent Satisfaction..... | 158 |
| 5.3 Conclusion | 161 |
| CHAPTER SIX: CONSECUTIVE DEBT-TAKING BEHAVIOUR..... | 162 |
| 6.1 Introduction..... | 162 |
| 6.2 Socioeconomic Demography Factors Affecting Consecutive Debt-taking | 163 |
| 6.3 Perception on Consecutive Debt-taking Behaviour..... | 166 |
| 6.3.1 Model Conceptualization and Path Diagram Construction..... | 166 |
| 6.3.2 Model Identification..... | 170 |
| 6.3.3 Model Estimation..... | 171 |
| 6.3.4 Testing Model Fit..... | 172 |
| 6.3.5 Model Modification | 176 |
| 6.4 Results and Discussions..... | 180 |
| 6.5 Conclusion | 185 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| CHAPTER SEVEN: REGULAR CHARITY-GIVING BEHAVIOUR | 187 |
| 7.1 Introduction..... | 187 |
| 7.2 Socioeconomic Demography Factors Affecting Regular Charity-giving..... | 188 |
| 7.3 Perception on Regular Charity-giving Behaviour | 190 |
| 7.3.1 Model Conceptualization and Path Diagram Construction..... | 190 |
| 7.3.2 Model Identification..... | 194 |
| 7.3.3 Model Estimation..... | 194 |
| 7.3.4 Testing Model Fit..... | 195 |
| 7.3.5 Model Modification | 202 |
| 7.4 Results and Discussions..... | 206 |
| 7.5 Conclusion | 208 |
| | |
| CHAPTER EIGHT: RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CONSECUTIVE DEBT- TAKING AND REGULAR CHARITY-GIVING BEHAVIOUR..... | 210 |
| 8.1 Introduction..... | 210 |
| 8.2 The Impact of Consecutive Debt-Taking towards Regular Charity-Giving..... | 211 |
| 8.2.1 Model Conceptualization and Path Diagram Construction..... | 211 |
| 8.2.2 Model Identification..... | 215 |
| 8.2.3 Model Estimation | 215 |
| 8.2.4 Testing Model Fit..... | 216 |
| 8.2.5 Model Modification | 218 |
| 8.3 The Impact of Regular Charity-Giving towards Consecutive Debt-Taking..... | 228 |
| 8.3.1 Model Conceptualization and Path Diagram Construction..... | 228 |
| 8.3.2 Model Identification..... | 232 |
| 8.3.3 Model Estimation..... | 232 |
| 8.3.4 Testing Model Fit..... | 233 |
| 8.3.5 Model Modification | 239 |
| 8.4 Discussions | 245 |
| 8.5 Conclusion | 248 |
| | |
| CHAPTER NINE: ENHANCING FINANCIAL EDUCATION ON DEBT- TAKING AND CHARITY-GIVING | 249 |
| 9.1 Introduction..... | 249 |
| 9.2 Alternative Strategies..... | 250 |
| 9.3 Specific Targeted Behaviour | 253 |
| 9.4 Focus on Better Literacy..... | 254 |
| 9.5 Targeted Audience..... | 256 |
| 9.6 Overcome Barriers..... | 259 |
| 9.6.1 For Policy Makers | 261 |
| 9.7 Evaluation | 263 |
| 9.8 Partners executing financial education | 264 |
| 9.9 Marketing Mix | 266 |
| 9.9.1 Promotion..... | 267 |
| 9.9.2 Product | 268 |
| 9.9.3 Price | 270 |
| 9.9.4 Place | 270 |
| 9.10 Conclusion | 270 |

| | |
|--|----------------|
| CHAPTER TEN: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS..... | 272 |
| 10.1 Introduction..... | 272 |
| 10.2 Major Findings | 272 |
| 10.3 Policy Implications and Recommendations | 283 |
| 10.4 Contributions of Research | 287 |
| 10.4.1 As a Body of Knowledge | 287 |
| 10.4.2 For Professionals in Associated Industry..... | 289 |
| 10.5 Extension for Future Research..... | 290 |
| 10.6 Summary..... | 291 |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY | 292 |
| APPENDIX A: SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE 1 | 316 |
| APPENDIX B: SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE 2 | 320 |
| APPENDIX C: LOGISTIC REGRESSION SIMULATIONS | 335 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | | |
|------------|--|-----|
| Table 1.1 | Portions of Debtors based on Disposable Income Groups (as of September 2014) | 10 |
| Table 1.2 | Charity Amount and Growth via BAZNAS | 13 |
| Table 2.1 | Indonesia's Population Based on Type of Activity Done in Previous Week | 28 |
| Table 2.2 | Indonesia's Educational Attainment of the Workers | 28 |
| Table 2.3 | Indonesia's Economically Active Working Population by Age Group | 29 |
| Table 2.4 | Indonesia's Unemployment Population by Age Group | 32 |
| Table 2.5 | Indonesia's Part-Time and Underemployment Worker by Age Group | 32 |
| Table 2.6 | Financial Activities Done by Age Group of 15 Years Old and Above | 33 |
| Table 2.7 | Indonesia's Working Participation by Gender | 34 |
| Table 2.8 | Indonesia's Households in Figures | 36 |
| Table 2.9 | Characteristics of the Low-Income Households in Indonesia | 39 |
| Table 2.10 | Financial Activities of Low-Income Population in Indonesia | 40 |
| Table 3.1 | Socioeconomic Factors of Influencing Consecutive Debt-taking Behaviour | 71 |
| Table 3.2 | Socioeconomic Factors Influencing Regular Charity-giving Behaviour | 77 |
| Table 4.1 | Independent Variables of Consecutive Debt-taking Behaviour | 110 |
| Table 4.2 | Independent Variables of Regular Charity-giving Behaviour | 113 |
| Table 4.3 | Attitude towards Consecutive Debt-taking Behaviour Items | 117 |
| Table 4.4 | Subjective Norm towards Consecutive Debt-taking Behaviour Items | 118 |
| Table 4.5 | Perceived Behavioural Control towards Consecutive Debt-taking Items | 119 |

| | | |
|------------|---|-----|
| Table 4.6 | Attitude towards Regular Charity-giving Behaviour Items | 120 |
| Table 4.7 | Subjective Norm towards Regular Charity-giving Behaviour Items | 121 |
| Table 4.8 | Perceived Behavioural Control towards Regular Charity-giving Items | 122 |
| Table 4.9 | Intention towards Consecutive Debt-taking Behaviour Items | 123 |
| Table 4.10 | Intention towards Regular Charity-giving Behaviour Items | 123 |
| Table 4.11 | Financial Ratio Indicators | 125 |
| Table 4.12 | Goodness-of-Fit Indicators | 128 |
| Table 4.13 | Random Consistency Index (RI) with regard to Number of Compared Elements (N) | 130 |
| Table 4.14 | Fundamental Scale | 131 |
| Table 5.1 | Demographic Characteristics of Respondents | 137 |
| Table 5.2 | Crosstabulation between Employment Status and Financing Institution | 139 |
| Table 5.3 | Crosstabulation between Expectation of Future Economy and Consecutive Debt-taking | 140 |
| Table 5.4 | Crosstabulation between Religious Activity and Expectation of Future Economy | 141 |
| Table 5.5 | Crosstabulation among Financial Education, Financing Institution, and Consecutive Debt-taking | 142 |
| Table 5.6 | Respondents' Attitude towards Behaviour | 144 |
| Table 5.7 | Crosstabulation among Willingness to Take another Debt, Benefit of Debt and Worry about Debt | 145 |
| Table 5.8 | Crosstabulation between Charity Post on Monthly Budget, Society Empowerment, and Shortfall in Finance | 146 |
| Table 5.9 | Respondents' Subjective Norm towards Debt-taking and Charity-giving | 147 |
| Table 5.10 | Crosstabulation between Spouse's Influence towards Debt-taking and Charity-giving Behaviours | 149 |
| Table 5.11 | Crosstabulation between Parents' Influence towards Debt-taking and Charity-giving Behaviours | 150 |

| | | |
|------------|---|-----|
| Table 5.12 | Crosstabulation between Siblings Influence towards Debt-taking and Charity-giving Behaviours | 151 |
| Table 5.13 | Respondents' Perceived Behaviour Control | 152 |
| Table 5.14 | Respondents Intention towards Taking Debt and Giving Behaviours | 154 |
| Table 5.15 | Crosstabulation between Taking Debt Consecutively and Reasons as Way of Life and a Medium of Survival | 156 |
| Table 5.16 | Crosstabulation between Regular Charity-giving and Reasons as Way of Life and Medium of Survival | 157 |
| Table 5.17 | Financial Ratios and Respondents Satisfaction | 158 |
| Table 5.18 | Crosstabulation between Consecutive Debt-taking Behaviour and Source of Debt | 159 |
| Table 5.19 | Crosstabulation between Self-satisfaction and Financial Ratios | 160 |
| Table 6.1 | Variables in Logistic Regression Model for Consecutive Debt-taking | 164 |
| Table 6.2 | Good-of-Fitness Tests of Consecutive Debt-taking Behaviour Model by WLS Estimation | 173 |
| Table 6.3 | Validity and Reliability of Consecutive Debt-taking Behaviour for Initial Model | 174 |
| Table 6.4 | Good-of-Fitness Tests of Consecutive Debt-taking Behaviour Modification Model by WLS Estimation | 178 |
| Table 6.5 | Validity and Reliability of Consecutive Debt-taking Behaviour Modification Model | 179 |
| Table 6.6 | Structural Equation of Consecutive Debt-taking Behaviour Model | 181 |
| Table 7.1 | Variables in Logistic Regression Model for Regular Charity-giving | 188 |
| Table 7.2 | Good-of-Fitness Tests of Regular Charity-giving Behaviour Model by WLS Estimation | 197 |
| Table 7.3 | Validity and Reliability of Regular Charity-giving Behaviour Model | 198 |
| Table 7.4 | Structural Equation of Regular Charity-giving Behaviour Model | 201 |
| Table 7.5 | Good-of-Fitness Tests of Regular Charity-giving Behaviour Modification Model by WLS Estimation | 203 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| Table 7.6 | Validity and Reliability of Regular Charity-giving Behaviour Modification Model | 204 |
| Table 7.7 | Structural Equation of Regular Charity-giving Behaviour Modification Model | 205 |
| Table 8.1 | Good-of-Fitness Tests of Consecutive Debt-taking towards Regular Charity-giving Behaviour Initial Model by WLS Estimation | 217 |
| Table 8.2 | Validity and Reliability of the Relationship between Consecutive Debt-taking and Regular Charity-giving Behaviour Model | 219 |
| Table 8.3 | Good-of-Fitness Tests of the Relationship between Consecutive Debt-Taking and Regular Charity-Giving Behaviour First Modification Model by WLS Estimation | 224 |
| Table 8.4 | Structural Equation of Consecutive Debt-taking towards Regular Charity-giving Behaviour Model | 225 |
| Table 8.5 | Good-of-Fitness Tests of Regular Charity-giving towards Consecutive Debt-taking Behaviour First Modification Model by WLS Estimation | 235 |
| Table 8.6 | Validity and Reliability of the Regular Charity-giving Behaviour Effect towards Consecutive Debt-taking Initial Model | 237 |
| Table 8.7 | Good-of-Fitness Tests of the Effect of Regular Charity-giving Behaviour towards Consecutive Debt-taking Behaviour Modification Model by WLS Estimation | 241 |
| Table 8.8 | Validity and Reliability of the Effect of Regular Charity-Giving Behaviour towards Consecutive Debt-taking Behaviour Modification Model | 242 |
| Table 8.9 | Structural Equation of the Impact of Regular Charity-giving towards Consecutive Debt-taking Behaviour Modification Model | 244 |
| Table 9.1 | Alternative Strategy Priority Ranking | 251 |
| Table 9.2 | Specific Targeted Behaviour Priority Ranking | 253 |
| Table 9.3 | Focus on Better Literacy Priority Ranking | 255 |
| Table 9.4 | Priority Ranking of Audience Cluster | 257 |
| Table 9.5 | Overcome Barriers Priority Ranking | 260 |
| Table 9.6 | Evaluation Cluster Priority Ranking | 263 |

| | | |
|------------|--------------------------------|-----|
| Table 9.7 | Partner Priority Ranking | 265 |
| Table 9.8 | Marketing Mix Priority Ranking | 266 |
| Table 9.9 | Promotion Priority Ranking | 268 |
| Table 9.10 | Product Priority Ranking | 269 |
| Table 10.1 | Summary of Major Findings | 280 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | |
|------------|---|-----|
| Figure 1.1 | Structure of Study from Chapter 1 to Chapter 10 | 24 |
| Figure 3.1 | Outcome of Financial Education | 81 |
| Figure 4.1 | Conceptual Framework of Study | 93 |
| Figure 4.2 | Feedback Network | 129 |
| Figure 4.3 | Supermatrix of ANP | 132 |
| Figure 4.4 | ANP Framework of Financial Education | 135 |
| Figure 6.1 | Path Diagram of Consecutive Debt-taking Model | 172 |
| Figure 6.2 | Modification Model of Consecutive Debt-taking Behaviour by WLS Estimation | 177 |
| Figure 7.1 | Path Diagram of Regular Charity-giving Model | 195 |
| Figure 7.2 | Initial Model of Regular Charity-giving Behaviour by WLS Estimation | 196 |
| Figure 7.3 | Modification Model of Regular Charity-giving Behaviour by WLS Estimation | 203 |
| Figure 8.1 | Path Diagram Consecutive Debt-taking Impact towards Regular Charity-giving Model | 216 |
| Figure 8.2 | Initial Model of Consecutive Debt-taking Behaviour towards Regular Charity Giving Behaviour by WLS Estimation | 217 |
| Figure 8.3 | Factor Loadings for First Modification Model of Consecutive Debt-taking towards Regular Charity-giving Behaviour by WLS Estimation | 218 |
| Figure 8.4 | Factor Loadings for Second Modification Model of Consecutive Debt-taking towards Regular Charity-giving Behaviour by WLS Estimation | 223 |
| Figure 8.5 | Path Diagram Impact of Regular Charity-giving towards Consecutive Debt-taking Model | 232 |
| Figure 8.6 | Initial Model of the Implication of Regular Charity-giving Behaviour towards Consecutive Debt-taking Behaviour by WLS Estimation | 233 |

| | | |
|------------|--|-----|
| Figure 8.7 | Factor Loadings for First Modification Model of Regular Charity-giving towards Consecutive Debt-taking Behaviour by WLS Estimation | 236 |
| Figure 8.8 | Modification Model of the Effect of Regular Charity-giving Behaviour towards Consecutive Debt-taking Behaviour by WLS Estimation | 240 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|--------|---|
| ANP | Analytic Network Process |
| BAZNAS | Badan Amil <i>Zakāt</i> Nasional (National Board of <i>Zakāt</i>) |
| BI | Bank Indonesia |
| BPS | Badan Pusat Statistik (Statistics Indonesia) |
| BKKBN | Badan Koordinasi Keluarga Berencana Nasional (National Coordinating Agency for Family Planning Programme) |
| CFI | Comparative Fit Index |
| GFI | Good-of-Fit Index |
| MFI | Microfinance institutions |
| NFI | Normed Fit Index |
| OJK | Otoritas Jasa Keuangan (Financial Service Authority) |
| RMSEA | Root Mean Square Error of Approximation |
| SEM | Structural Equation Modelling |
| SLF | Standardized Loading Factor |
| SPF | Social Production Function |
| SRMR | Standardised Root Mean Residual |
| TPB | Theory of Planned Behaviour |

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND OF STUDY

Financial inclusion means that individuals and businesses have access to financial products and services to meet their needs in manner that is affordable, useful and delivered in a responsible and sustainable way (World Bank, 2017 – updated). Financial inclusion agenda is aimed at improving people’s ability to deal with risk, and enabling them to manage money that can be spent for current and future consumption. It should also allow them to make financial gain by investing it; and to give benefit to both the owner and the society (Driver, 2015). It is also a matter of providing customers who are financially excluded especially the low-income group with access to formal financial services through affordable, transparent, and regulated schemes (Bank Indonesia, 2015).

The issue of financial inclusion emerged globally prior to the 2008 global financial crisis; it has become the main agenda in many countries after the crisis, especially in non-developed countries. The agenda serves mainly the population at the bottom of the economic pyramid (BOP) that includes low-income people mostly staying in remote areas such as disabled people, undocumented labourers, and villagers (Bank Indonesia, 2015).

The G20 Summit in 2010 pledged to support financial inclusion as an effort to reduce poverty in the world as it also an enabler for 7 of the 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) (World Bank, 2017). The Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN) also integrated this programme into its 2015 Economic

Community Blueprint. World leaders at the United Nations (UN) developed the poverty eradication agenda into the eight Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) of 2000. The MDGs targeted to reduce poverty by half, and this target had been achieved in 2010. By 2015, the number of people who live under extreme poverty declined by more than half (United Nations, 2015). Even though financial inclusion has more than doubled in most developing countries as reported by the World Bank¹, there is still a lot more that needs to be done.

As one of the developing countries, Indonesia implemented a multi-pronged strategy comprising pro-growth, pro-job and pro-poor policies in 2004, and pro-environment, which was added in 2007 (Dhewanthi, 2012). This means that the government attempted to boost the economic growth, and simultaneously create employment opportunities and reduce poverty and inequality among the Indonesian people. The quality of environment also needed to be maintained. The financial inclusion programme is considered to be one of the most effective ways to realize this strategy. However, Tambunan (2015) argues that inadequacies in technology, skills and infrastructure remain the major constraints for the financial inclusion programme in Indonesia.

Financial inclusion has remained low in Indonesia. As of 2015, 78% of adults among the poorest 40% in Indonesia do not have a bank account (Kendall & Klapper, 2015). Based on a survey done by the World Bank in 2010, the Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Indonesia (2013) disclosed that only 49% of the Indonesian people had access to formal banking institutions and another 3% to other formal (non-

¹ Infographic: Global Findex 2014 – Gender and Income. Retrieved on October 8th, 2015, from: <http://www.worldbank.org/en/programmes/globalindex/infographics/infographic-global-findex-2014-gender-income>

banking) financial institutions. This means that approximately 48% of the country's citizens had no access to formal financial institutions as of the time of the survey.

Low-income households need funds mainly to fulfil their basic needs as well as to finance various economic activities in order to make ends meet. Despite their genuine need for credit, the low-income households' access to formal finance is indeed very limited, if not impossible. Various efforts have been undertaken by the Indonesian government to overcome this problem; these include providing microfinance services through financial institutions in order to achieve the financial inclusion agenda.

For example, the government has launched *Kredit Usaha Rakyat* (KUR) or People's Business Credit programme through various banking institutions in Indonesia. This is an initiative to provide greater financial access especially for micro and small-scale enterprises. This programme engages banking institutions, financing companies, and underwriting companies (guarantor) as distributors of the KUR fund. The program is supervised by various ministries under the coordination of the Ministry of the Economy, Bank Indonesia and *Otoritas Jasa Keuangan* (OJK – Financial Services Authority).

The financial inclusion programme would usually require high operational costs on account of which many financial institutions consider it as not commercially viable to participate in. The high costs are levied on the customers, which made the financing/credit offered by the microfinance institutions (MFIs) more expensive compared to that offered by commercial financial institutions such as banks (Cull, Demirgüç-Kunt, & Morduch, 2009; Hamada, 2010; Rosenberg, Gonzalez, & Narain, 2009). In the case of Indonesia, although the operational cost is high, micro lending rate is still lower than commercial lending rate including credit card rate. This is

despite the potential offered by the high transaction velocity of credit card and economies of scale, which can be used to overcome the operating costs issue (Rosenberg et al., 2009). Volume of financing for merchandises, which utilised commercial financing mode, appeared to be less than microcredit volumes. Furthermore, the commercial financing mode requires collateral while microcredit is generally uncollateralised. Therefore, the rate of microcredit in average is lower than consumer rates. This lower microcredit rate in general happened in institutions such as banks that offered both micro lending and commercial lending products. The case was not the same with those financial institutions that offered micro lending only, such as MFIs, which also offered high interest rate. Furthermore, high interest rates lead to the possibility of financing/credit default (Rosenberg et al., 2009). In the case of micro lending, group lending system is introduced to minimise default risk (Cull et al., 2009). While the loan repayment pattern may still not be perfect, the risk of default can be contained.

Sustainability is another issue when dealing with financial inclusion through the MFIs. Most of the MFIs that get help from subsidies tend to charge unsustainably low rates despite the high cost, as long as some donors or government give subsidy to cover the losses. The fact that unsustainable MFIs charge lower than sustainable MFIs lead to a paradoxical situation where annual loan losses for unsustainable MFIs are about five percent (Rosenberg et al., 2009). They can no longer avail the subsidies since they end up as unsustainable institutions.

Financial inclusion also involves issues related with low-income households' limited access to formal institutions. Limited access to formal financial institutions provides an opportunity for informal money lending institutions such as payday lenders or entities called "rentenir" or "loan shark" in Indonesian language to make