

THE STATUS AND RIGHTS OF REFUGEES IN INTERNATIONAL LAW

BY

MOHAMMAD NAQIB S/O ISHAN JAN

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY OF LAW

KULLIYYAH OF LAWS INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

OCTOBER 2000

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

ABSTRACT

The refugee problem exists in serious proportion and will probably continue to affect large number of people in the future. Several international instruments have sought to determine the legal status of refugees and specify certain minimum rights in relation to the country where the refuge is sought and the country of origin. The object of the protective provisions of these instruments has been to ensure that the international community commits itself to be bound by certain principles of compassion and help. The objectives of this study are: (a) To examine the nature, content and ambit of the rights recognized and protected by various international instruments, especially by the provisions of the 1951 Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees and its 1967 Protocol; (b) to examine the extent to which the reservations and declarations, made by several states, as to the provisions of the Convention or the Protocol have restricted the scope of operation of the rights recognized and sought to be protected by these and other international instruments; (c) to determine the extent to which the rights that are available to refugees in relation to both the country of refuge and the country of origin have been translated, in course of time, into binding principles of international law; and (d) to examine the nature of the rights that are available to refugees under the Shariah or Islamic Law with an emphasis on the duty of the persecuted to seek refuge and the corresponding duty of the Muslim states to grant refuge and honour their God-given rights and to see to what extent the Muslim states have fulfilled their responsibility vis-àvis the refugees.

This study concludes: (1) The definition of 'refugee' provided by the 1951 Convention and which determines the 'status' of a person seeking refuge has largely been followed in practice by the states party to the Convention. (2) The right to non-refoulement has been generally honoured in practice by the signatory states and even by states which are not party to the Convention. (3) In the absence of any reliable evidence it is difficult to ascertain the extent to which other rights sought to be guaranteed and protected under the Convention have been respected and protected by the states of refuge. (4) The principle on which 'refugee' status is based in terms of the Convention's definition and the principle of non-refoulement have been so widely accepted that it can be said that they have acquired the same status as other principle of customary international law. (5) The principles on which 'return to one's own country of origin' and 'compensation' for the loss and injury suffered by the refugee as the result of wrongful conduct of the authorities that displaced him are based in law and equity which have to be honoured and protected by the state of origin.

ملخص البحث

إن مشكلة اللاحئين لا زالت موحودة و بأحجام مختلفة بل أنه من المتوقع أن تظل هذه المشكلة تصيب كثيرا من الناس في المستقبل. لذا فقد اهتم بعض المؤسسات الدولية لتحديد الوضع القانوني للاحئين و تحديد بعض الحقوق الأولية أو الأساسية لهم سواء في بلد الملحئ إليه أو بلد الأصل كما ترمي هذه المؤسسات إلى ضمان أن المجتمع الدولي يتعهد بالتمسك ببعض مبدئ الرحمة و المساعدة.

إن الهدف من هذه الدراسة هو دراسة طبيعة و مضمون و حدود الحقوق المعترف ها و المحمية من قبل عدد من المؤسسات الدولية و بخاصة الميثاق سنة 1951 حول وضع اللاجئين و مبادئ 1967 المكملة له. كما تهدف الدراسة إلى معرفة تأثير تحفظ بعض الدول عليهما و أثهره في تضييق مجالهما و تطبيق الحقوق المعترف ها. كذلك تعالج الدراسة مدى ترجمة هذه الحقوق إلى مبادئ قانونية دولية سواء من دولة الملجئ إليه أو دولة الأصل. و أخيرا تتعرض الدراسة لحقوق اللاجئين في الشريعة الإسلامية مع التركيز على حق المضطهدين في اللجوء و واحب السدول الإسلامية ممندا الحق امتثالا لواجباقهم الشرعية نحو اللاجئين.

و قد حلصت الدراسة إلى أن مفهوم اللاجئ كما في الميثاق سنة 1951 الذي يحدد الوضع القانوني للاجئ قد طبقه على وجه العموم دول الأعضاء في الميثاق كما أن مبدأ عدم رد اللاجئين على أعقاهم قد حرى تطبيقه على العموم في دول الأعضاء و غير الأعضاء في الميثاق. و يمكن القول بأن هذه الحقوق قد اكتسبت صفة العرف القانوني الدولي و من ناحية أخرى و في ظل عدم وجود أدلة واضحة فإنه من الصعب تقويم مدى تطبيق باقي الحقوق من قبل الدول المضيفة للاجئين.

و أحيرا فإن مبادئ إرجاع اللاجئين إلى موطنهم الأصلي و ما يمكن أن يدفع إليهم كتعويضات من حراء معاناهم أو فقد بعض أموالهم نتيجة لسوء المعاملة من الدول المضيفة فإن ذلك ينبغي أن يكون أساسه القانون و العدالة و الذي ينبغي أن يكون موضع احسترام و حمايسة الدولسة المضيفة.

APPROVAL PAGE

The thesis of Mohammad Naqib s/o Ishan Jan has been examined and is approved by the following:

Masses
Harun Mahmud Hashim (Supervisor)
malle-
Ghulam Saqlain Masoodi
1 th the second
Mohammad Hashim Kamali
"Cfaqui
Anwarul Yaqin
augh
Abdul Aziz Bari
Nik Ahmad Kamal Nik Mahmad (Chairman)
Nik Ahmad Kamal Nik Mahmod (Chairman)

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of my own investigations, except where otherwise stated. Other sources are acknowledged by footnotes giving explicit references and a bibliography is appended.

Name Mohammad Mugib 5/0 1stran Jan
Signature Mugib _ Date 4/8/2000

© Copyright by Mohammad Naqib s/o Ishan Jan and
International Islamic University Malaysia

To my late father and mother

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am deeply grateful to my two learned supervisors Professor Tan Sri Dato' Harun Mahmud Hashim, formerly a judge in the Supreme Court of Malaysia, now occupying the post of Professor of Law at the International Islamic University Malaysia, and Professor Dr. Mohammad Ghouse, formerly a Professor of law at the same University, for their guidance and invaluable assistance. Undoubtedly they are both acknowledged experts in the field of laws. Without the inspiration given and the examples set by Professor Dr. Mohammad Ghouse during my first few years of study this work would have never been initiated. When he left the International Islamic University Malaysia for his native country, India, it became the task of Professor Tan Sri Dato' Harun Mahmud Hashim to guide me in my work. It is my heartfelt pleasure to say that he has fulfilled this task admirably. His unfailing help and advice has supported me through the years. It is quite clear that this work would never have been accomplished without the support of either.

I am also deeply indebted to Dr. Razali Nawawi, a Professor of law at the International Islamic University Malaysia, who, in the spirit of a true Malay gentleman, gave me valuable advice. I also wish to express my thanks to the entire law faculty of the International Islamic University Malaysia, and especially to the late emeritus Professor Tan Sri Datuk Ahmad Ibrahim, formerly Shaikh of the Kulliyyah of Laws and Deputy Rector, who had favoured me with his kind and fatherly advice. May Allah bless his soul.

Throughout the year I have greatly benefited from the friendship of the people who have been active at the International Islamic University Malaysia. I wish to

express my gratitude to my good friends Prof. Dr. Nisar Ahmad and Bro. Salim for their academic discussions helped me to widen my intellectual horizon. I am also thankful to Dr. Rashed Moore and Madam Jounna Quinton for they help me with my English. A word of appreciation must go to Madam Nooraini Ismail and Madam Zaharah Abdul Samad, who helped me in obtaining research material from overseas.

A thesis can never successfully be completed without the access to good libraries. Therefore, I thank the entire staff of especially IIUM Library and the library of the Law Faculty of the University of Malaya for their help. I also thank the staff of the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees in both Malaysia and Pakistan for their assistance and co-operation.

Finally, I owe deep gratitude to my parents, to my sisters and my brother, to my wife, to my two little sweet daughters and my son for the support and encouragement they have given to me. May Allah bless them all.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract
ApprovalV
DeclarationVI
AcknowledgementIX
Table of TreatiesXVI
Table of CasesXVII
AbbreviationsXX
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION
1.1 Statement of the problem
1.2 Objective of the Study
1.3 Research Methodology
1.4 Scope and Limitation
1.5 Organization of Chapters
CHAPTER 2: HISTORICAL BACKGROUND
CHAPTER 3: THE 1951 CONVENTION: ITS BINDING NATURE
3.1 Effect on the Contracting States
3.2 Effect of the Reservations and Declarations30
3.3 Effects on the Non-Contracting Parties40

CHAPTER 4: THE STATUS OF REFUGEES

4.1 General Remarks43
4.2 Criteria for Refugee Status
4.2.1 Well-Founded Fear of Being Persecuted
4.2.2 Persecution
4.2.3 Agents of Persecution
4.2.4 Grounds of Persecution
4.2.4.1 Race75
4.2.4.2 Religion
4.2.4.3 Nationality
4.2.4.4 Membership of a Particular Social Group77
4.2.4.5 Political Opinion86
4.2.5 Outside Country of Nationality91
4.2.6 Lack of Protection
CHAPTER 5: THE RIGHT OF REFUGEES TO NON-REFOULEMENT
5.1 General Remarks95
5.2 Issues Related to Non-Refoulement
5.2.1 Non-Rejection at the Frontier
5.2.2 Non-Extradition
5.2.3 Non-Expulsion

CHAPTER 6: THE HUMAN RIGHTS OF REFUGEES

6.1 General Remarks	122
6.2 The Right Against Arbitrary Detention	129
6.3 The Right to be Heard by a Judicial Authority	139
6.4 The Right to legal Assistance	141
6.5 The Right to challenge the lawfulness of Detention	143
6.6 The Right Against Torture and Inhuman Treatment	148
6.7 The Right to Freedom of Movement	150
6.8 The Right to Travel Documents	154
6.9 The Right to Freedom of Association	158
6.10 Social and Economic Rights	160
CHAPTER 7: THE RIGHTS IN PRACTICE	
7.1 Article 1 of the Convention	169
7.2 Article 33 of the Convention	172
7.3 Other Articles of the Convention	180
CHAPTER 8: THE RIGHTS TO RETURN AND TO COMPENSATION	1
8.1 General Remarks	201
8.2 The Right to Return	209
8.2.1 Legal Basis	209
8.2.2 The Character of Voluntariness	215
8.2.3 Facilitating the Return	217
8.2.4 The Protection of Returnees	221
8.3 The Right to Compensation	223
8.3.1 Meaning and Scope	224
8.3.1 Legal Basis	226

	8.3.2	2 Implementation232
СНАРТЕ	R 9: MUS	LIM STATES AND REFUGEES
	9.1 Genera	ıl Remarks237
	9.2 Duty to	seek Refuge239
	9.3 Duty to	Grant Refuge243
	9.4 Rights	of Refugees248
	9.5 Muslin	n States: Current Trend
		NCLUSION
APPEND	IX 1: CON	IVENTION RELATING TO THE STATUS OF REFUGEES
	A.	Text289
	B.	List of States Parties300
	C.	List of States made Reservations303
APPEND	IX 2: PRO	TOCOL RELATING TO THE STATUS OF REFUGEES
	A.	Text324
	B.	List of States Parties327
	C.	List of States made Reservations327
	OIX 3: INT	TERNATIONAL COVENANT ON CIVIL AND POLITICAL
X.	A.	Text334
	В.	List of States Parties348
	C.	List of States made Reservations352

APPENDIX	4:	INTERNATIONAL	COVENANT	ON	ECONOMIC,	SOCIAL
AND	CU	LTURAL RIGHTS				

	A.	Text393	
	B.	List of States Parties402	
	C.	List of States made Reservations	
APPENDIX	5: UNI	VERSAL DECLARATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS425	
APPENDIX	6: CO I	NVENTION GOVERNING THE SPECIFIC ASPECTS OF	
REFUGEES	IN AF	RICA	
	A.	Text430	
	B.	List of States Parties	
BIBLIOGRA	APHY.	436	

TABLE OF TREATIES AND OTHER INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENTS

[The full text of instruments marked with an asterisk can be found in the Annexes]

1922	Arrangement with regard to the Issue of Certificate of identity to Russian Refugees.
1926	Arrangement relating to the Issue of Identity Certificate to Russian and Armenian Refugees.
1928	Arrangement relating to the Legal Status of Russian and Armenian Refugees
1928	Arrangement concerning the Extension to other Categories of Refugees of Certain Measures taken in Favour of Russian and Armenian Refugees
1928	Arrangement concerning the Functions of the Representative of the League of Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
1933	Convention relating to the International Status of Refugees
1936	Provisional Arrangement concerning the Status of Refugees coming from Germany
1938	Convention concerning the Status of Refugees coming from Germany
1945	United Nations Charter
1946	Constitution of the International Refugee Organization
1948*	Universal Declaration of Human Rights
1948	Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide
1949	Genera Convention relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War
1950	European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms
1950	Statute of the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
1951*	Convention relating to the Status of Refugees
1954	Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons
1965	Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination.
1966	Bangkok Principles Concerning Treatment of Refugees
1966*	Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

1966* Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights 1967* Protocol relating to the Status of Refugees UN Declaration on Territorial Asylum 1967 1969 America Convention Human Rights 1969* OAU convention relating to the Specific Aspects of Refugee Problems in Africa Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties 1969 1973 Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of Crimes against International's Protected Persons, including Diplomatic Agents African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights 1981 1984 Cartagena Declaration on Refugees Convention against Torture and Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or 1984 Punishment United Nations Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under any 1990 Form of Detention or Imprisonment Cairo Declaration of Principles of International law on Compensation to 1990 Refugees

Quadripartite Agreement (Abkhazia-Georgia Agreement) on the Voluntary

Bosnia and Herzegovina-Croatia-Yugoslavia, General Framework Agreement

for Peace in Bosnia and Herzegovina, Annex 7 (Refugees and Displaced

Return of Refugees and Displaced Persons

1994

1995

Persons)

TABLE OF CASES

Adjei v. Mei (Canadian) F.C.A.D. A-676-88, Jan. 25, 198950
Acosta, Matter of, I.D. 298 (U.S) BIA, March 1st, 198580, 81, 83
Almeida Sanchez v. United States, 413 U.S 266 (U.S.S. Ct 1973)178
Argueta v. INS, 759 (U.S) F. 2d 1395 (9th. Cir 1985)
Arizona v. California 292 U.S. 341 (U.S. 5 Ct. 1934)100
Attorney General of Canada v Ward (1993) 2 SCR 68956, 73, 82, 93
Asakura v. City of Seattle, 263 U.S 332 (U.S.S.Ct. 1973)
Asylum Case, ICJ Reports (1950)
Barcelona Traction Power and Light co. Case, ICJ Rep. 1970
Bolanos Hernandez v. INS, 767 (U.S.) F 2d. 1277 (9th. Cir. 1985)53, 72, 87
Bugdaycay v. Secretary of State [1976] AC 514277
Canada v. Schmidt [1987] 1 S.C.A. Rep. 500
Chan Yee Kin v. Minister of Immigration and Ethnic Affairs (1989) 169 CLR
C.C.S.Uv. Minister for civil Service (U.K)[1985] 1 A.C.374145
Case Abstract IJRL/0155, 5 (3) IJRL (1993)85, 119,115
Case Abstract IJRL/0197, IJRL 280 (1994)
Fernandez-Rogue v Smith, 692 (U.S) F. Supp. 887 (N.D Ga. 1985)
Jean v. Nelson 472 (U.S) 846 (s.ct. 1985)
Hernandez-Ortiz v INS, 777 ((U.S) F. 2d 509 (9th. cir. 1985)
Haitian Ctrs. Council, Inc. v. McNary, 969 (U.S) F. 2d. 1350 (2d Cir. 1992); rev'd, Sale v Haitian Ctrs. Council, Inc., 113 (US) s. ct 2549, (1993)
INS v. Cardoza Fonseca, 467 (U.S) s ct, 407 (1987)
Lazo-Maiano v. INS, 813 (U.S) F. 2d., 1432 (9th Cir. 1987)

Liversidge v. Anderson [1942] A.C. 208
McMullen v. INS, 500 (U.S) F. 2d 1312 (9 th Cir 1981)70, 72
Macaud v. INS, 500 (U.S) F. 2d 355 (2d Cir. 1974)62
North Sea Continental Shelf Cases 1 ILJ Report 1969
Plyler v. Doe 457 (U.S) 202 (s. ct. 1989)
Rajudeen, Zahirdeen v. Mei (Canadian) F.C.A.D 55 N.R 129 (1985) 69
R. V. Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex parte Sirakumaran [1988] 1 ALL ER. 193 (H.L)
R. v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex parte Jayakumaran, 28 June, 1985, (Unreported) QBD
R. v. Chief Immigration Officer, Gatwick Airport, ex parte Harjender Singh [1987] 1 AR 346 (U.K)
Rosa v. INS 44D (U.S) F. 2d 100 (1 st Cir. 1971)
Secretary of State v. Kwame Otchere et al [1988] 21 IAR (U.K)
Sumitomo Shoji America, Inc. v. Arugliano 457 (U.S) s. ct. 176 (1982)100
Salibran v. Mei (1990) II Imm. LR. (2 nd) 165
Sale v. Haitian Ctrs. Council, Inc. 113 (U.S) s. ct. 2549 (1993)
Soroa - Gonzales v. Civilette, 515 (U.S) F. Supp 1049 (N.D. Ga. 1981)133
Singh v. Mei (Canada) 58 N.R.I
Turcios v. INS 759 (U.S) F 2d. 1359 (9 th . Cir 1985)
United States v. Stuard 489 (U.S) 35 (s. ct. 1989)
U.S. v. Iran [1980] 1 CJ Report
Western Sahara Case, 1 CJ Report 1973.

ABBREVIATION

A.J.I.L American Journal of International Law

Aust-Y.B.I.L Australian Year Book of International Law

Aust-L.J Australian Law Journal

Asian Y.B.I.L Asian Year Book of International Law

AALC Asian-African Legal Consultative Committee

ASEAN Association of South East Asian Nations

ACHR American Convention on Human Rights

ACHPR African Charter on Human Rights and

People's Rights

Art/arts Article/articles

ANC African National Council

BOP Body of Principles for the Protection

of All Persons Under Any Form of Detention

or Imprisonment

B.Y.B.I.L British Year Book of International Law

B.I.A Board of Immigration Appeals

Colum. Hum. Columbian Human Rights Law Review

Rts. L. Rev.

C.L.Y.B Canadian Law Year Book

D.R. Decisions and Reports

ECHR European Convention for the Protection of

Human Rights

ECOSOC Economic and Social Council

Ed/eds Editor/editors

E.J.I.L European Journal of International Law

E.T.S European Treaty Series

ExCom Executive Committee

Et.al (et.alia) and others

F. 2d Federal Report, Second Series

Fn. footnotes

G.A General Assembly

Geo.Imm.LJ Georgia Immigration Law Journal

Har.ILJ Harvard International Law Journal

IACHR Inter-American Commission of Human Rights

Ibid (ibidem) in the same place

ICCPR International Covenant on Civil and

Political Rights

ICESR International Covenant on Economic, Social

and Cultural Rights

ICJ International Court of Justice

Id (idem) the same

I.C.L.Q International and Comparative Law Quarterly

IGCR Inter-Governmental Committee on Refugees

I.J.I.L Indian Journal of International Law

I.J.R.L International Journal of Refugee Law

I.L.M International Legal Materials

I.L.R International Law Report

Imm.A.R Immigration Appeals Report

Imm.L.R Immigration Law Report

INA Immigration and Naturalization Act

Infra below

INLA Irish National Liberation Army

INS Immigration and Naturalization Services

IRO International Refugee Organization

I.S.Q International Studies Quarterly

J.M.B Journal of Malaysian Bar

J.A.A Journal of Afghan Affairs

K.B. King's Bench

LN Leagues of Nation

L.N.O.J Leagues of Nation Official Journal

N.I.L.R Netherland International Law Review

N.E.L.Rev. New England Law Review

OAS Organization of American States

OAU Organization of African Unity

Op.cit (opere citato) in the work cited

Phil. L.J Philadelphia Law Journal

PCIRO Preparatory Commission of the International Refugee

Organization

P.Y.B.I.L Palestine Year Book of International Law

PCIJ Permanent Court of International Justice

Q.B. Queen's Bench

Res. Resolution

T.I.C.L.J Temple International and Comparative Law Journal

UN United Nations

UNHCR United Nations High Commission for Refugees

UNESCO United Nations Education, Scientific and

Cultural Organization

UDHR Universal Declaration of Human Rights

UNKRA United Nations Korean Reconstruction Agency

UNRRA United Nations Relief and Rehabilitation

Administration

UNRWA United Nations Relief and Work Agency for

Palestine Refugees in the Near East

U.N.T.S United Nations Treaty Services

UNU United Nations University

US United States

US Ct. of App. United Stated Court of Appeals

US S. Ct. United States Supreme Court

U.Fla.L.Rev University of Florida Law Review

U.Tas.L.Rev University of Tasmania Law Review

U.N.S.W.L.Rev. University of New South Wales Law

Review

Vs versus

Va. J.I.L Virginia Journal of International Law

Vol volume

Wash.L.Rev. Washington Law Review