COPYRIGHT[©] INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

THE JUDICIAL MACHINERY FOR ADMINISTRATION OF ISLAMIC LAW IN NORTHERN NIGERIA WITH PARTICULAR REFERENCE TO KWARA AND KADUNA STATES

$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Y}$

ABDULMUMINI ADEBAYO OBA

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Law)

> Ahmad Ibrahim Kulliyyah of Laws International Islamic University Malaysia

> > DECEMBER 2012

ABSTRACT

This study examines the judicial machinery (courts and judges) in the administration of Islamic Law in Northern Nigeria. Although there are Islamic courts (Area Courts, Sharia Courts, and the Sharia Court of Appeal) in Northern Nigeria, common law courts play a dominant role in the administration of Islamic law. The study adopts both library and field research in obtaining data to support the argument. Primary sources including the past and present Nigerian Constitutions, statutes, case law and official records are heavily relied upon in providing legal framework. Additional supporting data was obtained through unstructured interviews and through direct observation of the courts in session. The study establishes many discrepancies in the administration of Islamic law in Northern Nigeria due to many factors largely attributed to interference by the civil courts in Islamic law matters and lack of expertise in Islamic law. This is evident that successive legislative attempts to exclude the jurisdiction of the High Court in Islamic law matters and to confer exclusive jurisdiction these matters on the Sharia Court of Appeal have failed. Appeals from the High Court and the Sharia Court of Appeal go to the Court of Appeal and finally end at the Supreme Court. The study also reveals that statutory rules on court practice and procedure that are based on the common law apply to Islamic cases. In many states, lawyers having the combined common law and Islamic law degree are now preferred over graduates of Faculties of Sharīcah for appointment as judges of Area Courts and as Kadis of the Sharia Court of Appeal. The study argues that Islamic law matters should be within the exclusive jurisdiction of Islamic courts operated by judges and lawyers who are learned in Islamic law.

ملخص البحث

هذه الدراسة بفحص الجهاز القضائي (المحاكم والقضاة) في إدارة الشريعة الإسلامية في شمال نيجيريا وبالرغم من وجود المحاكم الشرعية (المحاكم المنطقة، المحاكم الشرعية، والمحكمة الشرعية الاستئناف) في شمال نيجيريا، محاكم القانون العام تلعب دورا مهيمنا في مجال إقامة الشريعة الإسلامية وتعتمد الدراسة على حد سواء المكتبة والبحث الميداني في الحصول على البيانات لدعم حجة .يتم الاعتماد بشكل كبير بما في ذلك المصادر الأولية الدساتير النيجيري في الماضي والحاضر والأنظمة والسوابق القضائية والوثائق الرسمية عليها في توفير الإطار القانوني . تم الحصول على بيانات إضافية من خلال المقابلات غير منظم دعم والملاحظة المباشرة من خلال المحاكم في الدورة .دراسة تحدد تناقضات كثيرة في إدارة الشريعة الإسلامية في شمال نيجيريا بسبب العديد من العوامل يعزى إلى حد كبير إلى التدخل من قبل المحاكم المدنية في المسائل الشريعة الإسلامية وعدم وجود الخبرة في الشريعة الإسلامية .هذا هو واضح أن محاولات التشريعية المتعاقبة لاستبعاد اختصاص المحكمة العليا في المسائل الشريعة الإسلامية ومنح الاختصاص الحصري هذه المسائل على المحكمة الشرعية الاستئناف قد باءت بالفشل . الاستئناف من المحكمة العليا ومحكمة الاستئناف الشرعية تذهب إلى محكمة الاستئناف وتنتهى أخيرا في المحكمة العليا .وتكشف الدراسة أيضا أن القواعد القانونية على ممارسات المحاكم والإجراءات التي تستند إلى القانون العام تنطبق على الحالات الإسلامي .في كثير من الدول، ويفضل وجود المحامين الآن القانون مجتمعة المشتركة والإسلامية على شهادة في القانون من حريجي كليات الشريعة آه ^c للتعيين كقضاة المحاكم والمنطقة وقديس من المحكمة الشرعية الاستئناف .دراسة تقول أن الأمور يجب أن تكون الشريعة الإسلامية ضمن الاختصاص الحصري للمحاكم الإسلامية التي تديرها القضاة والمحامين الذين تعلموا في الشريعة الإسلامية.

APPROVAL PAGE

The thesis of Abdulmumini Adebayo Oba has been examined and is approved by the following:

Kharil Azmin Mokhtar Supervisor

Tajul Aris Ahmad Bustami Co-supervisor

> Najibah Mohd Zin Internal Examiner

Mohammed Bello Uthman External Examiner

> Faiz Ahmed Elfaki Chairman

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of my own investigations, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

Abdulmumini Adebayo Oba

Signature

Date

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH

Copyright © 2012 by Abdulmumini Adebayo Oba. All rights reserved.

THE JUDICIAL MACHINERY FOR ADMINISTRATION OF ISLAMIC LAW IN NORTHERN NIGERIA WITH PARTICULAR REFERENCE TO KWARA AND KADUNA STATES

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below.

- 1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may only be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
- 2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
- 3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieval system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

Affirmed by Abdulmumini Adebayo Oba.

Signature

Date

Dedicated to the memory of my parents - Alhaja Humuani Oba and Alhaji Abdul Kadiri Oba OFR. Oh Allah! By Your Mercy, reward them with the highest paradise. Āmīn.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All Praise is to Allah SWT. In the course of this study, I am indebted to far too many persons than the space here will allow me to acknowledge. I can only mention a few:

In Ahmad Ibrahim Kulliyah of Laws (AIKOL), my supervisor, Assoc. Professor Kharil Azmin Mokhtar, Deputy Dean (Postgraduate), and co-supervisor, Asst. Professor Dr. Tajul Aris Ahmad Bustami who in spite of their very busy schedule always accommodated my requests for audience with them. They met me on most occasions at my convenience rather than at their conveniences and when the going got tough for me, offered constant encouragement and kind prayers; my thesis advisor, Assoc. Professor Dr. Shamurahayu Abdul Aziz whose sharp and brilliant mind and caring personality helped me through the confusion of writing the proposal for this thesis; Professor Zaleha Kammarudeen whose lectures in the Research Methodology class opened many intellectual vistas. The journey through AIKOL and IIUM was made much smoother by the pleasant administrative team of the Kulliyah, in particular, Sisters Juhaniss and Zuraila in the Postgraduate office.

The President, Court of Appeal, the Grand Kadi, Kadis and staff of the registries of the Sharia Court of Appeal, Ilorin and Kaduna respectively, and the staff of the High Court Registry, Ilorin who provided assistance in the course of this research.

Friends and colleagues at the University of Ilorin - my teacher Professor Abdul Qadir Zubair from whose deep knowledge of Islamic law and whose personal component $(ad\bar{a}b)$ I have learnt a lot; Dr. Ismael Saka Ismael, whose care and personal sacrifices spared me much time to enable me concentrate on my studies and whose discussions as a successful practising lawyer brought many cases to my attention; and Dr Adedoyin Raji (my Head of Department) for being true when powerful forces demanded otherwise.

My friends and at various times, colleagues at AIKOL - Dr Umar Oseni - for years of intellectual companionship and faithful friendship, Dr. Ibrahim Adams, Dr. Abdulazeez Yusuf, Dr. Ibrahim Yusuf, Dr. Lukman Ayinla, and Dr. Bashir Omipidan who provided encouragement at various times.

My siblings: Alhaji Issa Babatunde Oba - the *Magaji* (Family head), Hajia Lateefat, Hajia Maryam, Alhaji Ahmed, Zakariyyah, Hajia Abibat, Hajia Seliat and Risikat gave much support. My wife Muslimat, and children - Humuani, Musa Modibbo, Rahmatullah, and Ahmad Saefullahi - who endured my long absences from home and did their best to cheer me up throughout the duration of the study.

Finally, countless well-wishers (Bro. Muttalib Sekoni, Mallam Issa Ibrahim, Alhaji AbdelRasaq, Alhaji Y. K. Saadu, Alhaji Ahmad Alege and others) who openly and in private supported me with their prayers.

To you all, I say: *JAZAKUMULAHU KHAYRAN*. May Allah (SWT) reward you abundantly. *Alhamdu lillahi rabbi li alamīn*.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
Abstract in Arabic	iii
Approval Page	iv
Declaration Page	
Copyright Page	vi
Dedication	vii
Acknowledgements	viii
Table of Contents	
List of Tables	xiii
List of Cases	xiv
List of Statutes	xviii
List of Abbreviations	XX

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General Introduction	1
1.2 Objectives of the Research	6
1.3 Statement of the Problem	7
1.4 Hypothesis	7
1.5 Literature Review	
1.6 Scope and Limitations of the Study	15
1.7 Research Methodology	17
1.8 Chapterisation	

CHAPTER 2: THE ISLAMIC FRAMEWORK FOR JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION OF LAW

INIS	STRATION OF LAW	
2.1	Introduction	21
2.2	The Concept and Nature of Islamic Law and the Evolution of	
Isla	mic Legal System	21
2.3	Organisation of Judiciary under Islamic Law	24
	2.3.1 The Concept of Justice under Islam and Evolution of Islamic	
	Legal System	24
	2.3.2 Institutional Framework for the Administration of Justice unde	r
	Islamic Law	31
2.4	Administration of Law in Qādī Courts	33
	2.4.1 Nature of the Office of Qādī	34
	2.4.2 Qualifications of Judges	36
	2.4.3 Composition of the Court	
	2.4.4 Jurisdiction, Powers and Duties of Courts	43
	2.4.5 Judicial Process in Islamic Courts	44
	2.4.5.1 General Principles	
	2.4.5.2 Legal Representation	47
	2.4.5.3 Judicial Review in Islamic Courts	
	2.5 Conclusion	50

CHAPTER 3: THE SOCIO-POLITICAL AND LEGAL FRAMEWORK		
OF ADMI	NISTRATION OF ISLAMIC LAW IN NIGERIA	52
3.1	Introduction	52
3.2	The Nature of the Nigerian State	52
	3.2.1 Constitutional History of Nigeria	
	3.2.2 Federalism	
	3.2.3 Ethnicity and Religious Pluralism	56
	3.2.4 The Legal Status of Religion	
	3.2.5 State Policy on National Integration	
3.3	Nature of the Nigerian Legal System	
	3.3.1 A 'Mixed System' Dominated by the Common Law	60
	3.3.2 Legal Education and the Legal Profession	61
	3.3.2.1 Pre-Colonial Era	61
	3.3.2.2 Colonial Era	62
	3.3.2.3 Post-Colonial Era	64
	3.3.2.4 Professional Legal Qualifications	66
	3.3.3 Sources of Law	68
3.4	History of Islamic Law and Islamic Courts in Northern Nigeria	70
	3.4.1 Pre-Colonial Era	70
	3.4.2 Colonial Era	73
	3.4.3 Post-Colonial Era	77
3.5	The Structure of Courts in Nigeria	85
3.6	The Ethnic and Religious Configuration of Kwara and Kaduna Sta	ites 89
3.7	Conclusion	91

CHAPTER 4: ISLAMIC COURTS AND ENGLISH COURTS: JURISDICTIONAL CONFLICTS AND PROCEDURAL INCONGRUITIES93

4.1 Introduction	93
4.2 Jurisdiction of Area Courts and Sharia Courts	93
4.2.1 Jurisdiction of Area Courts	98
4.2.2 Jurisdiction of Sharia Courts	99
4.3 Jurisdiction of the Sharia Court of Appeal	99
4.4 Jurisdiction of the High Court	101
4.4.1 Original Jurisdiction	101
4.4.2 Appellate Jurisdiction	102
4.5 Jurisdictional Conflicts between Islamic Courts and the High Court	
4.5.1 Jurisdictional Conflicts between Area Courts and the High	
Court	103
4.5.2 Jurisdictional Conflicts and Incongruities between the Sharia	
Court of Appeal and the High Court	104
4.5.2.1 Constitutional Matters	104
4.5.2.2 Islamic Personal Law	105
4.5.2.3 Islamic Civil Matters other than matters of Islamic	
Personal Law	107
4.5.2.4 Post-1999 Jurisdiction of the Sharia Court of Appeal	
in the Sharia States	111
4.5.2.5 Impact of the Jurisdiction of the High Court on Islamic	
Law	115
4.5.2.6 Solutions Proffered to the Jurisdictional Conflicts	

between the Sharia Court of Appeal and the High Court121
4.5.2.7 Conflicts between the Sharia Court of Appeal and the High
Court on the Supervision of Area Courts and Sharia Courts 123
4.6 Jurisdictional Conflicts Between the High Court, Sharia Court of
Appeal, and the Customary Court of Appeal
4.7 Jurisdictions of the Court of Appeal and the Supreme Court126
4.7.1 Jurisdiction of the Court of Appeal
4.7.2 Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court
4.8 Some Legal Incongruities in Hearing Islamic Law Cases in English
Courts
4.8.1 Status of Islamic Law in Nigerian Courts
4.8.2 'Construing Islamic Law through the Lens of Common Law'132
4.8.3 The Rules of Practice and Procedure in English Courts
4.8.4 Law of Evidence
4.8.5 Undue Technicalities, Excessive Delay, and High Cost of
Litigation
4.9 A Case Study – The Saga of <i>Opobiyi v Muniru</i>
4.9 A Case Study – The Saga of <i>Opobiyi v Muniru</i>
4.9.1 The Facts of the Case1354.9.2 Legal Issues in the Case136
4.9.1 The Facts of the Case1354.9.2 Legal Issues in the Case1364.9.3 Summary of the Case in Tables 4.3 and 4.4136
4.9.1 The Facts of the Case1354.9.2 Legal Issues in the Case136
4.9.1 The Facts of the Case1354.9.2 Legal Issues in the Case1364.9.3 Summary of the Case in Tables 4.3 and 4.41364.9.4 Analysis of the Case through the Tables 4.3 and 4.4139
 4.9.1 The Facts of the Case
 4.9.1 The Facts of the Case
 4.9.1 The Facts of the Case
 4.9.1 The Facts of the Case
4.9.1 The Facts of the Case1354.9.2 Legal Issues in the Case1364.9.3 Summary of the Case in Tables 4.3 and 4.41364.9.4 Analysis of the Case through the Tables 4.3 and 4.41394.9.4.1 The Progression of the Case through the Court system1404.9.4.2 Excessive delay1414.9.4.3 Lawyers, Delays, and Multiplicity of Cases1434.9.4.4 Jurisdictional Conflicts between Islamic Courts and1434.9.4.5 High Cost of Litigation144
4.9.1 The Facts of the Case1354.9.2 Legal Issues in the Case1364.9.3 Summary of the Case in Tables 4.3 and 4.41364.9.4 Analysis of the Case through the Tables 4.3 and 4.41394.9.4.1 The Progression of the Case through the Court system1404.9.4.2 Excessive delay1414.9.4.3 Lawyers, Delays, and Multiplicity of Cases1434.9.4.4 Jurisdictional Conflicts between Islamic Courts and143

CHAPTER 5: KADIS AND JUDGES: COMPETITION AND RIVALRY BETWEEN GRADUATES OF FACULTIES OF *SHARĪ*^cAH AND LAWYERS.....

D LAWYERS148
5.1 Introduction
5.2 Qualifications of Area Courts Judges and Alkalis of the Sharia Courts149
5.3 Qualifications of the Kadis of the Sharia Court of Appeal153
5.4 Qualifications of Judges of the High Court Adjudicating on Islamic
5.5 Cases
5.6 Qualifications Justices of the Court of Appeal Adjudicating on Islamic
Cases
5.5.1 Qualifications of the Justices of the Court of Appeal165
5.5.2 Analysis of the Panels of the Court of Appeal in Islamic Law
Cases
5.5.2.1 Appeals Heard by the "Full" Court
5.5.2.2 Appeals Treated in the General Manner by the Court 175
5.5.2.3 Appeals Relating to Islamic Personal Law Matters

from the Sharia Court of Appeal176
5.5.3 Challenges in the Manner of Hearing Islamic law Appeals
in the Court of Appeal
5.7 Qualifications of Judges of the Supreme Court Administering Islamic
Law
5.6.1 Qualifications of Justices of the Supreme Court
5.6.2 Manner of Hearing of Islamic Law Appeals in the Supreme
Court
5.6.3 The Challenges in the Supreme Court's Jurisdiction in Islamic
Law Cases
5.8 Islamic Appraisal of the Qualifications of Kadis and Judges
Administering Islamic Law in Nigeria
5.7.1 Religion and Gender
5.7.2 Knowledge of Islamic Law
5.7.3 Good Character
5.9 Kadis, Judges and the Administration of the Judiciary198
5.10Conclusion

A CASE STUDY	
6.1 Introduction	
6.2 Impact of Colonialism on Muslim Lands	
6.3 Differences in the Judiciary under Islamic Law and the Comm	non Law212
6.4 Case Study: Malaysia	214
6.4.1 Nature of the Malaysian State	215
6.4.1.1. Historical Background	215
6.4.1.2. Religion and Ethnicity	216
6.4.1.3. Nature of Legal System and Status of Islamic La	aw217
6.4.1.4. Federalism and Islamic Law	
6.4.2 Structure and Jurisdiction of Courts	219
6.4.3 Qualifications of Judges Administering Islamic Law	
6.4.4 Administration of Islamic Courts	
6.5 Conclusion	

CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSION	231
7.1 Introduction	
7.2 Summary of Findings of the Research	231
7.2.1 Jurisdictional Conflicts between Islamic Courts and Englis	sh-
Style Courts	
7.2.2 Qualifications of Judges Administering Islamic Law	
7.2.3 Islamic Legal Education	
7.2.4 Administration of the Islamic Judiciary	
7.3 Recommendations of the Research	
7.4 Areas of Further Research	
BIBLIOGRAPHY	

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table No.</u>	<u>.</u>	Page No.
3.1	Composite diagram of the courts structure in Nigeria	88
3.2	Structure of courts in Kwara State	90
3.3	Structure of courts in Kaduna State	91
4.1	Numbers of appeals from the area courts to the High Court, Ilorin Judicial Division	116
4.2	Frequency of Islamic Law cases in the Supreme Court	129
4.3	Summary of the first series of trials	136
4.4	Summary of the second series of trials	137
5.1	Particulars of kadis who served in Kwara State, 1979 -2010	157
5.2	Particulars of justices of the Court of Appeal on the Islamic La panels in the Kaduna and Ilorin Divisions of the court between 1979 – 2010	
5.3	Some Islamic personal law cases where a justice who is not an Islamic law expert participated	177
5.4	Supreme Court justices learned in Islamic law, 1979 – 2010	182
5.5	Particulars of the Islamic law appeals heard by the Supreme Court between 1979 and December 2010	183

LIST OF CASES

Abdulsalaam v Salawu (2002) 6 SCNJ 388. Abuja v Bisi (1989) 5 NWLR (Pt. 119) 120 Ado v Dije (1983) 2 F. N. R. 213. Agbebu v Bawa (1992) 6 NWLR (Pt. 245) 80. Ainan bin Mahmud v Sved Abu Bakar bin Habib Yusoff [1939] 2 MLJ 209 Alhassan v Jaji (Unreported) Appeal No. CA/K/93/86 delivered by the Court of Appeal on 4 July 1988 Alkamawa v Bello (1992) 2 NWLR (Pt. 221) 60. Alkamawa v Bello (1998) 6 SCNJ 127. Amina Lawal v The State (2003) NNLR 488. Amokomowo v Andu (1985) N.S.C.C. 633 Ango v Awawa (1998) 1 N.W.L.R. (Pt. 532) 146 Assayouti v Mohammed (Unreported) Appeal No CA/IL/1/SH/2008 delivered by the Ilorin Division on 7 October 2010. Aski v Alu (1999) 2 NWLR (Pt. 589) 53 Baba v Baba (1991) 9 NWLR (Pt. 214) 248, Baba v Musa (2007) 7 NWLR (Pt. 1032) 27. Babayo v Diddi (1995) 3 NWLR (Pt. 383) 376 Baka v Dandare (1997) 4 NWLR (Pt. 498) 244 Bargoni v Kiru (2006) 3 SLR Pt. 3) 12. Beli v Umar (2005) 12 NWLR (Pt. 939) 325. Binta v Nasara (1961-1989) 1 Sh. L. R. N. 89 Bornu N. A. v Magudama (1940) (unreported) cited in J. N. D. Anderson, Islamic Law in Africa (London: Frank Cass, 2nd edn., 1978) 198. Boyi v Hassan (2006) 3 SLR (Pt. 2)195 Bungudu v Ibrahim (Unreported) Appeal No. CA/K/79s/88 delivered by the Court of Appeal on 4 February 1991. Chamberlain v Dan Fulani (1961-1989) 1 Sh. L. R. N. 54 at 59. Chiga v Umaru (1986) 3 N.W.L.R. (Pt. 29) 460, Chitumu v Marawa (2006) 3 SLR (Pt. 3) 46 Clement v Iwuanyanwu (1989) 3 N.W.L.R. (Pt. 107) 39 at 64. Dade v Na Hauwa (2006) 3 SLR (Pt. 3) 26 Dalip Kaur v Pegawai Polis Daerah, Balai Polis Daerah Bukit Mertajam and Anor [1992] 1 MLJ 1. Danjuma v Baaji (2001) 7 NWLR (Pt. 665) 396 Dato' Kadar Shah bin Tun Sulaiman v Datin Fauziah binti Haron [2008] 7 MLJ 779. Fannami v Sarki (1961-1989) 1 Sh. L. R. N. 94. Faransi v Noma (2007) 10 NWLR (Pt. 1041) 202. Gambo v Tukuji (1997) 10 NW.L.R. (Pt. 526) 591 at 599. Gana v Alhajiran (1997) 10 NWLR (Pt. 525) 424, at 433-434 Garba v Dogonyaro (1991) 1 NWLR (Pt. 165) 102. G. Rethinasamy v Majlis Agama Islam, Pulau Pinang [1993] 2 MLJ 166. Gulma v Bahago (1993) 1 NWLR (Pt. 272) 266 Gunki v Doro (1992) 3 NWLR (Pt. 228) 190

Hada v Malumfashi (1993) 7 NWLR (Pt. 303) 1.

Hamzat v Bosede (2001) Annual Report Sharia Court of Appeal (Kwara State) 135

Hunare v Nana (1996) 1 SCNJ 135.

Ibrahim v Abubakar (1961-1989) 1 Sh. L. R. N. 77

Ibrahim v Yola (1986) 4 C.A. (Pt. 1) 98.

Ishaku v Hadeja N. A. (1961-1989) 1 Sh. L. R. N. 1

Ja'afaru v Dakata (2007) 3 SLR (Pt. 4) 34.

Jabo v Jabo (2006) 3 SLR (Pt. 1) 240.

Jatau v Mailafiya (1998) 1 SCNJ 48.

Jibir v Bello (1982) CA (Pt. 2) 266.

Jiddun v Abuna (2000) 10 SCNJ 14.

Jiji v Abare (1999) NWLR (Pt. 586) 243

Jimoh v Adunni (2001) 14 N.W.L.R. (Pt. 734) 519,

Kada v Yawa, (1961-1989) 1 Sh. LRN 156

Kada v Yawa (1998) 7 SCNJ 171.

Kamariah Bte Ali dan Lain-lain Iwn Kerajaan Negeri Kelantan dan Satu Lagi [2005] 1 MLJ 197.

Kanawa v Maikaset (2007) 10 NWLR (Pt. 1042) 283.

Kankara v Da'awah Committee (Unreported) Appeal No. CA/A/151/2004 decided by the Court of Appeal, Kaduna on 15 March 2006.

Kankia v Maigemu (2003) 6 NWLR (Pt. 817) 496.

Kano v Oka (2006) 3 SLR (Pt. 3) 184

Karimatu v Paiko (1961-1989) 1 Sh. L. R. N. 126.

Kausani v Kausani (2006) 3 SLR (Pt. 1) 49

Korau v Korau (1998) 4 N.W.L.R. (Pt. 545) 212.

Kowa v Musa (2006) 5 NWLR (Pt. 972) 1.

Kuta v Galadima (2004) N.N.L.R. 398.

Labinjoh v Abake (1924) 5 NLR 33.

Latifah bte Mat Zin v Rosmawati bte Sharibun and Anor [2007] 5 MLJ 101.

Leyla Sahin v Turkey 44774/98 [2004] ECHR 299.

Lina Joy Iwn Majlis agama Islam Wilayah Persekutuan dan lain-lain [2007] 4 MLJ 585.

Madiu v Baba (2006) Annual Report Sharia Court of Appeal (Kwara State) 280.

Madukolu v Nkemdili (1962) 1 All NLR (Pt. 4) 587.

Majlis Agama Islam Pulau Pinang Iwn Isa Abdul Rahman and Satu Yang Lain [1992] 2 MLJ 244.

Majlis Ugama Islam Pulau Pinang dan Seberang Perai v Shaik Zolkaffily bin Shaik Natar and Ors [2003] 3 MLJ 705

Magaji v Matari (2000) 5 SCNJ 13.

Maigari v Bida (2002) 1 NWLR (Pt. 747) 138.

Magatakarda v Isa (1961-1989) 1 Sh. LRN 159

Maida v Modu (2000) 4 NWLR (Pt. 659) 99

Maidara v Halilu (2000) 13 NWLR (Pt. 684) 257.

Maihodu v S/Kaji (1989-1991) 2 SLRN (Pt. 165) 144

Mai Tangaram v Mai Taxi (Unreported) Suit No. BOM/545/2002 delivered by the Borno High Court on 28 June 2002.

Matari v Dan Galadima (1993) 3 NWLR (Pt. 281) 266

Manu v Muhammad (1997) 11 NWLR (Pt. 528) 329.

Masingba v Opobiyi (1982) 11 C.A. (Pt. 1) 206

Mariyama v Ejo (1961) NRNLR 81.

Md Hakim Lee v Majlis Agama Islam Wilayah Persekutuan, Kuala Lumpur [1998] 1 MLJ 681.

Mofolaku v Alamu (1961-1989) 1 Sh. L. R. N. 105.

Moriki v Adamu (2001) 12 NWLR (Pt. 737) 666.

Mohamed Habibulah bin Mahmood v Faridah bte Dato Talib [1992] 2 MLJ 793. Mohammed v Commissioner of Police (2008) N.N.L.R. 142

Muhammed v Hassantu Selected Judgments of Hon. Justice S. M. Coomassie 16 Muhammadu v Idi (1961-1989) 1 Sh. L. R. N. 146

Muninga v Muninga (1997) 11 NWLR (Pt. 527) 1.

Myriam v Mohammed Ariff [1971] 1 MLJ 265

Nayaya v Kaka (Unreported) Appeal No. CA/J/16S/97 delivered on 18th June, 2008 Ng Wan Chan v Majlis Ugama Islam Wilayah Persekutuan and Anor (No. 2) [1991] 3 MLJ 487

Okanle v Okanle (1994) Annual Report Sharia Court of Appeal (Kwara State) 119. *Okino v Bello* (2002) N.N.L.R. 1.

Olawore v Balogun (Unreported) Appeal No. KWS/1A/2004.

Olawore v Falali (Unreported) Appeal No. KWS/2A/09 delivered by the High Court of Kwara State, Ilorin Judicial Division (Appellate) on 22 January 2010 by Bamigbola, Yusuf and Saleeeman JJ.

Olawoyin v Commissioner of Police (1961) 1 All NLR (Pt. 2) 203.

Olowoshile v Yahaya, (Unreported) Appeal No. CA/IL/6M/99 delivered by the Court of Appeal on 19 July 2010.

Opebiyi v Noibi (1977) N.S.C.C. 464

Opobiyi v Masingba (Unreported) Suit No. 572/79 judgment delivered by the Ilorin Upper Area Court No. 2, Ilorin on 5 February 1980.

Opobiyi v Muniru (Unreported) Appeal No. KWS/SCA/CV/AP/IL/56/87 judgment delivered by the Sharia Court of Appeal, Ilorin on 22 August 1990.

Opobiyi v Muniru (Unreported) Case No. CVFM/113/94 judgment delivered by the Ilorin Upper Area Court No. 2, Oloje on 8 December 1995.

Opobiyi v Muniru (1996) Kwara State Sharia Court of Appeal Annual Report 215-231.

Opobiyi v Muniru (Unreported) Appeal No CA/IL/SH/3/99 delivered by the Ilorin Division on 11 July 2002. (Unreported) Case No. MCA/632/2002 judgement delivered by the District Court, Ilorin Judicial Division on 17 February 2003.

Opobiyi v Muniru (Unreported) Appeal No CA/IL/SH/3/99 delivered by the Ilorin Division on 13 January 2004.

Opobiyi v Muniru (Unreported) Appeal No CA/IL/SH/3/99 delivered by the Ilorin Division on 6 February 2006.

Opobiyi v Muniru (Unreported) Appeal No SC/30/2005 delivered by the Supreme Court on 16 December 2011.

Saffiyatu Hussain v Attorney General, Sokoto State (2003) NNLR 439

Salema v Mamman (2006) 3 SLR (Pt. 1) 203.

Shahamin Faizul Kung bin Abdullah v Asma binte Haji Junus [1991] 3 MLJ 327.

Sharu v Umaru (2003) 1 N.W.L.R. (Pt. 800) 46,

Shittu v Shittu (1998) Annual Report Sharia Court of Appeal (Kwara State) 93 *Sidi v Jungudo* (1982) C.A. (Pt. 1) 39,

Soon Singh a/l Bikar Singh v Pertubuhan Kebajikan Islam Malaysia (PERKIM) Kedah [1999] 1 MLJ 489.

Subashini a/p Rajasingam v Saravanan a/l Thangathoray and others [2008] 2 MLJ 142

Sukma Darmawan Sasmitaat Madja v Ktua Pengarah Penjara Malaysia and Anor [1999] 2 MLJ 241.

Tanko v Maidaka (1961-1989) 1 Sh. L. R. N. 33

Tukurwa v Kwa-Kwa (1992) 2 NWLR (Pt. 234) 449.

Tumfati v Meresno (1993) 1 N.W.L.R. (Pt. 209) 378

Ubudu v Abdul-Razak (2001) 7 NWLR (Pt. 713) 669

Umar v Asheik (2006) NNLR 1

Umaru v Abdul-Mudallabi (1998) 7 SCNJ 203

United Nigeria Co. Ltd. v Nahman (2000) 9 NWLR (Pt. 671) 177

Usman v Kareem (1995) 2 SCNJ 158.

Usman v Kusfa (1992) 8 NWLR (Pt. 258) 247.

Usman v Kusfa (1997) 1 SCNJ 133.

Usman v Usman (2003) 11 N.W.L.R. (Pt. 830) 109.

Usman v Umaru (1992) NWLR (Pt. 254) 377.

Uzodinma v Police (1982) 3 NCLR 325

Victoria Jayaseele Martin v Majils Agama Islam Wilayah Persekutuan and Anor [2011] 1 LNS

Waziri v Waziri (1998) 1 NWLR (Pt. 533) 322.

Yunusa v Adesubokan (1971) NNLR 77,

Zakari v Isa (1961-1989) 1 Sh. L. R. N. 13

Ziza v Mamman (2006) 3 SLR (Pt. 2) 61

LIST OF STATUTES

Administration of Islamic Law (Federal Territories) Act 1993 (Act 505) (Malaysia). Area Courts Law, Cap. 10, Laws of Kaduna State, 1991. Area Courts Law No. 2 of 1967 (Kwara State) Area Courts Law, Cap. 13, Laws of Kwara State, 1991. Area Courts Law, Cap. A9, Laws of Kwara State, 2007. Code of Law Practice, Royal Decree No. (M/38) 28 Rajab 1422 - 15 October 2001 (Saudi Arabia) Companies and Allied Matters Act, Cap. 59, Laws of the Federation of Nigeria, 1990 Constitution of Malaysia Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1989 Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999 Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria (Promulgation) Decree (Decree No. 12 of 1989). Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria (Promulgation) Decree (Decree No. 24 of 1999). Constitution (Suspension and Modification) Decree (No. 5), Decree No. 34 of 1966. Constitution (Suspension and Modification) Decree (No. 9), Decree No. 59 of 1966. Customary Court of Appeal Law No 14 of 2001 (Kaduna State) Customary Courts Law, 2001, No. 9 (Kaduna State). Draft Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1995 Evidence Act, Cap. 112, Laws of the Federation of Nigeria 1990. Evidence Act, Cap E 14, Laws of the Federation of Nigeria, 2004. Court of Appeal Act, Cap. 75, Laws of the Federation of Nigeria 1990 High Court Law, Cap 49, Laws of Northern Nigeria, 1963 High Court Law, Cap H2, Laws of Kwara State, 2007 High Court Law, Cap. 67, Laws of Kaduna State, 1991. Judicial Service Commission Law, Cap. 79, Laws of Kaduna State, 1991 Kwara State Law Revision (Miscellaneous) (Amendment) Law, 2006). Law of the Judiciary, Royal Decree No. (M/64)14 Rajab 1395 - 23 July 1975 (Saudi Arabia) Land Use Act, Cap. 202, Laws of the Federation of Nigeria, 1990 Legal Practitioners Act. Cap. L11, Laws of Federation of Nigeria, 2004. Magistrate Court (Amendment) Edict, No. 23 of 1971. Muslim Court of Appeal Law, No. 10 of 1956. National Judicial Institute Decree, 1991 (Decree No. 28 of 1991). Native Courts Law, Cap. 78, Laws of Northern Nigeria, 1963. Nigeria (Constitution) Order in Council, 1960. Penal Code, Cap. P4, Laws of Kwara State 2007. Sharia (Administration of Justice) Law, 2000 (Kebbi State). Sharia Court (Amendment) Law, No. 22 of 2001 (Kaduna State). Sharia Courts (Administration of Justice and Certain Consequential Changes) Law, 2000 (Bauchi State) Sharia Courts Law, No 11 of 2001 (Kaduna State). Shari'a Courts Law, No. 5 of 2000 (Katsina State).

Sharia Court of Appeal Law, Cap. 122, Laws of Northern Nigeria, 1963.
Sharia Court of Appeal Law, Cap. S4, Laws of Kwara State, 2007
Shariah Penal Code Law, No. 4 of 2002 (Kaduna State).
Shari'ah Penal Code, 2000 (Law No. 10 of 2000, Zamfara State)
State Creation and Transitional Provisions) Decree No. 14 of 1967.
State Creation and Transitional Provisions) Decree No. 12 of 1976.
State Creation and Transitional Provisions) Decree No. 24 of 1987.
States (Creation and Transitional Provisions) Decree No. 41 of 1991.
State Government (Basic Constitutional and Transitional Provisions) Decree No. 50 of 1991.
Supreme Court Act, Cap. 424, Laws of the Federation of Nigeria 1990.

xix

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

C.A.	Court of Appeal Judgements
F. N. R.	Federation of Nigeria Law Reports
F.N.L.R.	Federation of Nigeria Law Report
N.C.L.R.	Nigerian Criminal Law Reports
N.S.C.C.	Nigerian Supreme Court Cases
N.R.N.L.R.	Northern Region of Nigeria Law Report
N.N.L.R.	Northern Nigerian Law Report
N.W.L.R.	Nigerian Weekly Law Report
ShLR	Shariah Law Report
Sh. L. R. N.	Sharia Law Reports of Nigeria
S.L.R. (CILS)	Sharia Law Reports, Centre for Islamic Legal Studies
S.L.R.	Sarauniya Law Reports
S.C.N.J.	Supreme Court of Nigeria Judgments

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Islamic law used to be the dominant law, which was enforced by highly competent *alkalis*¹ (judges) as State law for centuries in the northern part of the country until the area fell under European colonisation. In the southern part of the country, although Islam was widely practised in the southwest, Islamic law was not entrenched and enforced by the state except in a few isolated instances.

The British colonialists created northern Nigeria out of the area under the Sokoto and Kanem Bornu Caliphates respectively. Today, 19 states have been created out of the defunct northern region.² In the pre-colonial era, Islamic law was the dominant and official law in the Sokoto and Kanem Bornu caliphates. The advent of British colonialism in the middle of the 19th Century brought many fundamental changes to the pre-colonial system in northern Nigeria. Although the British adopted a policy of indirect rule, which preserved among other things the existing administrative machinery and the flourishing Islamic judicial system under the Emirs, they also brought not only their system of administration but also their laws together with their legal system, its methodology and its methods of administration of justice. The Common law, which evolved in England, became the dominant legal system in the country as the courts were enjoined by statute to apply the common law. The common law and its courts gradually displaced the other laws and courts. Although

¹ Alkali is the Hausa version of the Arabic $al-Q\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ (judge).

² These are Adamawa, Bauchi, Benue, Borno, Gombe, Jigawa, Kaduna, Kano, Katsina, Kebbi, Kogi, Kwara, Nassarawa, Niger, Plateau, Sokoto, Taraba, Yobe and Zamfara States.

the Emirs continued to hold their courts, they lost to the British the control of the Islamic courts system. The British modified the administrative machinery and the substantive laws of the pre-colonial Islamic judicial system in a number of ways including that they took control of the administration of the courts in terms of appointment and discipline of judges and even supervision of the courts. They also controlled the substantive aspects of Islamic law by equating Islamic law with customary law, which is required to pass some validity tests before it can be enforceable in the courts. These tests include the repugnancy test, which demands that these laws must not be repugnant to natural justice, equity and good conscience. They also introduced conflict of laws rules, which are skewed in favour of applying common law on Muslims.³

The British granted independence to Nigeria in 1960. The post-colonial era witnessed further encroachment of common law personnel, courts and principles into the Islamic law terrain. Between 1960 and 1979, the jurisdiction of the Sharia Court of Appeal was limited only to appeals from the Area Courts in matters relating to Islamic personal law while the appellate jurisdiction on all other aspects of Islamic law was conferred on the High Court (a common law court) even though both are courts of coordinate jurisdiction. However, it was required that the High Court must include a Kadi of the Sharia Court of Appeal in its panels when its exercises its appellate jurisdiction on Islamic law matters. There was no appellate court for Islamic

³ See generally Muhammad Tabiu and S. Khalid Rashid, "The Administration of Islamic Law in Nigeria" *International and Comparative Law Quarterly* Vol. 6 No. 1 (1986): 27-49, Awwalu Hamisu Yadudu, "We need a New Legal System" in *On the Future of Nigeria*, edited by Ibrahim Suleiman and Siraj Abdulkarim (Zaria: Hudahuda Publishing, 1988), 2-7, Awwalu Hamisu Yadudu, "Colonialism and the Transformation of Islamic law in the Northern States" *Journal of Legal Pluralism* Vol. 32 (1992): 103, A. H. Yadudu, "Impact of Colonialism on Islamic Law and Its Administration in Nigeria" *Islamic and Comparative Law Review*, Vol. 12 No. 2 (1993): 145-153, and M. Tabiu, "Comparative Laws: Nature and Scope of Application of English Law, Customary Law and Islamic Law" in 1997 *All Nigeria Judges Conference Papers, Abuja* (Lagos: MIJ Professional, 1997).

personal law cases beyond the Sharia Court of Appeal while appeals in other Islamic matters from the High Court went to the Supreme Court.

The 1979 Constitution came into force after 13 years of military rule. It was Nigeria's first autochthonous constitution. This Constitution, despite strong protests from Muslims, introduced several revolutionary changes, which aided the encroachment of the common law, its courts and its personnel into the administration of Islamic law in the country. Firstly, the constitution did not provide for Kadis to sit in the High Court for any purpose.⁴ Secondly, the Supreme Court which is essentially a common law court manned by judges who are trained in the common law gained control of all aspects of Islamic law by becoming the final appellate court for Islamic personal law matters. With this development, the justices of the Supreme Court effectively became the highest Shariah judges for the country whereas from the Islamic law perspective they are not qualified for this post. Thirdly, by judicial interpretations of the 1979 Constitution in 1982 and 1985 respectively, common lawtrained lawyers⁵ gained a right of audience in Islamic courts at the superior and subordinate courts levels in both criminal and civil cases even though their academic education and professional training does not always include Islamic law.⁶ The academic and professional legal education in the country is still tilted towards producing lawyers learned only in the common law tradition. Lastly, the 1979 Constitution introduced many provisions to insulate the judiciary from the control of the Executive by giving the judges and legal practitioners the control of the administrative and controlling organs of the judiciary. Judges and legal practitioners also control the relevant organs controlling the legal profession. However, common

⁴ Ado v Dije (1983) 2 F. N. R. 213.

⁵ They are called "legal practitioners" in the country.

⁶ Uzodinma v Police (1982) 3 NCLR 325 (in criminal cases) and Karimatu v Paiko (1961-1989) 1 Sh. L. R. N. 126 (in civil cases).

law judges and legal practitioners also dominate the memberships of these organs while Islamic law judges have very little and sometimes no representation at all in these bodies.

Between 1984 and 1999, there was a succession of military regimes. Several legislative attempts during this period to extend the jurisdiction of the Sharia Court of Appeal beyond the confines of Islamic personal law were declared unconstitutional by common law courts. The current 1999 Constitution that ended Military rule affirmed all the changes brought by the 1979 Constitution discussed above. In addition, under the 1999 Constitution, persons who also have common law qualifications became for the first time eligible for appointment as Kadis of the Sharia Court of Appeal. Hitherto, only Islamic law and related qualifications were solely required. With this development, persons with Islamic law qualifications exclusively are now disadvantaged in appointments as Kadi as there is an emerging trend and preference for persons who also have common law qualifications.

The jurisdiction of common law courts on Islamic law matters and the intrusion of common law judges and legal practitioners into Islamic courts have opened the floodgate of attempts to introduce common law principles, practice, and procedure into the Islamic courts. Again, since the interpretation of the constitution and statutes is within the exclusive jurisdiction of common law courts, matters of conflict between Islamic courts and common law courts have generally been resolved against Islamic courts.

What has emerged from the above is not only a scenario of jurisdictional conflicts between Islamic courts and common law courts in northern Nigeria but also incongruities in the jurisdictions of these courts in matters of Islamic law. There is also a steady encroachment of common law principles into the administration of

4