

الجامعة السلامية العالمية ماليريا العامية السلامة العامة المسلمة المستنفى الشيار في المستنفى الشيار في المسلومية المسلومية المسلومية المستنفى ال

A STUDY ON THE MALAYSIAN CIVIL LAW RELATING TO MATRIMONIAL PROPERTY AFTER DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE

BY

NORLIAH BINTI IBRAHIM

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

APRIL 2004

INTERNATIONAL ISLANIC UNIVERSITY
LIBRARY
Copy 20: 89 4797 Main
Date: 27/5/04 agy

6/8/04 HD 25/8/04 1/4

t KPG, 581 N841S 2004

40 6-38

A STUDY ON THE MALAYSIAN CIVIL LAW RELATING TO MATRIMONIAL PROPERTY AFTER DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE

BY

NORLIAH BINTI IBRAHIM

A THESIS SUBMITTED AS A REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF PH.D IN LAW

AHMAD IBRAHIM KULLIYYAH OF LAWS INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

APRIL 2004

ABSTRACT

The thesis examines the Malaysian Civil law governing the division of the matrimonial property after dissolution of marriage. The present provision failed to provide details on how the matrimonial property is to be divided. The direction derived from the provision which mainly divide the property on the basis of joint effort and sole effort without defining the scope of the two types of property has led to uncertainty which encourages litigation.

The study adopts an analysis on the statutory provisions governing matrimonial property aimed at identifying the strengths and weaknesses of the present law. This in depth study of the law has resulted in showing the shortcomings in applying the law into practice. Decided cases prove to be significant in illustrating the substantial role of the judiciary in streamlining the law into practice in order to reach a fair and just division of matrimonial property. A comparative study with other jurisdictions has significantly contributed to this research. However, careful considerations are taken to ensure that it suits with the local circumstances. In the case of Islamic law, only a specific discussion on *harta sepencarian* has been made.

The study disclosed that a loose draft of the provisions has caused various discrepancies in applying the law into practice. Limited jurisdiction of the court has significantly deprived the rights of the parties whose divorce has been granted by a foreign decree to claim the division of the property. Other problems include the ambiguity in defining the scope of the matrimonial property and the role of the homemaker also has not been properly addressed. Therefore, the study suggests an amendment to the existing provision.

خلاصة البحث

تدور الرسالة حول القوانين المتعلقة بتقسيم مال الزوجية بعد انحلال عقد الزواج. لقد فشل القانون المطبق في البلاد حاليا في بيان كيفية التقسيم بصورة مفصلة. وذلك لأن المبادئ العامة تقتصر فقط على تقسيم هذه الحقوق على أساس الجهد المشترك من الزوجين أو انفراد أحدهما دون الآخر في جلب الأموال. وليس هناك معيار واضح في تحديد هذين النوعين من الجهد, وأفضى ذلك الى عدم وضوح أسهم في تطويل مدى التقاضى بين الطرفين.

هذه الدراسة تتبنى طريقة في تحليل النصوص الحاكمة – في شأن تقسيم الأموال وممتلكات الحياة الزوجية – لبيان مواضع القوة والضعف فيها. ومن خلال التحليل العميق لهذا القانون تبين لنا الثغرات الناتجة عن تطبيقه. والحالات القضائية التي فصل فيها أسهمت في بيان الدور البالغ الأهمية بالنسبة للجهاز القضائي في تطبيق القانون بكيفية تؤدي الى تحقيق العدل في تقسيم أموال وممتلكات الحياة الزوجية. وكذلك المقارنات مع حالات قضائية أخرى قد أسهمت بنصيب وافر في اثراء هذه الدراسة مع الأخذ في الاعتبار خصوصيات تلك الحالات القضائية. أما بخصوص القانون الاسلامي فقد تم الاشارة بصورة خاصة الى حالة هرتا سبنجرين.

وتكشف الدراسة أن عدم إحكام صياغة النصوص القانونية قد أدى إلى تناقض وتباين عند تطبيقها كماأن اختصاصات المحكمة المحدودة لها أثر فى حرمان الأطراف الذين تم طلاقهم خارج البلاد من المطالبة بحقوقهم المالية وكذلك الأمر إذا كان الزواج غير صحيح. وإلى جانب ذلك فإن الغموض فى تعريف وتحديد مال الزوجية ودور الزوجة التى لم تعمل لهو من المشاكل التى تصادفها هذه الدراسة ولذا فقد اقترحت تعديل النصوص القانونية المعمول بها حاليا وإعداد نصوص آخرى أوضح وأشمل.

APPROVAL PAGE

The thesis of NORLIAH BINTI IE	BRAHIM has been examined and is approved by the
following:	
	Najibah Mohd. Zin (Supervisor)
	Zaleha Kamaruddin (Internal Examiner)
	Sphalp
	Siti Zalikhah Md. Nor (External Examiner)
	Suwaid Tapah (External Examiner)

Mohd. Azmi Omar (Chairperson)

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of my own investigations, except where otherwise stated. Other sources are acknowledged by footnotes giving explicit references and a bibliography is appended.

Date: 15/4/2004

Name: NORLIAH BINTI IBRAHIM

Signature:

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH

Copyright © 2004 by NORLIAH BINTI IBRAHIM. All rights reserved.

A STUDY ON THE MALAYSIAN CIVIL LAW RELATING TO MATRIMONIAL PROPERTY AFTER DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without the prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below.

- 1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may only be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement
- 2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes
- 3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieval system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

Affirmed by NORLIAH IBRAHIM

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Praise be to Allah, the Most Gracious and Most Merciful, the Cherisher and Sustainer of the universe. Peace and prayers be upon His final Prophet Muhammad (S.A.W). First of all, I would like to thank to Allah Almighty for giving me strength and determination to do my research. It is by the grace of Allah that I am able to accomplish the present work.

My warmest heart-felt thanks and profound gratitude to my supervisor, Associate Prof. Dr. Najibah Mohd. Zain for her critical ideas, invaluable advice, comments and encouragement in guiding me through the writing of the thesis. Her sincere help, patience, dedication, tireless and constant supervision has been very instrumental to the completion of the thesis.

I would also like to express my gratitude to my first supervisor, the late Tan Sri Professor Ahmad Ibrahim, whom I have an opportunity to get his supervision only for the first two chapters of the thesis. May Allah bless his soul.

My special thanks to the Ahmad Ibrahim Kulliyyah of Laws for granting me the study leave. Not to forget, to the Civil Service Department for giving me the opportunity and financial support, which significantly contributed toward the completion of my study. My gratitude also should extend to the IIUM Research Centre, which granted me a research grant for the research.

I also wish to take this opportunity to record my sincere thanks to the staffs of law library of IIUM, University Malaya and National University of Singapore for their kind assistance. Special appreciation also due to the Officer of the Attorney General Office of Shah Alam, Attorney General Office of Kuala Lumpur and Attorney General Office of the state of Malacca for their help in providing me invaluable information.

An oceanful of thanks to my friends and colleagues who have provided me with their love, friendship and support.

My deepest gratitude and appreciation to my family, especially my beloved husband for his endless support and understanding. For my children, Amir, Awin, A'in, Anis and Amirah for being the source of strength and inspiration. For my late parents, your loves had given me strength to face the hurdles.

Last but not least my appreciation to them and many others that I have not mentioned whom directly and indirectly contributed to the completion of the thesis. To all of you, may Allah the Almighty shower His endless blessing. Āmīn.

This thesis is dedicated to my beloved husband, Ahmad Khairuddin and my five children: Amir, Awin, A'in, Anis and Amirah.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Absti	ract	ii
	oval Page	
Decl	aration Page	v
Сору	right Page	vi
Ackn	owledgements	vii
Dedi	cation	viii
Tabl	e of Contents	ix
List	of Abbreviation	xv
List	of Statutes	xvii
List	of Cases	xix
Tran	sliteration	xxviii
CHA	APTER 1: STATEMENT OF INTENT AND METHODOLOGY	1
1.0	Background of study	1
1.1	Aims and objectives	3
1.2	Statement of problem	
1.3	Hypothesis	3
1.4	Research methodology	4
1.5	Scope of the study and chapterization	6
1.6	Literature review	
CHA	APTER 2: THE CONCEPT OF PROPERTY AND OWNERSHIP	20
2.0	Introduction	20
2.1	The concept of property ownership	20
	2.1.1 Definition of property	21
	2.1.2 Ownership of property	26
2.2	The concept of property and its ownership in Islam	29
2.3	Property rights in marriage	36
2.4	Approaches to matrimonial property	42

2.5	Proper	ty and the M	/lalaysian so	ciety.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	46
	2.5.1	Γhe Malay	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			46
	2	2.5.1.1 <i>Ha</i> i	rta sepencar	<i>ian</i> ar	nd the influence of Malay 'adā	t50
	2.5.2	The Chines	e			54
		2.5.2.1 Pro	perty under	the Cl	hinese custom	58
	2.5.3	The Indians	š			61
		2.5.3.1 Hin	ıdu customar	ry law	7	61
		2.5.3.2 Dis	tribution of	prope	rty under the Hindu custom	62
2.6	Conclus	ion	, .	· • • • • • •		65
					OPERTY AND ITS ST	
3.0						
3.1						
	3.1.1		= '		Divorce) Bill 1972	
	3.1.2					
3.2						
	3.2.1		• •	•		
	3.2.2	•	•			
					d during marriage	
					erty acquired by joint effort	
				(a)	Direct financial contribution.	
				(b)	Indirect financial contribution	ı86
			3.2.2.1.2	Prop	perty acquired by sole effort	88
		3.2.2.2	Property	_	d before marriage	
			3.2.2.2.1	Sub	ostantial improvement	90
3.3	Assets	included ar	nd assets exc			
	3.3.1	Gifts and i	nheritance	• • • • • •		92
		3.3.1.1				
		3.3.1.2				
		3.3.1.3	_		t	

	3.3.2	EPF m	noney and gratuities payment	108
	3.3.3	Busine	ess assets	110
	3.3.4	Shares	and investment	110
	3.3.5	Bank a	account or joint banking account	111
	3.3.6	Insura	nce policy	112
	3.3.7	Club n	nembership	113
	3.3.8	Earnin	gs capacity or human capital	114
3.4	Conclu	ision		125
CITA	DTTD 4	. arms i	HIDIODICTION AND DOWED OF COURTS	TO DIVIDE
			URISDICTION AND POWER OF COURTS	
			L PROPERTY	
4.0				
4.1			he court	
4.2		_	of the power	
	4.2.1		be granted by a foreign decree	
4.2	4.2.2		cial relief in the United Kingdom after a foreign div	
4.3	-	•	e jurisdiction	
4.4				
4.5			ies	
4.6				
4.7	Conclu	sion		147
СНА	PTER 5	: DIVISI	ON OF THE MATRIMONIAL	
PRO	PERTY.			149
5.0	Introdu	action		149
5.1	The purpose of dividing the matrimonial property			149
5.2	Princip	oles of div	vision	151
	_		ive approach	
		5.2.1.1	Division of joint effort property	
		5.2.1.2		
	5.2.2	Judicial	approach	
		5.2.2.1	Broad brush approach	161

5.3 Factors taken into consideration in the				on in the division of the property	165
	5.3.1	Statutory	factors	•••••	165
		5.3.1.1	Money, prop	perty or works	165
		5.3.1.2	Debts	•••••	169
		5.3.1.3	Needs of the	minor children	171
		5.3.1.4	Welfare of the	ne family	174
	5.3.2	Non Sta	tutory factors	•••••	176
		5.3.2.1	Misconduct	•••••	177
		5.3.2.2	Spousal agr	eement	181
		5.3.2.3	Length of m	narriage	185
5.4	Duty o	of full and	frank disclosu	ıre	190
5.5	Concl	usion	•••••	••••••	194
CHA	PTER 6	: MATRI	IMONIAL H	OME, BANKRUPTCY AND	
MAT	'RIMOI	VIAL PRO	OPERTY		196
6.0	Introd	uction	•••••	•••••	196
6.1	Matrir	nonial hor	ne and its sigr	nificance	197
6.2	The sp	ouse's rig	tht of occupati	on	197
6.3	Issue o	Issue on ownership of matrimonial home			
	6.3.1	Registe	red title		204
	6.3.2	Unregis	stered title		204
		6.3.2.1	l Resulting a	nd constructive trust	205
			6.3.2.1.1	Common intention	206
			6.3.2.1.2	Detrimental alliance	212
6.4	Protec	tion of the	wife's interes	st in the matrimonial home	215
	6.4.1	Indefea	sibility of title)	216
	6.4.2	Non-reg	gistrable intere	est	217
		6.4.2.1	Caveatable	interest	217
6.5	Quant	ification o	f a share		220
6.6	Orders	made by	the court		223
	6.6.1 The property is to be sold and the proceeds of sale divided				223
	6.6.2	To order	r the sale to be	e postponed	227
	6.6.3	To be tr	ransferred to o	ne spouse absolutely	230

The effect of bankruptcy on the claim of matrimonial property			
6.7.1	Voluntary settlement	236	
6.7.2	The law in other jurisdictions	243	
6.7.3	Conflict of interest between the creditors and the bankrupt's		
	family	245	
Conclu	usion	250	
PTER 7	: HARTA SEPENCARIAN	252	
Introd	uction	252	
Defini	tion	252	
7.5.1			
7.5.2			
7.5.3	EPF money	263	
Jurisdi	iction of court	265	
7.6.1	The availability of powers	269	
7.6.2	Divorce granted by a foreign decree	270	
7.6.3	Property outside jurisdiction and time limit	272	
7.6.4	Lifetime of parties	274	
Propos	rtion of division	275	
Factor	s considered by the court	282	
Relate	d issues	284	
Concl	usion	286	
רא התרשיקות	A CORICH TICTORI ARIES IDTICORNARATINEN A TITORICI	30 <i>7</i> 7	
	6.7.1 6.7.2 6.7.3 Conclusted Arroys Proper Joint & Assets 7.5.1 7.5.2 7.5.3 Jurisd 7.6.1 7.6.2 7.6.3 7.6.4 Propor Factor Relate Conclusted Conclusted Loose Limite	6.7.1 Voluntary settlement 6.7.2 The law in other jurisdictions 6.7.3 Conflict of interest between the creditors and the bankrupt's family Conclusion PTER 7: HARTA SEPENCARIAN Introduction Definition Provision in the FT. Act Property acquired during marriage Joint effort and the sole effort property Assets excluded and assets included 7.5.1 Business assets, shares and investment 7.5.2 Money in the bank account 7.5.3 EPF money Jurisdiction of court 7.6.1 The availability of powers 7.6.2 Divorce granted by a foreign decree 7.6.3 Property outside jurisdiction and time limit	

8.4	Division and limited legislative factors for consideration		
8.5	Homemaker's contribution	291	
8.6	Matrimonial home	292	
GEN	JERAL BIBLIOGRAPHY	295	

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

A.C Law Reports Appeal Cases
A.L.J. Australian Law Journal
A.L.R. Australian Law Reports
Adel. Law Rev. Adelaide Law Review
ALL E.R All England Law Reports
AMR All Malaysian Reports

Beavan's Rolls Court Reports

C.L.J Current Law Journal
C.P.F. Central Provident Fund
Cal. Rptr. California Reporter (West).
Cal.L.Rev. California Law Review
Camb. L.J Cambridge Law Journal

Ch. Law Reports Chancery Division

Ch. Ca Cases in Chancery

Ch. D Law Reports, Chancery Division

Civ. Appeal Civil Appeal

Conv. & Prop. Law. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer

E.P.F Employees Provident Fund

E.R English Reports

ed. Edition / editions, edited by etc. (et cetra): and so forth F.L.R. Family Law Reports

Fam. Law Reports Family Division

Fam. Law Family Law. 1971-Fam.L.Q Family Law Quarterly

FT. Act Islamic Family Law (Federal Territories) Act 1984

G.L.R Gazette Law Reports (N.Z)

Hofstra L.Rev. Hofstra Law Review

Ibid. (Ibidem): in the same place

Id. (Idem): the same

Ins. Inside

J.H Jurnal Hukum

J.L & Soc. Journal of Law & Society

J.R Juridical Review

JMBRAS Journal of the Malayan Branch of the Royal Asiatic

K.B. Law Reports: King's Bench Division.

Ky. Kyshe's Reports
Leic Leicester Report

L.R Eq Law Reports, Equity Cases. 1865-75

L.R. Law Reports

L.R. P & D Law Reports, Probate & Divorce Cases

LQR Law Quarterly Review

LRA Law Reform (Marriage & Divorce) Act 1976

MLR Modern Law Review
Mich.L.Rev Michigan Law Review
MLJ Malayan Law Journal

MLN Malayan Law News MLR Malayan Law Review

N. Y. S. 2d. New York supplement Reporter, Second Series

n.d. no date

N.E. 2d Northeastern Reporter, Second Series

n.p no place: no publisher

N.Y. 2d New York Court of Appeals Reports, Second Series

N.Z.L.R. New Zealand Law Reports

no./ nos number / numbers

p. page pp. pages

P. Law Reports, Probate, Divorce and Admiralty Division.

P. 2d. Pacific Reporter, Second Series.

P.B.U.H Peace Be Upon Him

passim here and there

pp. pages

Q.B Law Reports, Queen's Bench S.A.S.R. South Australian State Reports S.L.T Scots Law Times 1893-date

S.W.T Subhanahu Wa Ta'ala (Praise be to Allah and the Most

High)

SLJS Singapore Journal of Legal Studies

SLR Singapore Law Reports
Sol. Jo. Solicitors' Journal
Trans. Translator, translated by
Tul. L. Rev. Tulane Law Review

U.C.L.A Law Review University of California at Los Angeles Law Review

U.New South Wales L.J University of New South Wales Law Journal

v. (versus): against

v. / vv. Verse / verses
vol. / vols volume / volumes
W.L.R Weekly Law Reports
Win.L.R. Wisconsin Law Review

Women's Right L.Reptr. Women's Right Law Reporter

Yale. L.J Yale Law Journal

LIST OF STATUTES

Malaysia

Administration of Islamic Family Law Enactment of Terengganu (No. 5/1991).

Administration of Islamic Law (Federal Territories) Act 1993 (Act 505).

Administration of Islamic Law Enactment of Kedah 1962 (No.9/1962).

Administration of Muslim Law Enactment of Negeri Sembilan 1960.

Administration of the Syariah Court Enactment of Kelantan (No. 3/1982).

Bankruptcy (Costs) Rules 1969.

Bankruptcy (Fees) Rules 1969.

Bankruptcy Act 1967.

Bankruptcy Rules 1969.

Civil Law Act 1956.

Divorce and Matrimonial Proceedings Rules 1980.

Employees Provident Fund Act 1991.

Federal Constitution (Amendment) Act 1988.

Islamic Family Enactment of Malacca (No. 8/1983).

Islamic Family Enactment of Penang (No.12/1985).

Islamic Family Law Enactment of Perlis (No.4/1992).

Islamic Family Law (Federal Territories) Act 1984 (Act 303).

Islamic Family Law Enactment of Johore (No. 5/1990).

Islamic Family Law Enactment of Kedah 1979 (No.1/1984).

Islamic Family Law Enactment of Kelantan 1983 (No. 9/1998)

Islamic Family Law Enactment of Negeri Sembilan (Amendment) (No. 4/1991).

Islamic Family Law Enactment of Pahang (No. 3/1987)

Islamic Family Law Enactment of Perak (No. 13/1984).

Islamic Family Law Enactment of Perak (No. 15/1992).

Islamic Family Law Enactment of Perak (No. 9/1996).

Islamic Family Law Enactment of Sabah (No. 15/1992)

Islamic Family Law Enactment of Selangor (No.4/1984).

Islamic Family Law Enactment of Selangor (Amendment) (No. 6/1988)

Islamic Family Law Ordinance of Sarawak (No. 5/1991).

Land Acquisition Act 1960.

Law Reform (Marriage and Divorce) Act 1976.

Law Reform (Marriage and Divorce) Bill 1972.

Married Women's Act 1957.

Married Women and Children Maintenance Act 1950.

Married Women and Children (Enforcement of Maintenance) Act 1968.

National Land Code 1965 (Act No. 56 of 1965).

Partnership Act 1961.

Rules of the High Court 1980.

Syariah Court Civil Procedure (Federal Territories) Act 1998 [Act 585].

Other countries

Bankruptcy Act 1966 (Australia).

Central Provident Fund Act (Cap 36) 1991 (Singapore).

Family Law Act 1975 (Australia).

Bankruptcy Act 1914 (United Kingdom).

Matrimonial Proceedings Act 1970 (United Kingdom).

Matrimonial Causes Act 1965 (United Kingdom).

Married Women's Property Act 1870 (United Kingdom).

Married Women's Property Act 1882 (United Kingdom).

Matrimonial Causes Act 1857 (United Kingdom).

Insolvency Act 1986. (United Kingdom).

Kompilasi Hukum Islam Indonesia, Buku 1, 1991 (Indonesia).

Matrimonial and Family Proceedings Act 1985. (United Kingdom).

Matrimonial Causes Act 1973. (United Kingdom).

Matrimonial Causes Act 1983. (United Kingdom).

Matrimonial Home Act 1967. (United Kingdom).

Matrimonial Proceedings and Property Act 1970. (United Kingdom).

Domestic Relations Law 1994 (New York).

Matrimonial Property Act 1976 (New Zealand).

Family Law Act 1986 (Ontario).

Recognition of Divorces and Legal Separations Act 1971 (United Kingdom).

Law of Marriage Act 1971 (Tanzania).

Women's Charter (Amendment) Act 26 of 1980 (Singapore).

Women's Charter (Amendment) Act 30 of 1996 (Singapore)

LIST OF CASES

Abdullah bin Omar v. Esah bin Talib (1996) 11 JH 179.

Abdul Rahman bin Ayob v. Timah bt. Sulaiman (2000) Shariah Appeal Court of

Negeri Sembilan 8/2000 (unreported).

Aishah Bee v. Mohd. Noor bin Aman Shah (1978) 1 JH(1) 94.

Aisny v. Haji Fahro Rozi bin Mohdi [1412] JH 21.

Ali Mat Khamis v. Jamaliah [1974] 1 MLJ 18.

Ang Geck Choo v. Wong Tiew Yong [1997] 3 MLJ 467.

Armstrong v. Armstrong [1976] 4 Fam Law 156.

Arvantides v. Arvantides [1985] 64 NY. 2d 1033.

Asha Maudgil v. Suresh Kumar Gosain [1994] 2 SLR 709.

Awang bin Abdul Rahman v. Shamsuddin bin Awang (1997) 11 JH 193.

B. Ravindran s/o Balan v. Maliga d/o Mani Pillai [1996] 2 MLJ 150.

Bee Giok v. Loh Kum Yong [1997] 1 SLR 153.

Bendall v. McWhirther [1952] 2 QB. 466.

Bennet v. Bennet [1879] 10 Ch. D. 474.

Bi Hawa Mohamed v. Ally Sefu Civil Appeal No. 9 of 1983 (unreported).

Bidah v. Abdul Ghani (1982) 4 JH 225.

Boto' bte Taha v. Jaafar bin Muhamad [1985] 2 MLJ 98.

Bunga bt. Ibrahim v. 'Ila @ Zila bt. Abdullah & Ors (1990) 9 JH 198.

Burns v. Burns [1984] 1 ALL ER 244.

Button v. Button [1968] 1 WLR 457.

Chambelain v. Chambelain [1974] 1 ALL ER 33.

Chan Choy Ling v. Chua Che Teck [1995] 3 SLR 667.

Chan Yeong Keay v. Yeo Mei Lin [1994] 2 SLR 541.

Chew Ling Hang v. Aw Ngiong Hwa [1997] 4 AMR 3477.

Chia Gek Yong v. Chng Hiang Keow @ Yeow Hwee Ming [1987] 1 MLJ 93.

Chin Shak Len v. Lin Fah [1962] 28 MLJ 418.

Chin Yook Woy v. Loke Suat Choo [1993] 1 CLJ 127.

Ching Seng Woah v. Lim Shook Lin [1997] 1 MLJ 109.

Chong Li Yoon v.Soo Yook Thong [1993] 3 SLR 181.

Chow Chee Wah & Anor v. Choo Ah Pat [1978] 2 MLJ 41.

Chudri v. Chaudri [1992] 2 FLR 73.

Chulas v. Kolson (1867) Leic. 462.

Claughton v. Charalambous [1999] 1 FLR 740.

Clutton v. Clutton [1991] 1 ALL ER 340.

D' Estate v. D' Estate [1973] Fam 55.

Dato Low Nam Hui v. Vu Siew Chin [1993] 2 AMR 3620.

Dipple v. Dipple [1942] P 65.

Elkus v. Elkus [1991] 572 N.Y.S. 2d 901 (Appeal Division).

Ette v. Ette [1965] 1 ALL ER 341.

Evans v. Evans [1989] 1 FLR 351.

Evelyn Tan v. Tan Lim Tai [1973] 2 MLJ 92.

Eves v. Eves [1975] 1 WLR 1338.

Falconer v Falconer [1970] 1 WLR 1333.

Fan Po Kie v. Tan Boo Son [1982] 2 MLJ 137.

Farguharson v. Farguharson [1971] 115 SJ 444.

Fender v. S. Johnson-Mildmay [1936] 1 KB 111.

Fribance v. Fribance [1957] 1 ALL ER 357.

Gascoigne v Gascoigne [1918] 1 KB 223.

Gissing v. Gissing [1971] AC 886; [1970] 2 ALL E.R 780.

Golub v. Golub [1986] 527 N.Y.S. 2d 946.

Graham v. Graham [1978] 574, P.2d 75.

Grant v. Edwards & Anor 1986] 1 Ch 638.

Habsah bte Mat v. Abdullah bin Jusoh [1950] MLJ 60.

Hajah Saudah v. Hanafi (1992) 8 JH 284; (1997) 11 JH 21.

Haji Abdul Rahim v. Isngaton (1980) 2 JH 264.

Haminah Bee v. Samsudin (1979) 1 JH (2) 71.

Hargrave v. Newton [1971] 1 WLR. 1611.

Harvey v. Harvey [1982] Fam 83; [1982] 1 ALL ER 693.

Hasmah binti Omar v. Abdil Jalil [1958] 24 MLJ 10.

Hayward v. Hayward [1950] GLR 122.

Hazell v. Hazell [1972] 1 ALL ER 923.

Hoak v. Hoak [1988]14 FLR 1370.

Hoong Khai Soon v. Cheng Kwee Eng and another appeal [1993] 3 SLR 34.

Hujah Lijah binti Jamal v. Fatimah binti Mad Diah [1930] 16 MLJ 63.

Hyde v. Hyde (1866) LR 1 P& D 130.

In re Marriage of Olar [1987] 747 P.2d 676.

Jacqueline Bey v. Edmond Lee Yok Lung [1988] 2 MLJ 355.

Jasin v. Taiwan [1941] MLJ 247.

Jayakumari v. Suriya Narayanan [1996] 4 MLJ 421.

Jones (M.A) v. Jones (W) [1976] Fam. 8; 1975] 2 All ER 12.

Joseph Wong Phui Lun v. Yeoh Loon Goit [1978] 1 MLJ 236.

Kalthom bte Wahid v. Nordin bin Othman (1990) 9 JH 178.

Kelner v. Kelner [1939] 3 All ER 957.

Keng Hwa v. Tan Han Chuah [1996] 3 SLR 593.

Koh Kim Lan Angela v. Choong Kian Haw [1994] 1 SLR 22.

Lam Chih Kian v. Ong Chin Ngoh [1993] 2 SLR. 253.

Lau Choong Choo v. Chou Wee Chuan [1980] 1 MLJ 6.

Leadbeater v. Leadbeater [1985] FLR 789; [1985] Fam Law 280.

Lee Puey Hwa v. Tay Cheow Seng [1992] 3 MLJ 1.

Lesman v. Lesman [1982] 452 NYS. 2d 935.

Lim Beng Cheng v. Christopher Lee Joo Peng [1996] 1 AMR 1096.

Lim Beng Choo v. Tan Pau Soon [1996] 3 SLR 177.

Lim Chooi Hoon By Her Next Friend Koh Sin Yew v. Chok Yoon Guan (1893) 1

S.S.L.R. 72.

Lim Keng Hwa v. Tan Han Chuah [1996] 3 SLR 593

Lim Kuen-Kuen v. Hiew Kim Fook & Anor [1994] 2 MLJ 693.

Lim Thian Kiat v Teresa Haesook Lim [1998] 2 MLJ 102.

Lim Tian Hock Vincent v. Lee Siew Kim Virginia [1991] 1 MLJ 274.

Livesey (formerly Jenkins) v. Jenkins [1985] 1 ALL ER 106.

Lloyds Bank plc v. Rosset [1991] 1 AC 107.

Loo Cheng Suan Sabrina v. Khoo Oon Jin Eugene [1995] 1 MLJ 115.

Mahon v. Mahon [1971] 2 MLJ 266.

Majauskas v. Majauskas [1984] 61 NY 2d 48.

Mamat v. Fatimah (1978) 1 JH (1) 63.

Mansjur Bin Abdul Rahman v. Kamariah Bte. Noordin [1988] 3 MLJ xlix.

Mansjur v Kamariah [1988] 3 MLJ xliv.

Mariam & Mohamed, Shariah Subordinate Court of Kuala Terengganu, 91-010-2-97,

(unreported).

Marshall v. Curtwell [1875] LR 20 Eq. 328.

Martin (B.H) v. Martin (D). [1976] 2 WLR 901.

Melvin Lee v. Amy Anak Edward Sumek [1988] 2 MLJ 338.