



INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY - ITS PROTECTION IN
MALAYSIA: WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO PATENTS,
COPYRIGHT AND TRADEMARKS

RUSNI BINTI HASSAN

THIS DISSERTATION IS SUBMITTED AS A PARTIAL
FULFILLMENT FOR MASTER OF COMPARATIVE LAWS
1992/1993

KULLIYYAH OF LAWS
INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY
PETALING JAYA
SELANGOR DARUL EHSAN
MALAYSIA

DEDICATION

To all my beloved

MUM

*Your love give me strength
Your patience give me courage
With all my love
And all my heart
And all my thanks
For all that you
Have given me.*

SISTER

*May your life be ever
Full of love and joy*

FRIENDS

*Thank you
For accepting me
As I am.*

With all my heart and love.

- NHPI (NIE) -

PREFACE

Praise to Allah the Almighty, the Most Gracious for giving me continuous strength in my research and study. Thanks to Him who gave His mercy and help me to finish my dissertation. Thanks to Him for His blessings and may Allah bless me in all my doings.

The idea to write a dissertation on intellectual property protection occurred to me when the Kulliyyah of Laws, International Islamic University introduced a new subject of intellectual property for the students in late 1992. I was prompted into this decision primarily for shortage of reference books available on Malaysian intellectual property protection. Most of the intellectual property books are concern with the protection of intellectual property in other countries other than Malaysia. The references however, can be found in articles written by learned intellectual property lecturers and practitioners but, it is scattered in various journals. Thus, I write this dissertation with the idea of providing a concise and general introduction of intellectual property for the students who are taking this subject. It is not my purpose or intention to render legal advice or opinion in general terms for application to any particular situation. No general rules can be formulated for the solution of all intellectual property problems.

In writing this dissertation, I divide the discussions into six chapters. The first chapter meant to give an overview of the intellectual property, its nature and challenges as well as the development of intellectual property law in Malaysia. Chapter II deals with the protection in particular that is the international protection given under the various conventions and treaties. The protection of intellectual property is also available in the court of equity where the equity seems to jealously protects the interest of intellectual property owners from any infringements of their rights.

Copyrights, patents and trademarks are the portentous intellectual property substances which are strictly protected in Malaysia. The intellectual property owners thus can seek protection provided by specific statutes for copyright, patents and trademarks. Other subsidiary statutes are also available to give additional shield for the owner of intellectual property rights. The accessibility of equitable protection of intellectual property is well recognised by the Malaysian courts in the law of passing off. In fact, it is proven that the passing off actions are of more significant to the intellectual property owners. These extent of protection are regarded in Chapters III, IV and V.

Chapter VI deals with the piracy and counterfeiting activities which appear to be a very serious threat and menace to the intellectual property protection. The discussion on this topic is presented in such a way to demonstrate the availability and efficiency of protection discussed earlier in previous chapters to evacuate the pirates and counterfeiters.

Intellectual Property is a wonderfully interesting and unique subject matter, and it is hardly surprising that we have so many special rules about it. It has played different roles in our society at different times, depending on which of its characteristics was most important to people at the time. It should always be borne in mind that the law relating to intellectual property is continually growing and developing, just as technology which is developing at an escalating pace, so is the law that deals with it. Thus, what is presented in this script is the law applicable at the time of writing this dissertation. I hope this writing can be of help and assistance for those who pursue general knowledge on what is intellectual property is all about.

And finally, I would like to take this opportunity to record here my indebtedness and thank to those who assisted me in this writing. Some require special mention including my supervisor Dr. Mohsin Hingun who has read and re-read my drafts and made

invaluable suggestions for improvement. I was also much encouraged by Prof. Mohd. Akram who provide me some references in my research. Last but not least, I wish to thank my colleagues for their assistance and being courteous and helpful.

Rusni Hassan

MCL 1992/93

Kulliyyah of Laws

International Islamic University.

CONTENTS

Preface	i
Table Of Contents	v
Abbreviations	xi
Table Of Cases	xii

CHAPTER ONE : INTRODUCTION

1. THE NATURE OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY	1
2. THE CONCEPT OF PROPERTY AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS	2
(3.) CHALLENGES TO INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY	4
3.1 The Acquisition Of Technology	6
3.2 Monopolistic Behaviour	8
3.3 Anti-Competitive Practices	12
4. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN MALAYSIA	14
4.1 The Case Law And Judicial Approaches	17
(5.) THE SCHEME OF THE STUDY	21

CHAPTER TWO) : INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND ITS PROTECTION

(1) INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND ITS PROTECTION	23
(2) INTERNATIONAL PROTECTION OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY	24
2.1 INTERNATIONAL CONVENTIONS	26
(A) Copyrights And Neighbouring Rights	
(a) Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works 1886	27

(b)	Universal Copyright Convention 1952	29
(c)	Rome Convention 1961	31
(d)	Geneva Convention 1971	33
(B)	Patents And Industrial Property	
(a)	Paris Convention for the Protection Of Industrial Property	34
(b)	European Patent Convention	36
(c)	Patent Cooperation Treaty	37
(C)	Trademarks	
(a)	Paris Convention	39
(b)	Madrid Agreement Concerning the International Registration of Marks	40
(c)	Trademark Registration Treaty	42
2.2	UNITED NATIONS AGENCIES	44
(a)	World Intellectual Property Organisation (WIPO)	44
(b)	United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD)	45
(c)	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO)	45
(d)	General Agreement on Trade and Tariffs (GATT)	46
3.	REMEDIES IN THE COURT OF EQUITY	47
3.1	A Brief Survey On The Application Of The Law Of Equity	47
3.2	Injunctions	49
(a)	Interlocutory Injunctions	51
(b)	Final Injunctions	56
(c)	Quia Timet Injunctions	57

3.3	Interlocutory Procedures In Intellectual Property Disputes	58
(a)	Anton Piller Order	58
(b)	Mareva Order	64

CHAPTER THREE : PATENTS

1 BACKGROUND OF PATENTS

✓ 1.1	Nature of Patents Protection	71
✓ 1.2	What Is Patentable	72
✓ 1.3	What Is Not Patentable	74
✓ 1.4	Who May Apply	75
✓ 1.5	When Should The Application Be Filed	76

2 MALAYSIAN PATENTS SYSTEM

2.1	Administration Of Patents Act 1983	81
2.2	The Role Of Courts In The Patents Act	82
2.3	Essential Features Of A Protectable Invention	84
2.4	Procedures For A Patent Application	91
2.5	Examination And Search	93
✓ 2.6	Rights Of The Patent Owner	96
✓ 2.7	Infringement Of A Patent	101

3. THE FUNCTION OF PATENT AGENTS

3.1	Who Are Patent Agents	105
3.2	Advices Of Patent Agents In Patent Application	106

CHAPTER FOUR : COPYRIGHT

1. COPYRIGHT	112
✓ 1.1 Things That Can Be Protected	112
✓ 1.2 Who Can Claim Copyright	113
✓ 1.3 When Does Copyright Begin	114
✓ 1.4 Benefits Of Registration	115
2. STANDARD OF PROTECTION UNDER THE BERNE CONVENTION	116
3. ✓ MALAYSIAN COPYRIGHT LAW	118
✓ 3.1 Categories Of Copyright Work	121
3.2 Subsistence Of Copyright	124
✓ 3.3 Duration Of Copyright	126
✓ 3.4 Rights Of The Copyright Owner (Exclusive Rights)	127
3.5 Exceptions To The Exclusive Rights	129
3.6 Moral Rights	134
✓ 3.7 Infringement Of Copyright	135
✓ 3.8 Remedies Under The 1987 Act	138
3.9 Enforcement Provisions	139
4. AMENDMENTS TO THE COPYRIGHT ACT 1987 (For The Purpose Of Accession To The Berne Convention)	140
4.1 Section 24 - Government's Copyright	140
4.2 Section 59 - Minister's Right	142
4.3 Section 36(2) - Infringement Of Copyright By Importation	144
4.4 Categories Of Work	145

CHAPTER SIX : ACTIONS AGAINST PIRACY AND COUNTERFEIT

1.	ACTIONS AGAINST PIRACY AND COUNTERFEIT	(205)
1.1	Piracy And Counterfeiting	207
2.	COMBATTING INTERNATIONAL PIRACY	208
2.1	Inadequacy Of Existing Measures	(209)
2.2	The Development Of New Measures To Combat Piracy	(211)
3.	MEASURES TO COMBAT PIRACY IN MALAYSIA	215
3.1	The Law	(216)
(a)	Copyright Act 1987, Trade Marks Act 1976 & Patents act 1983	(216)
(b)	Trade Descriptions Act	(218)
(c)	Penal Code	221
(d)	Other Regulations	224
3.2	The Enforcement Mechanism	226
4.	THE SOLUTION	229

CONCLUSION

232

BIBLIOGRAPHY

APPENDICES

ABBREVIATION

AC	Appeal Cases
All ER	All England Report
Beav	Beavan's Reports
CA	Court of Appeal
Ch	Chancery
Ch. D	Chancery Division Law Reports
CLJ	Current Law Journal
CLR	Criminal Law Review
EIPR	European Intellectual Property Reports
FSR	Fleet Street Reports
KB	King's Bench
LJ Ch	Law Journal (Chancery) Reports
MLJ	Malayan Law Journal
NZLR	New Zealand Law Reports
QBD	Queen's Bench Division
RPC	Reports of Patent, Design & Trade Mark Cases
SC(J)	Session Cases (Court of Justiciary)
WLR	Weekly Law Reports

TABLE OF CASES

A

<i>Ace King Pte Ltd v Circus America Ltd & Ors [1985]</i> 2 MLJ 75	68
<i>Advocaat Case [1980]</i> RPC 31	173, 181, 188, 192, 193
<i>AG for the Dominion of Canada v Ritchie Contracting & Supply Co Ltd [1919]</i> AC 999	58
<i>AK Seenivasagam a/l Kuppusamy & Anor v Sreedharan s/o Sankaran & Anor, High Court of Malaysia at KL, Commercial Division, Civil Suit No. (2044/84, May 7 1990)</i>	166
<i>Allen v Jambo Holdings Ltd [1980]</i> 2 All ER 190	68, 69
<i>American Cynamid Co v Ethicon Ltd [1975]</i> RPC 513	52, 55, 83
<i>Amstrong Oil Ltd v Patent Axelbox & Foundry Co Ltd (1910)</i> 27 RPC 362	57
<i>Anakku Baby Products v Comelku Baby Products & Anor (16 July 1992) Civil Proceedings No. D5-22-487-90</i>	178
<i>Annabel's (Berkeley Square) v Shock [1972]</i> RPC 838	202
<i>Anton Piller KG v Manufacturing Process Ltd [1976]</i> Ch 55	59
<i>Aristoc Ltd v Rysta Ltd [1945]</i> 62 RPC 65	160
<i>Asia Television Ltd & Anor v Viwa Video Sdn Bhd [1984]</i> 2 MLJ 304	138
<i>Aspatra Sdn Bhd v Bank Bumiputera (M) Sdn Bhd [1988]</i> 1 MLJ 97	69
<i>Association Newspaper Group PLC v News Group Newspapers Ltd & Ors [1986]</i> RPC 515	130
<i>Auckland Medical Aid Trusts v Commissioner of Police & Anor [1976]</i> 1 NZLR 485	132

B

<i>Babanaft International Co v Bassante [1989]</i> 1 All ER 433	70
<i>Ballarat Products v Farmer's Smallgoods Co Pty Ltd [1954]</i> VR 104	185
<i>Barclay-Johnson v Yuill [1980]</i> 1 WLR 1259	64

<i>Baskin Robbins International Co & Anor v Avonday Sdn Bhd</i> [1992] 2 CLJ 1198	184
<i>Bayer AG v Winter</i> [1986] 1 WLR 497	66
<i>Bayer Pharma Pty Ltd v Farbenfabriken Bayer AG</i> [1965] 120 CLR 285	168
<i>BBC v Time Out Ltd</i> [1984] FSR 64	123
<i>Bismag Ltd v Amblins (Chemists) Ltd</i> (1940) 57 RPC 209	191
<i>Birmingham Vinegar Brewery Co v Powell</i> [1897] AC 710	185
<i>Bollinger v The Costa Brava Wine Co Ltd</i> [1960] RPC 16	173
<i>British Syphon v Homeword</i> [1956] RPC 330	76
<i>Burger King Corporation v Registrar of Trade Marks</i> [1973] 128 CLR 417	171
<i>Burgess v Burgess</i> (1853) 22 LJ Ch. 675	194

C

<i>Cadbury Schweppes Pty v Pub Squash Co Pty Ltd</i> [1981] 1 WLR 193	198
<i>Catnic Components v Hill & Smith</i> [1982] RPC 1833	53, 93
<i>Cambridge University Press v University Tutorial Press</i> (1928) 45 RPC 335	192
<i>Caterpillar Tractor Co v Hock Guan Sdn Bhd</i> [1988] 1 CLJ 787	83
<i>Champagne's case</i> [1960] Ch 262	188, 192
<i>Chartered Bank v Dallouche</i> [1980] 1 WLR 107	69
<i>Chong Fok Shang & Anor v Lily Handicraft & Anor</i> [1989] 2 CLJ 796	56
<i>Chye Ah San v R</i> (1945) 20 MLJ 217	62, 64
<i>Clark Equipment Co v Registrar of Trade Marks</i> (1964) 111 CLR 571	171
<i>County Laboratories Ltd v Mindel Ltd</i> (1957) 1 CH D 295	179
<i>Crowder v Tinkler</i> (1816) 19 Ves 617	58
<i>Cundley v Lerwill & Pike</i> (1908) 99 LT 273	194

D

<i>Day v Browning</i> (1878) 10 Ch D 294	200
<i>De Bouley v Du Boulay</i> [1896] LR 2 PC 430	204
<i>Dent v Turpin</i> (1861) 2 John & H 139	188
<i>Diamond v Chakrabarty</i> {1980} 447 US 303	86
<i>Dorling v Honnor Marine Ltd</i> [1964] 1 All ER 241	137
<i>Dunlop Rubber Co Ltd v Longlife Battery Report</i> [1958] 3 All ER 107	102
<i>Dunn's Trade Mark</i> (1890) 7 RPC 311	165

E

<i>Eastman Photographic Materials Co Ltd's Application</i> (1898) 15 RPC 467	169
<i>Edward Young & Co Ltd v Grierson Oldham & Co Ltd</i> (1924) 41 RPC 548	177
<i>Effluent Disposal case</i> [1970] RPC 238	196
<i>Elanco Products Ltd v Mandops</i> [1970] FSR 46	123
<i>Elliot Optical Co Ltd's Application</i> [1952] 69 RPC 169	162
<i>Ellis & Co's Trade Marks</i> (1904) 21 RPC 617	166
<i>Electrolux v Electrix Ltd</i> (1953) 70 RPC 127	176
<i>EMI Ltd v Pandit</i> [1975] 1 All ER 418	58
<i>Erven Warnink BV & Anor v J Townend & Sons (Hull) Ltd & Anor</i> [1980] RPC 31	20
<i>Estex Clothing Manufacturers Pty Ltd v Ellis & Goldstein</i> (1966) 116 CLR 254	176
<i>Evercrisp Snack Products (M) Sdn Bhd v Sweeties Food Industries Sdn Bhd</i> [1980] 2 MLJ 297	83
<i>Excelsior Pte Ltd v Excelsior Sport(s) Pte Ltd</i> [1986] 1 MLJ 130	20

F

<i>Federal Computer Services Sdn Bhd v Eric Ang Jee Hai</i> [1992] SCR 169	55
<i>Felton v Callis</i> [1969] 1 QB 200	67

<i>Fletcher v Bealey</i> (1885) 28 Ch D 688	58
<i>Foo Loke Ying & Anor v Television Broadcast Ltd & Ors</i> [1985] 1 CLJ 511	18, 125
<i>Foseco International Ltd v Fordath Ltd</i> [1975] FSR 507	53
<i>Francis Day & Hunter Ltd v Feldman & Co</i> [1914] 2 Ch 728	133

G

<i>General Tyre & Rubber Co v Firestone Tyre & Rubber Co Ltd & Ors</i> [1972] RPC 457	87, 90
<i>Genentech v Wellcome</i> [1989] RPC 147	90
'GE' Trade Mark [1973] RPC 297	176
<i>Golden Pages Trade Marks</i> [1985] FSR 27	159

H

<i>Habib Bank Ltd v Habib Bank AG Zurich</i> [1982]	200
'Hallelujah' Trade Mark [1976] RPC 605	165
<i>Harms (Inc) Ltd v Martins Club Ltd</i> [1927] 1 Ch 526	132
<i>Harris v Warren & Phillips</i> (1918) 35 RPC 217	197
<i>Harrods v R. Harrods</i> (1923) 41 RPC 74	182
<i>Haw Par Brothers International Ltd & Anor v Jack Chiarapurk & Ors</i> [1991] 2 MLJ 99	203
<i>Hilton v Earl of Granville</i> (1841) Cr & Ph 283	53
<i>Holt & Co's Trade Marks</i> (1896) 13 RPC 16	168
<i>Hooper v Rogers</i> [1975] Ch 43	58
'Hospital World' Trade Marks [1976] RPC 595	160
<i>Hubbard v Vosper</i> [1972] 2 QB 84	129
<i>Hubbard v Pitt</i> [1976] QB 142	51, 52
<i>Hubbuck & Sons Ltd v Wilkinson</i> [1899] 1 QB 86	191
<i>Hy-Line Chicks Pty Ltd v Swifte</i> (1966) 115 CLR 159	179

Hytrac Conveyors Ltd v Conveyors International Ltd [1983] FSR 63 60

I

Imperial Tobacco Co (of Great Britain & Ireland) Ltd v De Pasquali & Co (1918) 35 RPC 185 166

In re Oriental Credit [1988] 2 WLR 172 67

Island Records Ltd v Corkindale [1978] FSR 505 62

Irving's Yeast-Vite Ltd v F A Horsenail (1933) 50 RPC 139 191

J

Jeffreys v Bosey [1854] 4 HLC 815 125

Jennings v Jennings [1898] 1 Ch 378 53

Jennings v Steven [1936] Ch 469 131

John Walker & Sons Ltd v Rothmans International Ltd [1978] FSR 387 54

Joseph Crosfield & Sons Ltd's Application (1909) 26 RPC 837 170

Joy Music Ltd v Sunday Pictorial Newspapers Ltd [1960] 2 QB 60 130

K

Kimberly-Clark Corporation v Vereingate Papierwerke Schikedanz & Co [1967] 118 CLR 79 165

Kingston, Miller & Co Ltd v Thomas Kingston [1912] Ch 575 187

Kisto Soonder v Deb (1865) 2 WR (Cr) 5 223

L

Ladbroke (Football) v William Hill (Football) [1964] 1 All ER 464 123, 136

Lamb v Munster (1882) 10 QBD 110 62

La Marquise Footwear Inc's Application (1947) 64 RPC 27 165

Lee Yee Seng & Ors v Golden Star Video Sdn Bhd [1981] 2 MLJ 43 125

Lee Kar Choo v Lee Lian Choon [1967] AC 602 182

<i>Legal & General Assurance Society Ltd v Daniel [1968] RPC 253</i>	196
<i>Lian Keow Sdn Bhd & Anor v Overseas Credit Finance (M) Bhd & Ors [1982] 2 MLJ 162</i>	55
<i>Lian Keow Sdn Bhd v C Paramjothy & Anor [1981] 1 MLJ 217</i>	61
<i>Lister & Co v Stubbs (1890) 45 Ch D 1</i>	64
<i>Longman Malaysia Sdn Bhd v Pustaka Delta Pelajaran Sdn Bhd [1987] 2 MLJ 359</i>	136
<i>Lord Cowley v Byas (1871) 5 Ch D 944</i>	57

M

<i>Machinery Market Ltd v Sheen Publishing Ltd (1983) FSR 431</i>	128
<i>Magnolia Metal Co's Trade Marks (1897) 14 RPC 265</i>	169
<i>Magnolia Metal Co v Tandem Smelting Syndicate Ltd (1898) 15 RPC 701</i>	191
<i>Mareva Compania Naviera SA v International Bulk Carriers SA [1975] 2 Lyod's Rep 509</i>	65
<i>May v May (1914) 31 RPC 325</i>	194
<i>Mc Cain International Ltd v Country Fair Food Ltd [1981] RPC 69</i>	199
<i>Mercy's Application (1955) 25 AOJP 938</i>	165
<i>MRK Nayar v Punnusamy & Ors [1982] 174</i>	55
<i>Miss World (Jersey) Ltd v James Street Productions Ltd [1981] FSR 309</i>	203

N

<i>National Phonograph Co of Australia Ltd v Menck [1911] AC 336</i>	102
<i>Newsweek Inc v BBC [1979] RPC 441</i>	189
<i>Nippon Yusen Kaisha v Karageorgis [1975] 3 All ER 282</i>	64
<i>Norman v Matthews (1916) 85 LJKB 857</i>	53
<i>Norman Kark Publications Ltd v Odhaam's Press Ltd [1962] RPC 163</i>	185

O

- Oertli AG v Bowman (London) Ltd [1957] RPC 388* 186
Office Cleaning case (1946) 63 RPC 39 196

P

- Pacific Centre Sdn Bhd v United Engineers (M) Bhd [1984] 2 MLJ 143* 65,69
"Palmolive" Trade Mark (1932) 49 RPC 269 162
Parison Fabrics Ltd's Application (1949) 66 RPC 217 169
Parker & Sons (reading) Ltd v Parker [1965] RPC 323 188
Performing Rights Society Ltd v Harlequin Record Shops Ltd [1979] FSR 233 131
Pertamina case [1978] 1 QB 644 67
Perry v Truefit (1842) Beav 66 181
Personal Products Corporation's Application (1960) 30 AOJP 1817 171
PMK Rajah v Worldwide Commodities Sdn Bhd [1985] 1 MLJ 86 62,83
Poiret v Jules Poiret Ltd & Nash (1920) 37 RPC 177 186
Powell's Trade Mark [1893] 10 RPC 195 160,175
Preston v Luck (1884) 27 Ch D 197 51
Proctor v Bayley (1889) 42 Ch D 390 57
Pussy Galore Trade Mark [1967] RPC 265 162

R

- Rank Film Distributors Ltd & Ors v Video Information Centre [1981] 2 WLR 668* 62,63,226
Ratcliffe v Evans [1892] 2 QB 524 191
Re Arnold D. Palmer [1987] 2 MLJ 681 175
Reddaway's Application [1925] 42 RPC 397 159,182
Re Hick's Trade Mark (1897) 22 VLR 636 161

<i>Registrar of Trade Marks v W & G Du Cross Ltd</i> [19133] 30 RPC 660	167
<i>Re the Will of Yap Kim Seng</i> [1924] 4 FMSLR 313	49
<i>Rhone-Poulenc AG Co & Anor v Dikloride Herbicides Sdn Bhd & Anor</i> [1982] 2 MLJ 323	84
<i>Rickett, Cockerell & Co Ltd v Nevill</i> (1904) 21 RPC 3394	194
<i>Rivertex Ltd & Anor v Slim Rivertex Sdn Bhd & Ors</i> [1991] 1 MLJ 508	184, 194
<i>Roadstar-Anseah Investment Pte Ltd v Thian Hock Electric Sdn Bhd</i> [1990] 1 CLJ 1219	20
<i>Ronuk Ltd v Sin Thye Hin & Co</i> [1962] 2 MLJ 383	175
<i>Rolls Razors Ltd v Rolls (Lighters) Ltd</i> (1949) 66 RPC 142	202

S

<i>Saville Perfumery Ltd v June Perfect Ltd</i> (1941) 58 RPC 147	189, 199
<i>Schweppes Ltd & Ors v Wellingtons Ltd</i> [1984] FSR 211	130
<i>Scott v Scott</i> (1866) 16 LT 143	195
<i>Scotch Whiskey case</i> [1970] RPC 489	188
<i>S & F International Ltd v Trans-Con Engineering Sdn Bhd</i> [1985] 1 MLJ 62	68
<i>Sherry's case</i> [1969] RPC 1	188
<i>Shell Oil Drop case</i> [1963] 109 CLR 407	178
<i>Sillitoe v Macgraw-Hill Book Co</i> [1983] FSR 545	129
<i>Singer Machine Manufacturers v Wilson</i> (1877) 3 App Cas 376	182
<i>Sivaperuman v Heah Seok Yong Realty Sdn Bhd</i> [1979] 1 MLJ 150	55
<i>Smith, Kline & French Laboratories Ltd v Salim (M) Sdn Bhd</i> [1989] 2 MLJ 380	19, 101
<i>Smith, Kline & French Laboratories v Sterling Winthrop</i> [1976] RPC 511	159
<i>Sobrefina's Application</i> [1974] RPC 672	159
<i>Southern v How</i> (1618) Poph 143	181
<i>Spalding v Gamage</i> (1918) 35 RPC 101	182, 191

<i>Sri Rusa Beach Resort Sdn Bhd v Asia Pacific Hotels Management Pte Ltd</i> [1983] 1 MLJ 132	55
<i>Standard Cameras Ltd's Application</i> [1952] 69 RPC 125	168
<i>Star Industrial Co Ltd v Yap Kwee Kor</i> [1976] FSR 256	185
<i>'Stilton' Trade Marks</i> [1967] RPC 173	172
<i>Street v Union Bank of Spain & England</i> (1885) 30 Ch D 156	201
<i>Swift's Application</i> [1962] RPC 37	86
<i>Syarikat Seri Padu Sdn Bhd v Intan Enterprise Co</i> [1982] 2 MLJ 17	55

T

<i>Tan Geok Neo Jessie v Minister of Finance & Anor</i> [1976] 1 MLJ 149	186
<i>Television Broadcast Ltd & Ors v Mandarin Video Holdings Sdn Bhd</i> [1983] 2 MLJ 346	61, 64, 215
<i>Thermax Ltd v Schott Industrial Class Ltd</i> [1981] FSR 289	60
<i>The Quaker Oats Co v Alltrades Distributors Ltd</i> [1981] FSR 9	53
<i>Third Chandris Shipping Corporation v Unimarine SA</i> [1979] 1 QB 645	66
<i>Thornoleo v Hill</i> [1894] 1 Ch 569	187
<i>Tohtonku Sdn Bhd v Superace (M) Sdn Bhd</i> [1989] 1 CLJ 1128	178
<i>Tong Guan Food Products Pte Ltd v Hoe Hua Hing Pte Ltd</i> [1992] 1 SCR 24	190
<i>Tolley v JS Fry & Sons Ltd</i> [1931] AC 333	204
<i>Tottenham Hotspur Football & Athletic Co Ltd v Princegrove Publishers Ltd</i> [1974] 1 WLR 113	48
<i>Tussaud v Tussaud</i> [1890] 44 Ch D 678	187

U

<i>Unilever's Application</i> [1980] FSR 27	159
<i>University of London Press v University Tutorial Press</i> [1916] 2 Ch 601	129
<i>'Update' Trade Mark</i> [1979] RPC 166	160

V

Van Der Lely v Bamford [1986] RPC 61 93

W

Walsh v Lonsdale (1882) 21 Ch D 9 48

Warmington v Miller [1973] QB 877 48

Windsurfing International v Tabur Marine [1985] RPC 59 90

Winthrop Products Inc & Anor v Sun Ocean (M) Sdn Bhd [1988] 2 MLJ 317 19

William Edge & Sons Ltd v Wiilliam Nicolls & Sons Ltd (1911) 28 RPC 582 ... 185

Wilts United Dairies v Thomas Robinson Co Ltd [1985] RPC 94 197

Wood v Hall (1915) 33 RPC 16 195

Y

Yomeishu Seizo's case [1991] 3 MLJ 251 190

Yorkshire Copper Works Ltd's Application (1954) 71 RPC 150 168

Z

Zainal Abidin bin Haji Abdul Rahman v Century Hotel [1982] 1 MLJ 260 65

CHAPTER

1

INTRODUCTION

1. THE NATURE OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

The constant flow of judicial decisions and the renewed interest of the government in the subject of Intellectual Property, have made it an increasingly difficult term to define. Broadly speaking we can say that Intellectual Property is a generic term for the various rights or bundles of rights which the law accords for the protection of creative effort, or more especially, for the protection of economic investment in creative effort. As a generalisation this is acceptable, but only barely so. Apart from the fact that some forms of creative endeavour (or investment therein) are not protected at all, some rights which are now described as forms of Intellectual Property have only a tenuous connection with the creative process, particularly those such as trademarks which are associated with business reputation and competitive relations. Moreover the nature and scope of the rights accorded can and do vary greatly.

The term "Intellectual Property" originally sought to distinguish the rights which protected literary and artistic creations. In its modern formulation it embraces both industrial and literary and artistic property. This point is perfectly