

العامة السلامية العالمية عاليريا العامة السلامية العالم المنافقة عالم المنافقة عالم المنافقة عالم المنافقة عالم المنافقة عالم المنافقة عالم المنافقة المن

ILLEGITIMATE CHILD; AN ANALYSIS:

A COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN CIVIL AND MUSLIM LAW

AZIZAH MOHD (G 9410064)

THIS DISSERTATION IS SUBMITTED FOR MASTER OF COMPARATIVE LAWS

KULLIYYAH OF LAWS
INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY
PETALING JAYA
SELANGOR
JUN 1995

CONTENTS:

ACKNOWLED	GEMI	ENT				• • • •		vi	
PREFACE					• • • • •	• • • •		vii	
TABLE OF	STA	rutes				•••		viii	
TABLE OF	CASI	ES		•		• • • •		хii	
CHAPTER C	NE	: INTROI	OUCTIO	N					-
	THI	E LAW O	F PARE	ENTAGE			a	1	
1.1 -	- 1	HAT IS	PARE	ITAGE				1	
1.2 -		INDS OF						3	
	А · В ·	- mace: - Pate:		Lineage					
•	کد			tance Of	f Pater	rnity			
			- .			ng Paterni	Lty		
1.3 -	E	STABLISI	HING F	PATERNIT	UNDE	CÍVÍ R I SLAMI C	LAW	(5
	A ·	- Pate	rnity	Through	Marria	age			
	B					nal Declar			
	C ·					vledgement			
	D ·	- Pate:	rnity	Through	Evider	nce	*	•	
1.4 -	EST.	ABLISHI	NG PA:	TERNITY	JNDER I	ISLAMIC LA	W.	en e	17
	A	- Pate:	rnity	Through	Marria	age			
	B	- Pate	rnity	Through	Acknow	vledgement	t		
	C.	- Pate	rnitv	Through	Evider	nce			

1.5 -	THE PROVISION UNDER ISLAMIC FAMILY LAW IN MALAYSIA	30
CHAPTER	TWO : THE STATUS AND POSITION OF ILLEGITIMATE CHILD	
2.1 -	THE STATUS AND POSITION UNDER CIVIL LAW	42
2.2 -	THE POSITION UNDER ISLAMIC LAW	45
2.3	- THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN CHILDREN OF ADULTERY AND CHIL	DREN
	A - Adultery Before Marriage	•
	B - Adultery After Marriage	
2.4 -	CHILDREN OF RAPE	59
CHAPTER	THREE: RIGHTS OF ILLEGITIMATE CHILD	63 ·
3.1 -	THE CHILDREN'S RIGHTS IN GENERAL	64
	A - The Rights To Life And Equal Life Chances	•
	B - The Rights To Parentage And Legitimacy	
	C - The Rights To Fosterage	
	D - The Rights To Custody	
	E - The Rights To Maintenance	
	F - The Rights To Succession And Inheritance	
	G - The Rights To Socialization And General Care	•
3.2 -	THE RIGHTS UNDER THE LAW	32
	A - The Rights Under Civil Law	
	- The Position In Malaysia	
	B - The Rights Under Islamic Law	
	- The Provision Under Islamic Family Law In Malays	ia

CHAPTER FOUR: LEGITIMATION OF ILLEGITIMATE CHILD; PROVISION PROVIDED BY THE LAW

4.1 - LEGITIMATION UNDER CIVIL LAW	97
A - Legitimation Through Subsequent Marriage	
B - Legitimation Through Adoption	
4.2 - RECOGNITION UNDER ISLAMIC LAW	104
- Adoption Under Islamic Law	
CHAPTER FIVE : PROTECTIONS AFFORDED BY LAW	109
5.1 - THE POSITION IN MALAYSIA	
A - Child Protection Act 1991	
B - Protection Under The Law Reform (Marriage &	·
Divorce) Act 1976.	
C - Welfare / Children House	
D - Protection Of Women Under (Women & Girls)	
Protection Act 1973	
E - Adoption As A Mean Of Protecting Illegitimate	
Children	
I - Legal Adoption	
II - Registration Of Adoption	
III - The Practise Of The Muslims In Malaysia	
IV - The Position In Sabah And Sarawak	•
5.2 - PROTECTION UNDER ISLAMIC LAW	129
A - Adoption As A Mean Of Protecting Illegitimate	•
Children Under Islamic Law	•
B - Punishment Imposed For Adultery	

CHAPTER SIX : ADEQUACY OF PUNIS	HMENT IMPOSED	FOR ADULTERY	135
6.1 - (A) THE LAW IN MALAYSIA (B) THE DECISION OF THE		r in malaysia	135 169
6.2 - THE RATIONALE OF THE PUN ISLAMIC LAW A - Flogging B - Banishment C - Stoning	ISHMENT IMPOSE	D FOR ADULTERY	UNDEF 176
CHAPTER SEVEN 7.1 - COMMENTS AND SUGGESTION 7.2 - CONCLUSION			187 199
e · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
APPENDIX			203
BIBLIOGRAPHY			208

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In The Name of Allah, Most Gracious Most Merciful.

I must be grateful to Allah Almighty for, with His blessings and mercy, I was able to complete this dissertation. Peace be upon His Messenger Muhammad the Prophet.

I am also thankful to my honourable supervisor, Prof. Tan Sri Datuk Ahmad Ibrahim for his willingness and patience to supervise me with tolerance and assistance of my weaknesses.

I would like to express my appreciation and gratitude to my father and mother, brothers and sisters who always motivate, encourage and giving me support in my study as well as in completing this dissertation.

I also want to extend my appreciation to Madam Grace Rajoo and Puan Fauziah Begum and other members of Social Welfare Department for their help and assistance. Special thanks to Assoc. Prof. Abdullah Abu Bakar, Ustaz Abd. Wahab Hamid and Dr. Mohd Daud Bakar for their helpful opinions and assistance, Puan Anna, Librarians IIU, brother Bahad (Lab Assistance), Intan and Kak Asiah for their help in the preparation of this dissertation;

Last but not least, I would like to thank to all my friends who were directly or indirectly helping me and giving me moral support in fulfilling this task.

AZIZAH MOHD

KULLIYYAH OF LAWS

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY.

PETALING JAYA, SELANGOR.

PREFACE

It might be a well known fact that, children nowadays may be devided into three categories;

- i Legitimate child
- ii Illegitimate child
- iii Legitimated child

In fact, among these three, illegitimate child is the most unfortunate. He is degraded by his own status and is denied of his rights because of such status. He becomes a subject to disabilities unless he is legitimated. But only certain Law which will give him such a way. Islamic Law strictly disallows legitimation. As a result, he remains illegitimate, a child of a low status and of no value in the eyes of the society. The question arises as to whom to be blamed? How is his position nowadays, what is his rights and whether the law affords any protection for his sake.

With this study, we try to give a clear and exact picture on the above matters in the light of Civil and Islamic Perception, especially with regard to his position in Malaysia.

TABLE OF STATUTES

Administration Of Islamic Law Enactment (Johor) 1978.

Administration Of Islamic Religious Affairs Enactment (Terengganu) 1986.

Administration Of Muslim law Enactment (Selangor) 1952.

Administration Of Muslim Law (Malacca) 1977.

Administration Of Muslim Law (Penang) 1959.

Administration Of Muslim Law (Sabah) 1977

Administration Of The Religion Of Islam And The Malay Custom Of Pahang 1982.

Adoption Act 1952, R.S. 1981.

Births And Deaths Registration Ordinance 1957.

Births And Death Registration (Amendment) Act 1975.

Children And Young Persons Act 1947.

Child Protection Act 1991.

Civil Law Act 1956.

Crimes (Syariah) Enactment (Perak) 1992.

Criminal Offences In The Syarak Enactment (Perlis) 1993.

Distribution Ordinance, 1958.

Enakmen Kesalahan Syariah (Melaka) 1991.

Enakmen Pentadbiran Hukum Syarak (Pindaan) Melaka 1981.

Enakmen Undang-undang Pentadbiran Keluarga Islam (Terengganu) 1984.

Evidence Act 1950.

Family Act 1986, S.E. 1986.

Family Reform Act 1987, S.E. 1987.

Federal Territory (Modification Of Administration Of Muslim law Enactment) Order 1974.

Guardianship Of Infant Act 1961.

Inheritance (Family Provision) Act, 1971.

Islamic Family Law (Federal Territory) Act 1984.

Islamic Family Law (Kelantan) Enactment 1983.

Islamic Family Law (Kedah) Enactment 1979.

Islamic Family Law (Malacca) Enactment 1987.

Islamic Family Law Enactment (Negeri Sembilan) 1983.

Islamic Family Law (Pahang) Enactment 1987.

Islamic Family Law Enactment (Selangor) 1984.

Islamic Family Law (State Of Penang) Enactment 1985.

Law Reform (Marriage And Divorce Act) 1976.

Legitimacy Act 1960, R.S. 1971.

Legitimacy Act 1976, S.E. 1976.

Married Women And Children (Enforcement Of Maintenance) Act 1968.

North Borneo Adoption Ordinance 1960.

Ordinan Kesalahan Jenayah Syariah (Sarawak) 1991.

Perak Islamic Familiy Law Enactment 1984.

Registration Of Adoptions Act 1952, Act 253.

Syariah Criminal Code (Kedah) 1988.

Syariah Criminal Code (Kelantan) 1985.

Syariah Criminal Code II (Kelantan) 1993.

Syariah Criminal Enactment (Negeri Sembilan) 1992.

Wills Ordinance 1961.

TABLE OF CASES

A

Abd. Razak V Aga Mahamed Jaffer Bindamin (1893) ILR Cal. 668.

Abd. Razak V Maria Menado. Syariah Court Case no. 42 of 1964, (1965) 1 MLJ xvi.

Ah Chuck V Needham [1931] N.Z.L.R. 559.

Ahmad bin Sapalu V Jenediah binti Asmaul [1975] M.L.J.

260.

Ainan bin Mahamud V Syed Abu Bakar (1939) MLJ Vol.8, 209

Asang bin Lintubung V Yatun bin Datu Bidin, Native Court of Appeal, Sabah.

Azmi bin Ariffin And Maimun bt. Abdullah V Pendakwa Mahkamah Syariah Melaka [1988] 7 J.H. 80, (1990).

C

Cocks V Juncken (1947) 74 C.L.R. 277.

D

Damrah bin Karim V Tamiah binti Darami [1960] Native Court Of Appeal, Sabah.

E

Ettenfield V Ettenfield [1940] ALL ER 96.

H

Haji Ghazali V Asmah [1977] 1 J.H. 81 (1981).

Halimah V Pendakwa Jenayah Kelantan [1979] 1 J.H. (1980).

Hill V Crook (1873) L.R. 6 H.L. 625.

Ι

Ismail bin Abang Lah V Regina [1962] Native Court Of Appeal, Sabah.

J

Jainah V Mansor [1951] MLJ 62.

K

Knowles V Knowles [1962] 161 All ER.

M

Mamat bin Adam V Abdullah Mahamad dan Mat Edi [1983] 5 J.H. 134 (1984).

Matusin V Rawang [1953] S.C.R. 106.

Msst. Bibee Fazilatunnessa V Msst. Bibee Kamarunnessa (1901) 9 Cal. WN. 352.

Muhammad Allahdad Khan & Anor V Muhammad Ismail Khan & Others (1888) ILR, ALL. Vol. 10, 289.

P

Pendakwa V Bakar [1983] 5 J.H. 175, (1984-1987).

Pendakwa Mahkamah Syariah Perak V Hamsudin And Zaharah [1982] 5 J.H. 430, (1984-1987).

Pendakwa Mahkamah Syariah Perak V Ishak And Fatimah [1979] 5 J.H. 308, (1984-1987).

Pendakwa Mahkamah Syariah Perak V Shahadan And Ezon [1982] 5 J.H. 457 (1984-1987).

Pendakwa V Mat Zain And Ngah Saudah [1985] 5 J.H. 230, (1984-1987).

Pendakwa Syariah V Abd. Rahman And Jawiyah [1983] 4 J.H. 134, (1983).

· R

Re Balasingam V Paravathy [1970] 2 MLJ 74.

S

Sadik Hussain V Hashim Ali (1916) AIR P.C. 27.

Salim V Masiah [1976] 2 J.H. 296 (1980/81).

Sharifah Unei & Anor. V Mas poeti & Anor [1949] S.C.R. 5.

W

Wan Azmi V Nik Salwani [1990] J.H. 192, (1994).

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

THE LAW OF PARENTAGE

1.1 - WHAT IS PARENTAGE

Parentage is a term used for the status of a born child. A child who is born is deemed to have a mother and a father who shall establish his parentage.

Parentage can be broadly defined as a legal relationship between children and parents created by their birth in consequence of a legal marriage contract entered into between the parents. It seems that a marriage contract has been made a 'necessary condition' to legalize parentage of a child. It follows that, once a child's parentage is legal, he is a

Dr. Tanzil Ur Rahman, A Code Of Muslim Personal Law, Vol. 1, 689 (1978).

legitimate child.

At Common Law, a child is presumed legitimate if his mother was married either at the time of conception or at the time of birth. This presumption may prove difficult to displace.

Under Islamic Law, marriage shall legalize parentage of a child if he is born after six months pregnancy within a subsisting marriage or in accordance with Hukum Syara'.

Islam really concerns about the legality of parentage to preserve the dignity of human kind. In the Quran, it was provided to the effect that;

"It is He who has created man from water then has He established Relationship of Lineage and Marriage..."5

The word 'nasb' in the ayat is an Arabic term stands for parentage which was defined by the ayat as 'relationship of

Cocks V Juncken (1947) C.L.R. 74 at 277 & 294, quoted in Anthony Dickey, Family Law, First Edition, 235 (1985). Also see Knowles V Knowles [1962] All ER 659 at 660. The words of Wrangham J. in Knowles V Knowles, "There is undoubtedly a presumption (strong but capable of being rebutted by satisfactory evidence) that a child born in wedlock to a married woman is the child of her husband. That presumption applies not only to a child clearly conceived during wedlock".

Christina M. Lyon, The Law Relating To Children, 40 (1993).

Dr. Tanzil Ur Rahman, Op. Cit. at 693-694.

The Quran, Surah al Furgan 25:54. Also see Abdullah Yusuf Ali, The Meaning Of The Holy Quran, New Edition With Revised Translation And Commentary, 902 (1992).

lineage'. The ayat further indicates that, in Islam, marriage and parentage are related to each other. Thus, once marriage exists, parentage shall be established on the fulfillment of certain conditions.

1.2 - KINDS OF PARENTAGE

There are two kinds of parentage.

- A Maternal Lineage (Maternity)
- B Paternal Lineage (Paternity)

A - Maternal Lineage (Maternity)

Maternal Lineage or Maternity is established by the mother of the child. Generally, it does not raise any doubt as to the mother of the child. The doubt may arise on the identity of the father of the child. It is sometimes said that, maternity is a matter of fact whereas paternity is a matter of opinion. A Latin Maxim 'Mater Semper Certa Est Pater Incertus Est' express that, once's mother is certain but one's father is not.

At Common Law, previously, a woman who gave birth to a child

Anthony Dickey, Op. Cit. at 250.

was deemed to be the mother of the child. The same situation applied to Muslims. Islamic Law recognized a woman to be the mother of a child if she gives birth to the child irrespective of whether the child is born within or without a contract of marriage. 8

However, as a result of scientific advances made, a woman nowadays is able to give birth to a child who is not genetically related to her. In line with this development progress, a legal definition of a child's mother was provided in a statutory form under The Human Fertilization And Embryology Act 1990. This act provides that;

"The woman who is carrying or has carried a child as a result of the placing in her of an embryo or of sperm and eggs, and no other woman is to be treated as the mother of the child". 10

For this purpose, it seems that, a woman who has given birth to a child shall be the mother of the child.

Islamic Law however is strict in this case. Placing an embryo in a mother's womb is allowed only if it is from her husband's sperms and her eggs, provided that, she has no other

C. M. Lyon, Op. Cit. at 46.

Dr. Tanzil Ur Rahman, Op. Cit. at 691 - 692.

e.g. where an embryo or eggs semen have been placed in the mother's body.

Human fertilization And Embryology Act 1990, s. 27(1). See also C.M. Lyon Op. Cit. at 46-47.

way to gain children. If the sperms do not belong to the husband, the effect is just like a child who is born as a result of adultery. She is still the mother to a child of no father. On the other hand, if the embryo is from other persons' sperms and eggs, she has no relationship at all with the child. Thus, the status of the child is not differed with those of foundlings.

B - Paternal Lineage (Paternity)

Paternal Lineage or paternity is established by a father of a child. It is a relationship between a father and a child which is only legally established through marriage as discussed earlier.

I - Importance Of Paternity

Paternity can be said to be a matter of a great concern. It is very importance since it establishes legitimacy of a child. With no paternity, a child is deemed to be illegitimate. Thus, once a child is born, it is necessary that his legitimacy is ascertained, so that his other rights can be established such as right to custody, maintenance and inheritance.

Abdullah Al Bassam, "Atfaal Al Anaanib", *Majallah Mujma' Al Fiqhi Al Islami*, 257-260 & 266 (1986). Also see, Zakariyya Ahmad Al Barry, *Hukum Anak - anak Dalam Islam* (tr.), Chadijah Nasution, 15-16 (1977).

II - Ways of Establishing Paternity

Paternity of a child may be established through a few ways. Civil Law and Islamic Law make marriage as the key point to establish paternity of a child. However, subjective conditions seem to be different. Civil Law is very lenient in this matter while Islamic Law is quite strict.

1.3 - ESTABLISHING PATERNITY UNDER CIVIL LAW

English Law recognizes a few ways of establishing paternity of a child; among others are;

A - Paternity Through Marriage

As earlier discussed, a marriage automatically presumed paternity of a child if he is born during the subsistence of such marriage or at least conceived in the contract of marriage. The presumption is that, the wife's husband is the father of the child. 12

Mimi Kamariah Majid, "Equal Status Of Children", in Journal Of Malaysian And Comparative Law (Jernal Undang-undang), Vol. 5, 76 (1978).

The Civil Law maxim 'peter est quem nuptiae demonstrant' which means that, the presumption that if a child is born to a married woman, her husband is to be deemed to be his father until the contrary is proved. 13

The words of Wrangham J. in the case of *Knowles V Knowles*, 14 describe the basic rule and its exception, where he stated that;

"It seems to me that, the basis of the presumption is that, the law contemplates spouses as fulfilling their marital duties to each other unless there has been an order the court dispensing for the actual οf performance of such duties. So long as the 1aw contemplates the spouses as performing their marital duties to each other, so long will it contemplate that a married woman if she bears a child will be bearing it as a result of intercourse with her husband only".

However the presumption does not apply if at the time of conception the parties were married but subject to either a decree of judicial separation or a separation order. 15

Nevertheless, the presumption seems a very strong one because so long as it could be proved that the parties to the marriage had access to each other at any time when the child could have been conceived, the child is the child of the man. This presumption

P.M. Bromley & N. V. Lowe, *Bromley's Family Law*, Seventh Edition, 241 (1987).

^{14 [1962]} ALL ER 659 at 660-661.

Ettenfield V Ettenfield [1940] ALL ER 96 at 110.

has excluded any scientific evidence which may be adduced to rebut the presumption. 16

This strong presumption is illustrated by the New Zealand's case of Ah Chuck V Needham, 17 where in this case, a child was born to European parents exhibiting strong signs of being racially a half-caste. There was evidence that the wife had an intimate relationship with a Chinese man but at the same time no evidence that the husband and the wife had not had intercourse at or about the time of conception. The Court held that, it was indisputable that at the time of conception, the husband and the wife had opportunities of access they were living together as man and wife in the years 1928 and 1929. It follows that the child having been born during the continuance of the marriage is prima facie legitimate.

Herdman J. stated that;

"The presumption of legitimacy which arises when a child is born in wedlock can be met only by some kind of definitive proof that sexual intercourse between husband and wife did not or could not take place at the time the woman conceived". 18

The presumption seems hard to displace. However, it was rebuttable previously beyond reasonable doubt. At present the law

Mimi Kamariah, Op. Cit. at 78.

^{17 [1931]} N.Z.L.R. 559.

¹⁸ Ibid.

becomes more lenient and provides that, it can be rebutted on the balance of probabilities. Proof of non access by the husband to his wife on that the couple were staying far away from each other or the husband is impotent can be good grounds for this purpose. 20

Meanwhile, the law has expanded the provision to allow children of void and voidable marriages to be treated as legitimate. It also allows legitimation by subsequent marriage of the mother or the child's parents. However, this will depend on the domicile of the father where the father must be domiciled in England or Wales at the time of the birth or if he died before the birth was so domiciled immediately before the death. 21

In Malaysia, the position is similar. Section 112 of Evidence Act 1950²² has given the status of legitimacy to any person born during the continuance of a valid marriage between his mother and any man or born within two hundred and eighty days after its dissolutions the mother remaining unmarried. It follows that the marriage shall be the conclusive proof unless it can be shown that the parties to the marriage had no access to each other at any time when he could have been begotten.

¹⁹ P.M. Bromley, Op. Cit. at 242-243.

Mimi Kamariah, Op. Cit. at 76.

Legitimacy Act 1976 s. 1(1)(2), as amended by Family Law Reform Act 1987 s.28. S.E. 1987. See, Halsbury's Statutes, Fourth Edition, Children, Vol. 6, 212 (1992).

²² Act 56.

In the case of *Ainan Bin Mahamud V Syed Abu Bakar*, 23 the Evidence Act 1950 was held to be of general application and applicable to Muslims and non Muslims.

The fact of this case among others related to the execution of a deed of settlement for the benefit of six children. The settlor however denied the sixth to be his child. It was contended that the child (Mat Sah) had been conceived prior to the marriage and born within six months of the subsisting marriage. The question arose as to whether Mat Sah is a legitimate son of the settlor.

It was held inter alia that, in questions of legitimacy in the case of Muhammadans, section 112 of Evidence Act 1950 applies to the exclusion of the rule of Muhammadan Law.

This case has been criticized on a few grounds;

i - That section 112 should not be included into the Evidence Act 1950 since it is a substantive law and should not be passed as a law which deals only with procedure and evidence. Since it was included it must not applicable to Muslims.

ii - That the matter of legitimacy among Muslims falled under jurisdiction of State Laws, so that Evidence Act is impossibly applicable. 24

In our opinion, the above critism is fair and should be

²³ (1939) MLJ Vol.8, 209

Mimi Kamariah Majid, *Undang-undang Keluarga Di Malaysia*, 110 (1992).