

A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF TESTAMENTARY DISPOSITIONS IN COMMON LAW AND ISLAMIC LAW

MAIZATUN MUSTAFA G 9210998

HIS DISSERTATION IS SUBMITTED FOR MASTER OF COMPARATIVE LAWS (MCL)

KULLIYYAH OF LAWS
INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY
PETALING JAYA
SELANGOR, MALAYSIA

1992/93

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Praise be to Allah, the cherisher and sustainer of the worlds. Most gracious and most merciful and by whose grace I am able to complete this dissertation as part of the requirement for my master of Comparative Laws Programme.

I would like to take this opportunity to express my heartfelt gratitude to individuals and institution without whose kind assistance, concern and encouragement this humble effort would not have been possible accomplished.

THE STEP IN THE PARTY OF THE

To my supervisor Professor Syed Khalid Rasheed, many thanks for his advice, suggestions and encouragement. May Allah reward him for what he has done in accomplishing this task.

To the International Islamic University for my admission in MCL program and for all the miscellaneous supports that have give to me, my grateful thanks.

Many thanks to Oyeen, who did most of the typing for me, for without her help, this dissertation may not be accomplished.

Last but not least, my love and thanks to may parents for their prayers and for my fiance for his constant advice and encouragement. And to all who in one way or another have contributed to the success of this dissertation. May Allah bless us all.

CONTENTS

PREFACE

CHAPTER ONE : HISTORY OF TESTAMENTARY DISPOSITION	V
	=
COMMON LAW	L
HISTORY OF TESTAMENTARY DISPOSITIONS FROM NORMAN	
CONQUEST TO WILLS ACT, 1837	
	10
1.1 WHAT IS TESTAMENTARY UNDER COMMON LAW	2
1.2 HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT	
1.3 TESTAMENTARY DISPOSITION RELATING TO REAL PROPERTY	11
1.4 TESTAMENTARY DISPOSITION RELATING TO PERSONAL PROPERTY	14
ISLAMIC LAW	16
ORIGIN OF TESTAMENTARY DISPOSITION	
1.5 MEANING OF WILLS UNDER ISLAMIC LAW	16
1.6 TESTAMENTARY DISPOSITION UNDER ISLAMIC LAW	19
A. PRE ISLAMIC POSITION	19
B. SOURCES OF WILLS	20
C. AL QURAN	20
D. HADITH	23
E. WILLS ACCORDING TO THE FOUR IMAMS	25
1.7 TESTAMENTARY DISPOSITION RELATING TO REAL PROPERTY	
AND PERSONAL PROPERTY UNDER ISLAMIC LAW	28

CHAPTER TWO : NATURE OF WILLS AND ITS EXECUTION

COMMON LAW	
2.1 GENERAL NATURE OF WILL	32
2.2 NATURE OF WILL CONCERNING REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY	33
A. AMBULATORY NATURE OF WILL	
	33 34
	35
2.3 DEVOLUTION OF REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY	38
A. MEANING OF DEVOLUTION	38
[22] - [22] 222 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	39
2.4 EXECUTORSHIP	41
	42
B. DUTIES OF EXECUTORS	42
	42 42
C. ASSENT	43
	48
2.5 TYPES OF LEGACIES	50
A. SPECIFIC LEGACIES	51
B. GENERAL LEGACY	52
C. DEMONSTRATIVE LEGACY	54
ISLAMIC LAW	
2.6 NATURE OF WILLS IN ISLAM	55
2.7 RELIGIOUS STATUS OF WILLS UNDER ISLAMIC LAW	57
A. OBLIGATORY	57
B. OPTIONAL	57
C. FORBIDDEN	57
D. THE MANDATORY WILL	58
i. Egyptian Wills Ordinance	59

PERSONAL PROPERTY	5
A. CONDITIONS FOR A BEQUEATH PROPERTY 6	5
i. <u>It Must Be Capable Of Being Assessed. Acquired</u> And Used	5
ii. Where The Property Of Bequest Undergo Improvement	6
iii. Fixed Duration 6	7
iv. It Shall Be In Existence And Owned By The Testator	
v. A Will In Favour Of A Particular Legatee 6	
vi. Extend Of The Power Of Disposition 68	8
es :: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	
B. DISTINCTION BETWEEN PROPERTY ACQUIRED BY INHERITANCE AND BY WILL	D
C. DISTINCTION BETWEEN WILLS AND GIFTS 70)
2.9 <u>EXECUTORSHIP</u>	L
A. EXECUTOR UNDER ISLAMIC LAW B. APPOINTMENT OF EXECUTORS	L
E. POWERS AND DUTIES OF EXECUTORS	3
i. When An Executor May Sell	1
F. EXECUTOR'S LIABILITY 75	5
i. Debt	5

CHAPTER THREE : THE FORMALITIES OF WILLS

COMMON LAW

3.1 HISTORICAL BACKGROUND 8	1
3.2 EXECUTION OF WILLS AT COMMON LAW 8	2
A. EXECUTION OF FORMAL WILLS RELATING TO REAL PROPERTY . 8	
i. Writing 8 ii. Signature of Testator 8	3
(a) Statutory Provisions	7
iii. Presence And Attestation Of Witness 8	9
(a) Who May Be Witnesses	0
B. EXECUTION OF INFORMAL WILL BY PRIVILEGED TESTATOR OF REA PROPERTY 93	L
i. Privileged Testator 9	4
(a) Soldiers In Actual Military Service 9 (b) A Mariner Or Seaman At Sea 9	4
ii. Extend Of Privilege 9	8
(a) He Can Make Or Revoke A Will Even If He Is An Infant	9
C. EXECUTION OF WILL RELATING TO PERSONAL PROPERTY10	0
i. Personal Property And Issue Of Domicile	1 2

ISLAMIC LAW

3.3 <u>GENERAL</u> 10
3.4 EXECUTION OF WILL UNDER ISLAMIC LAW
A. ORAL WILL B. WILLS BY GESTURE C. WILLS BY WRITING 100
i. Nature Of The Writing
D. SIGNATURE110
E. WITNESS11
i. Requirement of a Witness
F. ATTESTATION
3.5 PHRASES ACCEPTED AS WILLS
3.6 CONDITIONAL WILL119
3.7 CONDITION FOR AN ENFORCEABLE WILL
A. CONDITION FOR ORAL WILLS
3.8 WILLS AND DEATH SICKNESS
A. GIFT IN DEATH SICKNESS

CHAPTER FOUR : RESTRICTION IMPOSED ON TESTAMENTARY

DISPOSITION

COMMON	LAW

4.1	<u>GENERAL</u> 130
A.NO	RESTRICTIONS IN PRINCIPLE
B.RES	STRICTIONS UNDER STATUTORY PROVISIONS
	i. The Inheritance (Family Provision) Act 1938
	ii. Inheritance (Provision For Family And
	Dependants) Act 1975
#5.7% 5×5%	(a) S 1 Of The Act Of 1975
	(b) What Constitute Reasonable Financial
	Provision
	(*) bedeutory outderlines
ISLAM	IIC LAW
4.2	<u>ENERAL</u> 146
4.3 <u>F</u>	ESTRICTIONS ON TESTATOR146
A. PR	EE
B. AD	ULT
	THE STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF T
1. ii	The Capacity Of A Mysserie
iii	The Capacity Of A Mumayyiz
	Peculiarities
iv	.Mental Maturity (Al Rushd)
C 50	JIND MIND
C. 50	UND MIND150
i.	<u>Insanity</u> 151
ii	Prodigality
11	i. Intoxication
17	. The Use Of Drugs
٧.	Other Situations
D. F	REE WILL154
4.4 <u>R</u>	ESTRICTIONS ON TESTATEE
R PY	ENTIFIABLE156
D. EA	ISTENCE

i. A Child In Womb	
C. A NON MUSLIM TESTATEE	
4.5 RESTRICTIONS AND LIMITATION OF TESTATOR'S POWER OF DISPOSITION	
A. NO BEQUEST TO AN HEIR	
i. Under The Hanafi Law	
4.6 OTHER RESTRICTIONS	
A. DYING PERSON'S DISPOSITION	
A. A WILL TO A NON MUSLIM	(4)
B. A WILL TO AN APOSTATE	

CHAPTER FIVE : REVOCATION AND LAPSE OF WILLS

COMMON LAW

5.1 GENERAL PRINCIPLES GOVERNING REVOCATION180
5.2 VOLUNTARY REVOCATION
A. VOLUNTARY REVOCATION BY ANOTHER WILL OR CODICIL182
i. Express Revocation
B. BY WRITTEN DECLARATION OF THE INTENTION TO REVOKE THE WILL
C. BY DESTRUCTION189
i. <u>Destruction</u>
5.3 INVOLUNTARY REVOCATION
A. REVOCATION BY MARRIAGE195
i. Wills Made Before 1 January 1983
B.WILLS MADE ON OR AFTER 1 JANUARY 1983199
5.4 <u>LAPSE OF WILL</u> 202
A. NATURE AND MEANING OF LAPSE
5.5 STATUTORY EXCEPTIONS FROM LAPSE
A. GIFT OF ENTAILED INTEREST
i. S 33 Of The Wills Act 1837
5.6 OTHER EXCEPTIONS21
5.7 <u>COMMORIENTES</u>

ISLAMIC LAW
5.8 <u>GENERAL</u> 215
5.9 REVOCATION OF WILL IN ISLAMIC LAW
A. EXPRESS REVOCATION
B. IMPLIED REVOCATION
C. INTENTION TO REVOKE
5.10 LAPSE OF WILLS IN ISLAMIC LAW
A. TESTATEE PRE DECEASING TESTATOR
SURVIVAL219
C. WHEN A WILL ACCRUES TO THE CO TESTATEE220
D. WHERE THE CO TESTATEE DOES NOT SATISFY WITH THE
CONDITION OF WILL220
E. WHERE ONE OF THE CO TESTATEE IS AN HEIR

* s=

CHAPTER SIX : INTERPRETATION OF WILLS
COMMON LAW
6.1 ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE ACT 1982223
ISLAMIC LAW
5. 0. ADMINISTRATION OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
6.2 GENERAL RULE ON INTERPRETATION226
A. MEANING OF WORDS AND PHRASES
MEANING
C. TECHNICAL AND CONVENTIONAL WORDS
E. WHERE A WILL IS CONDITIONAL UPON LATER EVENT230
6.3 RULES OF INTERPRETATION AS ADOPTED BY THE HANAFIS 231

PREFACE

Generally, testamentary disposition of property by a testator is recognised both in common law and in Islamic law.

This dissertation is an attempt to lay down the historical background, the nature, the formalities, the restrictions and the revocation of wills both under common law and under Islamic law.

A comparison will be made between the two and their similarities or differences will be highlighted, should there be any.

Nonetheless, there are certain matters of testamentary dispositions under both laws which cannot be compared or contrast as that particular matters may exist in one law' but does not exist in another law. For example, the issue of real and personal property in testamentary disposition is not an issue under Islamic law.

There are also certain aspects in Common Law which is not discussed by Islamic law, such as that of privileged testator. Should this matter arises, it is therefore presumed that, if the law does not contradict with the Shariah, then the same rule may also be applicable in Islam, insya Allah.

CHAPTER ONE: HISTORY OF TESTAMENTARY DISPOSITIONS

COMMON LAW (p 1)

HISTORY OF TESTAMENTARY DISPOSITIONS FROM NORMAN CONQUEST TO WILLS ACT, 1837

- .1 WHAT IS TESTAMENTARY UNDER COMMON LAW (p. 2)
- .2 <u>HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT</u> (p. 5)
- .3 TESTAMENTARY DISPOSITION RELATING TO REAL PROPERTY (p. 11)
- 1.4 TESTAMENTARY DISPOSITION RELATING TO PERSONAL PROPERTY (p. 14)

ISLAMIC LAW (p. 16)

ORIGIN OF TESTAMENTARY DISPOSITION

- 1.5 MEANING OF WILLS UNDER ISLAMIC LAW (p. 16)
- 1.6 TESTAMENTARY DISPOSITION UNDER ISLAMIC LAW (p. 19)
 - A. PRE ISLAMIC POSITION (p. 19)
 - B. SOURCES OF WILLS (p. 20)
 - C. AL QURAN (p. 20)
 - D. HADITH (p. 23)
 - E. WILLS ACCORDING TO THE FOUR IMAMS (p. 25)
- 1.7 TESTAMENTARY DISPOSITION RELATING TO REAL PROPERTY AND
 PERSONAL PROPERTY UNDER ISLAMIC LAW (p. 28)

COMMON LAW

HISTORY OF TESTAMENTARY DISPOSITIONS FROM NORMAN CONQUEST TO WILLS ACT, 1837

WHAT IS TESTAMENTARY UNDER COMMON LAW

The Century Dictionary puts as the first meaning of 'testamentary relating or appertaining to a will or wills. A will (or testament), according to Saunders, is the declaration in a prescribed manner of the intention of the person making it with regard to matters which he wishes to take effect upon or after his death.

In <u>Fuller v Hooper</u>, Dord Hardwicke L.C., said that 'a will is to be considered in two lights, as to the <u>testament</u> and the <u>instrument</u>. The testament is the result and effect in point of law, of what is the will; and that consists of all the parts; and a codicil is then a part of the will, all making but one testament; but it may be made at different times and different circumstances, and therefore there may be a

John B. Saunders, Words and Phrases Legally Defined, vol 5, P. 182 (1969)

Century Dictionary as cited in (d. at 3400

^{3 (1751) 2,} ves sen 242

Rules pertaining to Codicil will be discussed in chapter 2.

different intention at making one and the other. The Instrument is that writing in which the will is contained.

Other terms relating to testamentary are the word 'devise' and 'bequeath'. In their ordinary sense they signify the declaration of a man's will concerning the succession to his own property after his death. Such a 'devise' or 'bequest' operates by virtue of the will, and of that alone.

The word 'devise' according to saunders is property applicable to a disposition of real estate, which is prima facie its meaning.

James in his definition of 'devise' states that a devise or legacy, is where a man in his testament doth give anything to another; a devise is property applied to the gift of lands and legacy to the gift of goods or chattels.

Therefore a devise strictly is said to be where a man in his

John S. James, <u>Strouds Judicial Dictionary of Words and Phrases</u>, Vol 2, 768, 1972.

John B. Saunders, <u>Supra</u> note at Vol 2, p. 68

Supra note 5 at 769

testament doth give his lands to another after his decease; and a legacy is said to be where a man in his testament doth give any chattel to another to have after the death of the testator.

.2 HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT

Before the Norman Conquest, England was ruled by the Anglo Saxon Law. By the middle of the 9th century there had existed documents which are known as Anglo-Saxon will or testaments. Unfortunately much of the information about the Anglo Saxon laws and customs especially in this regards obscure as not much written records are preserved.

The Anglo-Saxon will was written in Roman and it give a vague idea of what a man can, through written or spoken, determine about the goods he leaves behind after his death.

One of the most important ingredients under the Anglo-Saxon will is the 'post obit gift'. 10 It is where a man wishes to give land to a church, but at the same time he wishes to enjoy that land so long as he lives. A 'book' is drawn up in which he says ' I give the land after my death'.

Another characteristic of the Anglo Saxon will is the death bed distribution.11

Pollock & Maitland, The History of English Law, vol 2, 314, 1984

⁹ Id at vol 1, 25

¹⁰ Id. at 317

¹¹ Ibid

Already in the 8th century, the dying man's last words are to be respected. But how much power this spoken words have is not known.

The 'post obit gift' and 'the last words' seem to be the only ingredients in the written will of the 9th, 10th and 11th century. 12 At this period, only great people can make wills. 13 They include kings, queens, king's son, bishops and other such persons. for the ordinary man, the king's consent must be obtained if the will is to be valid. another method used was to make an appeal to ecclesiastical sanctions where a bishop sets his cross to the will and cursed those who infringe it.

After England was conquered by William Duke of Normandy in 1066 the landholder could no longer give his land by will. This power was taken away under the 'feudalism' introduced by the Norman. Feudalism was established under William I,14 Where it was assumed that all land is held ultimately of the king.

¹² Id at 319

¹³ Id at 320

J.H. Baker, An Introduction to English Legal History, 257, 1990

A set of interdependent changes of wills took place during the 12th and 13th century and gradually established a definite law after the Norman conquest. 15

- The king's court condemns the post obit gift of land and every dealing with land that is of a testamentary character;
- 2. By evolving a rigorously primogenitary scheme for the inheritance of land, it destroys all such unity in the law of succession. The heir as such will have nothing to do with the chattels of the dead man.
- 3. The church asserts a right to protect and execute the last will of the dead man. The will which only deals with chattels gradually assumes a truly testamentary character and the executor of it gradually becomes the 'personal representative' of the dead man, but has nothing to do with freehold estates.

After the Norman Conquest had introduced the law against the devise of land, certain devices were practised by the English people. The most fruitful devices discovered in the 14th century was that which enabled a landowner to avoid the rule prohibited wills of land. 16

Supra note 8 at 325

¹⁶ Supra note 8 at 284

A dying tenant could grant the land to a group of friends and neighbours on trust to regrant it after his death to such beneficiary as he should name. this enabled the land in effect to be devised and since title did not passed by descent, 17 the feudal incidents were avoided as well. This machinery was called a 'use' had later extended into a permanent institution.

Between 1391 to 1490 little was done to preserve the financial profits of feudalism against the encroachment of uses. 18 As a result of the use, feudal revenue from reliefs payment for taking up an inheritance and wardships 19 (payment for taking up an inheritance) in chivalry was by 1500 becoming virtually obsolete.

Later Henry VIII determined to revive some of the feudal revenues by raising fiscal feudalism'20. In 1526 the King's council adopted a policy of tightening up on alienation to ensure that the crown was compensated for any loss.

In legal understanding, it is taken when land, after the death of the accentor, is cast by the course of law upon the heir-stroud's

¹⁸ Supra note 8 at 289

Wardship is where if a deceased tenant heir was under age and so unable to perform his feudal obligations, he was subjected to wardship. The land come back to the lord during the infancy, so that he might be compensated out of the income for the loss of services.

^{20 &}lt;u>Supra</u> note 14 at 290

In 1529 the king agreed to introduce legislation whereby feudal incident would be restored to the extent of one-third of the amount due at common law. This proposal was rejected as uses were an established legal institution which the people keen to preserve since it conferred them the power to devise land.

Henry VIII applying the statue of 1484 through the common pleas judges who viewed that uses were governed by the common law. And that wills of uses would be void, because wills of land were void.

Here, uses might not be binding in conscience after all as it 'deprive the king and his subjects of their feudal incidents'. Thomas Andley a reader of the Inner Temple in 1526 who had propagated the new government policy, complained of andowners who had pursued uses, was placed by the king as i judge in conscience. In 1534 he discussed the case²¹ of whether a will made by a tenant-in-chief which would have be leprived the king of his wardship was valid.

the judges having coerced by Henry VIII declared that it was gainst the nature of land to be devisable by will, and that will of the use of land was just as invalid as a will of the

Re Lord Dacre of the South (1535) B. & M. 105

land itself.22

The Statute of Uses which was introduced in 1536 carried the royal policies to the extreme of abolishing the power of devise for the future. This had been opposed by the people as the statute not only restored Feudal incidents, but it imposed compulsory primogeniture on a society which had accustomed itself to wills.

Protest was made to urge that landowners be allowed to leave part of their lands by will. Within four years the government accepted the demand and retreated to the one-third compromise proposed in 1529.

The Statute of Wills 1540 conferred for the first time the legal power to dispose freeholds by will.

^{22 &}lt;u>Supra</u> note 14 at 291

1.3 TESTAMENTARY DISPOSITION RELATING TO REAL PROPERTY

Real property or realty according to Manchester²³ consist of things substantial and immovable, and of the rights and profits annexed to, or issuing out of these. Realty consisted of lands, of tenements or of hereditaments.²⁴

As regards disposing of real property, the history states that the power of disposing of lands by will existed in the time of Saxons but after the establishment of the Feudal system after the Norman Invasion, the power was taken away. This is true for the land held by knight service²⁵ for being considered inconsistent with the principles of feudal law.

By 1535 Statute of Uses was introduced to abolish the individual power of testamentary disposition. This was abolished by the Statute of Wills, 1540.

A.H. Manchester, Modern Legal History, 302, 1980;

The distinction between real property and personal property under the feudal system is that the former was subject to tenure, inheritance or future estates; J.H. Baker, An Introduction to English Legal System, 255, 1990;

Halsbury's Statutes, vol 50, 148