FORMALISING THE CONCEPT OF RESTORATIVE JUSTICE WITHIN THE SAUDI CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM: A LEGAL ANALYSIS

BY

ALZAHRANI SOMIH TALAL A

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (LAW)

> Ahmad Ibrahim Kulliyyah of Laws International Islamic University Malaysia

> > SEPTEMBER 2021

ABSTRACT

Restorative justice (hereinafter referred to as RJ) concept is recognised and practised globally; many legal systems have begun to recognise the principles of RJ four decades ago. Meanwhile, the Saudi legal system which derives its authority from Shari'ah recognises and implements many principles of RJ since the establishment of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Shari'ah practices such as reconciliation, mediation, intercession, mercy, forgiveness, pardon, compensation and others are implemented in the Saudi criminal justice system; however, the concept of RJ is not recognised per se. Therefore, the objective of this research is to investigate the principles and practices already implemented in the Saudi criminal justice system that are of an RJ nature, and to introduce them to be recognised as "Restorative Justice system- لظام العدالة الإصلاحية'. Moreover, it aims to examine New Zealand and Canadian RJ practices to adopt potential approaches within Saudi criminal justice system as experienced and practised in those countries. The research depends on doctrinal and non-doctrinal, namely empirical, research methodologies; the doctrinal is based on primary and secondary sources of Shari'ah, legal systems, and case laws/judicial rulings of Saudi, Canada, and New Zealand. The empirical study depends on in-depth and semi-structured interviews with judges and reconciliation officers from Saudi. Undoubtedly, RJ is practised as a prime method within the Saudi criminal system; however, it is lacking essential tools to be fully implemented as it is practised in other systems. These tools are the alternative sentences which were suspended in 2015 due to lack of mechanism to regulate the practice of these alternatives. From the experience of New Zealand and Canada, contracting with private service providers is one of the solutions to facilitate the implementation of RJ practices. Adopting an RJ framework within the Saudi judicial system is going to have a significant impact in acknowledging, at the international level, the remarkable practices and efforts of the Saudi judicial system in dealing with crimes restoratively.

خلاصة البحث

مفهوم العدالة الإصلاحية معترف به ويتم تطبيقه عالميًا في كثير من الدول؛ فقد اعترفت العديد من أنظمة الدول القانونية بمفهوم العدالة الإصلاحية منذ ٤ عقود. في الوقت نفسه، فإن النظام القانوبي السعودي، الذي يستمد سلطته من الشريعة، يقر ويطبق العديد من مبادئ العدالة الإصلاحية منذ إنشاء المملكة العربية السعودية. بعض مبادئ الشريعة كالصلح والوساطة والشفاعة والرحمة والعفو والمغفرة والتعويض وغيرها يتم تطبيقه في النظام الجنائي السعودي؛ هذه الممارسات جميعها من مبادئ العدالة الإصلاحية المعترف بها عالميا. إلا أن مفهوم العدالة الإصلاحية غير معترف به في القانون السعودي في حد ذاته. لذلك، فإن الهدف من هذا البحث هو التحقيق في المبادئ والممارسات المطبقة بالفعل في النظام الجنائي السعودي والتي تعتبر ذات طبيعة إصلاحية، وتقديمها ليتم الاعتراف بما على أنما "نظام العدالة الإصلاحية". علاوة على ذلك، يهدف البحث إلى فحص ممارسات العدالة الإصلاحية في نيوزيلندا وكندا والاستفادة من بعض الأساليب المعتمدة في كلا النظامين لتبنيها داخل النظام الجنائي السعودي. يعتمد البحث على منهجيات البحث القانوبي الفقهي (التقليدي) وغير الفقهي (الاجتماعي). يستند الأول على المصادر الأولية والثانوية للشريعة، والأنظمة القانونية في السعودية وكندا ونيوزيلندا، والقضايا السابقة لكل نظام. ويعتمد الأخير على مقابلات شخصية وشبه منظمة مع قضاة ومصلحين في مراكز الصلح. لا شك أن العدالة الإصلاحية تُمارس كمنهج أساسي في النظام الجنائي السعودي. ومع ذلك، فإن النظام يفتقد إلى أدوات مهمة ليتم تنفيذ العدالة الإصلاحية بشكل متكامل كما تتم ممارستها في الأنظمة الأخرى. من هذه الأدوات، العقوبات البديلة التي تم تعليق العمل بها عام ٢٠١٥ بمدف تنظيم ممارسة وتنفيذ هذه البدائل. وكذلك قد يفتقر إلى الاهتمام بحقوق الضحية في قضايا التعزير؛ حيث يتم التركيز على مصلحة الجابي والمجتمع دون الضحية. وأخيراً، من واقع تجربة نيوزيلندا وكندا، يعد التعاقد مع مقدمي خدمات من القطاع الخاص واحداً من أفضل الحلول لتسهيل تنفيذ ممارسات العدالة الإصلاحية. وسيكون تبني هيكلة لنظام العدالة الإصلاحية في النظام القضائي السعودي تأثير كبير في التعريف، على المستوى الدولي، بالممارسات والجهود البارزة للنظام القضائي السعودي في التعامل مع الجرائم بشكل إصلاحي.

APPROVAL PAGE

The thesis of Alzahrani Somih has been approved by the following:

| Ramizah Wan Muhammad Supervisor |
|--|
| Mohd. Iqbal Bin Abdul Wahab Co-Supervisor |
| Nasimah Hussin Internal Examiner |
| Farah Nini Binti Dusuki External Examiner |
| Syahrizal Abbas MA External Examiner |
| Radwan Jamal Elatrash Chairman |

DECLARATION

| hereby declare that this thesis is the result of | of my own investigation, except where | |
|---|---|--|
| otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not be | en previously or concurrently submitted | |
| as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Alzahrani Somih Talal A | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Signature | Date | |
| ~ 1,7110110111111111111111111111111111111 | 2 | |

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH

FORMALISING THE CONCEPT OF RESTORATIVE JUSTICE WITHIN THE SAUDI CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM: A LEGAL ANALYSIS

I declare that the copyright holder of this thesis is jointly owned by the student and IIUM.

Copyright © 2021 Alzahrani Somih and International Islamic University Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below.

- 1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
- 2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
- 3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieved system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledged that I have read and understood the IIUM Intellectual Property Right and Commercialisation policy.

| Affirmed by Alzahrani Somih Talal | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Signature | |

| Dedicated with gratitude and affection to my parents Talal and Saliha, my husband |
|---|
| Hassan and Children Muath, Munirah, Muhannad, and Muhammad |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Glory and gratitude be to Allah (*S.W.T*) who hath ordained law and guidance and has given me the ability to complete this work. May the peace and blessings of Allah be upon Prophet Muhammad (*), Members of his household and his companions. I pray to Allah that this work be accepted as ongoing charity (*Sadaqah Jariyah*) that could benefit others and raise our status in the hereafter.

My highest heartfelt gratitude goes to my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr Ramizah Wan Muhammad for guiding and supporting me throughout this work, and for providing invaluable guidance throughout my research. I am extremely grateful and honoured for the opportunity to work under her guidance and to be inspired by her knowledge, ambition, sincerity, and motivation.

Special thanks and gratitude to Prof. Dr. Farid Sufian Bin Shuaib, Dean of Ahmad Ibrahim Kulliyyah of Laws as well as Assoc. Prof. Dr. To' Puan Nor Asiah Mohamad, Deputy Dean of Postgraduate Affairs. My sincere appreciation to all staff of Ahmad Ibrahim Kulliyyah of Laws for their kind assistance and co-operation.

My wholehearted gratitude goes to each one of my family members especially my parents Saleha Alomari and Talal Alzahrani for always encouraging me to pursue my education and for their continuous support, love, and care. I am grateful for my husband Hassan Alharbi for his efforts in supporting me throughout my study and for his understanding and patience. I am also thankful to my children Muath, Munirah, Muhannad and Muhammad for continuously encouraging and supporting me since the outset of my studies. Their companionship and endless love and support made my upheaval path exceptionally easy.

Finally, I would like to convey my appreciation to whoever made my PhD journey pleasant and easy, a sincere appreciation to all my sisters Manal, Mahasen, Aiysha, Hend and Hadeel, and my brother Mohammad, and to all my friends specially Mona, Fatima, Aisha and Eman. May Allah (S.W.T) reward them abundantly for their continued prayer, encouragement, and love.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| ABSTRACTii |
|--|
| APPROVAL PAGEiv |
| DECLARATIONv |
| Copyright pagevi |
| DEDICATIONvi |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTSviii |
| TABLE OF CONTENTSix |
| LIST OF FIGURESxiii |
| LIST OF TABLESxiv |
| LIST OF CASESxv |
| LIST OF STATUTESxvi |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONSxviii |
| CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION1 |
| 1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY1 |
| 1.2 STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM8 |
| 1.3 RESEARCH QUESTIONS9 |
| 1.4 OBJECTIVES9 |
| 1.5 HYPOTHESIS |
| 1.6 LITERATURE REVIEW11 |
| 1.6.1 Shari'ah Point of View11 |
| 1.6.2 The Saudi Perspective |
| 1.6.3 Sources Based on Arab Countries' Legal Systems Recognizing |
| RJ Concept in Arabic20 |
| 1.6.4 Three Leading Sources on the General Concept and Practices |
| of RJ |
| 1.6.5 Perspective on RJ in New Zealand |
| 1.7 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY |
| 1.8 SUMMARY OF THE RESEARCH |
| 1.9 CONCLUSION |
| 1.9 CONCLUSION |
| CHAPTER TWO: THE GENERAL CONCEPT OF RESTORATIVE |
| JUSTICE AND THE CONCEPT WITHIN THE SHARI'AH34 |
| 2.1 INTRODUCTION |
| 2.2 THE CONCEPT OF RESTORATIVE JUSTICE |
| 2.2.1 Origins of Restorative Justice |
| 2.2.2 Objectives and Key Values of Restorative Justice |
| 2.2.3 General Definition of Restorative Justice |
| 2.3 RESTORATIVE JUSTICE WITHIN ISLAMIC LEGAL SYSTEM43 |
| 2.3.1 The Origins and Roots of Principles of Restorative Justice |
| within Shari'ah |
| 2.3.2 Existing Restorative Justice Forms in Shari'ah Restorative |
| Justice 59 |

| 2.3.3 Objectives of Islamic Restorative Justice | 59 |
|--|--------|
| 2.3.4 Foundations of The Reconciliation Process | |
| 2.3.5 Definition of Restorative Justice within the Context of Shari'ah | l |
| | |
| 2.3.6 The Role of <i>Ijtihad</i> in Legal Reform | |
| 2.4 CONCLUSION | 72 |
| CHAPTER THREE: THE SAUDI ARABIA LEGAL SYSTEM AND THE | |
| DEVELOPMENT OF RJ METHODOLOGY | 75 |
| 3.1 INTRODUCTION | 75 |
| 3.2 THE SAUDI LEGAL SYSTEM | 76 |
| 3.2.1 The Role of The King | |
| 3.3 SAUDI JUDICIAL SYSTEM | 81 |
| 3.3.1 Types of Courts | |
| 3.4 SOURCES OF SHARI'AH (WITHIN SAUDI LEGAL SYSTEM) | |
| 3.4.1 Objectives of <i>Usul al-Fiqh</i> | |
| 3.4.2 Brief Explanation of The Four Fundamental Sources of Shari'ah | |
| 3.4.3 Natural Law Theory and <i>Ijtihad</i> | 98 |
| 3.4.4 The Method of Choosing the Suitable Proof to Extract A Rule. | |
| | |
| 3.5 RELEVANT LAWS TO THE STUDY | |
| 3.5.1 The Basic Law of Governance, 1992 | |
| 3.5.2 The Law of the Council of Ministers, 1993 | |
| 3.5.3 The Law of the Judiciary, 2007 | |
| 3.5.4 The Law of The Board of Grievances, 2007 | |
| 3.5.5 Law of Criminal Procedures; and its Executive Regulation, 2013 | 104 |
| 3.5.6 Statute of the Reconciliation Centre, & Its Procedures, 2013 | |
| 3.6 JUDICIAL DOCUMENTATIONS RELATED TO THE STUDY | |
| 3.6.1 Collection of Judicial Decisions | |
| 3.6.2 Principles and Decisions | |
| 3.7 Kingdom of Saudi Arabia Vision 2030 | |
| 3.8 CONCLUSION | 110 |
| CHAPTER FOUR: FINDINGS OF THE EMPIRICAL STUDY ON THE | 1 4 |
| EXISTING LAW AND PRACTICE OF RJ IN THE SAUDI CRIMINAL | |
| JUSTICE SYSTEM | |
| 4.1 INTRODUCTION | |
| 4.2 COMPONENTS OF THE STUDY | |
| 4.3 STUDY DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY | |
| 4.4 PROCESS OF THE STUDY | |
| 4.5 FIRST CATEGORY OF INTERVIEW: JUDGES' INTERVIEW | |
| 4.5.1 Respondents | |
| 4.5.2 Interview Questions | |
| 4.5.2.2 Second Interview Question | |
| 4.5.3 Summary of Findings from the First Category Interviews: Judges | |
| Juuzos | 1 47 |

| 4.5.4 Case Examples from the Saudi Criminal Courts Which Applied | |
|--|-------|
| RJ Methods in the Final Judgement. | 130 |
| 4.6 SECOND CATEGORY OF INTERVIEW: RECONCILIATION | |
| CENTER | 136 |
| 4.6.1 Respondents | 136 |
| 4.6.2 Interview Questions | |
| 4.6.3 Example of Reconciliation Records from the Office of | |
| Reconciliation in Courts. | |
| 4.7 THIRD CATEGORY OF INTERVIEW: THE RECONCILIATION | |
| COMMISSION UNDER THE EMIRATE OF | |
| MADINAHPROVINCE | |
| 4.7.1 Example of Reconciliation Records from the Commission of | |
| Madinah | |
| 4.7.2 Differences Between the Commission of Reconciliation of | |
| Madinah and the Reconciliation Centre of the Criminal Court. | |
| 4.8 CHAPTER SUMMARY | |
| 4.6 CHAPTER SUMMART | 133 |
| CHAPTER FIVE: NEW ZEALAND AND CANADIAN RESTORATIVE | |
| JUSTICE | |
| 5.1 INTRODUCTION | |
| 5.1.1 The Underlying Purpose of Choosing New Zealand and | |
| Canada | |
| PART ONE: NEW ZEALAND | |
| 5.2 BRIEF HISTORY OF NEW ZEALAND | |
| 5.3 LEGAL AND JUDICIAL SYSTEM OF NEW ZEALAND | |
| | |
| 5.3.1 Brief Overview of Trial Procedure and Type of Offences | |
| 5.4 THE PRACTICE OF RESTORATIVE JUSTICE IN NEW | |
| ZEALAND | |
| 5.4.1 Maori's History of Restorative Justice | |
| 5.4.2 The Importance of Family (Whanau) in Maori Culture | |
| 5.4.3 Maori Family Group Conferencing Practice. | |
| 5.4.4 NZ Legislations Recognizing Restorative Justice Principles | |
| 5.5 PRACTICES OF RESTORATIVE JUSTICE IN NEW ZEALAND | |
| 5.5.1 Types of Restorative Justice Programs Available in New | |
| Zealand | |
| 5.5.2 Process of Restorative Justice Conferences | |
| 5.5.3 Who Provides Restorative Justice Services? | |
| 5.6 CASE LAW EXAMPLES OF RESTORATIVE JUSTICE IN NEW | |
| ZEALAND | |
| 5.6.1 R v Clotworthy (1998) | |
| 5.6.2 R v Mark Devery (2016) | |
| 5.6.3 R v De Wys (2019) | |
| 5.6.4 R v Eruera Wharerau (2017) | |
| 5.6.5 New Zealand Police v Elliott (2018) | |
| 5.6.6 Worksafe New Zealand v Yarrows (The Bakers) 2011 Ltd | |
| (2016) | |
| 5.7 ANALYZING THE CASE LAWS | |
| 5.8 CONCLUSION | |
| PART TWO: CANADA | . 188 |

| 5.9 BRIEF HISTORY OF CANADA | 188 |
|--|-----|
| 5.10 LEGAL AND JUDICIAL SYSTEM OF CANADA | 190 |
| 5.10.1 The Judicial System | 191 |
| 5.10.2 Court Structure | |
| 5.10.3 Addressing the Issue of Racism in the Canadian Judicial | |
| System | 192 |
| 5.11 PRACTICES OF RESTORATIVE JUSTICE IN CANADA | 194 |
| 5.11.1 Canadian Legislations Recognizing Restorative Justice | |
| Principles. | 196 |
| 5.11.2 Types of Restorative Justice Programs In Canada | 203 |
| 5.12 CASE LAWS EXAMPLES OF RESTORATIVE JUSTICE IN | |
| CANADA | 207 |
| 5.12.1 R v Moses 1992 | 207 |
| 5.12.2 R v Joseyounen 1995 | 209 |
| 5.12.3 R v Morin 1995 | 210 |
| 5.12.4 R v Jacko 2010. | 212 |
| 5.13ANALYZING CASE LAWS | 214 |
| 5.14 SAUDI, NEW ZEALAND & CANADA: ACHIEVEMENTS AND | |
| WAY FORWARD | 215 |
| 5.15 CONCLUSION | 218 |
| CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS | 221 |
| 6.1 SUMMARY | 221 |
| 6.2 FINDINGS | 225 |
| 6.3 RECOMMENDATIONS | |
| 6.4 SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH | 234 |
| REFERENCES | 236 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure No. | | Page No. |
|------------|---|----------|
| 3.1 | The Map of Saudi Arabia | 76 |
| 3.2 | The Saudi Judicial Courts' Structure | 84 |
| 3.3 | The first Instance Penal Courts' Panels | 85 |
| 5.1 | The Map of New Zealand | 158 |
| 5.2 | The New Zealand Courts' Structure | 162 |
| 5.3 | The Map of Canada | 189 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table No. | Page No. |
|---|------------|
| 2.1 Zehr's theory of crime and punishment from retributive ar restorative's point of view | nd 37 |
| 4.1 Judges Participants of Criminal Court in Riyadh and Madinah. | 115 |
| 4.2 Participants of the Reconciliation Offices in Riyadh and Madinah Criminal Court | n's 137 |
| 4.3 Number of cases referred to the Commission of Reconciliation Madinah in between the year of 2016 and 2018 and names of referees | |
| 4.4 Differences between the Commission of Reconciliation of Madinah ar the Reconciliation Centre of court in terms of structure. | nd 151 |
| 4.5 Differences between the Commission of Reconciliation of Madinah ar the Reconciliation Centre of court in terms of the process. | nd 152 |
| 5.1 Features of Saudi RJ and New Zealand/Canadian RJ. | 217 |

LIST OF CASES

- R v Clotworthy (1998) 1 NZCrimC 620.
- R v Mark Devery (2016) NZDC 4863.
- R v De Wys (2019) NZDC 2767
- R v Eruera Wharerau (2017) NZDC 3504
- New Zealand Police v Elliott (2018) NZDC 5306
- Worksafe New Zealand v Yarrows (The Bakers) 2011 Ltd (2016) NZDC 24979
- R. v. Gladue 1999 CanLII 679 (SCC), [1999] 1 SCR 688
- R. v. Moses (1992) CanLII 12804 (YK TC)
- R. v. Joseyounen (1995) CanLII 10830 (SK PC)
- R. v. Morin (1995) CanLII 3999 (SK CA).
- R. v. Jacko (2010), ONCA 452 (CanLII).

LIST OF STATUTES

SAUDI ARABIA

Anti-Drug and Substances Act and its Bylaw 1992, Royal Decree No. (A/90), c 37

Law of Judiciary 1975. Royal Order No. (M64), article 75.

Law of Procedure Before Sharia Courts 2012. Royal Decree No. (M/1).

Law of The Board of Grievance 2007. Royal Decree (M/78).

Statute of Reconciliation Centre 2013, Council of Minister Resolution No. (103).

The Basic Law of Governance of Saudi Arabia 1992. Royal Decree No. (A/90)

The Enforcement Law 2012, Royal Decree No. (M/53).

The Executive Mechanism of The Judicial System and The System of The Board of Grievances 2007, Royal Decree No. (M/78)

The Implementing Regulations of the Law of Procedure Before Sharia Courts 2014, Ministry of Justice Resolution (No.39933).

The Juvenile Law of Saudi Arabia 2018, Royal Decree No. (M/113).

The Law of Criminal Procedure 2013. Royal Decree No. (M/2).

The Law of Judiciary 2007. Royal Decree (M/78).

The Law of Provinces 1992, Royal Decree (M/78).

The Law of The Council of Ministers 1993. Royal Decree No. (A/13)

The Rules of Work In Reconciliation Offices And Its Procedures 2019, A Ministry of Justice Resolution. No. (5595).

NEW ZEALAND

District Court Act 2016 (Act 49) (Reprint 2019).

Health and Safety in Employment Act 1992 (Act 96)(Reprint 2016)

Senior Courts Act 2016 (Act 48) (Reprint 2019).

Statute of Westminster Adoption Act 1947 (11 GEO VI 1947 No 38).

The Constitution Act 1986 (Act 114)(Reprint 2005).

The Constitution Act 1986 (Act 114)(Reprint 2005).

The Criminal Justice Act 1985 (Act 120)(Reprint 2013), repealed by section 411 of the Criminal Procedure Act 2011 (Act 81)(Reprint 2019).

The Oranga Tamariki Act 1989 (Act 24)(Reprint 2018).

The Parole Act 2002 (Act 10)(Reprint 2018)

The Sentencing Act 2002 (Act 9)(Reprint 2019).

The Victims' Right Act 2002 (Act 39)(Reprint 2016).

CANADA

Canadian Victims Bill of Rights, SC 2015, c 13.

Corrections and Conditional Release Act, SC 1992, c 20.

Criminal Code, RSC 1985, c C-46.

The Constitution Act, 1867, 30 & 31 Vict, c 3.

The Constitution Act, 1982, Schedule B to the Canada Act 1982 (UK), 1982, c 11.

The Restorative Justice Act, CCSM c R119.6. Youth Criminal Justice Act, SC 2002, c 1.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AH After *Hijrah* (the migration of Prophet (**) to Madinah)

ed./eds Editor / Editors

Etc. (et cetera) and so forth

FGC Family Group Conference

MOJ Ministry of Justice

NZ New Zealand

Beace Be Upon Him

RJ Restorative Justice

YCJA Youth Criminal Justice Act

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

Forgiveness, mercy, pardon, reconciliation, offender reintegration and a victim and community healing are all aspects of restorative justice (RJ) process, and they are also embedded principles within the Islamic law. The Saudi legal system has adopted and implemented these principles; however, the degree of the implementation of these principles in Saudi is different from other legal systems around the world. In addition, these principles are not recognised in the Saudi system under the phrase of "restorative justice", and they are not embedded under a separate institution. This thesis examines the principles of RJ that are recognised in the Saudi system, discusses the contemporary methods of implementing them and the effect of such implementation into the Saudi system and society.

The principles of RJ have been practised globally in many countries for years. RJ is a concept that has attracted legal systems and societies around the world, in an attempt to restore and repair damages which have been committed by offenders instead of punishing them. RJ, in addition, assist the healing process for victims and the community at large. There have been many attempts to define the concept of RJ, and scholars have different perspectives in viewing this concept. The followings are three different definitions of RJ from recognisable sources which discuss the concept at a global level. First: According to the United Nations, RJ is defined as:

any process in which the victim and the offender, and, where appropriate, any other individuals or community members affected by a crime, participate together actively in the resolution of matters arising from the crime, generally with the help of a facilitator. Restorative processes may include mediation, conciliation, conferencing, and sentencing circles.¹

Second: Kathleen Daly suggested that it is challenging to define RJ because it is considered to be a broad concept that might have many references. She stated that:

It can refer to an alternative process for resolving disputes in organisations, to alternative sanctioning options, or to a distinctively different, "new" mode of criminal/juvenile justice organised around principles of restoration to victims, offenders, and the communities in which they live. It is used in juvenile justice, criminal justice, and family welfare/child protection cases.²

Third: Gerry Johnstone and Daniel W.Van stated that the difficulty of having a global definition of RJ comes from the fact that it is a "deeply contested concept"; they have examined the term and concluded with several meanings as it is viewed internationally. The conclusion is as follows:

For some, it is principally an encounter process, a method of dealing with crime and injustice that involves the stakeholders in the decision about what needs to be done. For others, it is an alternative conception of the state of affairs that constitutes justice, one that seeks to heal and repair the harm done by crime rather than to ignore that harm or try to impose some sort of equivalent harm on the wrongdoer. Still, others would answer that it is a distinctive set of values that focus on co-operative and respectful resolution of conflict, a resolution that is reparative in nature. Others argue that it calls for the transformation of the structures of society and of our very way of interacting with others and our environment.³

The researcher believes that RJ is described as a "deeply contested concept" because each country shapes the concept to suit its legal system, culture and society. These various definitions of one concept have similar elements in which they explained RJ to be an alternative method in dealing with crimes. A method that also involves victims and the community where the traditional method excludes those parties from

¹ The United Nations Economic and Social Council, Basic principles on the use of restorative justice programs in criminal matters, (2002).

² Kathleen Daly, "Restorative Justice: Moving Past the Caricatures" in *Seminar on Restorative Justice organized by Institute of Criminology, University of Sydney Law School*, (Sydney, April 1998), 2.

³ Gerry Johnstone and Daniel Van Ness, *Handbook of restorative justice*, (England, UK: Routledge, 2013), 19.

intervening. The researcher believes that the concept defines itself, and it is not a vague term that needs to be explained in detail. The only thing that makes scholars differ in providing a universal definition is the way it is implemented. The implementation of any practice cannot be universal. Implementation of RJ differs from one state to another because each state has its own regulations and laws, and any new concept has to comply with the fundamental law of each country. Therefore, this leads to different definitions of the concept and different methods of implementation.

RJ is a developing concept in all legal systems because of its connection to various and unclassified types of offences and offenders. Even though numerous legal systems have adopted the principles of RJ in the last quarter of the twentieth century. Islamic law has recognised these principles and implemented them more than 1400 years ago. However, other countries such as the United State, Canada, and some European countries have been attempting to separate the RJ from the legal system to ensure fair treatment for all parties. Islamic law, on the other hand, has recognised RJ principles as part of the legal and judicial system when it is connected to crime; for instance, mediation and pardon in *Qisas* cases as it will be demonstrated further in chapter two. Moreover, reconciliation in other issues such as marriage or tribal disputes could be handled by society or an official agency representing the government (such as the Reconciliation Commission).

It must be clarified that RJ, per se, is not mentioned as a phrase in the Islamic jurisprudence. However, the principles of RJ are embedded within principles of Islamic law as methods of spreading peace and harmony among society. They are integral parts of the Shari'ah that have been recognised and implemented among Muslims in all aspects of life. What differentiates other systems from the Islamic legal system

⁴ Ibid

3

regarding this matter is the recognition of RJ as a phrase when practising its principles in addition to acknowledging it as a separate system.

The underlying reason for choosing the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia as the case study for this thesis is that the Saudi Arabian legal system is unique in comparison to others around the globe because it relies on the Shari'ah law as its fundamental source of regulating and decision making. This uniqueness appears in the fundamental sources that cannot be changed or altered, the Quran and the Sunnah of the Prophet Muhammad (ﷺ). All rules, laws, and regulations must comply with the principles and teachings of those sources. Regardless of the subjects of all contemporary issues, Islamic scholars and state regulators have the tools and methods for deducing rules of such issues from the principles of the fundamental sources. As stated in the Basic Law of Governance in Saudi Arabia, Article 7:

the Governance in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia derives its authority from the Book of God Most High and the Sunnah of the Prophet (ﷺ), both of which govern this Law and all the laws of the State.⁵

Although the Saudi Legal system is based on Shari'ah which was revealed more than 1400 years ago, it is always progressing. There are always applicable rules for contemporary issues and crimes. This modernity on the regulations and decision making of the Saudi legal system comes from implementing the principles of Islamic jurisprudence. The Islamic Jurisprudence is an entire field of science in and of itself; however, it is essential to point out some of these principles in order to understand how the principles and practices of RJ have and still are implemented without significant difficulties or obstacles.⁶

⁶ Abdelwahab Khallaf, *The Science Of The Principles Of Islamic Jurisprudence (The Methodology Of Islamic Law)*, (Beirut: Dar Alkutub AlElmeeyah, 2016), 17. Islamic Jurisprudence refers to knowledge of the practical rules of Shari'ah which are derived from the detailed evidence in the sources, or it is the set of practical rules of Shari'ah which are derived from the detailed evidence in the sources

⁵ The Basic Law of Governance of Saudi Arabia. Royal Decree No. A/90, (1992).

Before explaining some of the Islamic principles, it is important to clarify that the Quran and Sunnah have many fixed rules for specific issues whether criminal, social, personal status or commercial. The Saudi legal system applies these rules as it is described in the Quran and Sunnah. However, for issues that do not have fixed rulings, or if there is more than one interpretation for the Quranic or Sunnah-based statement, then the rule of other methodologies of deducing a law becomes relevant and necessary.⁷

As expressed before, the Quran and Sunnah are the first fundamental methods or principles; then, there are secondary methods of deducing a rule for a specific issue. These methodologies are called *Usul al-Fiqh*. Some of these *Usuls* that come after Quran and Sunnah are *Ijmaa* which can be described as Consensus of Opinion; *Qiyas* refers to analogy; *Istihsan* which is a juristic preference; and *Istishab* which means the presumption of continuity. Scholars follow those methods to understand the fundamental sources and to aid the process of *Ijtihad*.8

Ijtihad-اجتها is derived from the Arabic root "Jahad-غَهَد" literally means struggle. In Shari'ah context, it is defined as "the total expenditure of effort made by a jurist in order to infer, with a degree of probability, the rules of Shari'ah from their detailed evidence in the sources" In Ijtihad is a continuous source of knowledge, and therefore it is the most crucial source of Shari'ah after the Quran and Sunnah. As Kamali indicated, since the divine revelation discontinued after the death of Prophet Muhammad (ﷺ), ijtihad has emerged and become the main instrument of interpretation

_

⁷ Mohammad Hashim Kamali, *Principles of Islamic jurisprudence*, (Cambridge, UK: Islamic Texts Society, 2003), 12; Abdelwahab Khallaf, The Science..., 16.

⁸ Kamali, 20.

⁹ Ibrahim Mustafa and et al, *Al-Mu'jam Al-Waseet [a dictionary]*, (*Cairo: Maktabat al-Shorouq al-Dawliyah*, 2004), 142.

¹⁰ Kamali, 22.

of the Quranic and prophetic texts. It relates them to the current conditions and issues of modern life.¹¹

With this brief explanation of the Islamic law methodology, especially in dealing with contemporary issues, it becomes evident that RJ principles in its modern features can be implemented in the Islamic legal system as long as they comply with Shari'ah principles. Forgiveness, mercy, reconciliation, and compensation are some of the principles of RJ which are found in the sources of Islamic law since the beginning. More clarification on this matter will be demonstrated in chapter two and three when discussing the Islamic principles of RJ and the Saudi legal system.

Here are some Quranic and Prophetic texts indicating the existing of RJ. Firstly: from the Quran, there are various numbers of verses which encourage the act of forgiveness, mercy, and reconciliation, for example, in Surat *Al-Shura*, Allah states:

وَجَزَاءُ سَيِّنَةٌ سَيِّنَةٌ مِثْلُهَا الْخَالِمِينَ عَفَا وَأَصْلَحَ فَأَجْرُهُ عَلَى اللَّهِ ۚ إِنَّهُ لَا يُحِبُّ الظَّالِمِينَ
The recompense for an injury is an injury equal thereto (in degree): but if a person forgives and makes reconciliation, his reward is due from God: for (God) loveth not those who do wrong. 12

The above verses, Allah assures the right to retribution when a person finds it difficult to forgive; however, He indicates that forgiveness and reconciliation are better because Allah heavily rewards them. Another example is:

If two parties among the believers fall into a quarrel, make peace between them: but if one of them transgresses beyond bounds against the other, then fight ye (all) against the one that transgresses until it complies with the command of God; but if it complies, then make peace between them with justice, and be fair: for God loves those who are fair (and just).¹³

.

¹¹ Ibid.

¹² Al-Shūra: 40.

¹³ Al-Hujurāt: 9.