

ROUTE OPTIMIZATION ENHANCEMENT SCHEMES
FOR MANEMO NETWORKS

BY

AHMED AYOUB MOUSA

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Engineering)

Kulliyyah of Engineering
International Islamic University Malaysia

MARCH 2021

ABSTRACT

The increasing demand for global Internet connectivity has increased the demand for Network Mobility (NEMO). Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) has introduced the NEMO Basic Support (NEMO BS) protocol to address the limitations of Mobile IPv6 (MIPv6) protocol to support the complete IP network, which is Mobile Router (MR) as an alternative of a single host. Under NEMO BS protocol, all data packet to/from Mobile Network Nodes (MNNs) must go through Bidirectional Tunnel (BT) that established between Mobile Router and its Home Agent (MR-HA). The Home Agent then encapsulates these packets and forwards them to the MR. The MR, in turn, decapsulates the packets and forwards them to the MNN. And thus, the suboptimal and inefficient routing path would be generated especially in Nested NEMO networks (Pinball problems). The difficulties associated with the packet delivery are, the packet overhead and the route delay which lead to the traffic congestion and then bottleneck links issues which are considered as Route Optimization (RO) and Multihoming concerns. Therefore, two schemes have been proposed. The first is for MANET for NEMO (MANEMO) Routing Optimization (RO), and the second proposed scheme is Multihoming of MANEMO. After that, the proposed MANEMO RO and Multihoming schemes are presented as (MROM) scheme. The proposed MROM scheme is a layer three solution to support RO and Multihoming for mobile networks. Firstly, the proposed MANEMO RO scheme comes as a complementing solution for the pinball problem, by avoiding the bidirectional MR-HA tunnel that optimizes the transmission of packets between an MNN/MR and a Corresponding Node/Home Agent (CN/HA). A discussion is presented about RO and Multihoming issues for Nested NEMO such as tunneling redundancy, HA dependency, processing delay, bottleneck, traffic congestion, ER selection, and scalability consideration in the design. In order to address suboptimal NEMO RO, this work utilizes NEMO Centric MANEMO (NCM) protocol in addition to Proxy Home Agent (PHA). Additionally, the thesis proposes the design to address Nested NEMO issues in a post disaster scenario by using PHA in the infrastructure and using Neighbor Discovery protocol (TDP/NINA) for communication localization. Thus, the signaling message flow and the algorithm are written to give the proposed scheme more flexibility. The existing NEMO- BSP is capable of registering only a single primary Care of Address (CoA) of a MR during movement between different networks. Thus, when this link fails, a problem of network connectivity is created due to there is no secondary HA to keep a continuous Internet connectivity in NEMO. As a result, the proposed MROM applying a multihoming technique at any place, anywhere to provide uninterrupted Internet connection in NEMO has become a significant area of research. When a mobile network is multihomed, it is possible to achieve some features; namely, increased availability, balanced traffic load with flow distribution through simultaneous connectivity to access a router (Exit Router, ER). The research evaluation is done using analytical approach and simulation. In the analytical approach, the performance metrics are to be identified and evaluated. In the simulation approach, the Wireshark is used with NS-3 to generate the simulation results. The analytical result shows that the proposed scheme reduces average handoff cost by 64% lower compared to the NEMO-BSP and PNEMO. The simulation is done using Network Simulator version 3 (NS-3). The simulation result shows that the proposed scheme outperforms the standard NEMO BSP and P-NEMO in terms of packet loss

(less than 1%) and handoff delay (average improvement by 76%). MROM routing scheme remains lower than NEMO BS by 42% to 67%. This is because proposed MROM scheme does not update all HAs for MRs handoffs (just PHA is updated and it transmits a copy of this update to other HAs), while NEMO Basic Support Protocol needs to update MN_HA and MR_HA for all handoff.

خلاصة البحث

أدى الطلب المتزايد على الشبكة العالمية للانترنت الى زيادة الطلب على الشبكات المتنقلة. لقد قام مهندسي شبكات الانترنت باستخدام بروتوكول الدعم الاساسي لتنقل الشبكة لمعالجة محدودية بروتوكول (MIPv6) وذلك من اجل دعم بروتوكولات الانترنت الكاملة، وهي عبارة عن جهاز توجيه محمول كبديل لمضيف واحد. وبموجب هذا البروتوكول ال (الانترنت المتنقل) يجب أن تمر جميع حزم البيانات من / إلى عقد شبكة المحمول عبر نفق ثنائي الاتجاه تم إنشاؤه بين جهاز التوجيه المحمول ووكيل المنزل. يقوم وكيل المنزل بعد ذلك بتغليف هذه الحزم وإرسالها إلى جهاز التوجيه المحمول (الراوتر). يقوم جهاز التوجيه المحمول (الراوتر) بفك الحزم وإرسالها الى عقد شبكة المحمول سيتم إنشاء مسار التوجيه الرديء والغير كفوء وخاصة في الشبكات المتنقلة المتداخلة. ان الصعوبات المرتبطة بتسليم الحزم تتمثل بالعبء على الرزمة، وتأخير المسار الذي يؤدي إلى الازدحام المروري التي تعتبر بمثابة "تحسين المسار (RO)" ومخاوف تعدد المسارات. ولذلك، اقترح مخططين. الاول مخصص لتحسين المسار للشبكة المتنقلة والثاني متعدد الطرق. بعد ذلك ، يتم تقديم مخططات متعددة الطرق. ان المخطط المقترح هو حل من الطبقة الثالثة لدعم مسار التوجيه المتعددة الطرق. أولاً ، يأتي المخطط المقترح كحل مكمل لمشكلة الكرة والدبابيس ، من خلال تجنب النفق ثنائي الاتجاه الذي يعمل على تحسين نقل الحزم بين الراوتر المتنقل / عقد الشبكة المتنقلة والعقدة المقابلة / الشبكة الأم . تمت مناقشة مشاكل تحسين المسار والمتعددة الطرق للشبكات المتنقلة مثل التكرار النفقي، واعتماد وكيل المنزل وتأخير المعالجة ، والاختناق ، وازدحام المرور اعتبار قابلية التوسع في التصميم. من اجل تحسين مسار الشبكات المتنقلة التي هي دون المستوى الامثل يستخدم هذا البروتوكول (الشبكات المتنقلة) بالإضافة إلى وكيل المنزل. بالإضافة إلى ذلك ، تقترح الأطروحة تصميمًا لمعالجة مشكلات الشبكة المتنقلة

المتشعبة في سيناريو ما بعد الكارثة باستخدام خادم مرجعي اساسي في البنية التحتية واستخدام بروتوكول اكتشاف الجوار لتوطين الاتصالات. وبالتالي تتم كتابة تدفق رسائل الاشارات والحوارزمية لمنح المخطط المقترح مزيداً من المرونة. ان الشبكات المتنقلة. ان الشبكات المتنقلة الحالية قادر على تسجيل رعاية أولية واحدة فقط للعناوين الخاصة بالرنين المغناطيسي أثناء التنقل بين الشبكات المختلفة. وبالتالي ، عندما يفشل هذا الارتباط ، يتم إنشاء مشكلة اتصال الشبكة بسبب عدم وجود خادم مرجعي ثانوي للحفاظ على اتصال إنترنت مستمر في الشبكات المتنقلة. وبذلك اصبح الراوتر المتنقل المقترح لتقنية الإرسال المتعدد في أي مكان وفي أي مكان لتوفير اتصال إنترنت غير منقطع في الشبكات المتنقلة مجالاً مهماً للبحث. عندما تكون شبكة المتنقلة متعددة طرق الاتصال ، فمن الممكن تحقيق بعض الميزات ؛ وهي زيادة التوافر وحمل المرور المتوازن مع توزيع التدفق من خلال الاتصال المتزامن للوصول إلى جهاز التوجيه (موجه الخروج). يتم تقييم البحث باستخدام النهج التحليلي والمحاكاة. في النهج التحليلي ، يجب تحديد مقاييس الأداء وتقييمها. في نهج المحاكاة ، يتم استخدام الاسلاك لتوليد نتائج المحاكاة. تظهر النتيجة التحليلية أن المخطط المقترح يقلل من متوسط تكلفة التسليم بنسبة 64%. مقارنةً ب الشبكات المتنقلة. تتم المحاكاة باستخدام محاكي الشبكة الإصدار 3. تظهر نتيجة المحاكاة أن المخطط المقترح يتفوق على النموذجين السابقين من حيث فقدان الحزمة (أقل من 1%). وتأخير التسليم (متوسط التحسين بنسبة 76%). (يظل مخطط توجيه المسار اقل من بروتوكول الدعم الاساسي بنسبة 42% إلى 67%. هذا لأن مخطط توجيه المسار المقترح لا يقوم بتحديث جميع الخوادم المرجعية لعمليات التسليم (يتم تحديث الخادم المرجعي الرئيسي للموجه الجوال فقط ويقوم بإرسال باعادة ارسال هذا التحديث إلى الخوادم المرجعية الأخرى) ، بينما يحتاج باستخدام بروتوكول الدعم الاساسي للشبكات المتنقلة إلى تحديث لجميع عمليات التسليم.

APPROVAL PAGE

The thesis of Ahmed Ayoob Mousa has been approved by the following:

Aisha Hassan Abdalla
Supervisor

Huda Adibah Bt. Mohd. Ramli
Co-Supervisor

Mohamed Hadi Habaebi
Internal Examiner

Muhammad Shafie Abd Latiff
External Examiner


Mohamad Naqib Eishan Jan
Chairman

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of my own investigation, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

Ahmed Ayoob Mousa

Signature.....

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Ahmed Ayoob Mousa', written over a dotted line. The signature is stylized and cursive.

Date 29/03/2021

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

**DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF
FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH**

**ROUTE OPTIMIZATION ENHANCEMENT SCHEMES FOR
MANEMO NETWORKS**

I declare that the copyright holders of this thesis are jointly owned by the student and IIUM.


Copyright © 2021 Ahmed Ayoob Mousa and International Islamic University Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below

1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieved system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledged that I have read and understand the IIUM Intellectual Property Right and Commercialization policy.

Affirmed by Ahmed Ayoob Mousa


.....
Signature

29/03/2021

.....
Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the most gracious and most merciful,

All praise is due to Allah (s w t) without whose help, the thesis would not have reached this stage. I would like to express my most sincere appreciation and gratitude to Prof. Dr. Aisha Hassan Abdallah for her advice, guidance, suggestions, critical comments and supervision during the period of my study. I will also like to extend my thanks to the staff of the department of Electrical and Computer engineering in particular and the Faculty of Engineering in general.

I wish to express my deep gratitude to my parents. Especial thanks to my brother Dr Mazin, my sisters, and my wife whose help and assistance pave the way for the success of this research. I would also like to thank my dear father for his endless support, love and patience.

I am grateful to all my friends, and to all those whose names are not mentioned, but have in one way or another contributed to the success of this research.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
خلاصة البحث.....	iv
Approval Page.....	vi
Declaration	vii
Copyright Page.....	vii
Acknowledgements	viii
Table of Contents	ix
List of Tables	xii
List of Figures	xiii
List of Abbreviations	xviii
List of Symbols	xxii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	23
1.1 Background.....	23
1.2 Problem Statement and its Significant.....	27
1.3 Thesis Hypothesis and Philosophy	28
1.4 Thesis Motivation	29
1.5 Research Objectives	30
1.6 Research Scope	31
1.7 Thesis Contribution	32
1.8 Research Methodology	32
1.9 Organization of The Thesis	34
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	35
2.1 Introduction	35
2.2 Mobility Support.....	35
2.3 Related Works	41
2.3.1 MANEMO routing schemes	44
2.3.2 Solution Requirements of Existing MANEMO.....	44
2.4 Mobility Management Protocol.....	63
Local Mobility Management (LMM) Approach.....	65
Proxy Mobile IPv6 (PMIPv6)	65
Global Mobility Management (GMM) Approach	66
NEMO Basic Support Protocol.....	67
Handoff Analysis in NEMO-BSP and PMIPv6 with NEMO (PNEMO).....	69
2.5 Mobility Management in Heterogeneous Networks.....	71
Location management	72
Handoff Management	72
2.6 Multihoming and Flow Bindings in NEMO.....	73
Flow Bindings in NEMO	75
IETF Drafts on Multihoming to Support Mobility	75
2.7 Mobility Management based Multihoming	76
LMM and GMM-based NEMO Proposals without Multihoming	77

LMM and GMM-based NEMO Proposals with Multihoming	82
2.8 Overall Comparison Table.....	91
2.9 Summary.....	96
CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY.....	97
3.1 Introduction	97
3.1.1 MANET for NEMO (MANEMO) Assumptions.....	97
3.1.2 Design Considerations.....	98
3.2 DESIGN PROPOSED SOLUTION	104
Proposal Scheme	105
Extended Binding Cache (BC) Table and Tree Information Option (TIO) Message.....	123
Architecture of the Proposed Model	128
Entities in the Infrastructure.....	129
3.3 Multihoming design requiriements.....	134
3.4 Multihomeing Proposed Scheme.....	136
Fast registration process (Flow-1).....	139
Flow routing process.....	142
Extended functionality of the serving MR (SMR).....	145
The operation of Extended Proxy HA.....	147
Enhanced Packet Processing in the PHA for Flow-based Routing.....	149
Extended Message Format IPBU and IPBA	149
3.5 Summary.....	151
CHAPTER FOUR: SIMULATION AND ANALYTICAL EVALUATION.....	152
4.1 Introduction	152
4.2 Simulation Platforms	152
4.3 Simulation Evaluation in NS-3.....	154
Simulation Environment	155
Simulation Parameters	156
4.4 Analytical Evaluation	157
4.4.1 Assumptions and Notations.....	158
Handoff Latency (HL) Analysis.....	167
Packet Loss (PL) Analysis.....	170
Total Handoff Cost (THC) Analysis	171
Signaling Cost (SC).....	172
Packet Delivery Cost (PDC).....	174
4.5 Summary.....	178
CHAPTER FIVE: PERFORMANCE EVALUATION	179
5.1 Introduction	179
5.2 Analytical Evaluation and Results Analysis.....	180
5.2.2 Impact of total Signalling Cost ($C_{\text{signalling(Total)}}$) vs. Number of Location Update Cost (σ_{LU})	186
Tunneling Weight Factor as a function to Handoff Latency (HL) Cost.....	187
Effect of Link Switching Delay on Comparative Handoff Delay Gain.....	189
Number of MRs effect on Packet Loss (PL).....	190
Average Session Length effect on Packet Loss (PL)	190

Effect of number of MR and Speed on Packet Loss Ratio (PLR)	191
Effects of Number of MR and Cell Residence Time on Signaling Cost.....	193
Effect of Number of MR and Cell Residence Time on Packet Delivery Cost (PDC)	195
Effect of Average Session Length on Packet Delivery Cost (PDC).....	197
Ratio of Total Cost for Average Session Length.....	198
Simulation results analysis	203
Handoff Latency (HL) of the Proposed MROM Scheme	203
Packet Loss of the PROPOSED MROM Scheme	206
Packet Delivery Ratio (PDR) of the proposed MROM Scheme ..	207
Throughput of the PROPOSED MROM Scheme	208
5.3 Benchmarking.....	213
5.4 Discussion.....	219
5.5 Summary.....	221
CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION	223
6.1 Conclusions	223
6.2 Recommendation and Futre Work.....	228
6.3 References	230
6.4 Publications.....	240

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Layer 3 Handoff Delay in NEMO-BSP (Petander 2006)	71
Table 2.2 Summary of Location Management	72
Table 2.3 Summary of Handoff Management	72
Table 3.1 Pseudo Code's Notations	107
Table 3.2 Binding cache table for (ER) in MFS	124
Table 4.1 Considered Simulation Scenarios	156
Table 4.2 System Parameters Used for Simulation Evaluation	180
Table 4.3 Notations Used for Analytical Evaluation	158
Table 5.1 Parameters Used for Analytical Evaluation	180
Table 5.2 Summary of Results Analysis in Analytical Approach	221
Table 5.3 Summary of Results Analysis in Simulation Approach	221
Table 6.1 Comparison of the Complexity of the other schemes with the Proposed MROM Scheme	226

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Thesis Hypothesis	7
Figure 1.2 MANEMO projects and applications	8
Figure 1.3 Methodology Flowchart	33
Figure 2.1 Mobility Support	64
Figure 2.2 Pinball routing issues a) Multiple Encapsulations in Nested NEMO b) Redundant MR-HA Tunnels	38
Figure 2.3 Multihoming Classification based on IETF	39
Figure 2.4 Classification of NEMO Route Optimisation Scenarios based on IETF	41
Figure 2.5 Difference between Host Mobility and Network Mobility	63
Figure 2.6 Classification of Mobility Management (Bernardos 2014)	63
Figure 2.7 PMIPv6 among Different Access Routers	65
Figure 2.8 Basic Components of NEMO-BSP	68
Figure 2.9 Timing Diagram during Handoff Procedure of Standard NEMO-BSP	69
Figure 2.10 Timing Diagram during Handoff Procedure of P-NEMO	70
Figure 2.11 Site Multihoming	72
Figure 2.12 Host Multihoming	73
Figure 2.13 L3 Approaches for Multihoming	73
Figure 2.14 Pre-registration Concept Flow (Wang 2009)	79
Figure 2.15 Bi-casting Mechanism in (Wang 2009)	80
Figure 2.16 ICE-based Architecture (Arun Prakash 2009)	85
Figure 2.17 Handoff Procedure of the MV-PMIPv6 Scheme	86
Figure 2.18 SINEMO Architecture (Md Shohrab Hossain 2006)	89

Figure 3.1 NEMO-centric MANEMO (NCM) concerns a- Multiple Encapsulations (IP-in-IP) b- Redundant Paths within MANEMO Fringe Stub (MFS)	100
Figure 3.2 Nested NEMO Processing	101
Figure 3.3 Multiple Exit Routers within MFS	102
Figure 3.4 Network loop when a MR is multihomed a) MR1 has Direct Connection with Wi-Fi AP b) MR1 loss the Connection (Link Failure)	103
Figure 3.5 Flowchart for the overall Proposed Scheme Proxy Home Agent basic operation	105
Figure 3.6 Mechanism of Entire Proposed Scheme	111
Figure 3.7 Infrastructure Optimisation (Stage-One) Mechanism	109
Figure 3.8 Flowchart of Proxy Home Agent (PHA) Operation	110
Figure 3.9 Global HA-HA protocol simple work a) PHA works-MR selects the closest HA b) PHA works-MR selects non-primary HA	111
Figure 3.10 <i>Pseudo</i> Code for TDP Evaluation (Intra-NEMO Optimisation)	113
Figure 3.11 NINA' s <i>Pseudo</i> Code (Intra-NEMO Optimisation)	114
Figure 3.12 TDP and NINA routing Protocols Overview	115
Figure 3.13 Evaluation of Exit Router (ER)'s Decision	116
Figure 3.14 Flowchart of the Intra-NEMO Optimisation Technique a) New (TIO-RA) utilised to Create Tree and Loop-less Network b) An adaptive Algorithm for Edge Router's Decision and Selection	118
Figure 3.15 <i>Pseudo</i> Code for TDP Evaluation (Intra-NEMO Optimisation)	120
Figure 3.16 NINA's <i>Pseudo</i> Code Evaluation (Intra-NEMO Optimisation)	120
Figure 3.17 Evaluation of Exit Router (ER)'s Decision	118
Figure 3.18 <i>Pseudo</i> Code of Proposed MANEMO RO Scheme	127
Figure 3.19 Evaluation of ER's Handoff	128
Figure 3.20 Extension of ER's Mechanism	125
Figure 3.21 New Metrics Carried by Tree Information Option message	126
Figure 3.22 (a), (b) Shows the Architecture of the Design Solution	126

Figure 3.23 Intra-NEMO flowchart for the proposed work	127
Figure 3.24 Handover Manager Procedure (Ben M. 2010)	128
Figure 3.35 The Proposed Architecture	129
Figure 3.26 Signaling Flow Sequence	131
Figure 3.27 Inter-NEMO Optimisation (Stage 3)	134
Figure 3.28 Reference Framework of Proposed MROM Scheme	137
Figure 3.29 Flow Diagram of the Proposed MROM Scheme.	139
Figure 3.30 Fast Registration Procedure	142
Figure 3.31 Flow-based Routing Procedure.	143
Figure 3.32 Timing Diagram during Handoff Procedure of the Proposed Scheme.	145
Figure 3.33 Multi-interfaced SMR to Support Multihoming	146
Figure 3.34 Basic Structure of Virtual Interface (RFC 7847)	147
Figure 3.35 Extended Functionality of Proxy HA Process	148
Figure 3.36 Extended Initial Proxy Binding Update (IPBU) Message Format	150
Figure 3.37 Extended IPBA Message Format	151
Figure 4.1 Simulation Scenario in NS-3 Simulator	155
Figure 4.2 Structure of a Road in CSM Model (M Shohrab Hossain 2013)	160
Figure 4.3 Analytical Framework of proposed MROM Scheme	162
Figure 5.1 Number of PBU's vs. Time of frequently H/O occurrence per time	182
Figure 5.2 Impact of Memory costs for Entities at MFS vs. Nested Level	183
Figure 5.3 Proxy Home Agent (PHA) memory cost vs. Nested Level	184
Figure 5.4 Total memory cost vs. depth level	185
Figure 5.5 Tree Delay (Ψ) vs. Nested Level	186
Figure 5.6 Reflection Signaling Cost for Our proposal, RRH, and NEMO BSP vs. number Location Update Cost for different MRs ,and $S_{LU} = 0.4, 1.2, 2, 2.8, 3.6, \dots, 6$	187
Figure 5.7 Beam Handoff Delay vs. Tunneling Weight Factor	188

Figure 5.8 Comparative Handoff Latency (HL) Gain vs. Link Switching Delay	189
Figure 5.9 Packet Loss vs. Number of MR (TSMR=80sec.)	190
Figure 5.10 Packet Loss vs. Average Session Length (packets/second)	191
Figure 5.11 Packet Loss Ratio vs. Number of MR (<i>NMR</i>)	192
Figure 5.12 Packet Loss Ratio (PLR) vs. Speed (TSMR=60 sec.)	193
Figure 5.13 Signaling Cost (SC) vs. Number of MR (<i>NMR</i>)	194
Figure 5.14 Signaling Cost vs. Cell Residence Time (TMR)	195
Figure 5.15 Total Packet Delivery Cost vs. Number of MR ($\lambda_s=10$)	196
Figure 5.16 Packet Delivery Cost (PDC) vs. Cell Residence Time	197
Figure 5.17 Packet Delivery Cost (PDC) vs. Average Session Length (packets/second)	198
Figure 5.18 Comparative Handoff Cost Ratio of proposed MROM against P-NEMO	199
Figure 5.19 Comparative Handoff Cost Ratio of proposed MROM against NEMO BS	199
Figure 5.20 Comparative Handoff Cost Ratio of P-NEMO against NEMO-BSP	200
Figure 5.21 Comparative Overall cost gain (GOC) vs No. of MRs/MNNs	201
Figure 5. 22 Comparative Overall cost gain (GOC) vs weight factor of buffering (β)	202
Figure 5. 23 Comparative Overall cost gain (GOC) vs weight factor of Tunneling (τ)	202
Figure 5.24 Comparative Overall cost gain GOC vs Probability of wireless failure (P_{wlf})	203
Figure 5.25 Average Handoff Latency vs. Time	205
Figure 5.26 Average Handoff Latency vs. Number of SMR	205
Figure 5.27 Packet Loss vs. Time	206
Figure 5.28 Packet Loss vs. Number of SMR	207
Figure 5.29 Packet Delivery Ratio vs. Time	208

Figure 5.30 Throughput vs. Time	209
Figure 5.31 Comparative overall cost gain vs buffering weight factor (β)	211
Figure 5.32 Comparative overall cost gain vs tunneling weight factor (τ)	211
Figure 5.33 Comparative overall cost gain vs Probability of wireless link failure (P_{wlf})	212
Figure 5.34 Comparative overall cost gain vs Probability of wireless link failure (P_{wlf})	212
Figure 5.35 Average Handover Rate vs Radius of cell (meter)	213
Figure 5.36 Average Handoff Latency vs. Time	215
Figure 5.37 Average Handoff Latency vs. Number of SMR	215
Figure 5.38 Average Handoff Delay vs. Speed	216
Figure 5.39 Packet Loss vs. Time	217
Figure 5.40 Packet Loss vs. Number of MR	217
Figure 5.41 Packet Delivery Ratio vs. Time	218
Figure 5.42 Throughput vs. Time	219

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AAA	Authentication, Authorization and Accounting
ACK	Acknowledgement Message
AP	Access Point
AR	Access Router
AS	Autonomous System
BaCK	Binding Acknowledgement
BC	Binding Cache
BCE	Binding Cache Entry
BGP	Border Gateway Protocol
BT	Bidirectional Tunnel
BU	Binding Update
BS	Base Station
CBU	Copy Binding Update
CE	Correspondent Entity
CFMR	Current Flow-enabled MR
CN	Correspondent Nodes
CoA	Care-of-Address
CR	Correspondent Router
DA	Destination Address
DAD	Duplicate Address Detection
DATT	Different Access Technology Type
DUMBO	Digital Ubiquitous Mobile Broadband OLSR
E(e)	Egress Interface
ER	Exit Router
ER_HA	Home Agent for Exit Router
FMIPv6	Fast Mobile IPv6
FMNP	Flow Mobile Network Prefix
FHMIPv6	Fast Hierarchical Mobile IPv6
FLMA	Flow-enable Local Mobility Anchor Point

FR	Fixed Router
HA	Home Agent
H/O M	Handover Manager
H/O	Handover
HAHA	Home Agent to Home Agent protocol
HAMR	Home Agent of Mobile Router
HAcK	Handover Acknowledgement
HI	Handover Initiation
HMIPv6	Hierarchical Mobile IPv6
HoA	Home-of-Address
HNA	Network Association
HNP	Home Network Prefix
HQ	Headquarter
I(i)	Ingress Interface
ICMPv6	Internet Control Message Protocol version 6
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
IETF	Internet Engineering Task Force
IGW	Internet Gateway
iMANET	Intelligent MANET
IPBA	Initial Proxy Binding Acknowledgement
IPBU	Initial Proxy Binding Update
IPv6	Internet Protocol version 6
ITS	Intelligent Traffic System
ITU-T	International Telecommunication Union-Telecom
MAC	Medium Access Control
MANEMO	MANET for NEMO
MANET	Mobile Ad hoc Network
MCM	MANET-Centric MANEMO
MD	Movement Detection
MAG	Mobility Anchor gateway
MFS	MANEMO Fringe Stub
MIPv6	Mobile IPv6

MIRON	Mobile IPv6 Route Optimization for NEMO
MN	Mobile Node
MNN	Mobile Network Node
MNP	Mobile Network Prefix
MR	Mobile Router
MR_HA	Home Agent for Mobile Router
NAM	Network AniMator (Network simulation visualization tool)
NAV	Network Allocation Vector
NCM	NEMO-centric MANEMO
NEMO BSP	Network Mobility Basic Support Protocol
NEMO ES	NEMO Extended Support
NEMO	Network Mobility
NERON	NEMO Route Optimization for Nested networks
NFMR	New Flow-enabled MR
NINA	Network In Node Advertisement
NINO	Network In Node Option
NS-3	Network Simulator 3
LAN	Local Area Network
LFN	Local Fixed Node
LMN	Local Mobile Node
LMA	Local Mobility Anchor Point
LU	Location Update
L2	Layer Two
L3	Layer Three
ONEMO	Optimized NEMO
OTCL	Object Tool Command Language
PAN	Personal Area Network
PBA	Proxy Binding Acknowledgement
PBU	Proxy Binding Update
PD	Prefix Delegation
PHA	Proxy Home Agent
PHY	PHYSical Layer

IP	Internet Protocol
RR	Return Route Ability
RRH	Reverse Routing Header
RA	Router Advertisement
RS	Router Solicitation
RS	Recursive Scheme
RTS	Ready To Send
SA	Source Address
SIP	Session Initiation Protocol
SMR	Serving Mobile Router
TCL	Tool Command Language
TCP	Transmission Control Protocol
TDP	Tree Discovery Protocol
TIO	Tree Information Option
QoS	Quality of Service
UDP	User Data Protocol
UMA	Unified MANEMO Architecture
UMTS	Universal Mobile Telecommunications System
V2I	Vehicle-to-Infrastructure
V2V	Vehicle-to-Vehicle
VANET	Vehicle Ad hoc Network
VMN	Visiting Mobile Node
VPN	Virtual Private Network
WG	Working Group
WI-FI	Wireless Fidelity
WIMAX	Worldwide Interoperability for Microwave Access

LIST OF SYMBOLS

N_{SMR}	Number of the serving MR
N_{VR}	Number of vertical road
N_{HR}	Number of horizontal road
S_{hd}	Distance of horizontal road
S_{vd}	Distance of vertical road
μ_h	MR mobility rate
T_{SMR}	Cell residence time
T_{LS}	Link switching delay
P_{wlf}	Probability of wireless link failure
B_{wl}	Bandwidth of the wireless link
B_{wd}	Bandwidth of the wired link
T_{wl}	Wireless link delay
t_d	Wired link delay
H_{X-Y}	Hop distances between x and y
L_Z	Length of the Z message
τ	Tunneling weight factors
λ_S	Average Session Length
σ	Packet Loss weight factor
β	Buffering weight factor
ω	Wireless weight factor

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

The Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) introduced Mobile IPv6 protocol to support host mobility (individual IP devices) for laptops, mobile phones and PDAs (Johnson, Perkins, and Arkko, 2004). MIPv6 maintains session continuity between a Mobile Node (MN) and its Correspondent Node (CN) regardless of the MN current point of attachment to the Internet. MIPv6 uses a Home Agent (HA) to send/receive packets between the current location of the MN and its CN. The base specifications of Mobile IPv6 include a Route Optimization (RO) scheme called the Return Routability (RR) Procedure. It allows an MN to send a Binding Update (BU) packet to its CNs. Packets are further routed between MNs and their CNs. While this optimization minimizes latency of the communication and improves network performances, it also introduces several problems such as modifications of end-nodes, complexity, and server overload (Wakikawa 2009). More in-depth research proven the lack mobility support with the network known as Network Mobility support (NEMO). Therefore, the IETF has established a “NEMO Work Group” to offer a basic mobility solution based on the concept of MIPv6 protocol. That is to support a complete IP network, which is Mobile Router (MR) instead of a single host. The basic principle of Network Mobility (NEMO) is enable Mobile Networks Nodes (MNNs) maintain connectivity on the Internet even when a Mobile Router (MR) moves to another position (Devarapalli, V., Wakikawa, R., Petrescu, A. & Thubert, P. 2005). However, multiple solutions are required when working with heterogenous networks (e.g. networks with multiple subnets and Nested mobile networks). In order to manage the mobility of an entire network, an MR is considered the main mobile entity in the NEMO Basic Support (NEMO BS) model.