

EFFECTS OF CHRONIC LOW DOSE ORGANIC
ARSENIC EXPOSURE ON THE LIVER OF SPRAGUE
DAWLEY RATS

BY

SHAHIDA SAHARUDIN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Medical Sciences

Kulliyyah of Medicine
International Islamic University Malaysia

MARCH 2021

ABSTRACT

Monosodium methylarsonate (MSMA) is a potent organoarsenical herbicide that is still being used in most Asian countries, despite its restriction in some other countries. Organic arsenic has been given less attention as it thought to be less toxic than inorganic counterpart. In most studies, the reported adverse effects were mainly on gastrointestinal system with little information on its severity to the liver. The objective of this study was to investigate the effect of organic arsenic (MSMA) exposure on the liver. Sixty rats were divided into three groups with different duration of exposure. The rats were given MSMA at 63.20 mg/kg daily for 2, 4 and 6 months through oral gavage. Serum samples were analysed for AST, ALT and ALP. Arsenic accumulation measurement, histomorphometric evaluation (H&E, PAS, reticulin and TUNEL staining) and ultrastructural study (scanning and transmission electron microscopy) were done on liver tissue. LSEC were isolated for gene expression study. Accumulation of arsenic were significantly higher in the MSMA-exposed rats compared to their control with the highest in the 6-month group [2-month (3.97 ± 2.28 , $p=0.009$), 4-month (4.57 ± 0.47), $p<0.001$ and 6-month (21.33 ± 9.83 , $p=0.004$) $\mu\text{g/g}$]. Both ALT [Control: 85.3 ± 13.0 , Exposed: 52.0 ± 5.2 , $p=0.005$] and ALP [Control: 237.6 ± 52.8 , Exposed: 162.9 ± 28.9 , $p=0.007$] were significantly lower in 4-month MSMA-exposed group than their control. The difference in AST level in all groups were not significant. Histopathological and ultra-structurally, focal necrotic, apoptotic and fibrotic changes in the liver with the reduction of organelles in hepatocytes were observed in 4- and 6-month exposed rats. In 4-month exposed group, the liver displayed increased in ballooning degeneration of the hepatocytes at zone 2, focal necrosis with minimal inflammatory infiltrates with fibrosis (mixture of stage 1 and 2). Disrupted hepatic cords with hepatocytes blebs were seen. In 6-month exposed rats, more extensive changes were noted. Cell cycle, apoptotic and DNA repair gene were affected in this exposure. At 2-month, cell cycle (*Tp53*), apoptotic (*Tnfrsf1a*) and DNA repair (*Xrcc1*) genes showed downward trend. However, at 4-month, both apoptotic-gene (*Bax*, *Tnfrsf1a* and *Caspase 2*) and the DNA repair gene (*Xrcc1*) expression showed upward trend. At chronic (6-month) exposure, only DNA repair gene (*Mpg*) showed upward trend. In conclusion, chronic MSMA exposure could be associated with potential liver injury. Thus, long term exposure to MSMA-contaminated water source should be taken seriously.

خلاصة البحث

ميثيلارسونات أحادي الصوديوم (MSMA) هو مبيد أعشاب عضوي قوي السينيك لا يزال يستخدم في معظم البلدان الآسيوية ، على الرغم من القيود المفروضة عليه في بعض البلدان الأخرى. تم إعطاء الزرنيخ العضوي اهتماما أقل في معظم الدراسات ، لأنه يعتقد أنه أقل سمية من نظيره غير العضوي. معظم الدراسات أثبتت تأثيره الضار خصيصا علي الجهاز الهضمي , مع القليل من المعلومات حول شدتها على الكبد. الهدف من الدراسة هو دراسة تأثير الزرنيخ العضوي علي الكبد. ستون فأر قسمت الي ثلاثة مجموعات مع فترات تعرض مختلفة. اعطيت الفئران 63.20 (MSMA) ملي جرام يوميا لمدة اتنين, وأربعة, و ستة اشهر عن طريق تزقيمة الفم. تم تحليل عينات المصل لأجل (ALT, AST). تم إجراء قياس تراكم الزرنيخ, تقييم هستومورفومتر ك باستخدام صبغات مثل الهيما توكسلين, مانسون ثلاثية الالوان, و رتكلين. ودراسة البنية التحتية (المسح المجهرى الألكتروني المنقل) علي انسجة الكبد. تم عزل الخلايا (LSEC) لدراسة التغيرات الجينية. تراكم الزرنيخ كان مرتفع بشكل ملحوظ في المجموعات التي تعرضت له مع اعلي مستوي كان في مجموعة ستة أشهر. في شهرين كانت (2.28±3.97, P=0.009). أربعة أشهر (4.57±0.470, P>0.001). وستة أشهر (9.±21.33, P=0.004) و ميكروجرام\جرام. كان كل من (ALT) (قبل 7.6±66.86, وبعد 5.16±52.5, P=0.003) و AST (قبل 52.85±240.71, وبعد 28.86±162.8, P=0.001). كانت المستويات اقل بكثير في مجموعة الاربعة أشهر مابين قبل وبعد التعرض. لم يكن الاختلاف في (AST) كبيرا بين المجموعات. لوحظت تغيرات نسيجية مرضية وهيكلية فائقة البؤرة والنخرية والتليقية في الكبد مع نقص العضيات في خلايا الكبد في فتران لمدة 4 و 6 أشهر. أظهر الكبد زيادة في التنكيس المنتفخ لخلايا الكبد في المنطقة 2, ونخر بؤري مع الحد الادني من تسلسل الألتهايب مع التليف (خليط من مرحلة 1 و 2). شوهدت الحبال الكبدية المعطلة بفقعات خلايا الكبد. داخل الخلايا, لوحظ تفكك السيتوبلازم مع فقدان الشكل العضيات الطبيعي. في الفتران المعرضة لمدة 6 أشهر, لوحظت تغيرات أكثر شمولاً. تآثرت دورة الخلية. وجين إصلاح موت الخلايا المبرمج والحمض النووي في هذا التعرض. في شهرين, تم تقليل تنظيم دورة الخلية (Tp 53), والاستماتة (Tnfrsf 1a) وإصلاح الحمض النووي (Xrcc1) بشكل كبير (P>0.005). ومع ذلك, في غضون 4 أشهر تم تنظيم كل من الجين المبرمج (Bax, Tnfrsf1a and Caspase 2) وجين إصلاح الحمض النووي (P>0.005) (1 CCRX). عند التعرض المزمّن (لمدة 6 أشهر), تم تنظيم جين إصلاح الحمض النووي فقط (Mpg) بدرجة عالية (P>0.005). في الختام يمكن أن يرتبط التعرض المزمّن ل MSMA. بإصابة الكبد المحتملة بالتغيرات الجينية في LSEC. وبالتالي, يجب أن وُخذ التعرض الطويل الأمد لمصدر المياه الملوث ب MSMA علي محمل الجد.

APPROVAL PAGE

The thesis of Shahida Saharudin's has been approved by the following:

Zunariah Buyong
Supervisor

Norlelawati A. Talib
Co-Supervisor

Nor Zamzila Abdullah
Co-Supervisor

Jamalludin Ab. Rahman
Co-Supervisor

Solachuddin J. A. Ichwan
Internal Examiner

Norzana Abd Ghafar
External Examiner

Norhafizah Mohtarrudin
External Examiner

Suzanah Abdul Rahman
Chairman

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of my own investigation, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

Shahida Saharudin:

Signature.....

Date ..01.02.2021..

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

**DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF
FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH**

**CHRONIC ORGANIC ARSENIC INDUCED LIVER
STRUCTURAL DAMAGE**

I declare that the copyright holders of this dissertation are jointly owned by the student and IIUM.

Copyright © 2021 Shahida Saharudin and International Islamic University Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below.

1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieval system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

Affirmed by (Shahida Saharudin)

.....
Signature

01.02.2021
.....
Date

This thesis is dedicated to both abah; Saharudin bin Nik (2nd Aug 1949 - 8th November 2018) and Md Supian Abdullah (21st Sept 1945 - 3rd August 2015) who have not managed to see me reaching the finishing line today. I'll tell you when I meet you there, please wait for me, Al-Fatihah. Thank you.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, The Beneficent, The Most Merciful. Only with the guidance He has bestowed upon me through the hard times made this work possible.

I would like to express my immense gratitude to my supervisor Asst. Prof. Dr. Zunariah Buyong for her continuous guidance and support throughout my PhD. Thank you is not enough to show how indebted I was for her huge understanding throughout this journey. I learnt a lot from her, and it is an honour for me to be her student. I cannot thank you enough for what you have done for me, but I pray Allah reward you better in this worldly life and hereafter.

I am also indebted to my co-supervisors; Assoc. Prof. Dr. Norlelawati A. Talib, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Nor Zamzila Abdullah and Prof. Dr. Jamalludin Ab. Rahman for their tremendous input, guidance and support to make this study a successful one. Without their wisdom and knowledge, I won't be able to complete this. My deepest gratitude also goes to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Muhammad Lokman Md Isa and staff of ICRACU as well as Asst. Prof. Dr. Mohamad Rusdi Ahmad Rusmili, Head of Department of Basic Medical Sciences, Kulliyyah of Pharmacy for his willingness to share his knowledge and generous assistance amidst his full schedule as a head of department. Certainly, this cannot be materialized without his laboratory staff for their support, kindness and hospitality during my laboratory work there. Thank you so much.

My heartfelt gratitude is also extremely extended to all beloved family members who have been with me through high and low. My dearly husband, Badrul Hisham Md Supian, for your unfailing love and support especially when I needed it the most. My lovely daughter, Ain Afina Sofea, the best friend I have ever had in my life. You understood me even you are too young to understand. My Mum, Puan Saimah Yunus, your strength, guidance and endless support throughout the years has made me into the woman I am today. Without you mum, I won't be able to stand as where I am now. All my sisters, Shahura, Shafinaz and Shahirah for being loyal and understanding with me through good and bad times.

All my dearest best friends in trainee club members (I could not list all names), only Allah can repay the magnificent help and support through the networking that we had. Thank you from the bottom of my heart and may Allah ease your journey too in this worldly and hereafter life. My immense thanks also go to all laboratory staff in Department of Basic Medical Sciences and Department of Pathology and Molecular Medicine, for your continuous support and generous help throughout the research works made my challenging times in laboratory bearable and valuable.

Thank you from the bottom of my heart and may Allah ease each and every one of you. All praise to Allah for everything He has given me. *Alhamdulillah rabbil alamin.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
Abstract in Arabic.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Approval Page.....	iv
Declaration	v
Copyright	vi
Acknowledgement	viii
Table of Contents	ix
List of Tables	xii
List of Figures	xiii
List of Abbreviations	xvii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem.....	5
1.3 Research Objectives.....	6
1.3.1 General Objective.....	6
1.3.2 Specific Objective	6
1.4 Hypothesis	6
1.5 Significance of Study.....	7
1.6 Conceptual Framework.....	8
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1 Arsenic Exposure and Epidemiological Studies.....	9
2.2 Arsenic Exposure in Malaysia.....	11
2.3 Arsenic Properties and Chemistry	13
2.4 Monosodium methylarsenate (MSMA).....	16
2.5 Metabolism of Arsenic	17
2.6 Arsenic Toxicity	19
2.6.1 Organic and Inorganic Arsenic	19
2.6.2 Acute and Chronic Exposure	22
2.6.2.1 Acute Toxicity	23
2.6.2.2 Chronic Toxicity.....	25
2.7 Arsenic and Hepatotoxicity	29
2.7.1 Anatomy of the Liver	29
2.7.1.1 Gross Morphology of Human and Rat's Liver.....	29
2.7.1.2 Histology of the Liver	29
2.7.1.3 Liver Sinusoidal Endothelial Cells (LSEC)	37
2.7.2 Inorganic Arsenic and Hepatotoxicity	39
2.7.2.1 Liver Enzymes.....	39
2.7.2.2 Arsenic and Liver Structural Changes.....	41
2.7.2.3 Arsenic and LSEC Changes	44
2.7.2.4 Genetic Changes in the Liver	46
2.8 Animal Model in Arsenic Research.....	48

CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY.....	53
3.1 Ethical Approval.....	53
3.2 Experimental Animal.....	53
3.3 Study Design.....	53
3.4 Sample Size	54
3.5 Experimental Procedure.....	54
3.6 Dose and MSMA Preparation.....	57
3.7 Sample Collection.....	61
3.7.1 Blood Collection	61
3.7.2 Liver Perfusion.....	62
3.7.3 Liver Tissue Collection	67
3.8 Arsenic Level Measurement	68
3.9 Measurement of Liver Enzymes Level.....	70
3.9.1 Alanine Transaminase (ALT)	70
3.9.2 Aspartate Transaminase (AST)	70
3.9.3 Alkaline Phosphatase (ALP)	71
3.9.4 De Ritis Ratio.....	71
3.10 Histopathological Assessment of the Liver	71
3.10.1 Hematoxylin and Eosin Staining.....	72
3.10.2 Periodic Acid Schiff Staining	74
3.10.3 Reticulin Staining.....	76
3.10.4 TUNEL Assay	79
3.10.5 Histological Evaluation, Fibrosis Staging and Apoptosis Analysis.....	83
3.11 Ultrastructural Assessment of the Liver	84
3.11.1 Tissue Processing for Scanning Electron Microscope	84
3.11.2 Tissue Processing for Transmission Electron Microscope	86
3.12 Cell Isolation and Count Procedure.....	88
3.12.1 Liver Perfusion Procedure.....	88
3.12.2 Procedure for Cell Isolation and Culture	89
3.13 Gene Expression	97
3.13.1 RNA Extraction and Purification.....	99
3.13.2 Quantification of RNA.....	102
3.13.3 cDNA Synthesis	106
3.13.4 Gene Expression via RT ² Profiler PCR Array	107
3.14 Statistical Analysis.....	111
 CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS	 117
4.1 General Description of the Studied Animal.....	117
4.1.1 Clinical Signs and Mortality Rate	117
4.1.2 Weight	117
4.2 Total Arsenic Concentration of Liver Tissue	120
4.3 Liver Enzymes Analysis	121
4.4 Histopathological Changes of the Liver	126
4.4.1 Descriptive Findings	126
4.4.2 Semiquantitative Analysis.....	139
4.5 Ultrastructural Changes of the Liver	147
4.6 Cell Isolation and Count	168
4.7 Gene Expression	175
4.7.1 RNA Integrity Analysis.....	175

4.7.2 Expression Profile of Genes in MSMA-exposed LSEC	176
CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION.....	180
5.1 Studied Animals Clinical Findings	180
5.2 Evidence of MSMA Accumulation in the Liver.....	183
5.3 MSMA Effect on the Liver Enzymes	185
5.4 MSMA Effect on Morphological and Ultrastructure of the Liver.....	187
5.5 Alteration of Genes Expression in LSEC	194
5.6 Conclusion	202
5.7 Limitations.....	202
5.8 Recommendations.....	202
REFERENCES.....	204
APPENDIX I: IACUC Letter	232
APPENDIX II: Gavage Volume For Rat Based on Weight.....	233
APPENDIX III: List of Publication	234
APPENDIX IV: List of Work Presented	235

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Global Arsenic Contamination in Ground water	10
Table 3.1	RNA Dilution Into 50 ng/ μ L in 100 μ L	105
Table 3.2	PCR Array Mixture Volume According to Number of Well Used	108
Table 3.3	List of Cell Cycle Genes Used in This Study	112
Table 3.4	List of DNA Repair Genes Used in This Study	113
Table 3.5	List of Apoptotic-related Genes Used in This Study	115
Table 4.1	Rats Weight in All Groups	119
Table 4.2	Arsenic Level in Rats' Liver Tissues in all Groups	120
Table 4.3	Comparison of ALT Levels in all Groups (Post exposure)	122
Table 4.4	Comparison of AST Levels in all Groups (Post exposure)	123
Table 4.5	Comparison of ALP Levels in all Groups (Post exposure)	125
Table 4.6	Mean level of AST, ALT and De Ritis Ratio (Post exposure)	125
Table 4.7	Hepatological Alterations Grading and Score in all Groups	146
Table 4.8	Summary of Morphological Findings All Groups	167
Table 4.9	Number of LSEC Harvested with RNA Yield	168
Table 4.10	Average Number of LSEC Isolated from Each Group	169
Table 4.11	RNA Integrity Number (RIN) Score	175

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Research Conceptual Framework	8
Figure 2.1	Periodic Table	14
Figure 2.2	Examples of Inorganic and Organic Arsenic Chemical Structure	15
Figure 2.3	Metabolism Pathway of Inorganic Arsenic	18
Figure 2.4	Diagram of a Classic Liver Lobule	31
Figure 2.5	Comparison of the Classic Liver Lobule, Portal Lobule and Liver Acinus.	32
Figure 2.6	The Liver Acinus	32
Figure 2.7	SEM of Hepatocytes	34
Figure 2.8	TEM Section From a Swine with Normal Hepatocytes	34
Figure 2.9	SEM Image of LSEC	35
Figure 2.10	SEM Image of Kupffer Cell	35
Figure 2.11	TEM Image of LSEC, Kupffer And Hepatic Stellate Cells	36
Figure 2.12	SEM Image of LSEC	37
Figure 2.13	SEM of Hepatic Sinusoids of C57/BL6 Mice	38
Figure 3.1	Laboratory Workflow	56
Figure 3.2	Exposure of Rat's Abdominal Cavity	66
Figure 3.3	Liver Perfusion Procedure	66
Figure 3.4	H&E Staining Workflow	73
Figure 3.5	PAS Staining Workflow	75
Figure 3.6	Reticulin Staining Workflow	78
Figure 3.7	Tunel Assay Workflow	82
Figure 3.8	Digested Liver Tissue	89
Figure 3.9	Schematic Outline of Hepatocyte Purification and NPC Isolation	93
Figure 3.10	Liver Cell Suspension	94

Figure 3.11	Cell Isolation Workflow	96
Figure 3.12	Gene Expression Workflow	97
Figure 3.13	DNA Damage Repair And Apoptotic Pathways Combined	98
Figure 3.14	RNA Extraction by Trizol	100
Figure 3.15	RNA Extraction Workflow	101
Figure 3.16	Plate Setup For Custom RT ² Profiler PCR Array	109
Figure 4.1	Rat Weight Comparison in 2-Month Group	118
Figure 4.2	Rat Weight Comparison in 4-Month Group	118
Figure 4.3	Rat Weight Comparison in 6-Month Group	119
Figure 4.4	Level of ALT in all Groups	121
Figure 4.5	Level of AST in all Groups	123
Figure 4.6	Level of ALP in all Groups	124
Figure 4.7	Liver Sections of 2-Month Group (H&E Staining)	127
Figure 4.8	Liver Sections over Cental Vein Area in 2-Month Group	128
Figure 4.9	Liver Sections over Portal Triad Area in 2-Month Group	129
Figure 4.10	Liver Sections of 4-Month Group (H&E Staining)	130
Figure 4.11	Liver Sections near Central Vein in 4-Month Group	131
Figure 4.12	Liver Sections near Portal Triad in 4-Month Group	132
Figure 4.13	Liver Sections of 6-Month Group (H&E Staining)	133
Figure 4.14	Liver Sections near Central Vein in 6-Month Group	134
Figure 4.15	Liver Sections near Portal Triad Area in 6-Month Group	135
Figure 4.16	Photomicrographs of 2-Month Rats (PAS Staining)	136
Figure 4.17	Photomicrographs of 4-Month Rats (PAS Staining)	137
Figure 4.18	Photomicrographs of 6-Month Rats (PAS Staining)	138
Figure 4.19	Photomicrographs of Liver Section (Reticulin Staining)	140
Figure 4.20	Graph of TUNEL Positive Cell in Liver Tissue in all Groups	141
Figure 4.21	Section of Rat Liver Treated With Dnase I	142

Figure 4.22	TUNEL-Positive Liver Tissue Cells in 2-Month Group	143
Figure 4.23	TUNEL-Positive Liver Tissue Cells in 4-Month Group	144
Figure 4.24	TUNEL-Positive Liver Tissue Cells in 6-Month Group	145
Figure 4.25	SEM of Rats' Liver Tissues in 2-Month Group	149
Figure 4.26	TEM of 2-Month Group (x 2000)	150
Figure 4.27	TEM of 2-Month Group (x 4000)	151
Figure 4.28	SEM of Sinusoid Area in 2-Month Groups	152
Figure 4.29	SEM of Sinusoid Area in 2-Month Group (Magnified)	153
Figure 4.30	TEM of Sinusoid Area in 2-Month Group	154
Figure 4.31	SEM of Rats' Liver Tissues in 4-Month Group	155
Figure 4.32	TEM of 4-Month Group (x 2000)	156
Figure 4.33	TEM of 4-Month Group (x 4000)	157
Figure 4.34	SEM of Sinusoid Area in 4-Month Group	158
Figure 4.35	SEM of Sinusoid Area in 4-Month Group (Magnified)	159
Figure 4.36	TEM of Sinusoid Area in 4-Month Group	160
Figure 4.37	SEM of Rats' Liver Tissues in 6-Month Group	161
Figure 4.38	TEM of 6-Month Group (x 2000)	162
Figure 4.39	TEM of 6-Month Group (x 4000)	163
Figure 4.40	SEM of Sinusoid Area in 6-Month Group	164
Figure 4.41	SEM of Sinusoid Area in 6-Month Group (Magnified)	165
Figure 4.42	TEM of Sinusoid Area in 6-Month Group	166
Figure 4.43	Graph of the Number of LSEC Isolated	169
Figure 4.44	LSEC in Culture	171
Figure 4.45	LSEC in Culture Media (RPMI-1640)	172
Figure 4.46	SEM of LSEC (Reference Micrograph)	173
Figure 4.47	SEM of LSEC in the Study	174
Figure 4.48	Expression Patterns of Representative Genes in 2-Month Group	176

Figure 4.49	Expression Patterns of Representative Genes in 4-Month Group	177
Figure 4.50	Expression Patterns of Representative Genes in 6-Month Group	178
Figure 4.51	Expression Pattern of Six Predominant Genes Expressed in LSEC in All Groups	179

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

$\Delta\Delta C_T$	Delta delta threshold cycle
ADP	Adenosine diphosphate
ALP	Alkaline phosphatase
ALT	Alanine transaminase
ANA	Anti-nuclear antibody
AS3MT	Arsenic methyltransferase
As ^{III}	Arsenite
AST	Aspartate transaminase
ATP	Adenosine triphosphate
BER	Base excision repair
CAV	Caveolin
cDNA	Complementary DNA
CT/C _T	Threshold cycle
dH ₂ O	Distilled water
DMA	Dimethylarsinic acid
ER	Endoplasmic reticulum
FACS	Fluorescence-activated cell sorter
GSH	Reduced glutathione
H ₂ O ₂	Hydrogen peroxide
HCl	Hydrochloric acid
HepG2	Human liver cancer cell line
HMDS	Hexamethyldisilazane
HNO ₃	Nitric acid
HSC	Hepatic stellate cells
ICPMS	Inductive coupled plasma mass spectrometry
IMR-90	Human fetal lung cells
IVC	Inferior vena cava
JNK	c-jun-N-Terminal Kinase Inhibitors
KTX	Ketamin-Xylazine-Zoletil mixture
LDH	Lactate dehydrogenase

LDL	Low-density lipoprotein
LSEC	Liver sinusoidal endothelial cells
MAPK	Mitogen-activated protein kinase
MMA	Monomethylarsinic acid
MMR	Mismatch repair
MnSOD	Mitochondrial antioxidant manganese superoxide dismutase
MSMA	Monosodium methylarsonate
mtTFA	Mitochondrial transcription factor A
NADH	Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide
NAFLD	Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease
NER	Nucleotide excision repair
NPC	Non-parenchymal cell
NRF-1	Nuclear respiratory factor 1
PARP1	Poly-ADP-ribose polymerase-1
PBS	Phosphate buffer saline
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PECAM	Platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule
PON1	Paraoxonase-1
PT	Portal triad
Rac1	Ras-related C3 botulinum toxin substrate 1
RIN	RNA integrity number
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
RPMI	Roswell Park Memorial Institute (culture media)
SAM	S-adenosylmethionine
SD	Standard deviation
SEM	Scanning electron microscope
SPC	Sinusoidal progenitor cell
TEM	Transmission electron microscope
VEGF	Vascular endothelial growth factor
WHO	World Health Organization
XPA	Xeroderma pigmentosum group A
XPC	Xeroderma pigmentosum group C-complementing protein

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

2.1 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

Arsenic is a metalloid found ubiquitously on earth and exists in two forms which are inorganic and organic (Abdul et al., 2015). Over the decades, attention has been given more to the hazardous effects of inorganic arsenic on human health rather than the organic counterpart (Mie et al., 2017; Pateriya et al., 2020). Numerous studies have proven the high association of its exposure to skin cancer, cancer of the internal organs (urinary bladder, kidney, lung and liver), diabetes, high blood pressure and respiratory, circulatory and reproductive disorders (Agarwal et al., 2009; Bhattacharjee et al., 2013). It is estimated that 200 million people worldwide have been exposed to arsenic drinking water above the recommended limit of 10 µg/L, primarily as a result of their contaminated groundwater sources which are located in a naturally high occurring arsenic (WHO, 2017). Majority of the population exposed to arsenic lives in southern Asian countries such as Bangladesh, Cambodia, India, Nepal And Vietnam. Elevated levels of arsenic have also been found in several western countries such as Germany, United Kingdom, USA and Canada (Chung et al., 2014; George et al., 2014; Nordstrom, 2002). In these countries, the rising demands for sanitary water often cannot be met by surface water supplies prompting the focus to the use of ground-water sources.

Organic arsenic exposure, on the other hand, may come from diet, contaminated livestock (Sarkar et al., 2014) and agricultural and industrial area (George et al., 2014). Diet is one of the increasing concern of a non-drinking-water source of arsenic. It is present in a wide varieties of fish and rice. Fish were found to have high amount of

organic arsenic compounds predominantly arsenobetaine (Molin et al., 2015) while rice contains predominantly inorganic arsenic (Jackson et al., 2012). Dust, soil and air have also been a potential contamination risk from arsenic exposure particularly near former mining sites, smelting and industrial areas (Beamer et al., 2014; Menka et al., 2014). Migration of arsenic from sediments and soils to groundwater sources and crops has been believed to be the mechanism of contamination (Carlin et al., 2016) but it is still not well understood and requires more future research.

Organic arsenic has been thought to be less toxic as it is normally believed from previous evidence that it does not remain in the body and expelled more rapidly than the inorganic (Shi et al., 2004; Valko et al., 2006). Upon ingestion, both types leave the body through urine after several days and some even longer. Nonetheless, proof of organic arsenicals hazardous effects is slowly emerging even though the studies were only limited to a certain body system. For instance, keratosis was observed in female workers in a chemical plant who were exposed to 0.065 mg/m³ arsanilic acid (Yang et al., 2007) and development of erythematous lesions on the feet and ears of rats were found when rats exposed to 6 mg/m³ dimethylarsinic acid (DMA). Ingestion of 80 mg/kg of organic arsenicals also has been shown to cause vomiting, abdominal pain, hyperactive bowel and diarrhoea (Lee et al., 1995). In a more severe case, accidental ingestion of pasture sprayed with monosodium methylarsonate (MSMA) caused intense diarrhoea and dehydration after grazing in 200 cattle which subsequently led to the death to 16 of the animals (Gonçalves et al., 2017). Previous animal studies have reported that exposure of repeated monomethylarsonic acid (MMA) and dimethylarsinic acid (DMA) caused diffuse inflammation and hepatocellular degeneration (Jaghabir et al., 1989), decrease in absolute liver weight (Siewicki, 1981) and reduced liver glutathione, cytochrome P-450 content and serum ornithine

decarboxylase activity (Ahmad et al., 1999). Other than that, several oral doses of roxarsone in pigs have caused significant neurotoxicity with time-dependent degeneration of myelin and axons (Kennedy et al., 1986). To the best of our knowledge, studies on the effect of organic arsenicals on the liver are still rarely documented in the animal model and as well as human studies, prompting the need to explore further in this area.

Toxicity of arsenic depends on the valence state and the species. In rank orders, trivalent arsenite is more toxic than pentavalent arsenate (Hong et al., 2014) and inorganic arsenic species are more toxic than its organic forms (Sarkar & Paul, 2016). Metabolism of the arsenic in the body plays a decisive role in determining the toxicity further (Sarkar & Paul, 2016). Arsenic gets into the body in various ways. Oral ingestion is the commonest route of entry followed by inhalation and dermal absorption. Once it enters, arsenic will generally get methylated; mostly in the forms of MMA and DMA in body cells. These methylated products have cytotoxic and genotoxic effects (Mie et al., 2017; Pateriya et al., 2020) and thus duration and concentration of exposure could play as additional factors in aggravating the deleterious effects.

Several studies have documented the toxicity effects from a different duration of exposure. Acute to subacute (up to 28 days) exposure to inorganic and organic arsenic does not cause significant accumulation in rats' kidney and liver (Lewchalermvong et al., 2018). Findings on the toxicity effect of organic exposure on different duration have been mixed. In a study by Yi et al. (2018a), sub-chronic exposure of arsenic-containing traditional Chinese herbal medicine (realgar) demonstrated significant accumulation of DMA in the liver without changes in liver enzymes and any significant histopathological changes in the organs. Nonetheless, in another study of acute exposure of realgar, glomerulus injury and mild liver injury in rats were observed even the

exposure of the toxicant for only two weeks (Luo et al., 2017). In beagle dogs, exposure to realgar for four weeks produced obvious vomiting, diarrhoea and even death (Zhang et al., 2011). In these studies, however, the dosage used was higher than the actual human exposure and were tested with a different arsenic concentration on a different animal model. There is still lacking evidence on the effect of chronic organic arsenic exposure that reflects the actual duration of human exposures and human-relevant dose.

The liver is an extremely important organ housing many pivotal metabolisms to ensure bodily homeostasis. It is also a well-known target organ of arsenic toxicity. Hepatocytes are metabolically active parenchymal cells that dominate 80% of the liver. Sporadic vacuolation of hepatocytes, sinusoidal dilation (Bhattacharya et al., 2012), hepatocellular degenerative lesions along with inflammatory cells and irregular hepatic cells (Chandranayagam et al., 2013) were among reported findings indicating the capability to induce hepatotoxicity. However, it is not known whether MSMA affects the liver as in inorganic arsenic.

Liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSEC), on the other hand, comprised 50% of the non-parenchymal group (Werner et al., 2015). These cells line the liver sinusoids pose an open pore system which facilitates the transfer of substrates between blood and the liver parenchyma. The role of LSEC is currently not fully understood and received growing attention (Deleve, 2013). Perturbation of the LSEC pores affects greatly the substance transfer between blood and surrounding cells and subsequently signals a multitude of liver injury mechanisms such as losing their protective properties (Poisson et al., 2017; Tanoi et al., 2016), angiogenesis (Bocca et al., 2015; Elpek, 2015) and fibrotic process (Deleve, 2015; Poisson et al., 2017). Angiogenesis is an important preceding event associated with the fibrogenic progression of chronic liver diseases.

Since responses vary widely depending on the cell type, arsenic species, length and dose of exposure, it is not known how MSMA would affect LSEC (Deleve, 2015).

This study aimed to investigate the effect of organic arsenic, monosodium methylarsonate (MSMA) exposure on the hepatocytes and LSEC DNA repair system. The research provides additional evidence on the notorious effects of organic arsenic as well as opening more platform to understand the possible mechanism of organic arsenic toxicity through disturbance of LSEC.

2.2 STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

- i. Organic arsenic was previously thought to be less toxic than inorganic arsenic with most studies focussing on acute and sub chronic exposure.
- ii. However, human is more likely to be chronically exposed to organic arsenic through consumption of contaminated ground water sources.
- iii. Recent evidence showed that the exposure to chronic organic arsenic could also be as toxic as inorganic arsenic particularly to the gastrointestinal system but little is known about it effects on the liver.
- iv. Hepatocytes are liver parenchymal known to be susceptible to the toxic effect of arsenic while LSEC poses as potential site of liver injury.
- v. Liver is an important organ for metabolism of various metabolites and a well target organ for arsenic toxicity, this study aim to study the effect of organic arsenic MSMA exposure on hepatocytes and to explore further on other liver potential site of injury.

2.3 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

2.3.1 General Objective

To investigate the effect of chronic low dose organic arsenic, monosodium methylarsonate (MSMA) exposure on the liver.

2.3.2 Specific Objective

- i. To measure total arsenic concentration in the liver of MSMA-exposed rats.
- ii. To compare the level of liver enzymes (ALT, AST and ALP) between MSMA-exposed and non-exposed rats.
- iii. To determine histopathological changes of liver in MSMA-exposed rats.
- iv. To determine ultrastructural changes of liver in MSMA-exposed rats.
- v. To assess the gene expression of related apoptosis-regulating gene and DNA repair genes in the MSMA-exposed rats.

2.4 HYPOTHESIS

- i. Arsenic is highly accumulated in the liver of MSMA-exposed rats.
- ii. Liver enzymes (AST and ALT) are significantly higher in rats exposed to MSMA.
- iii. MSMA exposure induces histopathological changes in the liver of MSMA-exposed rats.
- iv. MSMA exposure induces ultrastructural changes to organelles in hepatocytes and LSEC of MSMA-exposed rats.
- v. The apoptotic-regulating and DNA repair gene expression are altered in MSMA-exposed rats.