# THE LEGAL STUDY ON INTERFAITH MARRIAGE IN BANGLADESH: ISSUES AND PROSPECTS FOR REFORM

#### BY

### KAMRUL HASSAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Law

Ahmad Ibrahim Kulliyyah of Laws International Islamic University Malaysia

JANUARY 2021

#### **ABSTRACT**

Interfaith marriage is socially, religiously and legally contested and one of the most complicated issues in Bangladesh. This study focuses on the Special Marriage Act, 1872 and its practice in the current legal setting of Bangladesh. It examines the existing law on the current interfaith marriage practices and legal issues emanating from the existing law in Bangladesh. This study adopted a qualitative method which is a combination of the doctrinal and non-doctrinal research. For the doctrinal research method, it drew data from various legal writings, national laws, international laws, case law, etc. For the non-doctrinal research method, the study conducted in-depth semi-structured interviews to obtain data from various respondents in Bangladesh, namely, interfaith couples, social activists, religious experts, local police officers, special marriage registrars, judges, lawyers and academics. This study examined the benchmark with the Indian, Malaysian and Indonesian interfaith marriage laws and practices. The findings of this study demonstrate that the number of interfaith marriage-related problems is increasing in the current socio-religious and legal landscape. Similarly, it discovered that the existing provisions of the Special Marriage Act, 1872 are extremely inadequate to handle existing interfaith marriage disputes. It also shows that the court faces various difficulties in determining the disputants' rights and obligations. Lastly, it reveals that legal and judicial conflicts are created by the inadequacy of the existing law. The study, therefore, recommends by suggesting that there is a need to amend the Special Marriage Act, 1872 which is inadequate in the current legal setting. However, for a long term solution, the existing law needs to be abolished and replaced by the law governing Muslim and non-Muslims as regards the possibility of resolving conflicts between laws.

## خلاصة البحث

الزواج بين معتنقى الأديان المختلفة من أكثر القضايا تعقيدًا في بنغلاديش اجتماعيًا ودينيًا وقانونيًا. تركز هذه الدراسة على قانون الزواج الخاص لعام 1872 وممارسته في الإطار القانوني الحالي لبنجلاديش. وتفحص هذه الدراسة على القانون الحالى بشأن ممارسات بين معتنقى الأديان المختلفة الحالية والقضايا القانونية المنبثقة عن القانون الحالي في بنغلاديش. واعتمدت هذه الدراسة على المنهج النوعي وهو مزيج من البحث الفقهي وغير الفقهي. بالنسبة لطريقة البحث الفقهي، فإنه يستمد البيانات من مختلف الكتابات القانونية، والقوانين الوطنية، والقوانين الدولية، والسوابق القضائية، إلخ. بالنسبة لطريقة البحث غير الفقهي، فهذه الدراسة تستخدم المقابلات والاستبانات المنظمة والمتعمقة بشكل أساسي للحصول على البيانات من مختلف المجيبين في بنغلاديش، أي الأزواج بين معتنقى الأديان والناشطين الاجتماعيين والخبراء الدينيين وضباط الشرطة المحلية ومسجلى الزواج الخاصين والقضاة والمحامين والأكاديميين. تدرس هذه الدراسة المعيار المرجعي مع قوانين وممارسات الزواج بين الأديان الهندية والماليزية والإندونيسية. توصلت الدراسة إلى مجموعة من النتائج، من أهمها: أن عدد المشاكل المتعلقة بالزواج بين معتنقى الأديان المختلفة آخذ في الازدياد في المشهد الاجتماعي والديني والقانوني الحالي. وبالمثل، تكتشف أن الأحكام القائمة في قانون الزواج الخاص لعام 1872 غير كافية إلى حد كبير لمعالجة المنازعات القائمة بين الأديان. كما يبين أن المحكمة تواجه صعوبات مختلفة في تحديد حقوق المتنازعين والتزاماتهم. وأخيرا، يكشف القانون أن المنازعات القانونية والقضائية تنشأ عن عدم كفاية القانون القائم. ولذلك توصى الدراسة باقتراحها أن هناك حاجة إلى تعديل قانون الزواج الخاص لعام 1872، وهو قانون غير كاف في الإطار القانوني الحالى. ومع ذلك، من أجل حل طويل الأجل، يجب إلغاء القانون القائم واستبداله بقانون يحكم المسلمين وغير المسلمين، وذلك في إطار معالجة إمكانية حل التنازع بين القوانين.

### **APPROVAL PAGE**

The thesis of Kamrul Hassan has been approved by the following: Husna Fauzi Supervisor Farid Sufian Shuaib Co-Supervisor Najibah Mohd. Zin Co-Supervisor Noraini Md. Hashim **Internal Examiner** Nurhidayah Muhamad Hashim **External Examiner** Zuliza Mohd Kusrin **External Examiner** Akram Zeki Khedher Chairperson

## **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own works except where otherwise stated. I also

declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitt	ed as a whole for any other
awarded degrees in IIUM or over the world.	
Kamrul Hassan	
Signature:	Date:

#### INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

## DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH

## THE LEGAL STUDY ON INTERFAITH MARRIAGE IN BANGLADESH: ISSUES AND PROSPECTS FOR REFORM

I declare that the copyright holders of this thesis are jointly owned by the student and IIUM.

Copyright © 2021 Kamrul Hassan and International Islamic University Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below

- 1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
- 2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
- 3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieved system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledged that I have read and understand the IIUM Intellectual Property Right and Commercialization policy.

Affirmed by Kamrul Hassan	
Signature	Date

To my Family with Allah nothing is impossible...

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First of all, all praise to the Almighty Allah SWT, the most Gracious and the most Merciful. Also, praise to our beloved Prophet Mohammad SAW, may peace and blessings be upon him.

My thanks and my profound regards to my beloved parents, my father Hajji Md. Kamal Uddin and my mother Hajja Mrs. Hajera Kamal. They are my source of inspiration, strength and motivation. I could not have achieved this without their endless love and blessing. Their support, blessing and encouragement have boosted me to reach the peak.

My heartiest appreciation goes to Professor Dr. Najibah Mohd Zin, my main supervisor, Assistant Professor Dr. Husna Fauzi, and co-supervisor, Professor Dr. Farid Sufian Shuaib, who not only guided me but also, advised me based on their experience and knowledge which can be applied in my future career. Special thanks to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ismail Bin Ahamad Shah (Rtd) and Br. Ghulam Dastaghir and other IIUM friends who have given me significant assistance and support.

I would like to extend my deepest gratitude to all the respondent judges, advocates, academics, social and religious scholars, local police officers, Special Marriage Registrars and Interfaith Couples for their considerable time, help and assistance in providing me with relevant and valuable information for my thesis.

My sincere gratitude to my youngest maternal uncle, Md. Iqbal Husain, for his financial support and encouragement during my study. Likewise, my heartiest appreciation to the International Islamic University (IIUM) authorities and the International Institute of Islamic Thought (IIIT) authorities for providing financial assistance during my study. Also, thanks to everyone who has directly or indirectly helped me on the whole journey of my thesis.

Special thanks to my younger sisters, Quamrun Nahar and Shamsun Nahar, for their support and inspiration. Also, thanks to my nephew, niece and all my cousins who encouraged me in my good and also challenging times.

Finally, and most importantly, I would like to thank my beloved wife, Ommay Salawat, for her patience, positivity, and endless support in every way that inspired me to complete my journey of my thesis. My dream was her dream. My deepest and heartiest love goes to the love of our life, our daughters, Ummay Hajira Binte Hassan @ Zahra and Ummay Hani Binte Hassan @ Zikra, who gave both of us joyful happiness during my study.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
Abstract in Arabic	
Approval Page	
Declaration	
Copyright Page	
Dedication.	
Acknowledgements	
List of Tables	
List of Figures	
List of Cases	
List of Statutes / Conventions	xviii
List of Abbreviations	
CHAPTER ONE: GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Research	
1.2 Statement of the Problem	5
1.3 Hypotheses	7
1.4 Objectives of the Research	7
1.5 Scope and Limitations of the Study	8
1.6 Literature Review	9
1.6.1. Interfaith Marriage in Islamic Law	
1.6.2. Interfaith Marriage Practice in Indian Subcontinent	
1.6.3. Interfaith Marriage in Western Countries	
1.6.4. Interfaith Marriage Practices in Malaysia and Indonesia	
1.7 Research Methodology	
1.7.1 Study Design	
1.7.2 Data Collection through Library Research	
1.7.3 Data Collection through Field Study	
1.7.4 Qualitative Analysis	
1.8 Summary of Chapters	29
CHAPTER TWO: CULTURAL DEVELOPMENT OF INTERFAITH MARK	
IN BANGLADESH	
2.1 Introduction	
2.2. Formation of Bangladesh	
2.3 An Overview of the Concept and Development of Interfaith Marriage	
2.4 Myth of the Indian Interfaith Marriage	
2.4.1 Islam and Interfaith Marriage in the Indian Peninsula	
2.4.2 Interfaith Marriage During the Mughal Era	
2.4.3 Interfaith Marriage During the British Era	
/ 4 4 Intertaith Marriage after the Kritish Era	43

2.5 Development of Interfaith Marriage in Bangladesh	45
2.5.1 Interfaith Marriage Culture in Bangladesh	
2.5.2 Perception on Interfaith Marriage in Bangladesh	
2.5.2.1 Social Perception	
2.5.2.2 Religious Perception	51
2.5.2.3 Legal Perception	54
2.6 Customary Forms of Interfaith Marriage	55
2.7 Existing Forms of Interfaith Marriage in Bangladesh	
2.7.1 Marriage Between Muslim and Ahl-Al-Kitab	
2.7.2 Marriage Between Muslims and Non-Kitabya	
2.7.3 Marriage Between Non-Muslims	65
2.8 Traditional Commitments among the Interfaith Couples	66
2.9 Historical, Cultural and Social Pursuit of Interfaith Marriage	
2.10 Conclusion	69
CHAPTER THREE: THEORETICAL AND LEGAL FRAMEWORK	
INTERFAITH MARRIAGE IN BANGLADESH	
3.1 Introduction	
3.2 International Laws from the Human Rights Perspective	
3.2.1 Universal Declaration of Human Rights and Its Support for Free of Religion, Marriage and Family Life	
3.2.2 The Application of the Universal Declaration of Human Right	
Bangladesh	
3.2.3 Application of Cedaw From the Bangladesh Perspective	
3.3 Court System in Bangladsh	
3.3.1 Pre-Independent Court System of Bangladesh	
3.3.2 Current Court System in Bangladesh	
3.3.3 The Family Court System in Bangladesh	
3.3.4 Procedural Laws for the Existing Court System	
3.3.4.1 Procedure for the Civil Court System	
3.3.4.2 Procedure for the Criminal Court System	
3.4 National Laws Relating to the Special Marriage Practice in Bangladesh	
3.4.1 Constitutional Law of Bangladesh	
3.4.2 The Special Marriage Act, 1872	
3.4.2.1 Background of the Law	
3.4.2.2 Conditions for a Valid Marriage under the Special Mar	
Act, 1872	_
3.4.2.3 Solemnization and Registration of Marriage	103
3.4.2.4 Dissolution of Interfaith Marriage	
3.4.2.5 Maintenance and Child Custody	111
3.4.2.6 Property Rights	
3.4.2.7 Legal Issues among the Interfaith Couples	
3.4.2.8 Offences	116

3.4.2.8.1 Offences under the Penal Code, 1860	116
3.4.2.8.2 Offences under the Women and Children	
Repression Prevention Act, 2000	
3.4.2.9 Court Jurisdiction	
3.5 Conclusion	122
CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS OF INTERFAITH MARRIAGE PROBLE	MS IN
BANGLADESHBANGLADESH	
4.1 Introduction	
4.2 Issues Relating to the Existing Marriage Practice	
4.2.1 Status of Marriage	
4.2.2 Non-Compliance with Procedures and Registration of Marriag	
4.2.3 Misconception of the Interfaith marriage	_
4.2.4 Consequence and Violation of Marriage	
4.2.5 Interfaith Couples	
4.3 Issues Relating to the Divorce	
4.3.1 Divorce Process	
4.3.2 Conjugal Deficiency	138
4.4 Financial and Property Rights	140
4.4.1 Financial Deficiency	140
4.4.2 Discriminatory Property Rights	141
4.5 Issues Related to the Child Custody and Welfare	142
4.5.1 Parental Influence on Child Religion	142
4.5.2 Interfaith Children	143
4.5.3 Interfaith Society	144
4.6 Socio-Religious and Legal Issues Relating to the Interfaith Marriage	146
4.6.1 Socio Religious Issues	146
4.6.1.1 Socio- religious Stigma	
4.6.1.2 Anti-Social Activities	
4.6.1.3 Infidelity Between Interfaith Couples	
4.6.1.4 Mixed Cultures	
4.6.1.5 Problematic Issues of Religious Faith	
4.6.1.6 Severance of the Family Tie	
4.6.1.7 Social and Religious Divergence	
4.6.1.8 Personal Reaction	
4.6.1.9 Secularism	
4.6.1.10 Lack of Social Awareness	
4.6.2 A Complicated Law	
4.6.3 Constitution and Humanitarian Issues	
4.7 Lack of Legal Protection and Discrimination	
4.7.1 Retroactive Government Policy	
4.7.2 Negligence by Local Police Officer	
4.8 Court Proceedings	
4.8.1 Lack of Jurisdiction	
4.8.2 Delay of Court Proceeding	
4 & 5 COMDUCATED ADK PTOCESS	191

4.8.4 Lack of Legal Remedies	.193
4.9 Conclusion	
	. ~-
CHAPTER FIVE: COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF INTERFAITH MARRIA	
PRACTICES IN INDIA, MALAYSIA, AND INDONESIA	
5.2 Interfaith Marriage in India	
5.2.1 Interfaith Marriage under the Special Marriage Act, 1954)	
5.2.2 Solemnization of the Interfaith Marriage	
5.2.3 Registration of the Interfaith Marriage	
5.2.4 Nullity of Marriage and Legitimacy of Child	
5.2.5 Divorce	
5.2.6 Restitution of Conjugal Rights and Judicial Separation	
5.2.7 Legal Disputes of Interfaith Marriage	
5.2.8 Court Jurisdiction and Legal Proceedings	
5.2.9 Property Rights After Marriage	
5.2.10 Effects of Interfaith Marriage	
5.3 Interfaith Marriage Practice in Malaysia	.223
5.3.1 Legal Status of the Converted Spouse under Islamic Family Law	
5.3.2 The Application of Law Reform (Marriage and Divorce) Act, 197	
Non-Muslim Interfaith Couples	
5.3.2.1 Requirements and Process of Marriage under LRA1976	230
5.3.2.2 Nullity of Marriage and Legitimacy of Child	.232
5.3.2.3 Dissolution of Marriage on the ground of Conversion	.234
5.3.2.4 Maintenance	
5.3.2.4.1 Maintenance for wife	
5.3.2.4.2 Maintenance for Children	
5.3.2.5 Custody of the Children	
5.3.2.6 Property Rights	
5.3.2.7 Conflicting Court Jurisdiction	
5.3.2.8 Effect of Amendment of Section 51 of the LRA, 1976	
5.3.2.9 Mediation or Reconciliation for Family Disputes	
5.4 Interfaith Marriage Practice in Indonesia	
5.4.1 Interfaith Marriage under the Marriage Law, 1974	
5.4.2 Solemnization and Registration of Marriage	
5.4.3 Rights and Obligations of the Couples	
5.4.3.1 Equal Rights	
5.4.3.2 Divorce Rights	
5.4.3.3 Nullity of Marriage	
5.4.3.4 Matrimonial Property Rights	
5.4.3.5 Child Custody Rights	
5.4.4 Legal Disputes and Judicial System	
5.5 Conclusion	.2/0
CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION	.272
6.1 Introduction	.272

6.2 Findings and Discussion	272
6.2.1 Inadequacy of Law	272
6.2.2 Imperatives to Facilitate Marriage	
6.2.3 Law Affected by Socio-Cultural Norms	
6.2.4 Strength and Weaknesses of the Existing Law	
6.2.5 Determination and Enforcement Power of the Court Jurisdiction	
6.2.6 Comparative Overview	279
6.2.7 Proposed Amendments discussed through Recommendations	and
Suggestions	280
6.3 Summary of the Thesis Chapters	284
6.4 Future Research	287
REFERENCES	289
APPENDIX I: LIST OF INTERVIEWEES	304
APPENDIX II: UN-REPORTED AND PENDING TRAIL CASES	307
APPENDIX III: PROFILE OF RESPONDENTS	308
APPENDIX IV: LIST OF UNREPORTED CASES	312
APPENDIX V: INTERVIEW OUESTIONS	314

## LIST OF TABLES

Table No	Page No
1.1 A List of Respondents' Details	27
3.1 Problems of the Interfaith Couples	116
3.2 Penal Code Sections related to Marital Offence	118

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No	Page No
2.1 Indian Subcontinent (Bangladesh) Map	32
3.1 Court Jurisdictions' of Bangladeshi Legal System	89
3.2 Special Marriage Procedure	105

#### LIST OF CASES

Abdool Razak v. Aga Mohammad (1894) 21 IA 56

Abdul Razak v. Lisia Binte Mandagie alias Maria Menado (1964) MLJ 42

Advocate Md. Salahuddin Dolon v. Government of Bangladesh and Others (2010) Writ Petition no 754

Advocate Md. Salauddin Dolon v. Government of Bangladesh and Others (2010) 39 CLC (HCD)

Andal Vaidyanathan v. Abdul Allam Vaidya (1946) 1 MLJ 402

Aysha Bibi v. Sobodh Ch. Chakravarty (1945) 49 CWN 439

Bangladesh Legal Aid and Services Trust and Others v. Government of Bangladesh and Others (2009) Writ Petition no 5863

BNWLA v. Government of and others (2009) 14 BLC 707

BNWLA v. Government of Bangladesh (2011) 31 BLD 324 (HCD)

BNWLA v. Government of Bangladesh and Others (2001) 40 CLC (HCD)

Budansa Rowther And Anrs v. Fatima Bi And Ors (1914) 26 MLJ 260

C. A. Neelakantan v. Mrs. Anne Neelakantan (1959) RAJ AIR 133

Chaudhury and Kendra v. Bangladesh and Others (2009) 29 BLD (HCD)

Dr. Niranjan Das Mahan v. Mrs. Ena Mahan (1943) Cal AIR 146

Ershad v. Bangladesh and others (2001) 21 BLD

Gul Mohammad v. Emperor (1947) Nag AIR 121

Inder Mohan Tiwari v. Manju Tiwari (1986) Del AIR 155

Indira Gandhi a/p Mutho v. Patmanathan a/l Krishnan (anyone having and control over prasana Diksa) (2015) 7 MLJ 153

Islam and Others v. Bangladesh and Others (2000) 52 DLR 413

Jamna Devi v. Mul Raj (1907) 49 PR 198

Karishma Qureshi v. Faisal Qureshi (2015) Bom AIR 46

Ketua Polis Negara v. Indira Gandhi a/p Mutho [2015] 2 MLJ 149 CA

Khambatta v. Khambatta (1935) 59 Bom ILR 278

Krishnapada Talukder v. Geetasree Talukder (1994) 14 BLD 415

Kung Lim Siew Wan v. Choong Chee Kuan (2003) 6 MLJ 260

Lina Joy v. Majlis Agama Islam Wilayah Persekutuan dan lain (2007) 4 MLJ 585

M v. A (2018) CM(M) Delhi High Court 140

Mahbub Shafique and Others v. Government of Bangladesh and Others (2010) Writ Petition no 4275

Mohammad Shafi v. Raunag Ali (1928) Oudh AIR 231

Mst. Nandi alias Zainab v. Crown (1920) 1 Lah ILR 440

Mt. Title v. Alfred Robert Jones (1934) All AIR 273

Muhammad Mustafizur Rahaman Khan v. Mrs. Rina Khan (1967) Dac PLD 652

Muncherji Cursetji Khambata v. Jessie Grant Khambata (1934) 36 BOMLR 1021

Musst. Khan Bibi v. Pir Shah (1884) 19 PR 359

Neduncheliyan Balasunbramaniam v. Kohila A/P Sharmagam (1997) 3 MLJ 768

Nelly Zaman v. Giasuddin Khan (1982) 34 DLR 221 AD

Nirmal Kanti Das v. Sremoti Biva Rani (1994) 14 BLD 413 (HCD)

Noor Jehan Begum v. Eugene Tiscenko (1942) Cal AIR 325

Paintal v. Avtar Sing (1988) Del AIR 1212

Pedley v. Majlis Ugama Islam Pulau Pinang & Anor (1990) 2 MLJ 307

Pravir Chandra v. Kaveri Guha (1987) Cal AIR 191

Ram Kumari v. Unknown (1891) 18 Cal ILR 264-69

Robasa Khanum v. Khodadad Bomanji Irani (1946) 48 BOMLR 864

Samala a/p Sathiyaseelan v. Dr. Jeyaganesh a/l C Mogarajah & Anor (also known Muhammad Ridzwan bin Mogarajah) (2011) 2 MLJ 281

Sarla Mudgal v. Union of India (1995) 3 SSC 635

Shafin Jahan v. Asokan KM & Others (2017) Crl. 57 SLA

Shakti Vahini v. Union of India (2018) AIR 1601 (SC)

Shamala a/p Sathiyaseelan v. Dr Jeyaganesh a/l C Mogarajah (also known as Muhammad Ridzwan bin Mogarajah) & Anor (2011) 2 MLJ 281

Sharmin Hossain alias Rupa v. Mizanur Rahaman (1997) 2 BLC HCD

Sherin Akther and another v. Md. Ismail (1999) 51 DLR 512

Shibnath Mukherjee And Ors. v. The State of West Bengal And Anr. (2005) 4 CHN 447

Siti Aysha Jhantip Aisam (2006) 21 JH 262

Skinner v. Orde And Ors (1875) 1 Allahbad ILR 230

Subashini a/p Rajasingam v. Saravanan a/l Thangathoray and other (2008) 2 CLJ 2 (FC)

Tapan Ranjan Das v. Smt. Jolly Das (1990) Cal AIR 353

Tey Siew Choo v. Teo Eng Hua (1999) 3 AMR 2279

Uma Charan Roy v Sm. Kajal Roy (1971) AIR Cal 307

Viran a/l Nagapan v. Deepa a/p Subramaniam (Penang Negara Malaysia & Anor, intervener) (2015) 3 MLJ 209

Yip Fook Tai v. Manjit Singh @ Mohd Iskandar Manjit Abdullah (1990) 2 CLJ 605

Yong Fuat Meng v. Chin Yoon Kew (2008) 5 MLJ 226

#### LIST OF STATUTES / CONVENTIONS

#### **Bangladeshi Statutes**

Araya Marriage Validation Act (1937) (Act XIX)

Bangladesh Laws (Revision and Declaration) Act (1973) (Act VIII)

Christian Marriage Act (1872) (Act XV)

Code of Civil Procedure (1908) (Act V)

Code of Criminal Procedure (1898) (Act V)

Constitution of the People's Republic of Bangladesh (1972) (President Order No 76)

Divorce Act (1869) (Act IV)

Family Courts Ordinance (1985) (Act XVIII)

Muslim Marriage and Divorce (Registration) Act, (1974) (Act LII)

Muslim Personal Law (Shariat) Application Act (1937) (Act XXVI)

Nari-o-Shishu Nirjatan Daman Ain (2000) (Act VIII)

Penal Code (1860) (Act XLV)

Special Marriage Act (1872) (Act III)

Succession Act (1925) (Act XXXIX)

#### **Indian Statutes**

Constitution of India (1949)

Hindu Marriage Act (1955) (Act 25)

Indian Divorce (Amendment) Act (2001)

Indian Divorce Act (1869) (Act 4)

Special Marriage Act (1954) (Act 43)

#### **Malaysian Statutes**

Evidence Act (1950) (Act 56)

Federal Constitution of Malaysia (1957) (Act 373)

Islamic Family Law (Federal Territories) Act, (1984) (Act 303)

Law Reform (Marriage and Divorce) Act, (1976) (Act 164) (Ammendment 2017) (Act A1546)

#### **Indonesian Statutes**

Compilation of Islamic Laws in Indonesia (1997) (Presidential Decesion No 1)

Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia (1945)

Marriage Law (1974) (Act 1)

#### **International Conventions & Instruments**

Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (1989) Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948)

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AD Appellate Division

ADR Alternative Dispute Resolution

AIR All India Reporter

All Allahabad

AMR All Malaysia Reports

BLAST Bangladesh Legal Aid and Service Trust

BLC Bangladesh Law Chronicles BLD Bangladesh Legal Decision

BNWLA Bangladesh National Women Lawyers' Association

BOMLR Bombay Law Report

Cal Calcutta

CHN Calcutta High Court Notes

CLC Civil Law Cases
CLJ Current Law Journal
Crl. A Criminal Appeal
WN Weekly Notes
DLR Dhaka Law Report
FC Federal Court

HCD High Court Division
IA Indian Appeal
ILR Indian Law Reports
JH Jurnal Hukum

Lah Lahore

MLJ Malayan Law Journal
MLJ Madras Law Journal
MLR Malayan Law Reports
Oudh Oudh State of India
PLD Pakistan Legal Decision

PR Punjab Record SC Supreme Court

SLA Special Leave to Appeal SLP Special Leave Petition

#### **CHAPTER ONE**

#### **GENERAL INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE RESEARCH

Bangladesh is a Muslim majority country in South Asia, but there are other minority groups, namely, Hindus, Christians, Buddhists and others. Bangladesh used to be part of the British Empire, and since coming under the British rule, it has adopted different cultures, ideologies and philosophies. As a result, people have become more familiar with intercultural affairs irrespective of their religious identity. Therefore, such mixed cultural relationships impact adversely on interfaith marriages and family life. Interfaith marriage has been legalised in Bangladesh since the era of British colonialism. The Special Marriage Act, 1872 permits a special form of marriage between the parties who do not profess Christianity, Judaism, Hinduism, Islam, Zoroastrianism, Buddhism, Sikhism or Jainism faiths at the time of marriage. In this regard, the parties must declare that they do not profess any religion at all. Subsequently, such marriages have to be solemnized before a registrar, in which such marriages become permitted under the Special Marriage Act of 1872.

The Bangladesh Constitution of 1972 affirms that the religion of the republic is Islam,<sup>4</sup> but it also ensures equal status and equal rights for its citizen to observe the religion

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Abdul Majid, "Genesis of the Two Nations Theory and the Quaid-e-Azam," *Pakistan Vision Academic Journal*, vol. 15, no. 1 (2014): 180.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Special Marriage Act, 1872, Preamble., the preamble provides that a marriage between persons who do not profess the Christian, Jewish, Hindu, Muslim, Parsi, Buddhist, Sikh or Jaina religion. And persons who profess the Hindu, Buddhist, Sikh or Jaina in order to legalize certain marriage which are doubtful.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ibid., s. 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Constitution of the People's Republic of Bangladesh 1972, Art. 2A.

of their own choice. In addition, the Bangladesh Constitution protects secularism,<sup>5</sup> where it states that freedom of religion, elimination of communalism, abuse of religion for political aggression, discrimination and harassment against persons for practicing a particular religion.<sup>6</sup> At present, interfaith marriages have gradually increased in the society, because of the mixed cultural affiliations. It is a common scenario that after the marriage of the interfaith couples, they are vulnerable to abuse, discrimination and social violence, such as being forced to leave their parents' houses and compelled to hide themselves. This is due to the existing social and religious pressures.<sup>7</sup>

The Special Marriage Act of 1872 was enacted by the British Parliament to resolve inter-religious marriage related disputes. However, this law does not cover all marital obligations and other codified law that governs the interfaith marriage procedure. The number of problems faced by interfaith couples has been on the increase, due to inadequacy of the existing laws to govern and protect their rights and obligations. The inadequacy is created by the fact that marriage under the Special Marriage Act, 1872, is multidimensional and not judicially enforceable. There are insufficient provisions in relation to divorce, child custody, maintenance, guardianship, property share, succession, adoption and religious issues. The current social setting is that if the couples decided to marry under the Special Marriage Act, 1872, the situation becomes difficult, since the law does not provide adequate provisions on the rights of the married parties where the legal disputes arise and appear before the court for settlement. The inadequate provisions have imposed limitations on the rights of married parties under this Act.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Ibid., Art.12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Ibid., Art. 28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Refugee Review and Tribunal, RRT Research Response (BGD17577- Bangladesh), (Australia, 2005), 8.

Although the government has neither objected nor encouraged and has no preventive action against interfaith marriage practices, the existing law makes it difficult for the court to implement and deliver relevant legal decisions. In this regard, the judges have faced various difficulties in resolving interfaith marriage cases. Mostly, judges rely on their own inherent and intellectual expertise for resolving such legal complications. As a result, the court has failed to resolve the real causes, and thus the whole proceedings have become ambiguous. Due to procedural complexity, most of the interfaith marriage disputes have come to a standstill at the early stages of the legal proceedings. Therefore, the consequences of such marriage disputes vary from one to another. The problems encountered by interfaith couples can be explained by referring to the cases which had been decided before and after the independence of Bangladesh as mentioned bellow.

In the case of *Muhammad Mustafizur Rahaman Khan v Mrs. Rina Khan*, <sup>8</sup> the petitioner husband filed a petition for a declaration that the marriage be declared null and void, while the respondent wife also filed an alternative suit for an order for the restitution of conjugal rights under section 19 of the Divorce Act, 1869. Subsequently, the court found that the petitioner husband was a Muslim by birth and the respondent wife was a Christian (Catholic). Therefore, the court held that the marriage between the parties was void due to deceptive information in the marriage declaration form.

In *Dr. Niranjan Das Mahan v Mrs. Ena Mahan*,<sup>9</sup> the petitioner husband (Hindu Arya sect) filed a petition for the dissolution of the marriage and for damages. The petitioner stated that the respondent wife was a Christian. Thereafter, the respondent wife

<sup>8</sup> Muhammad Mustafizur Rahaman Khan v Mrs. Rina Khan (1967) PLD DAC 652.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Dr. Niranjan Das Mahan v Mrs. Ena Mahan (1943) AIR Cal 146.

converted to a Hindu Arya sect and married the petitioner accordingly. Later, they registered their marriage under the Special Marriage Act, 1872. The petitioner husband alleged that his wife committed adultery after their marriage. Subsequently, the respondent wife filed a petition for judicial separation based on her husband's allegation. After the hearing, the court dismissed both the petitions. The petitioner husband subsequently appealed against the dismissed order. The appeal court pointed out that the petitioner husband had acknowledged his religion at the trial proceedings. The court also found that the declaration of marriage form was defective due to missing information. Therefore, the court held that the marriage was not valid under the Act of 1872. As a consequence, the appeal too was rejected.

Similarly, in the case of *Mt. Title v. Alfred Robert Jones*, <sup>10</sup> which arose from a matrimonial suit, the petitioner (husband) was a Christian and the respondent (wife) was a Muslim widow, and during the marriage, the respondent's wife had converted to Christianity. Thereafter, the petitioner husband pleaded for a declaration that the marriage to the respondent be declared null and void under section 19 (3)<sup>11</sup> of the Divorce Act, 1869 and his consent to the marriage had been obtained by force and fraud. The respondent denied all allegations and claimed that the marriage was valid and lawful. The trial court found that neither force nor fraud was performed upon the petitioner husband to induce him in order to obtain consent for their marriage. After that the petitioner husband appealed, and at the appeal stage, the High Court judge pointed out that the appellant husband was not mindless or an idiot, and he was moderately capable of understanding the actual nature

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Mt. Title v Alfred Robert Jones (1934) AIR All 273.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The Divorce Act, 1869, s. 19(3)., a decree may be made on the grounds that either party was a lunatic or idiot at the time of marriage.

of the marital ceremony. In addition, the alleged grounds under section 19 of the Indian Divorce Act, 1869 had not been established. However, in this situation all those alleged grounds had to be established, otherwise it could be said that there was no real consent. Therefore, the court held that the marriage was not invalid, and there could not be a declaration of nullity on the grounds under section 19 of the Divorce Act, 1869. Finally, the court dismissed the suit with cost order.

The above-mentioned cases illustrate the existing problems encountered by the court in adjudicating cases involving interfaith marriage disputes. Against this background, the present study therefore wishes to examine the strengths and weaknesses of the existing substantive and procedural laws relating to the practice of interfaith marriages in Bangladesh. It is hoped that the outcome of this study will enable the court to deliver judicially acceptable judgments and resolve interfaith marriage disputes rather than postponing them. Hence, the underlying objective of this study is to propose for a legal reform in the Bangladeshi legal system.

#### 1.2 STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Interfaith couples are bound to leave their family affiliations immediately after the marriage, because they tend to be neglected by the society. Socio-cultural violence and radical bitterness are serious problems faced by interfaith couples in the society. It has been observed that there is an increase in the rate of problems encountered by couples of interfaith marriages. Insufficient legal provisions in the existing laws is the principal barrier for the couples to protect their rights and obligations. Similarly, such inadequacy is created

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Md. Kamruzzaman, "Interreligious Marriage in Bangladesh: From Human Rights Perspective", *International Journal of Education, Culture and Society*, vol. 1, no. 2 (2016): 48–50.