

INTEGRATING DISASTER RISK MANAGEMENT AND
LAND ADMINISTRATION SYSTEM IN YOGYAKARTA
SPECIAL REGION: A LEGAL ANALYSIS

BY

SUNARNO

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirement for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Law

Ahmad Ibrahim Kulliyyah of Laws
International Islamic University Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2021

ABSTRACT

Land administration system (LAS) is one of the core elements of government administration in promoting and guaranteeing security of land tenure of the people and businesses. The objective of LAS is to ensure a stable system in maintaining relationships between land, individuals, businesses and other legal entities. Agencies entrusted to manage LAS are responsible for implementing land policies, which includes equitable land distribution in accordance with the law and regulations. LAS is designed to operate in relatively stable environments with the aim to maintain records of transactions between the government and the people, and also dealings between people. Disaster Risk Management (DRM) assumes a dynamic role in a chaotic post-disaster environment, aiming to facilitate faster assessment of damages, injury, loss of life and property. This also includes providing assistance in the form of medicine, food, shelter and basic infrastructure. In designing disaster risk based policies, a community should consider disaster risks and their spatial distribution, steer more sustainable land development and use, reduce the vulnerability of affected people in high risk sites. This research's objective is to investigate the benefits of integrating the LAS with DRM aspects in achieving post disaster land tenure security. Integration of DRM principles into LAS is necessary in addressing post disaster recovery and reconstruction. Planning of LAS must consider all strategic elements in order to reduce the impacts of disasters at all phases and also taking into account the various risks associated with the exposed population. The LAS system can be a catalyst to improve the lives of poor and disadvantaged, empowering them through public participation by strengthening legitimacy and transparency in the process of policy making. This will promote social acceptance and adherence. The research method used is a mixed mode of case study on the LAS developed after the Merapi Eruption Disaster to restructure the land administration system in the Sleman District, Yogyakarta Special Region, Indonesia. The research identified that developing a link between LAS and DRM will aid in adopting strategic measures to develop knowledgeable communities that would be more resilient in facing challenges posed by natural disasters and also cooperate in post disaster reconstruction. The incorporation of DRM aspects into LAS has capacity to shape a more sustainable and resilient community that is able to adapt to unforeseen circumstances. The research concludes with a proposal for developing a model of LAS integrated with aspects of DRM including the legal regulatory, administrative aspects, standards and procedures for managing pre-disaster mitigation, and preparedness for possible disasters, organization of emergency measures, coordination of recovery operations, identifying rights of the displaced communities.

ملخص البحث

في مواقع متدهورة ذات مخاطر وقيود كبيرة. إن منظمة الأغذية والزراعة للأمم المتحدة (FAO) تشعر بقلق عميق إزاء هذه القضية التي يتم تناولها بطريقة شاملة من خلال الدليل الموجز الاختياري المتعلق بالإدارة المسؤولة لإمتلاك الأراضي. يهدف هذا البحث إلى التحقق من العلاقة بين LAS و DRM والإدارة الجيدة للأراضي لتحقيق حيازة الأراضي الآمنة. كما يهدف للحصول على اجابات للأسئلة التالية: ما هو المنطق وراء اندماج إدارة مخاطر الكوارث مع نظام LAS في الممارسة الحالية للالتعاش وإعادة البناء بعد الكوارث؛ ما الخطوط العريضة الرئيسية لإدارة الحقوق الرقمية في LAS. وتم استخدام خليط من طرق البحث التي تضمنت استخدام نهج دراسة حالة تركز على ما بعد كارثة ٢٠١٠م MerapiEruption مع نظم إدارة الأراضي التي أنشئت في Yogyakarta بأندونيسيا. وقد خلص البحث إلى أن جامعة الدول العربية يجب أن يعاد تصميمها لدعم سياسة DRM والممارسات التي تغطي مبدأ وإجراءات الوقاية قبل وقوع الكارثة والتخفيف والتأهب للكوارث المحتملة، وتنظيم إجراءات الطوارئ، وتنسيق عمليات الاستعادة، و تهجير السكان وإعادة الإعمار. وينبغي أن تهدف عملية التخطيط بعد ذلك إلى تحفيز جميع العناصر الاستراتيجية خلال جميع مراحل التدخل على التخفيف من المخاطر المختلفة المرتبطة بالسكان المعرضين للكوارث. ويمكن أن تكون عملية LAS حافزاً لتحسين قدرة الفقراء والأكثر حرماناً بتمكينهم من خلال الجمهور من تعزيز الشرعية والشفافية في عملية صياغة السياسات وتطوير البرامج وتعزيز القبول الاجتماعي. وضعت إدارة الكوارث الطبيعية مع نظام إدارة الأراضي النموذجية الجديدة الخطوط العريضة الاستراتيجية التي ينبغي القيام بها بشكل أفضل مع الناس أكثر من الأرض. يجب تطبيق LAS لجعل الناس أكثر قدرة على مواجهة قضايا الكوارث الطبيعية، وأكثر التزاماً في الاستجابة بطريقة منظمة لخطط الطوارئ للإخلاء، والترحيل، وإعادة التوطين. كما ينبغي أن تسهم في تشكيل مجتمع أكثر استدامة وتكيفاً ومرونة، خاصة عند التعرض لظروف غير متوقعة.

APPROVAL PAGE

The thesis of Sunarno has been approved by the following:

Ainul Jaria Maidin
Supervisor

Nor Asiah Bt Mohamad
Co-Supervisor

Sharifah Zubaidah
Internal Examiner

Jady @ Zaidi Hassim
External Examiner

Soejito
External Examiner

Akram Zeki Khedher
Chaiman

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of my investigations, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

Sunarno

Signature

Date

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

**DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF
FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH**

**INTEGRATING DISASTER RISK MANAGEMENT AND LAND
ADMINISTRATION SYSTEM IN YOGYAKARTA SPECIAL
REGION: A LEGAL ANALYSIS**

I declare that the copyright of this thesis are jointly owned by the student and IIUM.

Copyright © 2021 Sunarno and International Islamic University Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below

1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieved system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledged that I have read and understood the IIUM Intellectual Property Right and Commercialization policy.

Affirmed by Sunarno

.....
Signature

.....
Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to Allah S.W.T. the most compassionate, the most merciful, whose mercy and blessing have enabled me to complete this study.

Several people have contributed to the successful completion of this piece of work. I want to take this opportunity to express my deepest gratitude to every one of them.

To my supervisor, co-supervisor, and both internal and external examiners thank you for your relentless guidance during my study. Your prompt and constructive feedback had a significant impact in shaping this research into its final form, making it a significant contribution to the body of knowledge.

To my friends, thank you for listening, advising and supporting me throughout this long journey.

To my parents and siblings, thank you for the lifelong sacrifice. Without your continuous support, I would not be where I am today.

Lastly, I extend my appreciation to my teachers and mentors for their encouragement, support and invaluable advice.

You have all impacted my life positively. May Allah bless you!

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
Abstract in Arabic	iii
Approval Page	iv
Declaration Page	v
Copyright Page.....	vi
Acknowledgements	vii
List of Tables	xiii
List of Figures	xiv
List of Pictures	xvi
List of Abbreviations	xvii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Objectives of the Study	10
1.3 Research Problem.....	11
1.4 Research Questions	12
1.5 Hypothesis of Research	12
1.6 Methodology	13
1.7 Scope and Limitation of the Study	14
1.8 Significance of the Research	15
1.9 Literature Review	16
1.9.1 Development in Incorporating Disaster Risk Reduction Aspects into the Land Administration System	16
1.9.2 Conceptualising Risk Based Planning System	19
1.9.3 Environmental Protection	20
1.9.4 Addressing Past Planning Deficits.....	21
1.9.5 Guiding Principles for Land Use and Physical Planning.....	22
1.10 Disaster Risk Reduction At International Level	23
1.10.1. Hyogo Framework for Actions (Hyogo Framework)	23
1.10.2 Sendai Framework (SFDRR) 2015 on Disaster Risk Reduction ..	24
1.11 Arrangement of Chapters	29
1.12 Concluding Remarks	31
CHAPTER TWO: LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK REGULATING DRM.....	32
2.1 Introduction	32
2.2 Legal Framework of DRM.....	32
2.3 Institutional Framework	37
2.3.1 The National Disaster Management Agency (BNPB).....	37
2.3.2 Legal and Institutional Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction ...	40
2.3.3 Disaster Risk Reduction and Organisational Ability to Mobilise Resources.....	42
2.3.4 DRM Supported by Land and Related Sectors.....	43
2.4 The Concept of Risk Management.....	45

2.4.1	Conceptual Risks	46
2.5	Risks in the Indonesian Context.....	49
2.5.1	Overview.....	52
2.5.1.1	Definition of Disaster Management Law and Application	54
2.5.2.	Identifying the Risks	54
2.5.3	Analyzing the Risks	55
2.5.4	Evaluation of the Risks	56
2.5.5	Disaster Risk Assessment	56
2.6	Risk Management Policies	57
2.6.1	Disaster Risk Information as Basis.....	57
2.6.2	DRM	58
2.6.2.1	Prospective DRM.....	58
2.6.2.2	Corrective DRM.....	58
2.6.2.3	Compensatory DRM	58
2.6.2.4	Community-based DRM.....	59
2.6.2.5	Local and Indigenous Peoples' Approach to DRM	59
2.7	Principles of the Islamic Framework Regarding DRM.....	59
2.8	Concluding Remarks	63

CHAPTER THREE: RETHINKING ROLE OF LAND ADMINISTRATION.. 65

3.1	Introduction	65
3.2	Land Administration	65
3.2.1	Land Administration System (LAS).....	66
3.2.2	Land Management Paradigm	68
3.2.3	Land Policy	70
3.2.4	Land Information Infrastructure	70
3.2.5	Country Context.....	70
3.2.6	Land Administration System and Related Sectors	72
3.3	Key Development in Land Administration	73
3.3.1	LAS, Natural Disaster Prevention and Mitigation.....	76
3.4	Indonesian LAS.....	78
3.4.1	Framework of the Indonesian Legal System	79
3.4.1.1	Characteristic of Legal System	79
3.4.2	Law No. 5/1960 on the Basic Agrarian Law	83
3.5	Land Administration and Risk Management: the Overlap.....	87
3.6	Concluding Remarks	89

CHAPTER FOUR: INTEGRATION OF LAS WITH DRM ASPECTS 90

4.1	Introduction	90
4.1.1	Background Chapters.....	91
4.2	Paramount Institutional LAS and Instruments	91
4.3	Capacity of LAS	97
4.4	Governance For Land Risk Reduction Process Based On Rational Nexuses.....	98
4.5	Land Risks Following Various Disasters	100
4.6	Addressing Land Risks in Pre-Disaster.....	101
4.6.1	Hazard Risk Mapping and Land Tenure	101
4.6.2	Education about Land Rights and Property	102

4.6.3	Guaranteeing the Safety of Land Records	103
4.6.4	Land Issues in Preparedness	104
4.6.4.1	Land Policies and Legal Framework	104
4.7	Addressing Land Rights Issues in Disaster Risk Reduction	107
4.7.1	Land Management and Land-use Planning	108
4.7.2	Protecting the Property Rights the Vulnerable Communities.....	111
4.7.3	Protecting the Inheritance Rights of Women and Children	112
4.7.4	Protecting Property Rights of Tenants	114
4.7.5	Recognising the Social Legitimacy of Informal Settlers	115
4.7.6	Recognising Customary Rights to Land and Natural Resources ..	117
4.8	Land Issues in Emergency Response	118
4.9	Responding to Land Issues in the Emergency Response Phase.....	120
4.9.1	Evacuation, Transitional Settlement and Emergency Shelter.....	120
4.9.2	Incorporating Land Issues into Rapid Assessments	122
4.9.3	Rapid Livelihoods Assessments	124
4.9.4	Damage and Loss Assessments to Cadastral Infrastructure and Land Proofs	125
4.9.5	Conducting the Needs on the Capacity Assessment in the LAS ..	125
4.9.6	Coordinating the Use of Spatial Information.....	126
4.10	Early Recovery	128
4.10.1	Land Issues in Recovery and Reconstruction	130
4.11	Long-term Recovery	130
4.11.1	Adjudication of Land Rights.....	131
4.12	Reconstruction and Ongoing Development Activities.....	136
4.12.1	Restoring Capacity in the LAS	136
4.12.2	Moving from Transitional Shelter to Reconstruction	138
4.12.3	Management of Land Dispute.....	141
4.12.4	Land Tenure in Alternative Conflict Management.....	143
4.13	Concluding Remarks	145

**CHAPTER FIVE: ROLE OF LAS IN POST DISASTER REHABILITATION
AND RECONSTRUCTION: CASE STUDY OF SLEMAN DISTRICT 146**

5.1	Introduction	146
5.2	Sleman District.....	146
5.2.1	Socio–Economic Condition	149
5.2.2	Legislative and Institutional Framework for Managing Disaster .	151
5.2.2.1	Legislation	151
5.2.2.2	Institutional and Administrative Framework	156
5.3	Analysis of Case Study	161
5.3.1	Vulnerability Assessment in Sleman District	167
5.3.1.1	Social Vulnerability.....	167
5.3.1.2	Physical Vulnerability.....	169
5.3.1.3	Economic Vulnerability	170
5.3.2	Disaster Index Assessment	171
5.3.3	Sectoral Laws Role in Increasing Safety and Reducing Vulnerability	174
5.3.3.1	Water Law	175
5.3.3.2	Forest Law	176
5.3.3.3	Spatial Law and Land Use Planning	176

5.3.3.4	Building and Construction Regulations	179
5.3.3.5	National Action Plan for Climate Change	180
5.3.4	Budgetary Sufficiency for Disaster Risk Reduction	181
5.3.5	Risk Information Assessment in Development Process	183
5.3.6	Establish Clear Procedure and Responsibilities for Early Warning System	185
5.3.7	Promoting Disaster Awareness Society Approach	186
5.3.7.1	Engagement of Relevant Stakeholders.....	187
5.3.7.2	Addressing Gender Considerations.....	187
5.3.7.3	Fulfilling of Responsibility and Exercising of Rights.....	189
5.4	Affected People’s Responses	195
5.4.1	Developing the Nexus between LAS, Land Use Planning and DRM	196
5.4.2	Rationale of Proposeing Incorporating of LAS with DRM Aspect	198
5.4.3	Identifying Land Rights Issues in Designing Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Programmes	199
5.5	Concluding Remarks	201

**CHAPTER SIX: ROLE OF LAND ADMINISTRATION SYSTEM IN
DISASTER RISK MANAGEMENT..... 203**

6.1	Introduction	203
6.2	Developing Model For Incorporating LAS and DRM Aspects	204
6.2.1	Developing Policy Framework	207
6.2.2	Developing Legal Framework	214
6.2.3	Developing Institutional Framework	216
6.2.4	Basic Institutional Framework.....	217
6.3	Relevance of Land Risk Governance Aspects.....	220
6.4	Benefits of Incorporating Land Risk Governance Aspects	222
6.5	Weaknesses and Challenges	224
6.5.1	Weak Policies	224
6.5.2	Sectoral Legislative Framework	225
6.5.3	Inefficient Administrative Procedures	226
6.5.4	Lack of Public Participation	226
6.6	Mutual Principles among Parties	226
6.7	Concluding Remarks	230

**CHAPTER SEVEN: INTEGRATING DISASTER RISK MANAGEMENT AND
LAND ADMINISTRATION SYSTEM: LESSONS FROM SYARIAH 232**

7.1	Introduction	232
7.2	Legal Framework of Islamic LAS (ILAS)	234
7.3	Principles of Islamic LAS	236
7.4	<i>Maqasid Al-Shari’ah</i> Perspective of LAS.....	238
7.5	Islamic Policies Relating to Land Management.....	241
7.5.1	Men as Caliphs.....	242
7.5.2	Private Land Ownership in Islam	243
7.5.3	Conditions of Land Ownership in Islam.....	244

7.5.4 Land Needed for Public Interest (Public Interest – <i>Maṣlahah Ammah</i>).....	245
7.6 Islamic Principles In Indonesian Land Administration System	246
7.6.1 Implementation of Islamic LAS	247
7.6.1.1 Post-Independence	247
7.6.1.2 Old Regimes.....	247
7.6.1.3 New Order.....	248
7.6.1.4 Reformation Order	248
7.7 Benefits of Islamic Principles in Promoting DRM	248
7.7.1 Development of the <i>Maqasid al-Shari'ah</i>	250
7.7.2 Realisation of <i>Maqasid al-Shari'ah</i> in LAS and DRM.....	253
7.8 Concluding Remarks	256
CHAPTER EIGHT: CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS	257
8.1 Introduction	257
8.2 Conclusion From Chapter Two	258
8.3 Land Administration	259
8.4 Developing the Nexus Between LAS and DRM.....	260
8.5 Research Objectives And Achievements.....	260
8.5.1 First Objective.....	261
8.5.2 Second Objective	262
8.5.3 Findings from Case Study of Sleman District	264
8.5.4 Proposal to Develop Model for LAS Integrated with DRM Aspects.....	265
8.5.5 Incorporating Disaster Risk Reduction Considerations.....	266
8.6 Future Directions	272
8.7 Concluding Remarks	279
BIBLIOGRAPHY	281

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4. 1	The Elements of Disaster Risk Reduction and Their Activities	101
Table 4. 2	The Phases of Recovery and Key Measures of LAS	120
Table 4. 3	Scheduled Activities after Disaster	125
Table 4. 4	Key Land Administration Measures on the Disaster Recovery	128
Table 4. 5	The Phases on the Land Administration Measures Within DRM	129
Table 4. 6	The List of Disasters	142
Table 5. 1	Impacts of the 2010 Mount Merapi Eruption	149
Table 5. 2	Land Use Structure in Sleman	149
Table 5. 3	Assessment of Damages and Losses Caused by Mount Merapi Eruption 2010	162
Table 5. 4	Various Disasters and Impacts in Sleman District Yogyakarta Special Region	166
Table 5. 5	List of Damages and Losses due to Mount Merapi Eruption 2012	167
Table 5. 6	Ranking of SoVI on Hamlets	168
Table 5. 7	Socioeconomic and Physical Impact of Mount Merapi Eruption as Reported by the Household in the Affected Areas	169
Table 5. 8	Economic Vulnerability and Key Parameters Needed to Consider	170
Table 5. 9	Yogyakarta Special Region's Disaster Risk Index	171
Table 5. 10	Scope of DM Tasks	194
Table 6. 1	Phases of DRM Policy and Regulation in Indonesia	214
Table 6. 2	Land Risk Assessment in LRM under LAS	230

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2. 1	Disaster Management System Framework Flowchart Developed from Law No. 24 of 2017	34
Figure 2. 2	IAS in Disaster Risk Reduction System	35
Figure 2. 3	Coordination Between Ministries in DRR Management	38
Figure 2. 4	Institution Framework for Disaster Management	39
Figure 2. 5	The List of the Responsibilities in DRM	45
Figure 2. 6	Risk Management Process (AS/NZS ISO 2009)	48
Figure 2. 7	Risks Assessment Processes in DRM	53
Figure 3. 1	The Land Management Paradigm	69
Figure 3. 2	The DRM Process Cycle	77
Figure 4. 1	Broad Areas Explored in the Current Study	91
Figure 4. 2	The Nexus between LAS, DRM and Country Context	92
Figure 4. 3	Check List of Land Acquisition Process on DRM	141
Figure 5. 1	Disaster Prone Areas near Mount Merapi Slope	147
Figure 5. 2	Drinking Water Condition in Sleman District in 2013	150
Figure 5. 3	Total Disaster Risk Management on Mitigation	152
Figure 5. 4	Organisational Structure for Disaster Management	159
Figure 5. 5	Structure of Local Disaster Management Agencies	160
Figure 5. 6	The Structure of Sleman Disaster Agency	160
Figure 5. 7	Hazardous Zones and Vulnerability Level at Mount Merapi Area (Sleman District)	168
Figure 5. 8	Integration between LAS and Land Risk Reduction System	174
Figure 5. 9	Proposed Land Governance System	197
Figure 6. 1	Process Involved in Developing Proposed LAS Model	206

Figure 6. 2	Structure of the Local Land and Spatial Management in Sleman District	219
Figure 6. 3	Land Risk Governance	221

LIST OF PICTURES

Picture 5.1	Damaged House in Sub-District <i>Cangkringan</i> After the Mount Merapi Eruptions During Oct –Nov 2010	148
Picture 5.2	A Village, One of Ten Village Situated Near Mount Merapi, Covered in Ash from The Volcanic Eruption	148
Picture 5.3	Disaster Risk Against Flights. The Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) on NASA's Terra Satellite Captured This Image of the Merapi Ash Plum Heading West on 10 November 2010	148
Picture 5.4	Sabo Dam at Merapi River	179
Picture 5.5	Community based DRM	189

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADB	: Asian Development Bank
AADMER	: ASEAN Agreement of Disaster Management and Emergency Response
BAL	: Basic Agrarian Law
BPN	: Badan Pertanahan Nasional (National Land Agent)
BPK	: Finance Supervision Body
BAPPENAS	: Body of National Development Planning
BAPEDA	: Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Daerah
BPPD	: Badan Pengendalian Pertanahan Daerah (Regional Land Management Agency)
BNPB (D)	: Badan Nasional Penanggulangan Bencana (Daerah)
BPPS	: Badan Pusat Pengkajian Statistika
DIY	: Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta (Yogyakarta Special Region)
DPR (D)	: Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat (Daerah)
DML	: Disaster Management Law
DRM	: DRM
DRR	: Disaster Risk Reduction
GLG	: Good Land Governance
HFA	: Hugo Framework for Action
HM	: Hak Milik
HGU	: Hak Guna Usaha
HGB	: Hak Guna Bangunan
HP	: Hak Pakai
IMF	: International Monetary Fund
IPCC	: International Program for Climate Change
ISDRR	: International Strategy for Disaster Risk Reduction
LAS	: Land Administration System
LRM	: Land Risk Management
LRR	: Land Risk Reduction
LUM	: Land Use Management
MPR	: Majelis Permusyawaratan Rakyat
PMA	: Penanaman Modal Asing
PKI	: Partai Komunis Indonesia
PP	: Peraturan Pemerintah
P2KP	: Proyek Penanggulangan Kemiskinan Perkotaan
Perpres	: Peraturan Presiden
Permen	: Peraturan Menteri
RECOMPAC	: Reconstruction – Rehabilitation Planning and Community Based Settlement

RRR	: Right, Restriction and Responsibility
TGT	: Tata Guna Tanah
KPK	: Komisi Pemberantasan Korupsi
KRB	: Kawasan Rawan Bencana
UNECE	: United Nation for Economic Comision of Europe
UNSFDRR	: United Nation for Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction
UU	: Undang Undang
UUD	: Undang Undang Dasar
WCDR	: Word Commission for Disaster Risk
WTO	: World Trade Organization

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

Disaster impacts heavily affects resources such as property and land in developing nations. The relevant agencies have set the main issues¹ faced by people and public institutions in solving the problems. Efforts such as assessing, distinguishing, examining, m have been put into introducing best policies² in order to protect the affected people. Providing an integrated nexus in administering land information and managing risks is fundamental in ensuring effective land governance practices and improve the resilience of the community.³ Land information and risk management in Indonesia and most Asian countries need to be improved in order to develop land risk governance system. This study also aims to analyse the legal aspects and proposes integration of disaster risk management principles and land administration system in improving the Land administration system in the Indonesian province of Yogyakarta Special Region.

Most Asian countries are prone to natural disasters⁴. The highest level of risks can be derived and interchanged from both natural and non-natural consequences that negatively impact the economy, social system and also the ecosystem. Asian cities developed in hazard prone areas such coastlines, floodplains, within top of or nearby

¹ World Bank, *the Economics of Natural Disasters*-The World Bank Documents, 2010.

² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Risk_management, accessed on 10th January 2017.

³ Stig Enemark, *Sustainable Land Administration Infrastructures to support Natural Disaster Prevention and Management*, Ninth United Nations Regional Cartographic Conference for the Americas New York, 10-14 August 2009, Item 7(a) of the provisional agenda, strategy, policy, economic, and institutional issues, 1-14.

⁴ Rajib Shaw Atta-ur-Rahman Akhilesh Surjan Gulsan Parvin *Disasters and Resilience in Asia*, ISBN: 9780128023778. Paperback ISBN: 9780128021699, Published: Butterworth-Heinemann, Published Date: 22nd January 2016 at 368.

seismic faults, and around the shadow of volcanoes may experience higher temperatures and severe weather caused by cyclones.⁵ These often harm residents and properties, and also cause hazardous flooding, storm surges, earthquake, tsunamis, and lava of volcanoes to happen. Moreover, with climate change, the intensity and frequency of hazardous weather are expected to increase with the sea level change and permanent coastal inundation which will further exacerbate the risk of disaster. Indonesian cities are rapidly expanding and developing in order to meet the demand for affordable housing, infrastructure and essential public facilities in an efficient and cost-effective manner. In many cases, infrastructure is erected on disaster prone locations, such as wetlands and hilly areas where obstruction of natural drainage can occur.⁶

The land information system with relevant assessments could be a strategic tool in identifying and minimizing various disaster risks. By combining disaster risk information with the relevant information on land tenure, value, and use, the necessary risk prevention and mitigation measures can be reconstructed, assessed and redesigned in relation to legal, economic, physical, and social consequences. Ideally, DRM should be integrated in designing the land use planning and land management policy and processes.⁷

Consequently, disaster risk in urban areas largely increased the need to address within the context of wider urban development. In this situation, there must be a connection established between LAS and disaster risk reduction process in order to enable urban land use management processes, such as land use planning, development

⁵ World Bank, Disaster Risk Management Overview October 16, 2014, <https://www.Worldbank.Org/En/Region/Eap/Brief/Disaster-Risk-Management> Accessed at 01 March 2014.

⁶ See Tadleer, New Ecological Risk, In *Journal Risk of Risk Analysis*, (Cambridge University, 2017), 1-20.

⁷ Stig Enemark, *Sustainable Land Administration Infrastructures*, 12.

control, green field development, and urban redevelopment to be given due consideration in order to reduce risks.

Disaster risk reduction-based land use planning can be intended for comprehensive interaction between hazards and urban growth patterns.⁸ Urban land-use management processes provide insight in understanding how hazards interact with existing and future urban patterns, which can be beneficial in proposing preventive measures (policy, investment, and capacity) for risk-sensitive development. For example, land use policies can manage spatial development strategies in steering development away from flood prone areas. Similarly, the tools for development control, such as zoning, can discourage development in high risk areas. A site plan for green field areas can also disclose disaster risk information which allows potential investors to adequately assess the cost and benefits of land development.

LAS incorporated with disaster risk reduction measures can help increase political and economic stability. By incorporating disaster risk considerations in city land use management processes, implementation of risk-sensitive urban growth may be more politically acceptable and also economically viable. For instance, acquiring a large parcel of land at an unstable slope that restricts development may not be politically and economically viable when landslide risk reduction objectives are taken into consideration. It may become feasible when it addresses local development issues like the need for recreational and open spaces.

Integrating disaster risk considerations in land use management process does not necessarily involve introducing new approaches, rather it requires established policies and processes to be adjusted. The other advantages of urban areas in

⁸ Timothy w. Collins, "The Political Ecology Of Hazard Vulnerability: Marginalization, Facilitation And The Production Of Differential Risk To Urban Wildfires In Arizona's White Mountains," *Journal Of Political Ecology, University of Arizona*, vol. 15, no. 1 (2008). Could be accessed Through: <https://journals.uair.arizona.edu/index.php/jpe/article/view/21686>.

Southeast Asian countries are support for investments on land zones for industrial development in public facilities and services, rationalizing disaster risks through city land use planning processes with economic growth.

Generally, it is expected that land risk management initiatives will continue to be high profile issues as climate change brings more frequent and severe weather events. The future of community capacity building relies strongly on improved quality control procedures for managing hazards to land and property through the management of land administration information and engagement of all stakeholders.

Thus, critical land problems such as tenure safety, land-use planning, development control, land acquisition and land governance are necessary to be solved in ensuring prosperity. Land disputes, particularly in post disaster phases directly affect the capacity of the communities, the condition of housing and access to basic needs, public services and facilities. The damage caused can lead to ecological change and man-made process that demand permanent relocation or resettlement of the affected population. Sustainable housing of people is necessary in tackling the obstacles against devastating natural hazards. Therefore, the stages of hazard control involving land problems must be solved strategically as the nexus between hazard risk management and the fair functions of LAS are strongly inter-assembled.

Regarding the operation of the land tenure, the mechanisms of disaster risk reduction and DRM highlighted several strategic sources of tenure insecurity after a natural disaster:⁹

- (1) Incomplete, expired lease or fraudulent land identification documents;
- (2) Lost or damaged land title identification documents;
- (3) Inadequate legal recognition of other forms of land tenure than ownership;

⁹ Engin I. Erdem, *Land Tenure and Disaster Risk Management*, Disaster Risk Reduction Program Florida International University, April 2011.

- (4) Land grabbing by those in power and with resources;
- (5) Inheritance disputes among family or community members;
- (6) Inappropriate procedures guiding reconstruction in areas classified as unsafe; and
- (7) Breakdown of formal or customary land institutions;

Natural catastrophes can lead to land speculation and grabbing when good governance of land administration system and recovery phases are not able to address the weaknesses. Within the DRM framework, it suggested for introducing a better quality policy and legal framework to strengthen the capacity of the marginalised and under privileged communities. Highlighting the land problems in various phases of DRM will assist displaced people to protect their land rights, and successful relocation to an appropriate environment.

For enquiry of the theoretical principles, policy and legal framework above, a case study of the practice and procedures adopted in the Yogyakarta Special Region, will be made. Since 2006, the government of Yogyakarta Special Region has endeavoured to mainstream disaster risk reduction into post-disaster recovery and rehabilitation. This initiative could identify the research objectives to lead this thesis. Interestingly, every post-disaster recovery program in Indonesia is required to incorporate ‘Post-Disaster Need Assessment’ and formulation of ‘Action Plan for Rehabilitation and Reconstruction’. The Body of National Disaster Management (BNPB) Regulation No. 17/2011 on rehabilitation and reconstruction has further internalised the disaster risk reduction efforts in the recovery process. The public authority promoted “building back better” approach in most of the post-disaster reconstruction projects since 2006. This included the Mount Merapi eruption disaster

that happened in 2010. The legal framework, policy, standard operating procedures are very useful basis for this research case study.

Results of this study can contribute towards strengthening the capacity and also reduce the vulnerability of victims of natural disasters. Climate change is very likely to increase the frequency and intensity of extreme weather events, such as heat waves, tropical cyclones, flood and droughts. According to ISDR, list of natural disaster since 1975 involving more than 10,000 fatalities highlights that five out of ten worst disasters occurred between the year 2003 and 2008. Many experts in disaster management suggest that hazards generate more defenceless people who survived but failed to tackle their basic needs, suffered from serious privation and continue to live in severe bankrupt situations. Meanwhile, the most unfortunate groups are more likely to live in hazard-prone land, and are at the greatest risk of displacement and loss of livelihood assets in the catastrophe. In these situations, DRM strategies will often involve more than one areas of livelihood, ideally within different microclimate. People may also become landless due to the death of a family, an inability to prove prior occupation, or from damage to the land that leaves it unusable. Once displaced people lose their economic relation with their associated livelihood assets, they are more vulnerable to the shock of disaster and have more difficulty in resuming livelihood. If livelihoods are not recovered, they face the prospect of selling assets at reduced prices just to feed their families. However, some house owners lose access to their pre-disaster basic needs and are unable to come back to their land and properties. The sharecroppers, farm labourers and informal settlers are those who are badly affected by the disasters. Secure land tenure enables claims to land that could be validated and facilitate the return of the displaced people to their places of livelihood, food production and activities that is essential for rebuilding their lives.