BENTHIC HARPACTICOID COPEPOD DIVERSITY AND THEIR ADAPTATIONS TO THE CORAL AREA OF PULAU TIOMAN

BY

AZRINA BINTI SHAM

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Biosciences)

Kulliyyah of Science International Islamic University Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2020

ABSTRACT

The study is carried out to investigate the identity of harpacticoid species, morphology and ultrastructure which might serve as their living strategy in adapting the coral environment. Field samplings were carried out between August, September and October 2017 at six selected stations, which are Salang, Tulai Station 1, Tulai Station 2, Batu Malang, Renggis and Soyak. Sediment cores were obtained by scuba divers using transparent hand core (3.5 cm mouth diameter) which later been preserved with 10% formalin added with Rose Bengal. The meiobenthos were extracted from the sediment through decantation and sieving process using 500 microns and 63 microns mesh sieve. Harpacticoid specimen were dissected and prepared for taxonomic examination with the help of Lucida camera attached to a compound microscope. The ultrastructure of the species was determined from the micrograph resulted from Scanning Electron Microscope examination. Analysis on the diversity of the species found in the study area was done by determining the Shannon's Weiner (H'), Margalef (d) and Evenness Pielou, (J'). A total of 14 harpacticoid species representing 9 genera from the family of Dactylopusiidae (Dactylopusia sp.), family Ectinosomatidae (Ectinosoma sp.), family Miraciidae (Robertgurneya smithi, Typhlamphiascus lamellifer, Typhlamphiascus blanchardi and Delavalia clavus), family Laophontidae (Laophonte cornuta, Laophonte expansa, Quinquelaophonte quinquespinosa and Asellopsis sp.), family Tetragonicipitidae (Phyllopodopsyllus sp. 1 and Phyllopodopsyllus sp. 2) and family Canuellidae (Canuellina nicobaris and Brianola sp.) were discovered from the sampling sites. Based on taxonomic identification, the harpacticoid species in Pulau Tioman did not show a marked different from other area except presence row of spinules on the surface of swimming leg in Devalia clavus and Robertgurneya smithi, conical shape of furcal rami in Typhylamphiascus lamellifer, five setae ornamented the caudal rami of Quinquelaophonte quinquespinosa and 7 arthrites on maxillule on Canuellina nicobaris. The micrograph revealed features like strong and jagged claw of maxilliped of Asellopsis sp., and; thick and pitted integuments ornamented the cephalotorax and somites of Laophonte cornuta and Laophonte expansa. Harpacticoids in Renggis were significantly related with the hard coral (p = 0.030, p < 0.05), sand (p = 0.046) and dead coral (p = 0.031, p, 0.05), while species diversity of harpacticoid copepods from the Tioman coral reef area is considered high with the H' value > 1.8, d > 1.5 and J > 1.8. The taxonomic data and biodiversity could be further through field experimental approach to understand the harpacticoid strategy towards environmental factor.

خلاصة البحث

أجريت هذه الدراسة لتحقق من طبيعة الهارباكتيكويدات، وتشكلها، وتركيبها الدقيق والتي قد تكون إستراتيجيتها المعيشية في التكيف مع البيئة المرجانية. تم أخذ العينات الميدانية بين أغسطس وأيلول وأكتوبر في عام 2017 في ست محطات مختارة، وهي سالانغ، ومحطة تولاي 1، ومحطة تلاوي 2 ، وباتو مالانغ ، وورينقيس، وسوياك. تم الحصول على لب الرواسب بمساعدة غواصين باستخدام لب يدوي شفاف (قطر الفم 3.5 سم) والتي تم حفظها لاحقا بإضافة 10٪ من الفورمالين مع صبغة وردة البنغال. تم استخراج الكائنات القاعية من الرواسب من خلال عملية التصفية والغربلة باستخدام منخل شبكي بمقياس 500 ميكرون و63 ميكرون. تم تشريح عينة الهارباكتيكويدات وإعدادها للفحص التصنيفي بكاميرا استجلائية مركبة على مجهر مركب. تم تحديد التركيب الدقيق للأنواع من الصور المجهرية الصادرة من الفحص المجهري الإلكتروبي الماسح. تم إجراء تحليل الأنواع الموجودة في مناطق الدراسة من خلال تحديد مؤشر شانون ويينر (H')ومؤشر مارقالف (d) ومؤشر إيفينيس بيلو ('J'). تم اكتشاف 14 نوعًا من الهارباكتيكويدات والممثلة لـ 9 أجناس وهي من عائلة داكتيلوبوسيدا (Dactylopusia sp)، وعائلة إكتينوسوماتيدا (Ectinosoma sp)، وعائلة ميراسيدا Typhlamphiascus Typhlamphiascus lamellifer (Robertgurneya smithi) clavus, Typhlamphiascus (Delavalia وعائلة تيتراقو نيسيبيتيدا (Phyllopodopsyllus sp. 2 , Phyllopodopsyllus sp. 1)، وعائلة كانولينا (Phyllopodopsyllus sp. 1 nicobaris and Brianola sp). استنادًا إلى التعريف التصنيفي، لم تظهر أنواع الهارباكتيكويدات في جزيرة تيومان على اختلاف كبير عن غيرها من المناطق باستثناء وجود صف من الشويكات على سطوح السيقان السابحة في Devalia clavus و الشوكية في الشوكية في الشوكية في الشوكية الشوكية الشوكية في المخروطي الفروع الشوكية Typhylamphiascus lamellifer ، وخمسة شعيرات مزينة للفروع الشوكية في arthrites 7 و arthrites على الفك العلوي في Canuellina nicobaris . كشفت الصور المجهرية ميزات عدة مثل المخلب القوي والخشن للفك العلوي لنوع Asellopsis، والغشاء السميك والمحفور المزخرف لرأس السيفالوتوراكس وفلقات اللاوفونت كورنوتا ولوفونت اكسبانسا. يساعد الشكل المضغوط ظهريا وبطنيا لللاوفونتيديا والكانوليدا ووجود الجزء الاندوبي المتمسك للسيقان السابحة على التمسك بشظايا الشعاب المرجانية في حين أن شكل الجسم المغزلي والمتمسك للأكتينوما يساعدها على الجحور نحو الرواسب السفلية. ارتبطت الهارباكتيكويدات في منطقة رنقيس بشكل كبير بالمرجان الصلب (0.030 p ، 0.030 p). بشكل عام، يعتبر تنوع أنواع مجدافيات الأرجل من ن من ذلك من أن تكون البيانات التصنيفية والتنوع البيولوجي أبعد من ذلك من J>1.8 و J>1.8 من ذلك من أن تكون البيانات التصنيفية والتنوع البيولوجي أبعد من ذلك من خلال النهج التجريبي الميداني لفهم إستراتيجية القاتل تجاه العامل البيئي.

APPROVAL PAGE

Γhe the	esis of Azrina binti Sham has been approved by the following
	Zaleha binti Kassim Supervisor
	Nurul Huda binti Ahmad Ishak
	Co-Supervisor Zuhairi bin Ahmad
	Co-Supervisor
	Ahmad Jalal Chowdary Khan Internal Examiner
	Zaidi bin Che Kob External Examiner
	Muhammad Taher bin Bakhtiar Chairman

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of m	y own investigations, except where
otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been p	previously or concurrently submitted
as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other i	institutions.
Azrina binti Sham	
Signature	Date

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH

BENTHIC HARPACTICOID COPEPOD DIVERSITY AND COMPOSITION IN MEIOBENTHIC COMMUNITY FROM CORAL AREA IN PULAU TIOMAN

I declare that the copyright holders of this thesis are jointly owned by the student and IIUM.

Copyright © 2020 Azrina binti Sham and International Islamic University Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below

- 1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
- 2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
- 3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieved system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledged that I have read and understand the IIUM Intellectual Property Right and Commercialization policy.

Affirmed by Azrina binti Sham		
Signature	Date	· • •

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All glory is due to Allah, the Almighty, whose Grace and Mercies have been with me throughout the duration of my study. Although, it has been tasking, His Mercies and Blessings on me ease the herculean task of completing this thesis.

Firstly, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof Dr. Zaleha binti Kassim for introducing me to the world of meiobenthos and harpacticoid, for her endless support, continuous guidance, constructive comments and knowledge sharing during the entire process of this dissertation making at International Islamic University of Malaysia. Without these qualities along with constructive supervision, this work may not have come to completion. I would like to thank my co supervisors, Dr Nurul Huda binti Ahmad Ishak for sharing the ideas on the taxonomic studies and, Asst. Prof. Dr Zuhairi bin Ahmad for the useful advice, leading the sample collections and for providing the secondary data.

I am also grateful to many people who have involved during my research works: the drone group for the sample collections; En. Masrul, Pn. Suria and Pn Nurul for providing me the lab facilities; and En Maizam and En Faiz from Kulliyah of Medicine for guiding me while handling the Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM). My sincere thanks to Bahagian Tajaan, Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia for grant me with the scholarship to pursue my PhD. I would also like to thank my lab-mates and friends: Wani, Razali, Amira, Akmal, Khai, and Wan Syaz for their helping hands, handful accompanies, sharing of idea and my PhD buddies: Kak Zanda, Shiken, Cikgu Zali and Ustaz for knowledge sharing and morale support.

This journey would not have been possible without the support of my family. To my parents, thank you for the encouragement, countless and endless Doa's and blessings for me to achieve my goal. Saving the most important for last, I wish to give my heartfelt thanks to my husband, my best friend, Azmil, whose unconditional love, patience, and continual support of my academic endeavours enabled me to complete this thesis. To my wonderful children: Farez, Hadi and Hanan, thank you for being patience and supportive during mak's PhD studies.

Once again, we glorify Allah for His endless mercy on us one of which is enabling us to successfully round off the efforts of writing this thesis. Alhamdulillah.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
Abstract in Arabic	iii
Approval Page	iv
Declaration	v
Copyright Page	vi
Acknowledgements	
Table of Contents	viii
List of Tables	
List of Figures	
List of Abbreviations	
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	
1.1.1 Meiobenthic Fauna and Harpacticoid Ecology	5 5
1.3 Significance of the Study	
•	
1.4 Research Objectives	
1.5 Research Questions	
1.6 Limitations of Study	/
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 Coral Ecosystem	8
2.2 Meiobenthos in Coral Ecosystem	9
2.3 Harpacticoid in Coral Area	11
2.4 Taxonomic and Ecological Studies on Harpacticoid Copepods	12
2.5 Taxonomy of Harpacticoid	13
2.5.1 Diagnostic Characteristics	
2.5.2 Sexual Dimorphism	16
2.5.3 Lifecycles of Harpacticoid	16
2.5.4 Feeding	
2.6 The Importance of Harpacticoid	17
2.6.1 Food Source	17
2.6.2 Bioindicator for the Environment	18
2.6.3 Mass Culture for Aquaculture	19
2.7 Zoogeography	19
2.8 Ecological Aspect of Harpacticoid	20
CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY	27
3.1 Sampling Site	
3.2 Sampling Strategy	
3.2.1 Collecting the Sediment Samples	
3.2.2 The Data on Coral Substrates	
5.2.2 The Data on Colar Sabbitates	30

3.4 Samples Processing at the Laboratory	30
3.4.1 Meiobenthos Isolation from Sediment and Quantification	30
3.4.2 Harpacticoid Isolation and Taxonomic Study	31
3.5 Fine Structure Study By Scanning Electron Microscope	35
3.6 Data Analysis	38
3.6.1 Pearson Correlation Analysis	38
3.6.2 Shannon-Weiner Index	38
3.6.3 Pieloue Eveness	38
3.6.4 Margalef	39
3.6.5 Multivariate Analysis	39
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULT	40
4.1 Description of Benthic Harpacticoid Family	40
4.2 The Morphlogical Description of Harpacticoid Copepod	42
4.2.1 Family Ectinosomatidae G. O Sars, 1903	42
4.2.2 Family Dactylopusiidae Lang, 1936	53
4.2.3 Family Miraciidae Dana, 1846	65
4.2.4 Family Tetragonicipitidae Lang, 1944	113
4.2.5 Family Laophontidae, T. Scott 1905	135
4.2.6 Family Canuellidae Lang, 1944	180
4.3 Meiobenthic Community Structure	204
4.4 Relationships of Coral Substrates with Meiobenthos and Harpacticoid	
Population Density	205
4.5 Diversity Index, Richness and Evenness of Harpacticoid Species	211
CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION	215
5.1 Harpacticoid Copepod in Coral Area	215
5.2 Description of Harpacticoid Family	218
5.2.1 Family Dactylopusiidae Lang, 1936	
5.2.2 Family Ectinosomatidae Sars G.O, 1903	219
5.2.3 Family Miraciidae Dana, 1846	219
5.2.4 Family Tetragonicipitidae Lang, 1944	221
5.2.5 Family Laophontidae Scott T, 1904	
5.2.6 Family Canuellidae Lang, 1944	223
5.3 Harpacticoid Copepod in Coral Areas	
5.4 Morphological Adaptations to the Habitat	
5.4.1 Body shape	
5.4.2 Prehensile Endopodal First Leg and Maxilliped	
5.4.3 Swimming Leg 2- Swimming Leg 4	
5.4.4 Body Ornamentation	
5.5 Meiobenthic Community Structure	
5.6 Relationships of Coral Substrates with Meiobenthic Population	
5.7 Response of Meiofauna to Food Availability	
5.8 Biodiversity and Distributions of Harpacticoid	238
CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSIONS	245
CHAPTER SEVEN: RECOMMENDATIONS	246

REFERENCES	247
APPENDIX A: AT THE FIELD WORK	263
APPENDIX B: THE LAB WORKS-SLIDE PREPARATION	264
APPENDIX C: SCANNING ELECTRON MICROSCOPY (SEM)	265
APPENDIX D: PEARSON CORRELATION ANALYSIS	266
APPENDIX E: LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	272

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Taxonomic Studies on Harpacticoid Copepod.	22
Table 2	Overview of Harpacticoid Copepods and Meiobenthos Densities (Ind. 10 cm ²) from Tropical Seas.	23
Table 3	The Recreational Activities in Sampling Site.	28
Table 4	GPS Reading of Sampling Station in Tioman.	28
Table 5	The Correlation Analysis between Coral Substrates with the Mean Density of Meiobenthos and Harpacticoid from Batu Malang during Sampling Period.	205
Table 6	A Correlation Analysis between Coral Substrates with Mean Density of Meiobenthos and Harpacticoid in Renggis during Sampling Period.	206
Table 7	A Correlation Analysis between Coral Substrates with Mean Density of Meiobenthos and Harpacticoid in Salang during Sampling Period.	207
Table 8	A Correlation Analysis between Coral Substrates with Mean Density of Meiobenthos and Harpacticoid in Soyak during Sampling Period.	208
Table 9	A Correlation Analysis between Coral Substrates with Mean Density of Meiobenthos and Harpacticoid in Tulai Station 1 during Sampling Period.	209
Table 10	A Correlation Analysis between Coral Substrates with Mean Density of Meiobenthos and Harpacticoid in Tulai Station 2 during Sampling Period.	210
Table 11	Diversity Index, Species Richness and Evenness of Benthic Harpacticoid.	211
Table 12	The List of Harpacticoid Species in Present Studies on Each Station.	214
Table 13	The Distribution of Harpacticoid Species from Pulau Tioman and Other Continent.	240
Table 14	List of Recorded Harpacticoid Species in Malaysia.	241

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Various Body Shape of Harpacticoid.	25
Figure 2.2	General Morphology of Harpacticoid (Source: Ataff, 2004).	26
Figure 3.1	Map of Tioman and Sampling Stations.	29
Figure 3.2	Step-by-Step Slide Preparation.	33
Figure 3.3	Swimming Leg 1 / Pereiopods 1/ P1.	34
Figure 3.4	Swimming Leg 2/ Pereiopods 2/ P2.	34
Figure 3.5	Leg 5/ P5.	35
Figure 3.6	Sample Processing for SEM Observation.	37
Figure 4.1	<i>Ectinosoma</i> sp. $(\stackrel{\frown}{\downarrow})$. A. A1 B. A2 C. Maxilliped.	47
Figure 4.2	<i>Ectinosoma</i> sp. $(\stackrel{\frown}{\downarrow})$. A. P1 B. P2 C. P3.	48
Figure 4.3	<i>Ectinosoma</i> sp. $(\stackrel{\bigcirc}{+})$. A.P4 B. P5 C. Urosomite, Dorsal.	49
Figure 4.4	<i>Ectinosoma</i> sp. $(\stackrel{\bigcirc}{+})$. The Antenna and Mandible.	50
Figure 4.5	<i>Ectinosoma</i> sp. $(\stackrel{\frown}{+})$. The Maxilliped.	50
Figure 4.6	<i>Ectinosoma</i> sp. $(\stackrel{\frown}{+})$. Caudal Rami.	51
Figure 4.7	<i>Ectinosoma</i> sp. (\cap{C}) . Senssilae Scattered on the Dorsal Somites.	51
Figure 4.8	<i>Ectinosoma</i> sp. $(\stackrel{\frown}{+})$. Swimming Leg 4.	52
Figure 4.9	<i>Ectinosoma</i> sp. $(\stackrel{\bigcirc}{+})$. Leg 5.	52
Figure 4.10	Dactylopusia sp. (♀). A. A1 B. A2.	58
Figure 4.11	Dactylopusia sp. (♀). A. P1 B. P2.	59
Figure 4.12	Dactylopusia sp. (♀). A. P3 B. P4.	60
Figure 4.13	Dactylopusia sp. (♀). A. P5 B. Urosomites, Dorsal.	61
Figure 4.14	Dactylopusia sp. $(\stackrel{\frown}{+})$. Swimming Leg 1.	62
Figure 4.15	<i>Dactylopusia</i> sp. (\mathcal{L}) . Maxilliped.	62

Figure 4.16	Dactylopusia sp. (δ) Antennule (A1) and Antenna (A2).	63
Figure 4.17	Dactylopusia sp. (♂) Sexual Dimorphism on Distal Segment of P2.	63
Figure 4.18	Dactylopusia sp. (♂) Leg 5.	64
Figure 4.19	Dactylopusia sp. (♂) Urosomite, Dorsal.	64
Figure 4.20	Robertgurneya smithi (\updownarrow). A. A1 B. A2.	70
Figure 4.21	Robertgurneya smithi (\updownarrow). A. P1 B.P2 C. P3.	71
Figure 4.22	<i>Robertgurneya smithi</i> (\updownarrow). A. P4 B. Urosomite Dorsal C. P5.	72
Figure 4.23	Robertgurneya smithi $(?)$ Habitus.	73
Figure 4.24	Robertgurneya smithi $(?)$ Antenna.	73
Figure 4.25	Robertgurneya smithi $(?)$ Antennule.	74
Figure 4.26	<i>Robertgurneya smithi</i> $(\cap{\square})$ Dorsoventrally Spinule on Dorsal Somite.	74
Figure 4.27	Robertgurneya smithi (♀) Swimming Leg 4.	75
Figure 4.28	Robertgurneya smithi $(?)$ Leg 5.	75
Figure 4.29	Robertgurneya smithi (\updownarrow) Scattered Senssilae on Cephalotorax.	76
Figure 4.30	Typhlamphiascus blanchardi (\updownarrow) A. A1 B. A2.	82
Figure 4.31	<i>Typhlamphiascus blanchardi</i> (♀) A. P1 B. P2 C. P3.	83
Figure 4.32	<i>Typhlamphiascus blanchardi</i> ($\stackrel{\frown}{\downarrow}$) A. P4 B. P5 C. Urosomites Dorsal.	84
Figure 4.33	Typhlamphiascus blanchardi (\mathfrak{P}) Habitus.	85
Figure 4.34	<i>Typhlamphiascus blanchardi</i> (♀) Antennule.	85
Figure 4.35	Typhlamphiascus blanchardi (\mathfrak{P}) Antenna.	86
Figure 4.36	Typhlamphiascus blanchardi ($\stackrel{\frown}{\hookrightarrow}$) Rostrum.	86
Figure 4.37	<i>Typhlamphiascus blanchardi</i> (♀) Swimming Leg 1.	87
Figure 4.38	Typhlamphiascus blanchardi (\updownarrow) Leg 5.	87
Figure 4.39	<i>Typhlamphiascus blanchardi</i> ($\stackrel{\frown}{\hookrightarrow}$) Urosomite.	88

Figure 4.40	<i>Typhlamphiascus blanchardi</i> ($\stackrel{\frown}{\circ}$) Hyaline Frills and Spines.	88
Figure 4.41	Typhlamphiascus lamellifer $(?)$ A. A1 B. A2.	94
Figure 4.42	<i>Typhlamphiascus lamellifer</i> ($\stackrel{\frown}{+}$) A. Maxilliped B. Mandible.	95
Figure 4.43	<i>Typhlamphiascus lamellifer</i> ($\stackrel{\frown}{+}$) A. P1 B. P2 C. P3.	96
Figure 4.44	<i>Typhlamphiascus lamellifer</i> (\updownarrow) A. P4 B. P5 C. Urosomite Ventral.	97
Figure 4.45	<i>Typhlamphiascus lamellifer</i> $(?)$ Antennule.	98
Figure 4.46	<i>Typhlamphiascus lamellifer</i> (\mathfrak{P}) Senssilae Scattered on Cephalic Shield.	98
Figure 4.47	<i>Typhlamphiascus lamellifer</i> $(?)$ Antenna.	99
Figure 4.48	<i>Typhlamphiascus lamellifer</i> (♀) Swimming Leg 1-4.	99
Figure 4.49	Typhlamphiascus lamellifer $(?)$ Leg 5.	100
Figure 4.50	Typhlamphiascus lamellifer $(?)$ Caudal Rami.	100
Figure 4.51	<i>Typhlamphiascus lamellifer</i> (♂) Leg 5.	101
Figure 4.52	<i>Delavalia clavus</i> ($\stackrel{\bigcirc}{+}$) A. A1 B. A2 and Rostrum.	107
Figure 4.53	Delavalia clavus (♀) A. P1 B. P2 C. P3 D. P4.	108
Figure 4.54	<i>Delavalia clavus</i> (♀) A. P5 B. Urosomite Ventral.	109
Figure 4.55	<i>Delavalia clavus</i> (♀) Antennule.	110
Figure 4.56	<i>Delavalia clavus</i> (♀) Antenna and Rostrum.	110
Figure 4.57	Delavalia clavus (♀) Swimming Leg 1.	111
Figure 4.58	<i>Delavalia clavus</i> (♀) Swimming Leg 3 and 4.	111
Figure 4.59	<i>Delavalia clavus</i> $(\stackrel{\bigcirc}{+})$ Leg 5.	112
Figure 4.60	<i>Delavalia clavus</i> $(\stackrel{\bigcirc}{+})$ Exopod of Leg 5.	112
Figure 4.61	<i>Phyllopodopsyllus</i> sp. (\cap{Q}). A. A1 B. A2 C. Mandible D. Maxilla E. Maxilliped.	117
Figure 4.62	<i>Phyllopodopsyllus</i> sp. $(\stackrel{\frown}{\downarrow})$. A. P1 B. P2 C. P3 D. P4.	118
Figure 4.63	<i>Phyllopodopsyllus</i> sp. $(\stackrel{\frown}{\downarrow})$. A. Leg 5 B. Urosomite Ventral.	119

Figure 4.64	Phyllopodopsyllus sp. (\mathcal{L}) Habitus.	120
Figure 4.65	<i>Phyllopodopsyllus</i> sp. $(?)$ Sensillae on Cephalic Shield.	120
Figure 4.66	Phyllopodopsyllus sp. $(?)$ Antenna.	121
Figure 4.67	<i>Phyllopodopsyllus</i> sp. $(?)$ Antennule.	121
Figure 4.68	<i>Phyllopodopsyllus</i> sp. $(?)$ Swimming Leg 2- Swimming Leg 4.	122
Figure 4.69	<i>Phyllopodopsyllus</i> sp. $(?)$ Scattered sensillae on Dorsal Somite.	122
Figure 4.70	<i>Phyllopodopsyllus</i> sp. $(?)$ Leg 5.	123
Figure 4.71	<i>Phyllopodopsyllus</i> sp. $(?)$ Urosomite.	123
Figure 4.72	<i>Phyllopodopsyllus</i> sp. (♀) A.A1 B. A2 C. Maxilliped.	128
Figure 4.73	<i>Phyllopodopsyllus</i> sp. (♀) A.P1 B. P2 C. P3 D. P4.	129
Figure 4.74	Phyllopodopsyllus sp. (♀) A.Urosomite B. P5.	130
Figure 4.75	<i>Phyllopodopsyllus</i> sp. $(?)$ Habitus.	131
Figure 4.76	<i>Phyllopodopsyllus</i> sp. $(?)$ Cephalotorax and Antenna.	131
Figure 4.77	<i>Phyllopodopsyllus</i> sp. $(?)$ Maxilliped.	132
Figure 4.78	<i>Phyllopodopsyllus</i> sp. $(?)$ Swimming Leg 1.	132
Figure 4.79	<i>Phyllopodopsyllus</i> sp. $(\cap{2})$ Swimming Leg 3 and Swimming Leg 4.	133
Figure 4.80	<i>Phyllopodopsyllus</i> sp. $(?)$ Leg 5.	133
Figure 4.81	<i>Phyllopodopsyllus</i> sp. $(\stackrel{\frown}{\downarrow})$ Surface of Cephalic Shield and Scattered Sensillae.	134
Figure 4.82	<i>Phyllopodopsyllus</i> sp. $(?)$ Urosomite and Anal Somite.	134
Figure 4.83	<i>Laophonte expansa</i> ($\stackrel{\frown}{\hookrightarrow}$). A.A1 B. A2. C. Mandible D. Maxilla E. Maxilliped.	139
Figure 4.84	<i>Laophonte expansa</i> (♀). A.P1 B. P2. C.P3 D. P4.	140
Figure 4.85	<i>Laophonte expansa</i> (♀). A. P5 B. Urosomite.	141
Figure 4.86	Laophonte expansa ($\stackrel{\frown}{\downarrow}$) Antenna.	142
Figure 4.87	<i>Laophonte expansa</i> ($\stackrel{\frown}{\hookrightarrow}$) Cephalotorax.	142

Figure 4.88	<i>Laophonte expansa</i> ($\stackrel{\bigcirc}{+}$) Antennule.	143
Figure 4.89	<i>Laophonte expansa</i> $(?)$ Mandible.	143
Figure 4.90	Laophonte expansa $(?)$ Maxilliped.	144
Figure 4.91	<i>Laophonte expansa</i> (♀) Swimming Leg 3 and Swimming Leg 4.	144
Figure 4.92	<i>Laophonte expansa</i> $(?)$ Leg 5.	145
Figure 4.93	Laophonte expansa $(?)$ Caudal Rami.	145
Figure 4.94	<i>Laophonte cornuta</i> ($\stackrel{\bigcirc}{+}$) A. A1 B. A2.	151
Figure 4.95	<i>Laophonte cornuta</i> (♀) A. P1 B. P2 C. P3 D. P4.	152
Figure 4.96	<i>Laophonte cornuta</i> (♀) A. Leg 5 B. Urosomite Dorsal.	153
Figure 4.97	<i>Laophonte cornuta</i> (\mathfrak{P}) Maxilliped.	154
Figure 4.98	<i>Laophonte cornuta</i> ($\stackrel{\frown}{\hookrightarrow}$) Swimming Leg 1.	154
Figure 4.99	<i>Laophonte cornuta</i> ($\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\downarrow}$) Robust Denticles on Dorsal Somite.	155
Figure 4.100	<i>Laophonte cornuta</i> $(\stackrel{\bigcirc}{+})$ Urosomite Ventral.	155
Figure 4.101	<i>Laophonte cornuta</i> $(\stackrel{\bigcirc}{+})$ Leg 5.	156
Figure 4.103	Quinquelaophonte quinquespinosa (\updownarrow) A. A1 B. A2. C. Mandible D. Maxilla E. Maxilliped.	162
Figure 4.104	<i>Quinquelaophonte quinquespinosa</i> (♀) A. P1 B. P2. C. P3 D. P4.	163
Figure 4.105	Quinquelaophonte quinquespinosa (\cite{Q}) A. P5 B. Urosomite Ventral.	164
Figure 4.106	Quinquelaophonte quinquespinosa $(?)$ Antennule.	165
Figure 4.107	<i>Quinquelaophonte quinquespinosa</i> ($\stackrel{\frown}{\hookrightarrow}$) Antenna.	165
Figure 4.103	<i>Quinquelaophonte quinquespinosa</i> ($\stackrel{\frown}{\hookrightarrow}$) Mandible.	166
Figure 4.108	Quinquelaophonte quinquespinosa (\updownarrow) Maxilliped.	166
Figure 4.109	<i>Quinquelaophonte quinquespinosa</i> ($\stackrel{\frown}{\hookrightarrow}$) Dorsal Somites.	167
Figure 4.110	Quinquelaophonte quinquespinosa (\cite{Q}) Caudal Rami and Anal Somite.	167
Figure 4 111	Asellopsis sn (\circ) A A1 B A2 C Maxillined	173

Figure 4.112	Asellopsis sp. (\cap{A}) A. P1 B. P2. C. P3 D. P4.	174
Figure 4.113	<i>Asellopsis</i> sp. $(?)$ A. P5 B. Urosomite Ventral.	175
Figure 4.114	<i>Asellopsis</i> sp. $(?)$ Habitus.	176
Figure 4.115	Asellopsis sp. $(?)$ Antennule.	176
Figure 4.116	Asellopsis sp. $(?)$ Antenna.	177
Figure 4.117	Asellopsis sp. $(?)$ Maxilliped.	177
Figure 4.118	<i>Asellopsis</i> sp. $(?)$ Scattered sensillae on Dorsal Somite.	178
Figure 4.119	Asellopsis sp. $(?)$ Swimming Leg 1 and Maxilliped.	178
Figure 4.120	Asellopsis sp. (2) Tiny Scattered Spinules on Ventral Somite.	179
Figure 4.121	Asellopsis sp. $(?)$ Urosomite, Ventral.	179
Figure 4.122	Canuellina nicobaris A. A1 B. A2.	184
Figure 4.123	Canuellina nicobaris (\updownarrow) A. Mandible B. Maxillule C. Maxilla D. Maxilliped.	185
Figure 4.124	Canuellina nicobaris (\updownarrow) A. P1 B. P2 C. P3 D. P4.	186
Figure 4.125	Canuellina nicobaris (\updownarrow) A. P5 B. Urosomites and Caudal Rami.	187
Figure 4.126	Canuellina nicobaris (\mathfrak{P}) Antenna.	188
Figure 4.127	Canuellina nicobaris (\updownarrow) Swimming Leg 2 and Swimming Leg 3.	188
Figure 4.128	Canuellina nicobaris (\mathfrak{P}) Antennule.	189
Figure 4.129	Canuellina nicobaris (\mathfrak{P}) Maxilliped.	189
Figure 4.130	<i>Canuellina nicobaris</i> ($\stackrel{\frown}{\hookrightarrow}$) Hyaline Frills on Somite, Ventral.	190
Figure 4.131	Canuellina nicobaris (\mathfrak{P}) Urosomites, Dorsal.	190
Figure 4.132	Canuellina nicobaris (\updownarrow) Swimming Leg 1.	191
Figure 4.133	<i>Brianola</i> sp. $(?)$ A. A1 B. A2.	197
Figure 4.134	<i>Brianola</i> sp. (♀) A. P1 B. P2 C. P3 D. P4.	198
Figure 4.135	<i>Brianola</i> sp. (\cap{A}) A. P5 B. Urosomites.	199
Figure 4.136	<i>Brianola</i> sp. (\circ) Habitus.	200

Figure 4.137	<i>Brianola</i> sp. $(\stackrel{\bigcirc}{+})$ Antennule.	200
Figure 4.138	<i>Brianola</i> sp. $()$ Cephalotorax.	201
Figure 4.139	<i>Brianola</i> sp. (\cap{T}) Swimming Leg 1 and Swimming Leg 2.	201
Figure 4.140	<i>Brianola</i> sp. $(?)$ Exopod of Swimming Leg 1.	202
Figure 4.141	<i>Brianola</i> sp. $(\stackrel{\frown}{+})$ P5.	202
Figure 4.142	<i>Brianola</i> sp. (♀) Urosomites and Caudal Rami.	203
Figure 4.143	Total Mean Density (ind. /10cm ²) of Meiobenthos during Sampling.	204
Figure 4.144	Bray Curtis Similarity of Meiobenthic Taxa.	212
Figure 4.145	The Dendogram of Harpacticoid Species.	212

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abd. Abdomen

A1 Antennule

A2 Antenna

CMCP-9 Lactophenol, a mouning media with low viscosity

Enp Endopod

Exp Exopod

ind. /10 cm² Individual per centimetre square

Mxp. Maxilliped

N North

P1-P4 swimming legs (Leg 1- Leg 4)

P5 Leg 5

SST Sea surface temperatures

μm micrometre

mm millimetre

% percentage

° degree

" minute

' seconds

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

Corals are a part of Cnidarian which includes the jellyfishes, hydroids and sea anemones. They are amazing marine invertebrates exhibit in two forms, polyps (sessile) and medusae (mobile), enable them to form an outstanding ecosystem. This will give benefit not only to their colony, but also to the entire benthic communities that includes the hard coral and coralline algae (Williams et al., 2013). They are also associated with many marine lives such as fishes, arthropods and crustaceans (Brandl et al., 2014; Kramer et al., 2014). Under the soft bodies of scleractinian, or stony corals the corals build up their simple structure by the secretion of calcium carbonates which fusing all the body walls into reef system. As a living system, their stability, growth and survival were exposed to the changes of several environmental factors. Some could grow well, while some other colonies died out and form coral rubbles due to certain environmental pressures such as sea acidification and temperature rise (Stubler & Peterson, 2016). On another occasion, human intervention on the land could contribute to the lessen water clarity and increase sedimentation which also kills corals. These incidents create different features of bottom substrates in the coral area (Fraschetti et al., 2002).

Different animal taxa can be found inhabiting the coral area. They are ranged from the microscopic to large size animals including bacteria, meiobenthos, macrobenthos, small and large fishes. They form a complex food web which have interdependency, thus making up the productive coral ecosystem. The benthic group will be

particularly depending on the different type of substrates found in the ecosystem. Being benthic organism, they could be crawl on, burrowing into or even live within the substrates. Some could be specialized to certain bottom substrates, but some could be more general (Ruiz-Abierno et al., 2017).

Benthic fauna is a group of animals with microscopic size (<42 microns), which includes the microbenthos, size range between 42-60 microns, the meiobenthos (32-64 microns) and those >60-500 micron, the macrobenthos. Being in between the smaller and bigger benthos, the meiobenthos contribution to the benthic ecosystem as the link between primary producer to the higher trophic level is well recognized. In the coral ecosystem, the major group of meiobenthos taxa is the benthic harpacticoid copepod. Being very small in size of adult and larval stages could be the main reason that they become the main available food for small fishes inhabiting the ecosystem. Harpacticoid copepods are mostly benthic in nature, but some are strong swimmers that can swim into the water column. Their mode of living and adaptability could be depending on the environmental setting including the substrates they live on. There could be certain life-history strategies that have been adopted by the copepods to endure the various substrate types found on the sea bottom particularly in the coral area.

There are few reports on coral exposure towards rising of sea temperature (Foster & Rohling, 2013; Ekwurzel et al., 2017), unpredictable change of weather condition (Deryugina, 2013) and exploitation by the tourism activities such as snorkeling and diving (Sarmento & Santos, 2012). Any of these factors will directly influence the bottom substrate condition which will alter the composition of harpacticoids species inhabiting it. Species with poor adaptability would slowly reduce their population and substituted by the stronger species. In long run, this situation could alter the species

composition from the upper trophic level and subsequently change the community structure in the coral area.

To date there is no comprehensive data on the species composition or species list of harpacticoid copepods reported from Pulau Tioman. Ecological or taxonomic research on meiobenthos from the island or any other islands in the east coast of the Malay Peninsula is not well-established yet. This could be due to the tedious work to handle the minute animal samples and consequently harpacticoids as the members of the meiobenthos remained unknown. Harpacticoid taxonomic study is uncommon in Southeast Asia and Malaysia. Little is known on their numbers and inventory data is not found. In Malaysia the expense of the study is still very slow despite their application in other field such as aquaculture and pollution study.

1.1.1 Meiobenthic Fauna and Harpacticoid Ecology

Meiofauna or meiobenthos are referring to 'small animal'. The term benthos originated from the Greek words *vanthos* which means bottom and it also refers to organism dominating the ocean floor in aquatic ecosystem (Kingston, 2001; Campbell, 2002) while the word meiofauna was first defined by Mare in 1942 as a metazoan that can pass through a mesh sieve of certain size. Higgins and Thiel (1988) designated the meiobenthos as metazoan which can pass through a 500 μm of mesh sieve but retained on 40 μm sieve while, Coull and Chandler (2001) defined the term as a smaller size class of animals and protists passing through a 1 mm sieve but retained on 64 μm or 31 μm mesh sieve or on a 63 μm or 32 μm mesh sieve (Jochem, 2005). It also denoted as microscopic and mobile aquatic organisms found inhabiting the soft sediments of different depths both in marine and freshwater ecosystem (Ansari et al., 2012). Nematodes is the most dominant taxa followed by harpacticoid copepod in most of the

aquatic ecosystem. There were between 31 to 83 species of nematodes found inhabiting the coral area of Cuban Archipelago (Armentos et al., 2012), 25 families from mangroves forest of Northeastern Brazil (Pinto et al., 2013), 51 species ten estuaries along the coastline of Sarawak (Chen et al., 2012).

Harpacticoid play an important role as a primary consumer which linked the producer (microalgae) and secondary consumer (fishes). The coral ecosystem also provide microhabitat for meiofauna. Copepods is the rightful candidate for environmental assessment since they can produce a quick response towards changes (Fraschetti et al., 2006; Gyedu-Ababio & Baird, 2006; Harguinteguy et al., 2012) due to their short life cycles, high reproduction rates and explicit growth. They often used as biomonitoring tools (Moreno et al., 2011; Balsamo et al., 2012; Semprucci et al., 2013) in measuring the anthropogenic impact and environmental changes that occur naturally Sakri et al. (2006) concluded that the harpacticoid density is higher in coral but decreasing gradually towards the non-coral area in Karah Island. The harpacticoid density was higher with the presence of more live corals and this can be indicated that the live coral was food for the copepod. However, the results were contrast with Zaleha et al. (2016) where the number of harpacticoids were higher in non-coral area compared to the coral area which possibly due to fluctuations in environmental conditions and high amount of slit and clay. In coral ecosystem, harpacticoid often the most dominant taxa in reef carbonate ecosystem mostly in dead coral sediments (Kramer et al., 2014). The presence of harpacticoid copepod in epilithic algal matric (EAM) in a huge number showed that it may play an ample role to the trophic structure in the reef area (Kramer et al., 2012). EAM is the main food source for fishes in the reef ecosystem.

The disruptions in both biological and physiological of tropics coral area which covers various types of tropics marine sediments including the coral area triggers a

wider dimension of potential microhabitats for the meiobenthos specifically harpacticoid copepod. There are several factors which change the copepod community structure such as warmer water temperature and anthropogenic impacts like eutrophication, acidification and coastal degradation. This was proved by Chew and Chong (2016), where the calanoid copepod with robust body were more sensitive to eutrophication and hypoxia compared to the flexible cosmopolitan species which not affected by the environmental changes. The reduced number of sensitive species definitely affect the production of coastal fish production.

1.2 STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

To date, there is no comprehensive data on the species composition or species list of harpacticoid copepods reported from Pulau Tioman. Harpacticoid taxonomic study is uncommon in Southeast Asia and Malaysia. Little is known on their numbers and inventory data is not found. In Malaysia the expense of the study is still very slow despite their application in other field such as aquaculture and pollution study. Nevertheless, a wide range of potential microhabitats is available for benthic fauna in the large variety of dead coral substrates, which originate from physical and biological breakdown of coral skeletons.

Pulau Tioman has been known for decades as tourist attraction's spots because of the biodiversity of its coral ecosystem. The harpacticoid copepod species found in this area can be the main food for the fish larvae. Pulau Tioman is gazetted as Marine Park which become the natural breeding ground for fish, and this is because of the flourish condition of the coral reef. Harpacticoid copepod existence is vital to support the growth in the coral ecosystem. Thus, understanding the biodiversity, adaptability and composition of harpacticoid in the island is also crucial.