## INTEROPERABILITY-BASED STANDARDS FOR SENSOR ENHANCED HEALTH INFORMATION SYSTEMS

BY

## ABUBAKAR ADAM

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Computer Science

Kulliyyah of Information and Communication Technology International Islamic University Malaysia

September 2020

#### ABSTRACT

This research work focuses on examining, analysing and classifying the difficulties of using sensors to improve the working standards of systems that are used in healthcare commonly referred to as Healthcare Information Systems. This constitutes an active research area because the cost of healthcare services is rising and the world population is growing older (population aging) whose need for HIS is paramount. Quite a number of researchers are investigating how to use sensors in order to provide healthcare services efficaciously and how to monitor healthcare systems remotely. A major challenge affecting integrating sensors in healthcare is interoperability, and in order to address this issue in the present environment where devices are expected to interact with each other more smoothly in what is popularly known as 'the internet of things', Interoperability as a Property (IaaP) has been proposed as a new paradigm. In this thesis, the enabling factors (or criteria) for Interoperability as a Property of a system (IaaP) were refined and a framework based on IaaP was proposed. To pave the way for further investigation, experimental scenarios for implementing each enabling criteria was examined, where environmental temperature was simulated as the Independent Variable (IV) and blood pressure was simulated as the dependent variable (DV). DEMATEL (DEcision-MAking Trial and Evaluation Laboratory) was used as a multiple-criteria decision analysis method to identify the interdependence among IaaP enabling criteria. Furthermore, DEMATEL was used to categorize IaaP enabling criteria as either being part of the causal or the effect group. Multi-criteria decision making results show that Intelligence Criteria (INT) constitute the most important IaaP enabling criteria followed by Communication Criteria (COM), the least important being Trace Criteria (TRC). Evaluation of results indicates that the IaaP framework constitutes a useful approach for bridging the interoperability gap in HIS. The contribution of the research lies with the effect of the main interoperable criteria for the huge amount of devices that are connected to the internet, it is outrageously difficult for device to smoothly interoperate, but Intelligence Criteria as well as the other criteria have been able to efficiently make interoperability possible. Even though a lot of research has been conducted with the aim of making devices used in HIS more interoperable, interoperability remains a challenge to most healthcare providers. In this research, interoperability as a property of a system is further confirmed to dwells on seven enabling requirements. All the seven requirements are used as building blocks for 'Interoperability as a Property Framework' (IaaPF). In addition to the medical sensory data, IaaPF should be able to receive other useful signals from sensors in its vicinity.

### خلاصة البحث

يركز هذا العمل البحثي على فحص وتحليل وتصنيف صعوبات استخدام أجهزة الاستشعار لتحسين معايير العمل للأنظمة المستخدمة في الرعاية الصحية والتي يشار إليها عادةً باسم نظم معلومات الرعاية الصحية .يمثل هذا مجالًا نشطًا للبحث ، لأن تكلفة خدمات الرعاية الصحية آخذة في الارتفاع وتزايد عدد سكان العالم (شيخوخة السكان) الذين تعتبر حاجتهم إلى نظام المعلومات الصحية له أهمية قصوى .يبحث عدد كبير من الباحثين في كيفية استخدام أجهزة الاستشعار من أجل توفير خدمات الرعاية الصحية بفعالية وكيفية مراقبة أنظمة الرعاية الصحية عن بعد .يتمثل أحد التحديات الرئيسية التي تؤثر على دمج المستشعرات في الرعاية الصحية في إمكانية التشغيل المتداخل، ومن أجل معالجة هذه المشكلة في البيئة الحالية حيث يُتوقع أن تتفاعل الأجهزة مع بعضها البعض بشكل أكثر سلاسة في ما يُعرف باسم "إنترنت الأشياء"، قابلية التشغيل البيني كملكية (IaaP) تم اقتراحه كنموذج جديد .في هذه الأطروحة ، تم تنقيح العوامل التمكينية (أو المعايير) الخاصة بقابلية التشغيل البيني كخاصية للنظام (IaaP) و اقترح إطار عمل يستند إلى IaaP لتمهيد الطريق لمزيد من البحث ، تم فحص سيناريوهات تجريبية لتنفيذ كل معايير التمكين .تم استخدام DEMATEL مختبر التجارب والتقييم في صنع القرار (كطريقة لتحليل القرارات متعددة المعايير لتحديد الترابط بين معايير تمكين IaaP .علاوة على ذلك ، تم استخدام DEMATEL لتصنيف معايير تمكين IaaP إما كجزء من السببية أو مجموعة التأثير .تُظهر نتائج اتخاذ القرارات متعددة المعايير أن معايير الذكاء (INT) تشكل أهم معايير تمكين IaaP متبوعة بمعايير الاتصال (COM)، وأهمها معايير التعقب (TRC) . تشير نتائج التقييم إلى أن إطار عمل IaaP يمثل نهجًا مفيدًا لسد فجوة التشغيل البيني في نظام HIS. تكمن مساهمة البحث في تأثير المعايير الرئيسية القابلة للتشغيل البيني للكم الهائل من الأجهزة المتصلة بالإنترنت ، ومن الصعب للغاية أن يتفاعل الجهاز بسلاسة ، لكن معايير الذكاء تمكنت من جعل قابلية التشغيل البيني ممكنة .على الرغم من إجراء الكثير من الأبحاث بمدف جعل نظام المعلومات الصحية الخاص به أكثر قابلية للتشغيل البيني ، فإن إمكانية التشغيل البيني لا تزال تشكل تحديًا لمعظم مقدمي الرعاية الصحية . في هذا البحث ، يتم التأكيد بشكل أكبر على قابلية التشغيل البيني كخاصية للنظام على سبعة متطلبات تمكين .جميع المتطلبات السبعة هي "قابلية التشغيل البيني كإطار خاصية (IaaPF) . "إن مضمون النتيجة يكمن في تصميم IaaPF بطريقة تستخدم فقط المستشعرات الطبية .يجب أن توفر الأبحاث المستقبلية حاجة إلى أجهزة استشعار محيطة لقراءة البيانات البيئية .بالإضافة إلى البيانات الحسية ، ينبغي أن يكونIaaPF قادرًا على استقبال إشارات أخرى مفيدة من أجهزة الاستشعار في المنطقة المجاورة لها.

### **APPROVAL PAGE**

The thesis of Abubakar Adam has been approved by the following:

Adamu Abubakar Ibrahim Supervisor

> Murni Mahmud Co-Supervisor

Rizal Mohd Nor Internal Examiner

Mohd Soperi Bin Mohd Zahid External Examiner

> Tariq Zaman External Examiner

Shahrul Na'Im Bin Sidek Chairman

### **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of my own investigations, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

Abubakar Adam

Signature .....

Date .....

### INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

### DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH

### INTEROPERABILITY-BASED STANDARDS FOR SENSOR ENHANCED HEALTH INFORMATION SYSTEMS

I declare that the copyright holders of this thesis are jointly owned by the Student and IIUM.

Copyright © 2020 Abubakar Adam and International Islamic University Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below

- 1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may only be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
- 2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
- 3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieved system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledged that I have read and understand the IIUM Intellectual Property Right and Commercialization policy.

Affirmed by Abubakar Adam

Signature

Date

### DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my family

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to start by praising Allah S.W.T for all HIS favours, which are so numerous; so much so that it is practically impossible to count them. Without Allah's help and guidance, I wouldn't have been able to achieve anything in life, which includes this PhD work. May Allah continue to guide and protect us, and may His peace and blessings be upon prophet Muhammad (SAW).

My heartfelt gratitude goes to my one and only wife, Amina Muhammed Magaji and our lovely kids Musab, Layla and Masud for their patience, prayers and understanding during the research. You guys mean a lot to me; you are truly a source of joy and happiness to me.

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to those who helped me, in any way, while I was working on the research. To mention some, I would like to thank my friends at RCYCI Dr.Adnane Habib and Mr. Ali Al-Khaldi for their brotherly and technical advice. I would also like to thank my other colleagues at RCYCI such as Dr. Adel Babtain, Engineer Tareq Shafi, Dr. Emad Nassar, Dr. Zahir Hussain, Mr. Ghulam Ghous, and Engineer Abdulmohsen for their indirect support.

I am indebted to my brothers Abdullah Mekano and Adamu Muhammed Mekudi and to my sisters Hajiya Kulu Adamu and Aishatu Adamu for always being there for me, no matter what. May Allah strengthen our brotherly bond here on earth and in Jannatul Firdaus. I would also like to appreciate the motherly support I always get from my stepmother (Hajiya Habiba); thank you mama for always praying for my success in life.

Finally, I am most grateful to my supervisor Dr. Adamu Abubakar, and Dr. Murni Mahmud, co-supervisor for their guidance, support, patience and constructive criticism. Their contribution helped in shaping this research into what it is today.

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Abstract	II
Abstract in Arabic	III
Approval Page	IV
Declaration	V
Copyright Page	VI
Acknowledgements	VIII
List of Tables	XII
List of Figures	XIII
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	<b>1</b>
1.2 Arcmitectural and Conceptual Frameworks of Sensor Enhanced-His.	د ه
1.3 Problem Statement	
1.5 Research Ouestions	10
1.6 Significance of The Study	11
1.7 Limitation of The Study	11
1.8 Chapter Summary	12
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Introduction	<b>13</b> 13
2.1 Introduction 2.2 Standalone Patient Monitoring Systems 2.3 Patient Monitoring Systems Based on IoT or Cloud Computing	<b> 13</b> 13 15 31
<ul> <li>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW</li></ul>	13 13 15 31 .48
<ul> <li>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW</li> <li>2.1 Introduction</li> <li>2.2 Standalone Patient Monitoring Systems</li> <li>2.3 Patient Monitoring Systems Based on IoT or Cloud Computing</li> <li>2.4 Patient Monitoring Systems Comparison Framework</li> <li>2.5 Challenges of Using Sensors for Healthcare</li> </ul>	<b>13</b> 13 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15
<ul> <li>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW</li></ul>	<b> 13</b> 13 15 31 48 52 53
<ul> <li>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW</li></ul>	<b> 13</b> 13 15 31 48 52 53 55
<ul> <li>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW</li> <li>2.1 Introduction</li> <li>2.2 Standalone Patient Monitoring Systems</li> <li>2.3 Patient Monitoring Systems Based on IoT or Cloud Computing</li> <li>2.4 Patient Monitoring Systems Comparison Framework</li> <li>2.5 Challenges of Using Sensors for Healthcare</li> <li>2.5.1 Challenges Based on Layer/Level</li> <li>2.5.2 Challenges Based on Network Types</li> <li>2.5.3 Challenges Based on Data Processing and Interpretation</li> </ul>	<b>13</b> 13 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15
<ul> <li>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW</li></ul>	13           13           15           31           48           52           53           55           56           57
<ul> <li>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW</li></ul>	13           13           15           31           48           52           53           55           56           57           59
<ul> <li>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW</li></ul>	13           13           15           31           48           52           53           55           56           57           59           60
<ul> <li>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW</li></ul>	13           13           15           31           48           52           53           55           56           57           59           60           61
<ul> <li>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW</li></ul>	13         13         15         31         48         52         53         55         56         57         59         60         61         62
<ul> <li>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW</li> <li>2.1 Introduction</li> <li>2.2 Standalone Patient Monitoring Systems</li> <li>2.3 Patient Monitoring Systems Based on IoT or Cloud Computing</li> <li>2.4 Patient Monitoring Systems Comparison Framework</li> <li>2.5 Challenges of Using Sensors for Healthcare</li> <li>2.5.1 Challenges Based on Layer/Level</li> <li>2.5.2 Challenges Based on Network Types</li> <li>2.5.3 Challenges Based on Data Processing and Interpretation</li> <li>2.5.4 Challenges Based on the Nature/Category of the Challenges</li> <li>2.6 Interoperability in Healthcare</li> <li>2.7 DEMATEL</li> <li>2.8 Chapter Summary</li> </ul>	<b>13</b> 13 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW         2.1 Introduction         2.2 Standalone Patient Monitoring Systems         2.3 Patient Monitoring Systems Based on IoT or Cloud Computing         2.4 Patient Monitoring Systems Comparison Framework         2.5 Challenges of Using Sensors for Healthcare         2.5.1 Challenges Based on Layer/Level         2.5.2 Challenges Based on Network Types         2.5.3 Challenges Based on Data Processing and Interpretation         2.5.4 Challenges Based on the Nature/Category of the Challenges         2.6 Interoperability in Healthcare         2.7 DEMATEL         2.8 Chapter Summary         3.1 Introduction         3.2 Research Design	<b>13</b> 13 13 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW.         2.1 Introduction.         2.2 Standalone Patient Monitoring Systems         2.3 Patient Monitoring Systems Based on IoT or Cloud Computing         2.4 Patient Monitoring Systems Comparison Framework	13         13         15         31         48         52         53         55         56         57         59         60         61         62         63         65
<ul> <li>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW</li></ul>	<b>13</b> 13 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	13         13         15         31         48         52         53         55         56         57         59         60         61         62         63         65         66         71

	3.4.2 Experimental Approach 2	
	3.5 Multi-Criteria Decision Making Analysis Process	
	3.6 Health Standards	
	3.7 Chapter Summary	
СНАР	TER FOUR: FRAMEWORK AND SYSTEM DESIGN	80
	4.1 Introduction	80
	4.2 Interoperability as a Property (IaaP) of a System	
	4.3 IaaP Framework	
	4.3.1 IaaP Framework Protocols	
	4.3.2 Usefulness of IaaP Framework	89
	4.3.3 Evaluation of IaaP Framework	
	4.4 Enabling Criteria for IaaP	
	4.4.1 Enabling Data Acquisition Requirement	
	4.4.2 Enabling Communication Requirement	96
	4.4.3 Enabling Syntactic Requirement	
	4.4.4 Enabling Semantic Requirement	
	4.4.5 Enabling Intelligence Requirement	
	4.4.6 Enabling Tracking Requirement	
	4.4.7 Enabling Trace Requirement	100
	4.5 Comparing IaaP Framework to Existing Frameworks	100
	4.6 Chapter Summary	
СНАР	TER FIVE: EXPERIMENTS AND EVALUATION	
	5.1 Introduction	103
	5.2 Algorithm Development	
	5.3 Implementation	
	5.3.1 EHealth / Arduino Design Specification	
	5.3.2 Simulation Design Specification	
	5.4 Experiment Approach	
	5.4.1 Simulation	
	5 4 2 The DEMATEL Method	128
	5.5 Chapter Summary	
СНАР	TER SIX • DATA ANALYSIS AND PRESENTATION OF RES	SHLTS 134
CIIIII	61 Introduction	134
	6.1.1 Expert Opinion Elicitation	134
	6.1.2 Evaluation and Prioritization Technique	135
	6.2 Experimental Results	135
	6.2 Experimental Results	
	6 3 Data Analysis	
	6.4 Justification for Using DEMATEL as Analysis Method	
	6.5 Chapter Summary	
СНАР	TER SEVEN: DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	
	7 1 Introduction	145
	7.2 Summary of the Findings	
	, 2 Summary of the Findings	

7.2.1 Analysis of Research Findings	150
7.3 Limitation and Scope	151
7.4 Implications and Conclusion	152
7.5 Recommendation and Further Work	154
REFERENCES	157
APPENDIX A: CODES	168
APPENDIX A: CODES APPENDIX B: QUESTIONNAIRE	168 181

### LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table N</u>	<u>lo.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1.1	SE-HIS theories and concepts	3
1.2	Terms used for 'old age'	9
2.1	Parameters for evaluating patient monitoring systems	51
2.2	Challenges based on OSI Layers	54
2.3	Challenges based on subsystems/network types	55
2.4	Challenges based on their nature (Reflexive)	57
3.1	Experimental approach 1	71
3.2	Subjects' measurements at different temperatures	72
3.3	Sensor readings with percepts	72
3.4	Interview feedback from experts	74
3.5	DEMATEL comparison criteria	76
3.6	Legend	76
6.1	IaaP Direct Influence Matrix	136
6.2	Computing <b>s</b> as used in the normalizing matrix	137
6.3	Normalized IaaP direct influenced matrix	138
6.4	Identity matrix minus normalized direct influence matrix (I – X)	139
6.5	Inverse of matrix (I – X)	140
6.6	Total influence matrix (T)	140
6.7	Cause and effect parameters	141
6.8	Criteria types	141
6.9	Ranking of IaaP enabling criteria	142
7.1	Summary of findings per chapter	149
7.2	Research question in relation to research objectives and research me	ethods 156

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure I	<u>No.</u>	Page No.
1.1	SE-HIS hierarchy of importance	4
1.2	Architectural Framework	6
1.3	Conceptual framework	7
1.4	Population aged 60 and above	9
2.1	Evolution from m-health to e-health as presented in (Pawar, et al, 201	2) 14
2.2	Architecture of the wireless telemedicine system [Lin et. al. (2004)]	15
2.3	Architecture of the AID-N system (Gao et al., 2007)	16
2.4	WBAN healthcare sensor framework (Sing & Jain, 2014)	17
2.5	SHIMMER system architecture (Pereira et al., 2014)	18
2.6	Personalized Heart monitoring architecture (Gay & Leijdekkers, 2007	7) 19
2.7	UMHMSE system architecture (Bourouis et al., 2011)	20
2.8	Proposed NGeH framework architecture (Fengou et al., 2012)	21
2.9	EmerLoc system architecture (Maglogiannis & Hadjiefthymiades, 20	07)22
2.10	CAALYX system diagram (Maglogiannis & Hadjiefthymiades, 2007	) 24
2.11	Architecture of a healthcare system with wearable smart shirt	24
2.12	An illustration of WISSH with the h-Shirt (Poon et al., 2011)	26
2.13	Architecture of the proposed PHC system (Suganthi & Elavarasi, 201	8) 28
2.14	Architectural design (Abraham, 2019)	29
2.15	EHC system Architectural design (Almarashdeh et al., 2018)	30
2.16	Arch. overview: Platform integration with the current IT infrastructur	re 33
2.17	Architecture of the proposed CDSS framework at high-level of descri	iption 35
2.18	Proposed study design and components (Shahzad, et al., 2018)	37
2.19	Proposed study: private cloud design (Shahzad, et al., 2018)	38

2.20	MQTT - Back End and Front End Interconnection			
2.21	Board design [Rasika & Sobin (2019)]	41		
2.22	Android application flowchart (Rasika & Sobin (2019)	43		
2.23	Structure of the pacemaker system (Abdul-jabbar & Abed, 2020)	44		
2.24	4 The general architecture of the proposed home hospitalization system			
2.25	General representation of mobile monitoring systems	47		
2.26	MobiHealth Concept [VanHalteren et al. (2004)]	49		
2.27	MobiHealth Concept Elaboration by Jones et al. (2006)	49		
2.28	A generic architecture of mobile patient monitoring system	50		
2.29	Categories of challenges affecting the use of sensors in healthcare	58		
3.1	Research design flowchart	64		
3.2	Statistics based on gender	66		
3.3	Statistics based on age group	67		
3.4	Statistics based professional background	69		
3.5	Statistics based on proficiency level	70		
3.6	Statistics based on working experience	70		
3.7	Experimental approach 2	73		
4.1	IaaP concepts	81		
4.2	Sensor classification	82		
4.3	Representation of the IaaP enabled environment	83		
4.4	IaaP Framework (IaaPF)	85		
4.5	IaaP Enabling requirements	94		
4.6	Venn diagram showing relationships amongst enabling factors	95		
5.1	Algorithm 1 flowchart	106		
5.2	Algorithm 2 flowchart	108		
5.3	Algorithm 3 flowchart	110		
5.4	Example of HL7 Format	111		

5.5	Algorithm 4 flowchart			
5.6	Algorithm 5 flowchart	115		
5.7	Algorithm 6 flowchart	117		
5.8	e-Health Sensor Shield V2	118		
5.9	Arduino	119		
5.10	DHT11 Sensor	120		
5.11	IaaP Cloud Foundry Service	122		
5.12	Connected devices in the IaaP_Service	122		
5.13	3 IBM IoT simulator device information request form			
5.14	4 IoT Simulator not connected			
5.15	5 IoT Simulator connected			
5.16	List of boards in the IoT Platform	127		
5.17	Visual representation of the sensor data on IoT platform	127		
5.18	Example of an influence map	130		
6.1	IaaP enabling criteria interdependence	142		
6.2	IaaP enabling criteria types	143		

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- 6LoWPAN IPv6 over Low-Power Wireless Personal Area Networks
- BAN Body Area Network
- CDSS Clinical Decision Support System
- DEMATEL DEcision-MAking Trial and Evaluation Laboratory
- HL7 Health level seven
- IaaP Interoperability as a Property
- IoT Internet of Things
- LoRa Longe Range protocol
- MBU Mobile Base Unit
- MCDA Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis
- mHealth Mobile Health
- MQTT Message Queuing Telemetry Transport
- NB-IoT Narrowband Internet of Things
- PAN Personal Area Network
- PDA Personal Digital Assistant
- RFID Radio-frequency Identification
- SE-HIS Sensor Enhanced Health Information System

# CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION

#### **1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE RESEARCH**

It is important to highlight the fact that even though most literatures refer to HIS as Health Information system, some use the term Hospital Information System or Healthcare Information System (Grandia, 2017). To correctly and thoroughly understand what Health Information Systems are, it would be interesting to first look at the three keywords that are used, which are health, information, and system. According to Oxford learner's dictionary, "health is the condition of a person's body or mind. Health could also be defined as the work of providing medical services". Cambridge dictionary defines information as facts about a situation, person, event, etc. System is defined by Oxford learner's dictionary as a group of things, pieces of equipment, etc. that are connected or work together. Considering all these definitions, we can see that HISs is a group of things, which include devices and people, that are working together to efficaciously manage data about the medical condition of patients. In simple terms, HIS are systems that manage healthcare data, and all the activities revolving around it. According to Brook (2019), a health information system refers to a system designed to manage healthcare data. This includes systems that collect, store, manage and transmit a patient's electronic medical record (EMR), a hospital's operational management or a system supporting healthcare policy decisions.

Measure Evaluation reports of 2018 highlight the importance of differentiating a strong HIS from other kinds of health information systems. A strong HIS does not only serve patients and hospital practitioners but also cater for the population and the government it serves. A strong HIS should have a Well-defined strategy with standards and principles; defined health indicators and data sources used consistently; a userfriendly structure; and standard operating procedures for data collection, analysis, and use. HIS should captures and monitors all health services and functions (e.g., medicines, human resources, technology); encompasses all data sources (e.g., routine records, population surveys, civil registration, and vital statistics). HIS should be functional, it should use appropriate technology (including paper records) for the context; has a plan for data quality checks and strategies for using and sharing data; has appropriate training for health workers (Grandia, L. (2017). HIS should be adaptable and scalable. It should have the in-country capacity to redesign, reform, expand, or roll out the HIS through procedures and standards which govern the regular review of evolving health sector information needs, measures to support sustainability, ongoing human capacity building, and methods to evaluate new interventions. HIS should be resilient: Is able to withstand social, political, and biological crises through mechanisms for resilience, coordination with other health system functions, and regular assessments to determine system capacities and weaknesses (Liashiedzi, 2018).

HIS does not only have to do with health, but they also they cover wide areas that affect stakeholders in a verity of ways. Therefore, there are numerous types of HISs. Levin, D. (2019) identifies some of the most common types to include: "Strategic or Operational Systems", "Clinical and Administrative Systems for Managing Patient Information on an Administrative Level", "Electronic Health Record and Patient Health Record", "Subject- and Task-Based Systems", "Financial and Clinical Health Information Systems", and "Decision Support Systems". There are many specific HIS, most of which can be classified as one of the types listed above.

The major reasons for implementing HIS is simply to improve the quality, safety, and services of healthcare. Rahimi, Vimarlund, and Timpka (2009) identified eleven areas as being important for the implementation of HIS. These areas can be divided into three domains with regard to the time span of the decision-making process: "The longterm strategic domain: management involvement, motivation and rationales, surveillance of system effectiveness, and information needs assessments". The mediumterm tactical domain: education and training support, the implementation process and methods, work routine and workflow integration, and system integration. The day-today operational domain: trust, user participation and involvement, and technical system performance.

# 1.2 ARCHITECTURAL AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORKS OF SENSOR ENHANCED-HIS

The theories and concepts associated with Sensor-Enhanced Health Information Systems are presented in Table 1.1. The architectural and conceptual frameworks of Sensor Enhanced-HIS (SE-HIS) are presented in Figure 1.2 and Figure 1.3 respectively.

Based on the research papers published that are related to Health Information Systems (HIS) in ubiquitous computing environments, the challenges that motivate researchers to work in this area of research include:	<ul> <li>Unobtrusiveness</li> <li>Sensitivity and calibration</li> <li>Energy</li> <li>Data acquisition efficiency</li> <li>Error resilience and reliability</li> <li>Interoperability</li> <li>Bandwidth</li> <li>Security</li> <li>Privacy</li> <li>User-friendliness</li> <li>Ease of deployment and scalability</li> <li>Mobility</li> </ul>
HIS frameworks and architectures are proposed based on sensors that are (i) wearable or attached in the vicinity of the patient; (ii) implanted in the body of the	<ul><li>WBAN</li><li>Smart Shirt</li><li>Internet of Things</li></ul>

Table 1.1	SE-HIS	theories	and	conce	pts
-----------	--------	----------	-----	-------	-----

patient. Generally, the frameworks are	
presented in THE form of:	
It is worth mentioning here that the technologies used in building Health Information Systems (HIS) in ubiquitous computing environments include:	<ul> <li>Sensors embedded in body area network</li> <li>Wireless communication technologies (Wifi, Bluetooth, etc)</li> <li>A local processing unit (mobile phone or Personal Digital Assistant (PDA))</li> </ul>

Based on Maslow's hierarchy of needs (Maslow, 1943), the necessary major components for building SE-HIS are identified and the components are presented in a hierarchy of importance as shown in Figure 1.1.



Figure 1.1 SE-FIIS merarchy of importance

As indicated by the hierarchy in Figure 1.1, the most important aspect to consider when building health information systems is that of technology such as BAN, Wifi and IoT that form the basis or the foundation. Closely following technology in the hierarchy of importance are the sensors and actuators. Without the sensors and the actuators, the physiological data cannot be measured from the body of the patient. They are thus considered as the second most important aspect in building SE-HIS followed by the local processing unit (such as mobile phone or PDA) that allows the collected data to be processed and sent to the healthcare personnel or directly to the main HIS at the hospital and finally the SE-HIS. Based on the hierarchy of importance presented in Figure 1.1, an architectural framework is developed as shown in Figure 1.2.

The architectural framework presented in Figure 1.2 clearly shows that three components are required in building SE-HIS which are (i) network technologies; (ii) sensors and actuators; and (ii) processing unit. The process of building SE-HIS usually involves numerous challenges that can be classified into (a) security-related (b) communication-related (c) user acceptance related, and (d) challenges that are related to the function of the system in its entirety. Such challenges affect the performance, integrity, and acceptability of SE-HIS. Therefore, when proposing an effective framework, it is important that the challenges are carefully and thoroughly studied and considered beforehand.

Figure 1.3 attempts to put together all the concepts and theories in a conceptual framework, which is based on the architectural framework presented in Figure 1.2. The conceptual framework has three layers: (i) basic factors; (ii) underlying factors; and (iii) outcomes. The layer tagged as 'outcomes' is sitting on top of the framework and represents what is desired to be achieved by building the SH-HIS. The goal is to achieve independent living for people that usually depend on others for their daily activities. In order to achieve the objectives mentioned in the 'outcomes' layer, all the necessary factors for an independent living must be identified. Such factors are presented in the 'underlying factors' layer. The 'basic factors' layer is considered as the most important layer as it shows the components and the structure of the systems required to support the other two layers.



Figure 1.2 Architectural Framework

It is worth mentioning here that the discussion about the factors affecting integrating sensors in healthcare remains incomplete if the organizational policies and politics are not considered. The organizational policies and politics play a vital role in minimizing the gap created by interoperability among health-related devices.



Figure 1.3 Conceptual framework

#### **1.3 PROBLEM STATEMENT**

One major misconception is that people generally consider HIS as a panacea for all medical problems and difficulties. A major implication derived from the meta-analysis of Rahimi, Vimarlund, and Timpka (2009) was that HIS is a means and not an end. By merely implementing a HIS will not automatically increase the efficiency of a clinical organization. Expecting a HIS implementation to solve organizational problems is completely wrong. Stakeholders must understand that when implementing a HIS, they cannot compromise over what is needed to achieve system adoption. For example, the use of HIS for elderly people.

It has already been identified in Koch, Marschollek, Wolf, Plischke, & Haux, (2009), Lanzieri, (2006) and United Nations (2004; 2009; 2010) that the world population is in the process of aging. According to that article, "Population aging shows the changes in the proportion of different age groups usually based on a three-age-group population model: young age group (<20), working age group (20 – 64), and elderly people (>64)" (Koch, et al., 2009). The statistics are shown in Figure 1.4 as explained in United Nations (2009).



Figure 1.4 Population aged 60 and above