

FUNCTIONAL AND BIOCOMPATIBILITY OF
ORTHOPAEDIC METAL IMPLANT COATED WITH
SILVER

BY

NURUL HAFIZA BINTI MOHD JAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Health Sciences

Kulliyyah of Allied Health Sciences
International Islamic University Malaysia

JUNE 2020

ABSTRACT

The prevalence of orthopaedic implant-related infections remains high. Bacterial colonisation and biofilm adhesion on the implant can cause infection at the underlying bone and surrounding tissues. The management of this incidence poses major challenges in orthopaedic. Although several conventional strategies were taken to control the incidence, none of them is effective in all cases. The application of orthopaedic metal implant coated with silver composite (OMICS) has been suggested as an alternative to reduce or prevent implant-related infections. This study aimed to (1) determine the antibacterial properties of OMICS against *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*); (2) evaluate the toxicology effects of OMICS on in vitro cellular and in vivo animal models; (3) perform and validate surgical approach using an open fracture model that expose bone to the environment for six hours to induce osteomyelitis in the New Zealand White (NZW) rabbits; and (4) evaluate the efficacy of OMICS as antibacterial agent and its biocompatibility in animal models. The silver composite was extracted from OMICS using two different immersion techniques, namely water bath and magnetic stirrer. The potential of OMICS as an antibacterial agent against *S. aureus* was investigated. The toxicity and biocompatibility studies were conducted in an accredited testing laboratory operating in accordance with the ISO 10993 to validate the biosafety aspect and ISO 17025 to validate the biocompatibility of OMICS. The OMICS were implanted in NZW rabbits after six hours of tibial bone exposure following validation of the open wound surgical approach. The rabbits were euthanised at week three and week six post-operatively. The OMICS-implanted tibia was excised en bloc and evaluated through post-mortem of microbial assessment for signs of infection as well as the post-mortem of radiographic evaluations, gross inspection and histological analysis for quality implantation assessment. The results showed that the variance for OMICS silver release extraction was significant with value $F(1,10) = 4.996$, $p = 0.034$, $\eta^2 p = 0.285$. As for the analysis variance of antimicrobial, it showed that the effect of silver release was significant with value $F(1,10) = 11.071$, $p = 0.003$, $\eta^2 p = 0.356$. The OMICS group halted the *S. aureus* growth “significantly” better than the control group indicative of antibacterial properties of the OMICS against the bacteria. The OMICS does not produce any mutagenic and toxicity effects after exposure in both cellular and tissue level. Besides, OMICS did not induce skin sensitisation after exposed to animal models. The post-mortem of the microbial assessment showed no signs of infection isolated at week six. The post-mortem of radiographic evaluations, gross inspection and histological analysis showed there is good integration between bone and OMICS implant at surrounding tissue. The OMICS is thus shown to be effective to reduce infection during implantation. In conclusion, the above results showed that OMICS is biocompatible and holds potential to reduce infection during implantation.

خلاصة البحث

لا يزال معدل انتشار العدوى المرتبطة بالتطعيم العظمي مرتفعاً، حيث يمكن أن يسبب الاستكثار البكتيري والتصاق الأغشية الحيوية على مادة التطعيم التهاباً في العظام والأنسجة المحيطة. يشكل التعامل مع هذه الحالات تحديات كبيرة في طب العظام، وعلى الرغم من اتخاذ العديد من الاستراتيجيات التقليدية للسيطرة عليها، لم تكن أيًا منها فعالة في جميع الحالات. تم اقتراح تطبيق زرع العظام المعدنية المغلفة بمركب الفضة (OMICS) كبديل للحد من أو منع الالتهابات المرتبطة بالتطعيم. هدفت هذه الدراسة إلى (1) تحديد خواص ال OMICS المضادة لبكتيريا المكورات العنقودية الذهبية؛ (2) تقييم الآثار السمية ل OMICS في النماذج المخبرية الخلوية والحيوانية؛ (3) إجراء الطريقة الجراحية والتحقق من صحتها باستخدام نموذج الكسر المفتوح الذي يتم فيه تعريض العظم للبيئة المفتوحة لمدة ست ساعات لحث التهاب العظم في الأرانب النيوزيلندية البيضاء (NZW)؛ و (4) تقييم فعالية ال OMICS كعامل مضاد للميكروبات وتقييم التوافق الحيوي في النماذج الحيوانية. تم استخراج المركب الفضي من ال OMICS باستخدام تقنيتي غمر مختلفتين، وهما حمام الماء والهزاز المغناطيسي. تم التحقيق في إمكانية ال OMICS كعامل مضاد للميكروبات ضد المكورات العنقودية الذهبية. أجريت دراسات السمية والتوافق الحيوي في مختبر معتمد يعمل وفقاً لمعيار ISO 10993 للتحقق من السلامة الأحيائية ومعيار ISO 17025 للتحقق من التوافق الحيوي لل OMICS. تم زرع ال OMICS في الأرانب النيوزيلندية البيضاء بعد ست ساعات من تعريض عظام الظنوب بعد التحقق من صحة الطريقة الجراحية للجرح المفتوح. تمت تضحية الأرانب بالقتل الرحيم في الأسبوع الثالث والأسبوع السادس بعد الجراحة. تم استئصال الظنوب المحتوي على ال OMICS بالكامل وتقييمه من خلال تحليل الجثة الميكروبي لعلامات العدوى وكذلك تحليل الجثة الشعاعي، والتقييم الإجمالي، والتحليل النسيجي لتقييم جودة التطعيم. تم استكشاف الجانب الأخلاقي باستخدام دراسة مكتوبة مصممة ذاتياً. أظهرت النتائج أن التباين في استخلاص الفضة من ال OMICS كان كبيراً بقيمة F قدرها $F(1,10)=4.996$ ، $p=0.034$ ، $\eta^2=0.285$. أما بالنسبة إلى تحليل تباين الخواص المضادة للميكروبات فقد كان تأثير إطلاق الفضة ذا أهمية بقيمة قدرها F قدرها $F(1,10)=11.071$ ، $p=0.003$ ، $\eta^2=0.3356$. ثبتت مجموعة ال OMICS نمو المكورات العنقودية الذهبية "بشكل ملحوظ" وبنحو أفضل من المجموعة الضابطة مشيرة إلى خصائص ال OMICS المضادة للميكروبات. لم تنتج ال OMICS أي تأثيرات مطفرة وسمية بعد التعرض لها على كل من المستوى الخلوي والنسيجي. لم تحفز ال OMICS أيضاً حساسية في الجلد بعد تعريضها للنماذج الحيوانية. لم يظهر تحليل الجثة الميكروبي أي علامات للعدوى عندما عزلت في الأسبوع السادس. وأظهرت عمليات التشريح اللاحقة للتقييمات الإشعاعية، والتقييم الإجمالي، والتحليل النسيجي وجود توافق جيد بين العظم وال OMICS في الأنسجة المحيطة. وبالتالي فقد كان ال OMICS فعالاً في تقليل العدوى أثناء التطعيم. ختاماً أظهرت النتائج المذكورة أعلاه أن ال OMICS متوافق حيويًا ولديه القدرة على تقليل العدوى أثناء التطعيم.

APPROVAL PAGE

The thesis of Nurul Hafiza Mohd Jan has been approved by the following:

Prof. Dr. Ahmad Hafiz Zulkifly
Chairman of Supervisory Committee

Asst. Prof. Dr. Munirah Sha'ban
Supervisor

Prof. Dr. Nor Fadilah Rajab
Co-Supervisor

Prof. Dr. Jamaluddin Abdul Rahman
Co-Supervisor

Prof. Dr. Muhammad Nor Omar
Internal Examiner

Prof. Dr. Md Zuki Abu Bakar @ Zakaria
External Examiner

Prof. Dr. Ismail Zainol
External Examiner

Prof. Dr. Md Zaidul Islam Sarker
Chairperson

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of my own investigation, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

NURUL HAFIZA MOHD JAN

Signature.....

Date

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

**DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF
FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH**

**FUNCTIONAL AND BIOCOMPATIBILITY OF
ORTHOPAEDIC METAL IMPLANT COATED WITH SILVER**

I declare that the copyright holder of this thesis is International Islamic University
Malaysia.

Copyright© 2020 by Nurul Hafiza Mohd Jan and International Islamic University
Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval
system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical,
photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the
copyright holder except as provided below.

1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research
may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print
or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieval system
and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other
universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledged that I have read and understand the IIUM
Intellectual Property Right and Commercialization policy.

Affirmed by Nurul Hafiza Mohd Jan

.....

Signature

.....

Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful. All the praises and thanks to Allah SWT for giving me the strength to finish this project.

I am indebted to Ministry of Energy, Science, Technology, Environment and Climate Change (MESTECC) for the financial support under Techno Fund Grant Scheme (TF0612D088). I am also equally grateful to International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM), Kulliyah of Allied Health Sciences (KAHS), Department of Orthopaedic, Kulliyah of Medicine (KOM), Makmal Bioserasi (UKM), AMREC (SIRIM) and KAHS Postgraduate and Research Office for providing all the necessities throughout my study period.

I would like to express my sincerest, deepest gratitude and outmost thanks to my entire supervisory team members; Assistant Prof. Dr. Munirah Sha'ban, Prof. Dr. Ahmad Hafiz Zulkifly, Prof. Dr. Nor Fadilah Rajab, Prof. Dr. Jamaluddin Ab. Rahman and Dr. Mohd Radzi Mohd Toff for their guidance, support, supervision, helpful advice, motivation, suggestion, comments, valuable learning experience and readiness throughout the course of this study.

I would like to thank Sr. Che Nor Zarida, Sr. Rosnani, Br. Muhammad Ezham, and Sr. Zahana from Orthopaedic Department for assisting me during this study from animal handling until undecalcified histology tissue preparation.

This study could not have been completed without the help of all staff members, especially Sr. Shirin and Sr. Liza in the Advanced Research Material Centre (AMREC), SIRIM Berhad and also Sr. Nora, Sr. Mimi, Sr. Humairah, and Sr. Ina from Makmal Bioserasi (UKM). I am really grateful that they were willing to sacrifice their energy and time to aid in this study.

My warmest and deepest thanks to my beloved husband Mohd Zulfadzli Ibrahim for his endless support, love, understanding, motivation, guidance, patience, encouragements and opinion which has ensure me to complete this study. Deepest thanks to my children; Nurul Iman Najwa, Nurul Aathirah Najwa, Muhammad Yusuff Luqman and Nurul Khaulah Summayyah, my parents; Mohd Jan Ab. Shukor, Norshita Mohd Shah, Ibrahim Mohd Daud and Siti Halimah Hamid and all my family members for their support and patience along this journey.

Last but not least, I would like to express my appreciation and share this moment of happiness having completed this research with my friends and colleagues. Thank you for their love, encouragement, motivation and continued support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
خلاصة البحث	iii
Approval page	iv
Declaration	v
Declaration of Copyright	vi
Acknowledgement	vii
Table of Contents	viii
List of Tables	xiii
List of Figures	xv
List of Abbreviations	xviii
List of Symbols	xx
List of Equations	xxii
CHAPTER ONE : INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of The Study	1
1.2 Rationale of the Study	7
1.3 Objective	8
1.3.1 General Objective	8
1.3.2 Specific Objectives	8
1.4 Hypotheses	8
CHAPTER TWO : LITERATURE REVIEW	10
2.1 Bone Structure and Function	10
2.1.1 Bone Structure and Composition	10
2.1.2 Bone Cell	11
2.1.3 Bone Formation and Development	12
2.2 Pathological of The Bone	13
2.2.1 Introduction to Bone Pathology	13
2.2.1.1 Bone Infection	13
2.2.1.2 Aetiology of Bone Infections	14
2.2.1.3 Classification of Bone Infections	15
2.2.1.4 Conventional Treatment Options for Bone Infections	16
2.2.2 Open Fracture	17
2.2.2.1 Mechanism of Open Fracture	17
2.2.2.2 Types of Open Fractures	18
2.2.2.3 Conventional Treatment Options for Open Fractures	19
2.3 Implant-Related Infections	23
2.4 Rationale of the Coating Technology	26
2.4.1 Pulsed Direct Current of Magnetron Sputtering Technique	27
2.4.2 Silver as Antibacterial Agent	29
CHAPTER THREE : METHODOLOGY	32
3.1 Ethical Approval	32
3.2 Experiment Design	32
3.3 OMICS and Control Implant	34

3.4 Phase 1 (Microbial Assessments)	36
3.4.1 Silver Extract Preparation in the Body Fluid Environment	36
3.4.2 Bacterial Culture Preparation	36
3.5 Phase 2 (Toxicity Tests <i>In Vitro</i> and <i>In Vivo</i> Analysis Preparation).....	37
3.5.1 Cytotoxicity Test Preparations	37
3.5.1.1 Cell Culture Preparation	37
3.5.1.2 Suspension Preparation (OMICS Extraction, Negative and Positive Controls).....	38
3.5.2 Genotoxicity Test Preparations	38
3.5.2.1 Bacterial Strains Preparation.....	38
3.5.2.2 OMICS Extraction Preparation.....	38
3.5.2.3 Negative and Positive Controls Preparation	39
3.5.2.4 Medium and Metabolic Activation Preparation (S9 Mix)	39
3.5.3 <i>In-Vivo</i> Analysis Preparation	40
3.5.3.1 Dermal Skin Sensitization Test Preparation	40
3.5.3.2 Acute Systemic Toxicity	40
3.5.3.3 Pyrogen Test	41
3.5.3.4 Intracutaneous Reactivity Study	41
3.6 PHase 3 (Surgical Approach)	42
3.6.1 Animal Acclimatisation	42
3.6.2 Animal Preparation	43
3.6.3 Preparation of Anaesthetic Drugs	43
3.6.4 Surgical Equipment and Operation Theatre	44
3.6.5 Surgical Procedure (Induce Bone Infection in Open Fracture).....	45
3.6.6 Post-Operative Care after Periosteal Stripping Procedure	47
3.6.7 Microbial Analysis	48
3.6.7.1 Catalase Test	48
3.6.7.2 Coagulase Test	48
3.6.7.3 Gram Staining	49
3.7 Phase 4 (Biocompatibility Test)	50
3.7.1 Implantation (OMICS and Non-Coated Metal Implant).....	50
3.7.2 Post-Operative Procedure after Implantation.....	52
3.7.3 Euthanasia	52
3.7.4 Post-Mortem Preparation for Microbial Assessment.....	54
3.7.5 Post-Mortem of Plain Radiograph Evaluation	54
3.7.5.1 Scanning of Sample	55
3.7.5.2 Observation of Plain Radiograph Image.....	56
3.7.6 Post-Mortem of Micro-CT Evaluation.....	57
3.7.6.1 Reconstruction of Sample	58
3.7.6.2 CT Analyser	59
3.7.7 Undecalcified Tissue Processing.....	60
3.7.7.1 Gross Sectioning	60
3.7.7.2 Fixation and Processing	61
3.7.7.3 Embedding and Polymerisation	63
3.7.7.4 Sample Block and Slide Presentation	64
3.7.7.5 Histological Image Analysis	66

CHAPTER FOUR : EFFECT OF ORTHOPAEDIC METAL IMPLANT COATED WITH SILVER AS ANTIBACTERIAL AGENT ON *Staphylococcus aureus* GROWTH 69

4.1 Summary.....	69
4.2 Introduction	70
4.3 Materials and Methods	72
4.3.1 Silver Release Extract and Bacterial Strain Preparations	72
4.3.2 Antibacterial Evaluation-Disk Diffusion Test	72
4.3.3 Direct In-Situ Inhibitory Test.....	73
4.3.4 Statistical Analysis	74
4.3.4.1 Pearson Chi-Square.....	74
4.3.4.2 Independent Samples T-Test.....	76
4.3.4.3 One-way of Analysis of Variance (ANOVA).....	78
4.4 Results	80
4.4.1 OMICS Silver Release Extract.....	81
4.4.1.1 Pearson Chi-square (Goodness of Fit) Test for Domination of Silver Release Extracts of OMICS between Water Bath and Magnetic Stirrer technique.....	81
4.4.1.2 Independent T-test for Optical Density Silver Release Extracts of OMICS between Water bath and Magnetic Stirrer (silver release/time(day).....	82
4.4.1.3 One-way ANOVA Test for Optical Density Silver Release Extract of OMICS Using Water Bath Technique (Silver Release/Time)	83
4.4.2 Antibacterial Evaluation.....	84
4.4.2.1 Disk Diffusion Test.....	85
4.4.2.2 Direct In-Situ Inhibitory Test.....	86
4.5 Discussion.....	87
4.6 Conclusion.....	90

CHAPTER FIVE : TOXICITY EVALUATION OF ORTHOPAEDIC METAL IMPLANT COATED WITH SILVER: IN VITRO AND IN VIVO ASSESSMENTS..... 92

5.1 Summary.....	92
5.2 Introduction	93
5.3 Materials and Methods	95
5.3.1 In Vitro Analysis	95
5.3.1.1 Cytotoxicity Evaluation (Cell Morphology).....	95
5.3.1.2 Genotoxicity Evaluation (Salmonella Reverse Mutation Assay)	96
5.3.2 In-Vivo Analysis	98
5.3.2.1 Dermal Skin Sensitization.....	98
5.3.2.2 Acute Systemic Toxicity.....	101
5.3.2.3 Pyrogenicity Test	102
5.3.2.4 Intracutaneous Reactivity Study	104
5.4 RESULTS.....	105
5.4.1 Cytotoxicity Evaluation (Cell Morphology)	105
5.4.2 Genotoxicity Evaluation (<i>Salmonella</i> Reverse Mutation Assay)...	106
5.4.3 Dermal Skin Sensitization.....	109
5.4.4 Acute Systemic Toxicity	110

5.4.5 Pyrogen Test.....	111
5.4.6 Intracutaneous Reactivity Study	112
5.5 Discussion.....	113
5.6 Conclusion.....	117

CHAPTER SIX : INDUCTION OF BONE INFECTION IN A RABBIT MODEL: AN ALTERNATIVE APPROACH OF DELAYED SURGERY..... 119

6.1 Summary.....	119
6.2 Introduction	120
6.3 Materials and Methods	123
6.3.1 Experimental Animal	123
6.3.2 Anaesthesia	123
6.3.3 Pre-Operative Surgery.....	124
6.3.4 Surgical Technique.....	124
6.3.5 Post-Operative Management.....	124
6.3.6 Microbial Assessments.....	125
6.4 Results	125
6.4.1 Surgical Approach Outcome	125
6.4.2 Microbial Assessments.....	125
6.5 Discussion.....	127
6.6 Conclusion.....	130

CHAPTER SEVEN : BIOCOMPATIBILITY STUDY OF ORTHOPAEDIC METAL IMPLANTS COATED WITH SILVER 132

7.1 Summary.....	132
7.2 Introduction	133
7.3 Materials and Methods	136
7.3.1 Pre-Operative Procedure	136
7.3.2 Surgical Approach.....	137
7.3.3 Euthanasia	138
7.3.4 Post-Mortem Microbial Analysis.....	138
7.3.5 Post-Mortem Imaging Evaluation	138
7.3.6 Gross Observation.....	139
7.3.7 Histological Interpretation	139
7.3.8 Statistical Analysis	140
7.4 Results	140
7.4.1 Post-Mortem Microbial Analysis.....	141
7.4.2 Post-Mortem Radiographic Analysis	143
7.4.2.1 Plain X-Ray Evaluation	143
7.4.2.2 Post-Mortem Micro-CT Evaluation.....	146
7.4.3 Gross Observation.....	149
7.4.4 Histological Analysis	152
7.5 Discussion.....	154
7.6 Conclusion.....	159

CHAPTER EIGHT : CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS..... 160

8.1 Overview	160
8.2 Recommendations for Future Research.....	161
8.2.1 Molecular Biological Work.....	161
8.2.2 Determination of Total Silver Content.....	161

8.2.3 Haematology Analysis	162
8.2.4 Toxicology Screening	162
8.2.5 Translational Study to Human	163

REFERENCES..... 164

APPENDIX 1: ANIMAL ETHIC APPROVAL LETTER.....	180
APPENDIX 2: GRANT APPROVAL TF0612D088	182
APPENDIX 3: LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	183
APPENDIX 4: FULL ARTICLES/PROCEEDINGS/ABSTRACTS CONTRIBUTED TO THIS THESIS	188
APPENDIX 5: AWARDS	221

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
2.1	Ciorny-Mader Classification Type (Groll et al., 2018).	16
2.2	Choice of antibiotic in open fracture cases (Zalavras, 2017)	20
3.1	Grading scale based on Magnusson and Kligman (1970).	40
3.2	The scoring grade to determine erythema and oedema formations.	42
3.3	Anaesthesia regime for combination of Ketamine, Tiletamine / Zolazepam and Xylazine.	44
4.1	Crosstabulation of the Pearson Chi-square test on the method of the silver release extract of OMICS preparation from both techniques	81
4.2	Independent sample t-test on the optical density of silver release extract of OMICS value using a water bath and magnetic stirrer technique (silver release/time)	82
4.3	Descriptive statistic of ANOVA on the optical density of silver release extract of OMICS value using the water bath technique (silver release value/time and MIC/time)	83
4.4	ANOVA summary table for the optical density of silver release extract of OMICS value using the water bath technique (silver release value/time)	84
4.5	ANOVA summary table for the optical density of silver release extract of OMICS value using the water bath technique (MIC/time)	84
5.1	The grading scale of cytotoxicity.	96
5.2	Intradermal Injection for Induction Phase 1.	100
5.3	The number of revertant from all bacterial tester strains treated with polar OMICS extract and non-polar OMICS extract compare with negative control.	108
5.4	Mean Dermal Skin Sensitization grading scores after 24 hours and 48 hours patch application on animals.	109
5.5	Mean body weight in all groups after administration.	110
5.6	Friedman test of body temperature in all rabbits before and after administration.	111

5.7	Wilcoxon signed-rank test of body temperature in all rabbits before and after administration with OMICS extract compare with negative control.	112
5.8	The score for erythema / eschar formation (E) and oedema formation (O) of each injection site at 24, 48, and 72 hours observation point.	113
7.1	The mean CT analyser data of the OMICS implant compared to the non-coated implant that serves as the control (Pair t-test).	147

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
2.1	Figure 2.1 The life cycle of biofilms. The free-floating bacteria cells reach the implant surface. Colonisation takes place after the bacteria has adhered and multiplied on the implant surface. Biofilm occurs, and bacteria undergo rapid multiplication and finally ready for dispersion as a final stage. The diagram is adapted from Odekerken et al., 2013.	26
3.1	An overview of the experimental study design.	35
3.2	Bacteria strain (a) <i>S. aureus</i> with number ATCC 25923 (b) Bacteria in nutrient broth culture	37
3.3	Intra-operative procedures to induce infection.	46
3.4	Inoculation procedure for both groups (OMICS and conventional non-coated implants).	47
3.5	Implantation procedure (OMICS/conventional non-coated implants)	51
3.6	Monitoring the rabbit pulse rate during euthanasia. The rabbit has confirmed death as the pulse meter show no reading.	53
3.7	Diagram illustrated harvesting of implants procedure for the post-mortem of microbial assessments.	54
3.8	Scanning sample procedure	56
3.9	Extraction of plain radiograph image. (a) The chosen sample projection image (b) Selection of monochrome with a black background	57
3.10	Reconstruction of sample procedure	59
3.11	Sample CT Analyzer procedure	60
3.12	Gross sectioning procedures	61
3.13	Fixation of the sample in 10% NBF	61
3.14	Washing of sample (a-b) under running tap water	62
3.15	Dehydration and infiltration process (a) Leica automated tissue processor (b) Samples are ready for processing.	63

3.16	Embedding of block samples	64
3.17	Polymerized block was hardened and ready for sectioning	64
3.18	Sample block and slide preparations	65
3.19	Slide staining (a) Samples slides are stained with Masson Goldner Staining (b) Sample slide is dried and ready for histological analysis	67
3.20	Nikon Eclipse <i>Ni</i> motorised microscope with an image analyser	68
4.1	T distribution graph with right-tailed test	76
4.2	T distribution graph with right-tailed test and critical value of silver extract ($t = 2.8453$)	78
4.3	The disk diffusion test outcome from the water bath (a) and magnetic stirrer (b) techniques.	86
4.4	The direct in-situ inhibitory test outcome. Fewer colonies growth were noted for OMICS implant compared to controls.	87
5.1	The diagram show cells morphology in cytotoxicity evaluation after treated with OMICS and controls suspensions under 1000x of magnification.	106
6.1	Figure 6.1 (a) Catalase test showed the appearance of bubbles on the slide with the tested organism. (b) Coagulase test revealed clumping appearance was noted on the slide for coagulase producing organism	126
6.2	Figure 6.2 <i>S. aureus</i> , gram positive cocci under light microscope 1000x magnification.	126
7.1 (a-b)	The post-mortem microbial analysis outcome in the three weeks post-implantation groups. The swab analysis shows that no colonies were noted at the OMICS plate (a) as compared to the control (b) as illustrated above	142
7.2 (a-b)	The post-mortem microbial analysis outcome in the three weeks post-implantation group. Upon implant removal, as shown above, no colonies are noted at the OMICS plate (a) as compared to the control (b).	142
7.3 (a-B)	The post-mortem microbial analysis outcome for the six-week post-implantation group. The swab analysis shows that no colonies were noted at the OMICS plate (a) as compared to the control (b) as illustrated above.	143
7.4 (a-d)	The quantitative post-mortem in vivo imaging evaluation outcome employed by the Bruker® Skyscan® 1176, Belgium system after three weeks of post-implantation in OMICS group (a-b) and control group (c-d).	144

7.5 (a-d)	The quantitative post-mortem <i>in vivo</i> imaging evaluation outcome employed by the Bruker® Skyscan® 1176, Belgium system after six weeks of post-implantation in OMICS group (a-b) and control group (c-d).	145
7.6 (a-d)	The post-mortem of micro-CT analyser allow visualized outcome after three weeks post-implantation in OMICS groups. Red arrows showed the OMICS implants are intact with bone and were <i>in situ</i>	148
7.7 (a-d)	The post-mortem of the micro-CT allow visualized outcome after three weeks of post-implantation in the control group (conventional non-coated implants). The red arrows show conventional non-coated implants is <i>in situ</i> and adjacent with the bone.	149
7.8	The gross observation of the fixation plate between the OMICS (a-b) and conventional non-coated implants (c-d) at three weeks post-implantation. Implants remain <i>in situ</i> in both groups.	150
7.9	Figure 7.9 The gross observation of the fixation plate between OMICS and conventional non-coated implants at six weeks post-implantation. Implants remain <i>in situ</i> in both groups.	151
7.10	Histological evaluation after three weeks implantation with the OMICS (a and c) and conventional non-coated implants (b and d) at the transverse view (Masson Goldner Trichrome stained, original magnification 40X).	153
7.11	Histological evaluation after six weeks implantation with the OMICS (a and c) and conventional non-coated implants (b and d) at the transverse view (Masson Goldner Trichrome stained, original magnification 40X).	154

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

2D	2-Dimension
3D	3-Dimension
AG	German Aktiengesetz
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
ATCC	American Type Culture Collection
BR	Basic Research
Co.	Company
CT	Computed Tomography
DC	Direct Current
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
E	Erythema
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
EMEM	Eagle's Minimum essential Medium
FOV	field of view
HCA	hexylcinnamaldehyde
HDPE	high-density polyethylene
IACUC	Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee
IBM	IBM Corporation
ICP-MS	Inductive Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry
ICR	Institute of Cancer Research
IUM	International Islamic University Malaysia
ISO/IEC	International Organization for Standardization / International Electrotechnical Commission
KTX	A combination of drugs Ketamine, Tilatamine / Zolazepam and Xylazine for anaesthesia

Micro-CT	Micro-Computed Tomography
MOSTI	Minister of Science, Technology and Innovation
NBF	Natural Buffered Formalin
NBRC	NITE Biological Research Center
NZWR	New Zealand White Rabbit
O	Oedema
OM	Osteomyelitis
OMICS	Orthopaedics Metal Implant Coated with Silver
ORS	Orthopaedic Society Research
PBS	phosphate buffered saline
PMMA	polymethylmethacrylate
POP	Plaster of Paris
PPB	part per billion
PSD	particle size distribution
Pty Ltd.	Propriety / Private Limited
PVD	physical vapor deposition
qPCR	Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction
ROI	Region of interest
S.A.W	Sallallahu Alaihu Wassallam
S.W.T	Subhanahu wa ta'ala
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Science
USA	United States of America

LIST OF SYMBOLS

%	Percentage
<	Less than
=	Equal to
≠	Not equal to
>	More than
±	Standard deviation
≤	Less than or equal to
≥	More than or equal to
®	Registered patent
°C	Degree Celsius
μA	Microampere
μg/ml	Microgram per millilitre
μm	Micrometre
1 st	First
Ag	Argentum/silver
CFU/ml	Colony-forming units per milliliter
Cm	Centimetre
cm ²	Square Centimetre
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
df	Degree of freedom
F	Fisher–Snedecor distribution
g	Gram
g/ml	gram per millilitre
Ha	Alternative Hypothesis

Ho	Null Hypothesis
kg	Kilogram
kV	Kilovolt
M	Mean
MD	Mean different
mg	Milligram
mg/ml	Milligram per millilitre
ml	Millilitre
ml/kg	Millilitre per Kilogram
mm	Millimetre
mM	Millimolar
mm ³	Cubic Millimetre
mmHg	Millimetre Mercury
ms	Millisecond
NaCl	Sodium Chloride
rpm	Rotation per minute
SD	Standard deviation
SE	Standard error
™	Trademark
v/v	Volume per volume
w/v	Weight/volume
w/w	Weight per weight
Z	Normal distribution score
η^2p	Effect size
χ^2	Chi-squared test

LIST OF EQUATIONS

<u>Equation No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
Equation 4.1	Equation of Pearson Chi-Square	79
Equation 4.2	The degree of freedom of the silver extraction independent sample T-test	81
Equation 4.3	Equation of independent sample T-test	81
Equation 4.4	The degree of freedom of the silver extraction ANOVA	84
Equation 4.5	Equation of ANOVA	84

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

Orthopaedic implants are widely used either for bone fixation or joint replacement (Campoccia et al., 2018; Li & Webster, 2018). Besides, the application of orthopaedic implant in modern orthopaedic and trauma surgery has successfully improved the quality of life for patients, either by supporting the rapid and effective bone healing after bone fractures or restoring mobility after joint replacement (Elniel & Giannoudis, 2018; Gimeno et al., 2015; Li & Webster, 2018; Sansone, Pagani, & Melato, 2013; Vilardell et al., 2015).

To date, implant-related with infection poses a significant challenge in the orthopaedic field (Campoccia et al., 2018; Harasser, de Wild, et al., 2016; Jorge-Mora et al., 2018; Li & Webster, 2018; Tschudin-Sutter et al., 2016). The pathogenicity of this incidence begins when the race of the surface started between bacterial adhesion and tissue cell integration on the surface of the implant after implantation (Gallo et al., 2014; Gallo et al., 2016; Odekerken et al., 2013; Ribeiro et al., 2012; Romanò et al., 2015). When the host protein deposited rapidly at the foreign body, it promotes the adherence of thick bacteria community or known as a biofilm to attach at the surface of the implant. In the biofilm, bacteria are protected against environmental stresses, antimicrobial treatment, and the host immune system (Birt et al., 2017; Gallo et al., 2014; Hobley et al., 2015; Ribeiro et al., 2012). Osteomyelitis is one of the human infections that implicated by the biofilm. It can be described as infection and inflammation of the bone. This inflammatory bone disorders mainly caused either by microbial infections or auto-inflammatory processes. It can occur at preferred

localisations in the human skeleton in all different ages (Gomes et al., 2013; Groll et al., 2018; Prieto-Pérez et al., 2014).

Bacteria response for osteomyelitis usually invades bone-forming osteoblasts, leading to inflammation, necrosis and bone destruction at the sites of infection. As often rebellious to treatment and recurrent, osteomyelitis is considered as one of the most challenging medical conditions for orthopaedic surgeons (Liu et al., 2017). *S. aureus* is the most prevalent species in implant-related with infection cases isolated in bone infection (osteomyelitis) with accounts between 20% to 30% cases of infection after fracture fixation, followed by coagulase-negative staphylococci with range between 20% to 40% of cases (Gaudin et al., 2011; Gomes et al., 2013; Kaur et al., 2014; Lu et al., 2016; Moriarty et al., 2016; Pande, 2015). Other species involved were gram-negative bacteria (6%-17%) and followed by anaerobes (including Propionibacteria and Peptostreptococci) with range 4%-5% (El Din et al., 2016; Hotchen et al., 2017; Li & Webster, 2018; Moriarty et al., 2016; Percival et al., 2015).

The incidence that approximately happened in the United States were between 1%-2% and was more widespread in developing countries, with 2% of the high rate of mortality (Lu et al., 2016). Despite the best practices in medical and surgical management to reduce this incidence in all cases, however, it gave a negative impact to clinical outcome and significantly increased the healthcare expenditure (Li & Webster, 2018; Moriarty et al., 2016; Sharma, 2010). Common sophisticated practice and prevention have been developed for the past two decades to reduce the risk of infectious complications in implant surgery. Examples were through a pre-operative procedure such as sterilisation surgical instruments and implants, application of laminar with ultraclean air and short of operation duration (Moriarty et al., 2016; Walley et al., 2016). Besides, the use of routine antimicrobial prophylaxis (Kuehl et